

GENERALIZED PSEUDO-BOOLEAN FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS WITH GENERAL COEFFICIENTS AND n-VARIABLES

Koriolan Gilezan

Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Abstract

The necessary and sufficient condition is given for the solution of a generalized pseudo-Boolean functional equation with n-variables and general coefficients.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 47AS60

Key words and phrases: pseudo-Boolean functions, partial derivative of pseudo-Boolean functions, functional equations of pseudo-Boolean functions.

Let (P, \oplus, \cdot) be a commutative ring with identity element 1 without divisors of zero, and let L be a finite set. A generalized pseudo-Boolean function (GPB function) is every mapping f of L^n into P , i.e., $f : L^n \rightarrow P$, where L^n is a direct power of L .

The definition of partial derivatives of GPB functions and some properties of these partial derivatives were given by Gilezan in [4].

Definition 1. *A partial derivative of a GPB function $f : L^n \rightarrow P$ with the variables $x_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, n)$ are GPB functions*

$$\frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i} : L^n \rightarrow P$$

defined by

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial f_a}{\partial x_i}(X) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, a, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - f(X), a \in L$$

See [4] for details.

A partial derivative of higher order of a GPB function is

$$(2) \quad \frac{\partial^m f_{a_1 \dots a_m}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_m}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_m}} \dots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_2}} \left(\frac{\partial f_{a_1}}{\partial x_{i_1}} \right)_{a_{i_2}} \right) \dots_{a_{i_m}}$$

$$a_{i_j} \in L, j = 1, 2, \dots, m \quad (m \geq 1).$$

However, the relation

$$F(g_1, g_2, \dots, g_k, f, \frac{\partial f_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{\alpha_n}}{\partial x_n}, \frac{\partial^2 f_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}, \dots, \frac{\partial^2 f_{\alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}}{\partial x_{n-1} \partial x_n}, \frac{\partial^3 f_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \partial x_3}, \dots, \frac{\partial^3 f_{\alpha_{n-2} \alpha_{n-1} \alpha_n}}{\partial x_{n-2} \partial x_{n-1} \partial x_n}, \dots, \frac{\partial^n f_{\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_n}) = 0$$

$$\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$$

is a generalized pseudo-Boolean functional equation, where g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n are known functions, an unknown function f and some of its partial derivatives take place. Hence, the solution of this functional equation has to be found.

Lemma 1. A functional equation with an unknown GPB function f

$$\frac{\partial f_{\alpha_i}}{\partial x_i} = g(X), \quad \text{where } \alpha_i \in L, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

has a solution if and only if

$$g(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = 0.$$

The solutions f are determined by the following formula

$$f(X) = C(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - g(X), \quad \text{or else}$$

$$\int_{\alpha_i} g(X) dx_i = C(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) - g(X),$$

where C is an arbitrary function of the variables x_1, \dots, x_n . The proof of this lemma was given in [5].

Let us introduce the following notation

$$(\tilde{x}_i) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

and

$$(\tilde{\alpha}_i) = (x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, \alpha_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n)$$

Theorem 1. *A system of GPB functional equations*

$$(3) \quad \frac{\partial f_{\alpha_i}}{\partial x_i} = P_i(X) \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

has a solution if and only if

$$(4) \quad P_i(\tilde{\alpha}_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$(5) \quad \frac{\partial P_j_{\alpha_i}}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial P_i_{\alpha_j}}{\partial x_j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad i \neq j.$$

The solutions f are determined by the following formula (they are equivalent to each other)

$$(6) \quad f(X) = C - \sum_{k=1}^n P_{i_k}(\tilde{\alpha}_{i_{k-1}}, \tilde{\alpha}_{i_{k-1}}, \dots, \tilde{\alpha}_{i_n}) - P_{i_n}(X)$$

where $i_1 i_2 \dots i_n$ are permutations of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and c is an arbitrary constant from P . The proof of this theorem was given in [5].

Let us consider an equation of the following form

$$(7) \quad F : a(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial f_{\alpha}}{\partial x_1} \oplus b(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial f_{\beta}}{\partial x_2} \oplus c(x_1, x_2) \frac{\partial^2 f_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = d \cdot g(x_1, x_2),$$

where $f : L^2 \rightarrow P$ is an unknown GPB function, while $a : L^2 \rightarrow P$, $b : L^2 \rightarrow P$, $c : L^2 \rightarrow P$ and $g : L^2 \rightarrow P$ are known.

Theorem 2. *The functional equation (7) has a solution if and only if*

$$(8) \quad \frac{\partial^2 g_{\alpha_1 \alpha_2}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial g_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus \frac{\partial g_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus g = 0$$

$$(9) \quad \left(\frac{\partial a_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus a \right) \left(\frac{\partial b_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus b \right) (a \oplus b - c) = d.$$

The solutions f are determined by the following formula

$$(10) \quad f(x, y) = C - X(x_1, x_2) - Y(x_1, x_2) - \frac{\partial X_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2},$$

Where C is an arbitrary constant from P , and

$$\begin{aligned} X &= g \left[\left(\frac{\partial a_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus a \oplus b - c \right) \frac{\partial b_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus b(b - c) \right] \oplus \\ &\quad \oplus (b - c) \left(\frac{\partial b_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus b \right) \frac{\partial g_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} - b \left(\frac{\partial a_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus a \right) \frac{\partial g_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1}, \\ Y &= g \left[\left(\frac{\partial b_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus a \oplus b - c \right) \frac{\partial a_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus a(a - c) \right] \oplus \\ &\quad \oplus (a - c) \left(\frac{\partial a_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus a \right) \frac{\partial g_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} - a \left(\frac{\partial b_{\alpha_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus b \right) \frac{\partial g_{\alpha_2}}{\partial x_2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of Theorem 2 was given in [6].

Here we shall give a generalization of Theorem 2. First, let us introduce the following notations:

$$m(\beta) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_n, \beta_i \in \{0, 1\}$$

(+ is the usual addition in the set of real numbers).

$$M_n = \{\beta \mid \beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n), \beta_i \in \{0, 1\}, m(\beta) \geq 1\},$$

$$k(M_n) = 2^n - 1 \quad (k \text{ is the cardinality of } M_n).$$

Let us consider the n -tuple $\alpha = \alpha_{(k)}$, where 1 is on the k -th place, and $m(\alpha_{(k)}) = 1$, while $\alpha \in M_n$, $\alpha_{(i)} \dot{+} \alpha_{(j)}$ is the n -tuple obtained by the modulo 2 addition of the corresponding components. Let $\dot{+}$ denote the addition modulo 2.

$\alpha_{(1)}^i \alpha_{(2)}^i \dots \alpha_{(k)}^i$ is the i -th combination of k -elements from the set $\{\alpha_{(1)}, \dots, \alpha_{(n)}\}$, where $m(\alpha_{(k)}^i) = 1$ and $2 \leq k \leq n$

$$a_{\alpha_{(1)}^i \dot{+} \alpha_{(2)}^i \dot{+} \dots \dot{+} \alpha_{(k)}^i} = a_{\sum_{t=1}^k \alpha_{(t)}^i}.$$

Further let us denote $\bar{\alpha}_{(k)} = k$. Let a_β be GPB-functions, where $\beta \in M_n$, and

$$\frac{\partial (a_\beta)_{b_k}}{\partial x_k} = a_\beta(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, b_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) - a_\beta(x_1, \dots, x_k, \dots, x_n)$$

Is the partial derivative of the GPB-function a_β with the variable x_k .

$$\partial x^\beta = \partial x_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}, \beta \in M_n$$

$$b_i^{[0]} = 1, b_i^{[1]} = b_i, i = 1, \dots, n, b_i \in L,$$

$$\frac{\partial^0 f_{b_i}}{\partial x_i^0} = 1.$$

Let us consider the following functional equation

$$(11) \quad F : \sum_{\beta \in M_n \oplus} a_\beta \frac{\partial^n}{\partial x}$$

Where $f : L^n \rightarrow P$ is an unknown GPB-function, $a_\beta : L^n \rightarrow P$ and $R : L^n \rightarrow P$ are known GPB functions, $d \in P$, $\beta \in M_n$ and $k(M_n) = 2^n - 1$.

Theorem 3. *The functional equation (11) has a solution if and only if*

$$(12) \quad R \oplus \sum_{\beta \in M_n \oplus} \frac{\partial^{m(\beta)} R_{b_1^{[\beta_1]} \dots b_n^{[\beta_n]}}}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}} = 0,$$

and

$$(13) \quad d = \prod_{i=1}^n \left(a_{\alpha^{(i)}} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^{n-i} \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k \oplus}^{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\bar{\alpha}^{(i)}\}} \frac{\partial^k (a_{(i)})_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k}} \right) \right)$$

$$\prod_{k=2}^n \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n-k} \left(\sum_{t=1 \oplus}^k a_{\alpha^{(t)}} \oplus (-1)^{k+1} a_{\sum_{+} \alpha^{(t)}} \oplus \sum_{s=1 \oplus}^{n-k} \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_s \oplus}^{\{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{\bar{\alpha}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}^{(k)}\}} \right. \right.$$

$$\left. \left. \left(\frac{\partial^s (a_{\alpha^{(t)}})_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_s}} \oplus (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\partial^s (a_{\sum_{t=1}^k \alpha^{(t)}})_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_s}} \right) \right) \right) \neq 0.$$

Proof. If we find the partial derivatives of the functional equation (11), i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^{m(\gamma)} F_{b_1^{[\gamma_1]} \dots b_2^{[\gamma_2]} \dots b_n^{[\gamma_n]}}}{\partial x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\gamma_n}}, \gamma = (\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n) \in M_n,$$

Then we obtain the following system of functional equations

$$(14) \quad \sum_{\beta \in M_n \oplus} \frac{\partial^{m(\gamma)}}{\partial x^\gamma} \left(a_\beta \frac{\partial^{m(\beta)} f_{b_1^{[\beta_1]} \dots b_n^{[\beta_n]}}}{\partial x_1^{\beta_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\beta_n}} \right)_{b_1^{[\gamma_1]} \dots b_n^{[\gamma_n]}} = d \frac{\partial^{m(\gamma)} (R)_{b_1^{[\gamma_1]} \dots b_n^{[\gamma_n]}}}{\partial x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\gamma_n}},$$

$$\gamma \in M_n \quad \partial x^\gamma = \partial x_1^{\gamma_1} \dots \partial x_n^{\gamma_n}.$$

The system (14) has a unique solution $\frac{\partial f_{b_1}}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f_{b_n}}{\partial x_n}$ if and only if the rank of the augmented matrix of the system (14) is $2^n - 1$.

For $n = 2$ the augmented matrix of the system (14) is equivalent to the matrix A'_2 .

$$A'_2 = \left[\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} a_{10} & a_{01} & a_{11} - a_{10} - a_{01} & R & & \\ 0 & \frac{\partial(a_{01})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus a_{01} & 0 & \frac{\partial R_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus R & & \\ \frac{\partial(a_{10})_{b_1}}{\partial x_2} & 0 & 0 & \frac{\partial R_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus R & & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & R \oplus \frac{\partial^2 R_{b_1 b_2}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} & & \end{array} \right]$$

Therefore rank $A'_2 = 3$ if and only if

$$R \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial^2 R_{b_1 b_2}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = 0,$$

and

$$d = (a_{10} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{10})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2})(a_{01} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{01})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1})(a_{10} \oplus a_{01} - a_{11}) \neq 0.$$

This has been proved in Theorem 2. According to (13) for $n = 2$ it follows that

$$(15) \quad d = \prod_{i=1}^2 \left(a_{\alpha^{(i)}} \oplus \sum_{i_k \oplus}^{\{1,2\} \setminus \{\alpha^{(i)}\}} \frac{\partial(a_{\alpha^{(i)}})_{b_{i_k}}}{\partial x_k} \right)$$

$$\prod_{k=2}^2 \left(\binom{2}{2-k} \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\sum_{t=1 \oplus}^k a_{\alpha^{(t)}} - a_{\alpha^{(1)} + \alpha^{(2)}} \right) \right)$$

$$= (a_{10} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{10})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2})(a_{01} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{01})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1})(a_{10} \oplus a_{01} - a_{11}) \neq 0.$$

For $n = 3$ according to (12) and (13) it follows that

$$R \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial R_{b_3}}{\partial x_3} \oplus \frac{\partial^2 R_{b_1 b_2}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial^2 R_{b_1 b_3}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3} \oplus \frac{\partial^2 R_{b_2 b_3}}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3} \oplus \frac{\partial^3 R_{b_1 b_2 b_3}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2 \partial x_3} = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad d &= \prod_{i=1}^3 \left(a_{\alpha^{(i)}} \oplus \sum_{k=1}^2 \oplus \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_k}^{\{1,2,3\} \setminus \{\bar{\alpha}^{(i)}\}} \frac{\partial^k (a_{\alpha^{(i)}})_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_k}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_k}} \right) \right) \\ &\quad \prod_{k=2}^3 \left(\prod_{i=1}^3 \binom{3}{3-k} \left(\sum_{t=1}^k a_{\alpha^{(t)}} \oplus (-1)^{k+1} a_{\sum_{t=1}^k \alpha^{(t)}} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \oplus \sum_{s=1}^{3-k} \oplus \left(\sum_{i_1 \dots i_s}^{\{1,2,3\} \setminus \{\bar{\alpha}^{(1)}, \dots, \bar{\alpha}^{(k)}\}} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. \left(\frac{\partial^s (a_{\alpha^{(t)}})_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_s}} \oplus (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\partial^s (a_{\sum_{t=1}^k \alpha^{(t)}})_{b_{i_1} \dots b_{i_s}}}{\partial x_{i_1} \dots \partial x_{i_s}} \right) \right) \right) = \\ &= (a_{100} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{100})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{100})_{b_3}}{\partial x_3} \oplus \frac{\partial^2(a_{100})_{b_2 b_3}}{\partial x_2 \partial x_3}). \\ &\quad (a_{010} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{010})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{010})_{b_3}}{\partial x_3} \oplus \frac{\partial^2(a_{010})_{b_1 b_3}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_3}). \\ &\quad (a_{001} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{001})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{001})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus \frac{\partial^2(a_{001})_{b_1 b_2}}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}). \\ &\quad (a_{100} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{100})_{b_3}}{\partial x_3} \oplus a_{010} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{010})_{b_3}}{\partial x_3} - a_{110} - \frac{\partial(a_{110})_{b_3}}{\partial x_3}). \\ &\quad (a_{100} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{100})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} \oplus a_{001} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{001})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2} - a_{101} - \frac{\partial(a_{101})_{b_2}}{\partial x_2}). \\ &\quad (a_{010} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{010})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} \oplus a_{001} \oplus \frac{\partial(a_{001})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1} - a_{011} - \frac{\partial(a_{011})_{b_1}}{\partial x_1}). \end{aligned}$$

The condition (13) can be proved by mathematical induction using (15), (16) and some properties of partial derivatives of GPB-functions \square .

If we denote with $\frac{\partial f_{b_i}}{\partial x_i} = P_i(X)$, ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and if the following conditions are satisfied

$$(17) \quad P_i(\tilde{b}_i) = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

$$(18) \quad \frac{\partial P_j b_i}{\partial x_i} = \frac{\partial P_i b_j}{\partial x_j}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad i \neq j,$$

Then by Theorem 1 the solution of the functional equation (11) is of the form

$$(19) \quad f(X) = C - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} P_{i_k}(\tilde{b}_{i_{k+1}}, \tilde{b}_{i_{k+2}}, \dots, \tilde{b}_{i_n}) - P_{i_n}(X),$$

Where i_1, \dots, i_n is a permutation of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, C is an arbitrary constant from P , $X = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and

$$(\tilde{b}_{i_k}) = (x_i, \dots, x_{i_{k-1}}, b_{i_k}, x_{i_{k+1}}, \dots, x_{i_n}).$$

References

- [1] Aczel, J., Lectures on functional equations and their applications, Academic Press, New York and London, 1966.
- [2] Akers, S.B., On a theory of Booleans functions, SIAM J., 7, 487-498.
- [3] Davio, M. J. P., Deschamps et Thayse, A.: Discrete and switching functions (Georgi publishing company) St. Saphorin, New York, 1978.
- [4] Gilezan, K., Les derivees partielles des Fonctions pseudo-Booleennes-generalisees, Nort-Holland, Publishing Comp. Discrete applied Mathematics 4 (1982), 37-45.
- [5] Gilezan, K., Equations fonctionnelles pseudo-Booleennes generalisees, Publ. Inst. Math., Beograd, Tome (20/34), 99-109, 1979.
- [6] Gilezan, K., Equations fonctionnelles pseudo-Booleennes generalisees du Deuxieme ordre, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 9, (1979).
- [7] Gilezan, K., Udicki, M., Generalized pseudo-Boolean equations of the third order, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 19,2 (1989), 81-91.
- [8] Rudeanu, S., Boolean functions and equations, Nort-Holland, Publishing Company, Amsterdam, London, 1974.

Received by the editors April 18, 1992.