

CONTRACTIONS IN PROBABILISTIC m - METRIC SPACES

Antoni Miczko, Boleslaw Palczewski
Politechnika Gdáńska, Wydział Fizyki Technicznej
i Matematyki Stosowanej, Majakowskiego 11/12
80 - 952 Gdansk, Poland

Abstract

In this paper we proved some contraction principles for selfmappings in a Gähler's complete m - metric space.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 47H10, 54H25

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, m - metric space.

Introduction

In this paper we exhibit some contraction principles for selfmappings in a Gähler's complete m - metric space. We formulate fixed - point theorems for contraction mappings in an m - metric space and we prove two versions of Bessaga Converse Theorem of [3]. The m - metrization theorems of [34] give us a possibility to use these fixed - point results to prove some fixed - point theorems for contractions in m - uniform spaces. In particular we have formulated some fixed - point theorems for contractions in probabilistic m - metric spaces.

Our paper has not the capacity of a retrospective look on the whole fixed - point theory in m - metric spaces and all the more in probabilistic m - metric spaces, $m \geq 1$. We want to indicate near connections between the fixed - point theory in m - metric spaces and fixed - points results in Gähler's m - uniform spaces.

1. Definitions, lemmas and preliminary remarks

The purpose of this part of our paper is to cite some definitions and theorems which are formulated by S. Gähler (see [10] - [15]) and us (see [31] and [34]). The notation is the same as in original papers of Gähler, rather. In particular the m - metrization theorems of Hicks and Sharma type are cited from [34].

Let m be a positive integer and let $M = \{0, \dots, m\}$. In the sequel \prod_M denotes the set of all permutations p of M .

Let X be a nonempty set. If $\alpha = (a_i)_{i \in M} \in X^M$, then p denotes the point $(\alpha_{p(i)})_{i \in M}$, where $p \in \prod_M$. For $\alpha \in X^M$, $\alpha_{a_i \rightarrow a} = (a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_m)$, $\alpha_{a_i \rightarrow a, a_j \rightarrow a'} = (a_0, \dots, a_{i-1}, a, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_{j-1}, a, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_m)$, $i \neq j$, $a, a' \in X, \dots$

For $p \in \prod_M$ we denote

$$\Delta_p = \{\alpha \in X^M : p(\alpha) = \alpha\}.$$

The diagonal set $\Delta \subseteq X^M$ is defined in the following

$$\Delta = \bigcup_{p \in \prod_M \setminus \{id_M\}} \Delta_p,$$

where $id_M(j) = j$, $j \in M$.

The set $V \subseteq X^M$ is said to be symmetric iff $V = p(V)$ for each $p \in \prod_M$.

In the sequel V^{-1} denotes the following set

$$V^{-1} = \bigcup_{p \in \prod_M \setminus \{id_M\}} p(V).$$

For $V_0, \dots, V_m \subseteq X^M$ we denote the set

$$\begin{aligned} \bigcirc_{k=0}^m V_k &= V_0 \circ V_1 \circ \dots \circ V_m \\ &= \{\alpha \in X^M : \exists V \in X \alpha_{a_i \rightarrow V} V_{m-i}, i = 0, \dots, m\} \end{aligned}$$

and if $V_0 = V_1 = \dots = V_m$, then we write $\circ V$ instead of $\bigcirc_{k=0}^m V_k$ (compare S.Gähler, [12]).

Let \mathcal{U} be a family of subsets U of X^M . Following to Gähler [12] the family \mathcal{U} is an m - uniform structure if \mathcal{U} is a filter and in addition the conditions hold:

$\bigcup_1 \Delta \subseteq U$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$,

\bigcup_2 for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $p \in \prod_M, p(U) \in \mathcal{U}$,

\bigcup_3 for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ there exists $V \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $\circ V \subseteq U$.

The ordered pair (X, \mathcal{U}) is then called an m - uniform structure and members of \mathcal{U} are called entourages. A subfamily \mathcal{B} of \mathcal{U} is said to be a basis for \mathcal{U} iff every entourage contains some member of \mathcal{B} .

For $M = \{0, \dots, m\}$ in the sequel M_{k_1, \dots, k_l} denotes the set

$$M \setminus \{k_1, \dots, k_l, 0 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_l \leq m, 0 < l < m\}.$$

Let $a, a' \in X$ and $\alpha = (a_i)_{i \in M_{kl}} \in X^{M_{kl}}$ for some $k, l, 0 \leq k < l \leq m$. Then in the sequel $[a, a, \alpha]$ denotes the point $\alpha' \in X^M$, such that $a'_k = a, a'_l = a'$ and $a'_i = a_i$ for $i \in M_{kl}$, and

$$U_\alpha[a] = \{a' \in X : [a, a', \alpha] \in U\}$$

for any $U \subseteq X^M$.

Theorem 1.1. (see [34], Th. 2.1). Let (X, \mathcal{U}) be an m - uniform structure for some $m \geq 1$. Then the family

$$\mathcal{U}[a] = \{U[a] : U \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

where

$$U[a] = \bigcap_{s=1}^n U_{\alpha^s}[a] \quad \text{for } U \in \mathcal{U},$$

is a neighbourhood system on X .

The topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ defined by the neighbourhood system is called a topology induced by \mathcal{U} and an order triple $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is called then an m - uniform space.

Let (E, \leq) be a partially ordered set and the order relation have additional properties (compare S. Gähler [12]):

(\leq .1) there exists $0 \in E$ that $(0, \epsilon) \in \leq$ for each $\epsilon \in E$,

(\leq .2) for each $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in E_0 = E \setminus \{0\}$ there exists $\epsilon'' \in E_0$, such that $(\epsilon'', \epsilon), (\epsilon'', \epsilon') \in \leq$.

For $\epsilon, \epsilon' \in E$ we write $\epsilon \leq \epsilon'$ iff $(\epsilon, \epsilon') \in \leq$, $\epsilon \not\leq \epsilon'$ if $(\epsilon, \epsilon') \notin \leq$ and $\epsilon < \epsilon'$ if $\epsilon \leq \epsilon'$ and $\epsilon \neq \epsilon'$.

Theorem 1.2. (see [34], Th. 3.1). Let \mathcal{B} be a family of subsets of X^M of the form $\mathcal{B} = \{U_\epsilon \subseteq X^M : \epsilon \in E_0\}$, where E_0 is a partially ordered set with properties $(\leq .1) - (\leq .2)$. If the conditions hold:

$$(1.1) \text{ for each } \epsilon \in E_0, \Delta \subseteq U,$$

$$(1.2) U_\sigma \subseteq U_\epsilon \text{ whenever } \sigma < \epsilon \text{ for each } \sigma, \epsilon \in E_0,$$

$$(1.3) \text{ for each } \epsilon \in E_0 \text{ there exists } \epsilon' \in E_0, \text{ that } \circ U_{\epsilon'} \subseteq U_\epsilon,$$

$$(1.4) \text{ for each } p \in \prod_M \text{ and } \epsilon \in E_0, p(U_\epsilon) \in \mathcal{B},$$

then \mathcal{B} is the base of an m - uniform structure \mathcal{U} and $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{U})$ is completely regular topological space. If in addition the property holds

$$(1.5) \quad \Delta = \bigcap_{\epsilon \in E_0} U_\epsilon,$$

then $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_\mathcal{U})$ is a Hausdorff's topological space.

Let (J, \leq) be a directed and partially ordered set. Let X be a nonempty set, m be a positive integer and let \mathcal{B} be a family of nonempty subsets of X^M of the form

$$\mathcal{B} = \{U_{j,\epsilon} \subseteq X^M : j \in J, \epsilon \in (0, \tau)\}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}_+^\sharp, \tau > 0,$$

$\mathbb{R}_+^\sharp = \mathbb{R}_+ \cup \{+\infty\}$, such that

$$(B.1)_\mathcal{H} \Delta = \bigcap_{(j,\epsilon) \in J \times (0,\tau)} U_{j,\epsilon}$$

$$(B.2)_\mathcal{H} U_{i,\sigma} \subseteq U_{j,\epsilon} \text{ whenever } (i, \sigma) \leq (j, \epsilon)$$

$$((j, \sigma) \leq (j, \epsilon) \text{ iff } i \leq j \text{ and } \sigma \leq \epsilon),$$

$$(B.3)_\mathcal{H} U_{j,\epsilon} = U_{j,\epsilon}^{-1} \text{ for each } (j, \epsilon) \in J \times (0, \tau),$$

(B.4) $_H$ for each $j \in J$ and $\epsilon \in (0, \tau)$ there exists $\epsilon' \in (0, \tau)$, such that $\circ U_{j,\epsilon'} \subseteq U_{j,\epsilon}$,

(B.5) $_H$ for each $j \in J$, each $\epsilon \in (0, \tau)$ and each $\alpha \in X^M$, if $\alpha \in U_{j,\epsilon}$ then there exists $\epsilon' < \epsilon$ such that $\alpha \in U_{j,\epsilon'}$.

If \mathcal{B} is a family as above, then \mathcal{B} is the base of an m - uniform structure fulfilling assumptions of Theorem 1.2. We say (see [34]) that an m - uniform structure is an m - \mathcal{H} - structure if it is generated by the base \mathcal{B} fulfilling (B.1) $_H - (B.5)_H$.

If (X, \mathcal{U}) is an m - \mathcal{H} - structure generated by \mathcal{B} , then the neighbourhood system $\{U_{j,\epsilon}[a] : j \in J, \epsilon \in (0, r), a \in X\}$ define the topology $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$ and the ordered triple $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is called then an m - \mathcal{H} - space.

A filter \mathcal{F} in an m - \mathcal{H} - space $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is a Cauchy filter iff for each $(\alpha, j, \epsilon) \in X^{M_{01}} \times J \times (0, r)$, $F \times F \subseteq U_{j,\epsilon,\alpha}$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}$. An m - \mathcal{H} - space $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is complete iff each Cauchy filter in X converges to a point of X .

Let X be a nonempty set and m be a positive integer. The function $\sigma : X^M \rightarrow E$, where E fulfils $(\leq .1)$ and $(\leq .2)$ is a generalized m - metric over X and E (see S.Gähler [12], p. 177) if

$$M'_{1a} \quad \sigma(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Delta,$$

M'_2 for each $\epsilon \in E_0$ and $p \in \prod_M$, there exists $\epsilon' \in E_0$ such that $\sigma(p(\alpha)) \not\geq \epsilon$ whenever $\sigma(\alpha) \not\geq \epsilon'$,

M'_3 for each $\epsilon \in E_0$ there exists $\epsilon' \in E_0$ such that $\sigma(\alpha) \not\geq \epsilon$ whenever $\sigma(\alpha_{a_i \rightarrow a}) \geq \epsilon'$ for each $i \in M, a \in X$.

The ordered pair (X, σ) is said to be a generalized m - metric space if σ has properties M'_{1a}, M'_2 and M'_3 .

If the generalized m - metric space (X, σ) has the additional property (see [12], Th. 25):

M'_{1b} for each two different points $x, y \in X$ there exists $\alpha \in X$ such that $\sigma[x, y, \alpha] \neq 0$,

then $(X, \mathcal{T}_{\sigma})$ is a Hausdorff topological space and some additional properties on (X, σ) guarantee complete regularity of \mathcal{T}_{σ} .

Let (J, \leq) be a directed and partially ordered set and X be a nonempty set. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j \in J}$ be a family of functions $\sigma_j : X^M \rightarrow R_+$ such that the conditions hold

$$(M_{1a})_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \sigma_j(\alpha) = 0 \text{ for each } \alpha \in \Delta, j \in J,$$

$(M_{1b})_{\mathcal{H}}$ for each different $a, a' \in X$ there exists $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$ and $j \in J$, such that $\sigma_j[a, a', \alpha] > 0$,

$$(M_2)_{\mathcal{H}} \quad \sigma_j(\alpha) = \sigma_j(p(\alpha)) \text{ for each } \alpha \in X^M, j \in J \text{ and } p \in \prod_M,$$

$(M_3)_{\mathcal{H}}$ for each $j \in J$ and each $\epsilon \in (0, r)$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for each $\alpha \in X^M$ and $v \in X$ we have $\sigma_j(\alpha) < \epsilon$ whenever $\sigma_j(\alpha_{a_i \rightarrow v}) < \delta, i = 0, \dots, m$.

We say that the generalized m - metric space (X, σ) fulfilling $(M_{1a})_{\mathcal{H}}$ - $(M_3)_{\mathcal{H}}$ (with the topology \mathcal{T}_σ defined as usual) is a \mathcal{H} - m - metric space.

A sequence (x_n) in an \mathcal{H} - m - metric space (X, σ) , $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j \in J}$ is a Cauchy sequence iff for every $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$ and $j \in J$, $\sigma_j(x_n, x_{n+p}, \alpha) \rightarrow 0$ as $n, p \rightarrow \infty$ and an \mathcal{H} - m - metric space (X, σ) is sequentially complete iff each Cauchy sequence in X converges to a point of X .

Theorem 1.3. (see Th. 6.1 of [34]).

Let $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ be an m - \mathcal{H} - metric space with the base $\mathcal{B} = \{U_{j,\epsilon} \subseteq X^M : j \in J, \epsilon \in (0, r)\}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\#}$, of its m - uniformity \mathcal{U} . Then the family of functions

$$\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j \in J}, \quad \sigma_j : X^M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+,$$

$$\sigma_j(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \sup\{\epsilon \in (0, r) : \alpha \in U_{j,\epsilon}^!\} & \text{if } \alpha \in \bigcup_{0 < \epsilon < r} U_{j,\epsilon}^! \\ 0; & \text{if } \alpha \in \bigcap_{0 < \epsilon < r} U_{j,\epsilon} \end{cases}$$

$\alpha \in X^M$, where $U_{j,\epsilon}^! = X^M \setminus U_{j,\epsilon}$, $\epsilon \in (0, r)$, has properties:

(1.6) the family $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j \in J}$ is an \mathcal{H} - m - metric on X ,

(1.7) $\mathcal{T}_\sigma = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}}$,

(1.8) (X, σ) is sequentially complete iff $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is complete.

We say that the function $T : [0, r]^M \rightarrow [0, r)$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_+^{\#}$, is a triangle function on $[0, r)$ if

(T.1) $T(a, \dots, a) \leq a$ for each $a \in [0, r)$,

(T.2) $T(\alpha) = T(p(\alpha))$ for each $\alpha \in [0, r)^M$ and $p \in \prod_M$,

(T.3) $T(\alpha) \leq T(\alpha^1)$ for each $\alpha, \alpha^1 \in [0, r)^M$, $\alpha \leq \alpha^1$ (i.e. $a_i \leq a_i^1$ for $i \in M$).

Let (J, \leq) be a partially ordered and directed set. Let X be a non - void set, \mathcal{B} be a family of nonempty subsets of X^M of the form $\mathcal{B} = \{U_{j,\epsilon} \subseteq X^M : j \in J, \epsilon \in (0, r)\}$, $r \in \mathbb{R}_+$, such that $(\mathcal{B}.1)_{\mathcal{H}}$ - $(\mathcal{B}.3)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $(\mathcal{B}.5)_{\mathcal{H}}$ are fulfilled and in addition the following condition holds:

$(\mathcal{B}.4)_{\mathcal{M}}$ for each $j \in J$ and $\epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_m) \in (0, r)^M$ the relation holds $\bigcirc_{k=0}^m U_{j,\epsilon_k} \subseteq U_{j,T_j(\epsilon)}$, where T_j is a triangle function on $[0, r)$, $j \in J$.

We say that an m - uniform structure (X, \mathcal{U}) is an \mathcal{M} - m - structure if it is generated by \mathcal{B} fulfilling $(\mathcal{B}.1)_{\mathcal{H}}$ - $(\mathcal{B}.3)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $(\mathcal{B}.5)_{\mathcal{H}}$ and $(\mathcal{B}.4)_{\mathcal{M}}$. The ordered triple $(X, \mathcal{U}, \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U}})$ is called then an m - \mathcal{M} - space.

Theorem 1.4. (see [34], Th. 6.2).

Let $(X, -, -)$ be an m -structure with the base $- = -U_{j,\epsilon} : j = J, \epsilon = (0, r)$ of its m -structure and $\sigma_j : X^M \rightarrow R_+^{\#}, j = J$, are defined as in Theorem 1.3. Then

(1.9) (X, σ) is an space generated by the family $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j=J}$ (i.e. (X, σ) is an m -metric space),

(1.10) $- = -_{\sigma}$

(1.11) as (1.8).

An H - m -structure is the m -structure for which $\text{card } J = 1$ (see Example 4.2 of [34]) and the ordered triple $(X, -, -)$ is called then an m - H -space (for $m = 1$, see [31]).

An M - m -structure is the m -structure for which $\text{card } J = 1$ and $(X, -, -)$ is called then an m - M -space (for $m = 1$, see [31]).

If $\text{card } J = 1$, then the m -metric space (X, σ) is called an H - m -metric space and the m -metric space is called then an M - m -metric space, respectively (see [34]).

Let D be the set of all distribution functions (see B. Schweizer and A. Sklar [45]), i.e. D is the set of all non-decreasing, left continuous functions $f : R \rightarrow [0, 1]$ with $\inf f = 0$ and $\sup f = 1$.

Let $F : X^M \rightarrow R \rightarrow D, F_{\alpha}(t) = F(\alpha, t), t \in R, \alpha \in X^M$.

We say that F is a probabilistic m -metric structure on X if the following conditions hold

(F.1) $F_{\alpha}(0) = 0$ for all $\alpha \in X^M$,

(F.2) $F_{\alpha}(\epsilon) = 1$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ iff $\alpha \in \Delta$,

(F.3) $F_{\alpha} = F_{p(\alpha)}$ for each $\alpha \in X^M$ and $p \in \prod_M$.

The pair (X, F) is called then a probabilistic m -metric space (PM - m -space).

An H - m -space (for $m = 1$ compare T. Hicks and P.L. Sharma [20]) is a PM - m -space that satisfies the following condition:

(F.4) $_H$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ that $F_{\alpha}(\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon$ whenever $F_{\alpha_i \rightarrow v}(\delta) > 1 - \delta$, for each $i \in M$ and $v \in X$.

We say that a $PM - m -$ space (X, F) is a Menger $m -$ space if it has properties (F.1) - (F.3) and

$$(F.4)_M \text{ for } \epsilon = (\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_m) - (0, 1)^M,$$

$$F_\alpha \left(\sum_{i=0}^m \epsilon_i \right) > 1 - T(\epsilon), \quad \text{whenever } F_{\alpha_{a_i \rightarrow v}}(\epsilon_i) > 1 - \epsilon_i$$

for each $i - M$, $\alpha - X^M$, $v - X$, where T is a triangle function fulfilling (T.1) - (T.3).

Lemma 1.1. *Let $(\Omega, -, P)$ be a probability space, and let $A_1, \dots, A_n - -$. Then*

$$(1.12) \quad P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) - \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - n + 1.$$

Proof. Obviously $P(A_1 - A_2) = P(A_1) + P(A_2) - P(A_1 - A_2)$ and therefore $P(A_1 - A_2) - P(A_1) + P(A_2) - 1$ for each $A_1, A_2 - -$. If (1.12) for some n holds, then

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} A_i\right) &= P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i - A_{n+1}\right) - P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_i\right) + P(A_{n+1}) - 1 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i) - n + 1 + P(A_{n+1}) - 1 = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} P(A_i) - (n + 1) + 1. \square \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2.

a) For $m = 1$, the $t -$ norms or triangle functions respectively, are considered in the large number of papers (see for example C.A. Drossos [5], T. Hicks and P.L. Sharma [19] and [20], K. Menger [29], B. Morrel and J.Nagata [35], E.Nishiura [38], B. Schweizer, A. Sklar and E. Thorp [45] - [46], A.N.Sherstnev [49], H. Sherwood [50] and [51] and R.R. Stevens [52]).

b) The following pair $(-, -)$ is well known as a model of a $PM - m -$ space: Let $(\Omega, -, P)$ be a probability space and let $-$ be the set of random variables $Y : \Omega - R$ (i.e. $Y^{-1}((-, \epsilon)) - -$ for each $\epsilon > 0$). Then the set $A_\epsilon(Y_1, Y_2) = \{-\omega - \Omega : -Y_1(\omega) - Y_2(\omega) < \epsilon -$ belongs to $-$. Putting $F_{Y_1 Y_2}(\epsilon) = P(A_\epsilon(Y_1, Y_2))$ for $Y_1, Y_2 - -$ and $\epsilon > 0$, we get a $PM -$ space $(-, -)$, where

--- D , $-(Y_1(\omega), Y_2(\omega)) = F_{Y_1 Y_2}(\omega)$, $\omega \in \Omega$, $\epsilon > 0$. From Lemma 1.1 (compare Drossos [5]) $F_{Y_1 Y_3}(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2) = T(F_{Y_1 Y_2}(\epsilon_1), F_{Y_2 Y_3}(\epsilon_2))$ for each Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 --- and $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, where $T(\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2) = \max\{-\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 - 1, 0\}$ for $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2 > 0$, is a triangle function (precisely, in this case T is a t - norm of [45]).

2. Fixed points of contractions and remarks on converses of generalized Banach contraction principles in m - metric spaces

Let (X, σ) be an m - metric space, $m \geq 1$. We say that the mapping $f : X \rightarrow X$ is (m, k) - contraction, $1 \leq k \leq m$, if there exists $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ such that for each $\alpha \in X^M$ the inequality holds

$$(2.1) \quad \sigma(\alpha_{a_0 - f a_0, a_1 - f a_1, \dots, a_k - f a_k}) = \lambda \sigma(\alpha).$$

If in the above definition $k = 1$, then we say that f is an m - contraction and if in addition $m = 1$, then f is a Banach contraction.

Remark 2.1. It is easy to verify that each m - contraction f is continuous in the topology σ of the m - metric space (X, σ) , $m \geq 1$. If $1 < k \leq m$ then in general (m, k) - contraction is not continuous in (X, σ) for $m \geq 2$.

We have (compare for example the result of [33]) the following simple generalization of the Banach fixed point theorem for m - contractions:

Theorem 2.1. *Let (X, σ) be a sequentially complete space generated by the family $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j \in J}$ of pseudo - m - metrics and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be an m - contraction, $m \geq 1$, i.e. the following inequality holds*

$$(2.2) \quad \sigma_j = (\alpha_{a_0 - f a_0, a_1 - f a_1}) = \lambda \sigma_j(\alpha)$$

for each $j \in J$, $\alpha \in X^M$ and some $\lambda \in [0, 1)$.

Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{a} of f in X and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n a = \bar{a}$ for each $a \in X$.

Proof. If $\text{card } J = 1$ and $m = 1$, then we get the well - known Banach fixed - point theorem in a complete metric space. Suppose that $\text{card } J \geq 1$ and

$m - 1$. For each $a \in X$, $j \in J$ and $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$ we get the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_j[a, f^n a, \alpha] - \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha] + \sigma_j[fa, f^n a, \alpha] \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha_{a_i} f^n a] - \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha] + \lambda \sigma_j[a, f^{n-1} a, \alpha] \\ & + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sigma_j[a, a, \alpha_{a_i} f^{n-1} a] = \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha] + \lambda \sigma_j[a, f^{n-1} a, \alpha] \\ & - \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha] + \lambda \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha] + \lambda \sigma_j[a, f^{n-1} a, \alpha] - \dots \\ & - (1 + \lambda + \dots + \lambda^n) \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha] - (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for any $j \in J$, $n \in N$ and $s \in N$ we get the estimation

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_j[f^n a, f^{n+s} a, \alpha] - \lambda^n \sigma_j[a, f^s a, \alpha] \\ & \lambda^n (1 - \lambda)^{-1} \sigma_j[a, fa, \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $(f^n a)$ is a Cauchy sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n a = \bar{a} \in X$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_j[\bar{a}, fa, \alpha] - \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^n a, \alpha] + \sigma_j[f^n a, f\bar{a}, \alpha] \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^n a, \alpha_{a_i} f\bar{a}] - \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^n a, \alpha] \\ & + \sum_{i=0}^{m-2} \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^n a, \alpha_{a_i} f\alpha] + \lambda \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^{n-1} a, \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get $\sigma_j[\bar{a}, f\bar{a}, \alpha] = 0$ for any $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$ and thus $\bar{a} = f\bar{a}$.

If for some $\bar{b} \in X$, $\bar{b} = f\bar{b}$, then for each $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$, $\sigma_j[\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \alpha] = \sigma_j[f\bar{a}, f\bar{b}, \alpha] - \lambda \sigma_j[\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \alpha]$ and $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$. \square

Remark 2.2. By the assumptions of Theorem 2.1 the selfmapping f in X has the property

$$(2.3) \quad \text{Fix } f = \text{Per } f = \bar{a},$$

where $\text{Fix } f = \{a \in X : a = fa\}$ and

$$\text{Per } f = \bigcup_{k \in N} \text{Fix } f^k.$$

Therefore from the Bessaga's theorem [3] for each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists a complete metric (1 - metric) d_λ , that f is a contraction with respect to d_λ and (X, d_λ) is a complete metric space. On the other hand we get the simple generalization of Bessaga's theorem in the case of an m - metric space.

Theorem 2.2. *Let X be a nonempty set and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $Perf = \bar{a}$. Then for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists an m -metric σ_λ such that f is a m - contraction in the sequential complete m -metric space (X, σ_λ) .*

Proof. C.Bessaga in [3] define the function $\alpha : X \rightarrow Z^M$, $Z^M = Z \times \dots \times Z$, such that $\alpha(\alpha(a)) = \alpha(a) + 1$ for $a \in X$ (this function α exists by the Axiom of Choice). Now we define the function $\mu : X^m \rightarrow Z^M$ in the following way

$$\mu(\alpha) = \begin{cases} \bar{a}, & \text{if } a_i = \bar{a} \text{ for each } i \in M, \\ \sum_{\alpha_i = a, i \in M} \alpha(a_i) & \text{if } \alpha_i \neq \bar{a} \text{ for some } i \in M. \end{cases}$$

Putting

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_0 - \bar{a}}) &= 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha_{a_0 - \bar{a}} \in \Delta \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_0 - \bar{a}}) &= \lambda^{\mu(\alpha_{a_0 - \bar{a}})}, \quad \text{if } \alpha_{a_0 - \bar{a}} \notin \Delta, \end{aligned}$$

we define the required m - metric in the following way

$$\sigma_\lambda(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha \in \Delta \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_i - \bar{a}}), & \text{if } \alpha \notin \Delta. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious, that if $\alpha \in b$, then $\sigma_\lambda[a, b, \alpha] > 0$ for some $\alpha \in X^{M_01}$. From the definition, $\sigma_\lambda(\alpha) = \sigma_\lambda(p(\alpha))$ for each p from \prod_M .

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^m \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_i - v}) &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sum_{j=0}^m \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_i - v, a_j - \bar{a}}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_i - \bar{a}}) = \sigma_\lambda(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

and therefore (X, σ_λ) is an m - metric space in the Gähler's sense.

For the proof of sequential completeness of (X, σ_λ) suppose that $(x_n) \in X^N$ be such that $\lim_{n,p \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_\lambda[x_n, x_{n+p}, \alpha] = 0$ for any $\alpha \in X^{M_01}$. But then

from the definition of σ_λ we get $x_n = \bar{a}$ for each $n - n_0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \bar{a} - X$.

Remark 2.3.

a) It is well - known, that the Banach contraction theorem has the large number of generalizations and that Theorem 2.1 has generalizations in the case $m = 2$ (see for example K Iseki, P.L. Sharma, B.K. Sharma [23] and [48], M. Khan, B. Fisher [26] - [27], B.E. Rhoades [43], our papers [32] - [33] and others).

b) On the other hand for contraction mappings in a metric space ($m = 1$) are known converses (see for example A.A Ivanov [25], P. Meyers [30] and others). Furthermore, if $m = 1$, there are established converses for contraction mappings in uniform spaces (see for example V. Angelov [1]). Therefore there is a natural question: are some versions of Meyer's converses of Banach contraction principles for $m > 1$ true? We have not an answer to this question.

c) In the fixed point - theory for (m, k) - contractions $1 < k - m$ there are many questions. For example a $(2, 2)$ - contraction in a sequentially complete 2 - metric space may not have a fixed point.

Example 2.1. Let card $X = 2$ and (X, σ) be a sequentially complete 2 - metric space. Let A be a nonempty subset of X , $A \neq X$. Given the different points $a, b \in X$, such that $a \in A$ and $b \in A^c$ we define the non - continuous function $f : X \rightarrow X$ of the form

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} a & \text{for } x \in A \\ b & \text{for } x \in A^c = X - A. \end{cases}$$

Then $(fx, fy, fz) = 0$ for each $(x, y, z) \in X^3$. Thus for each $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and each $(x, y, z) \in X^3$,

$$\sigma(fx, fy, fz) = \lambda \sigma(x, y, z).$$

The mapping f has not any fixed points i.e. Fix $f = \emptyset$. But $\text{Per} f = X$ - because for example $f^2 a = a$ and $f^2 b = b$. It is easy to verify that f is not continuous in the topology σ but f^2 is continuous in every point of X .

Our proposition on the fixed - point theorem for the m, k - contraction mapping is the following theorem:

Theorem 2.3. Let (X, σ) , $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j=1,2}$ be a sequentially complete m - metric space with $m > 1$. Let $f : X \rightarrow X$, $f(X) = X$, be (m, k) -

contraction with $k = 2, \dots, m$. Suppose, that there exists $x \in X$ such that $\sigma_j[x, f^n x, \alpha] = W_j < \epsilon$ for any $n \in N$, $j \in J$ and $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$. Then $\text{Fix } f = \emptyset$.

Proof. For $\alpha \in X^{M_{01}}$ there exists a sequence of points (z^s) , $z^s \in X^{M_{0, \dots, m-k-1}}$, $s \in N$, such that the inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j[f^n x, f^{n+p} x, \alpha] = \\ \sigma_j(f^n x, f^{n+p} x, f^n z_0^n, \dots, f^n z_{m-k-1}^n, a_{m-k}, \dots, a_m) - \\ \lambda^n \sigma_j(x, f^p x, z_0^n, \dots, z_{m-k-1}^n, a_{m-k}, \dots, a_m) = \lambda^n W_j, \\ n, p \in N, \quad j \in J, \end{aligned}$$

Thus $(f^n x)$ is a Cauchy sequence and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n x = \bar{a} \in X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^n \bar{a}, \alpha] = 0, \quad \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^n x, \alpha_i, f \bar{a}] = 0, \quad i = 0, \dots, m-k-1, \\ \sigma_j[f \bar{a}, f^n x, \alpha] = \lambda \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f^{n-1} x, \alpha] = 0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_j[\bar{a}, f \bar{a}, \alpha] < \epsilon$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ and each $j \in J$. Consequently, we get $\bar{a} = f \bar{a}$.

Remark 2.4. If $f : X \rightarrow X$ is the (m, k) -contraction in an m -metric space, then for each $\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_k \in \text{Perf}$ we get the equality $\sigma_j(\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_k, z_0, \dots, z_{m-k-1}) = 0$ for each $(z_0, \dots, z_{m-k-1}) \in X^{M_{0, \dots, k}}$, $j \in J$. Indeed, if $\bar{a}_i = f^{l_i} \bar{a}_i$, $i = 0, \dots, k$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j(\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_k, z_0, \dots, z_{m-k-1}) = \\ \sigma_j(f^{l_0} \bar{a}_0, \dots, f^{l_k} \bar{a}_k, z_0, \dots, z_{m-k-1}) = \\ \lambda^l \sigma_j(\bar{a}_0, \dots, \bar{a}_k, z_0, \dots, z_{m-k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for $z = (z_0, \dots, z_{m-k-1}) \in X^{M_{0, \dots, k}}$, $j \in J$, where l is a minimal common multiple of l_0, \dots, l_k . This fact has the interesting interpretation in m -normed spaces.

Remark 2.5. For $m = 1$, (X, σ) is called a linear m -normed space (see S.Gähler [12] and A. White [56]) if X is a linear space and $\sigma : X^{-0, \dots, m-1} \rightarrow R_+$ is such that for each $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{m-1}) \in X^{-0, \dots, m-1}$ the conditions hold

- (i) $\sigma(\alpha) = 0$ iff a_0, \dots, a_{m-1} are linearly dependent *

(ii) $\|\alpha\| = \|\sum_{p=0}^{m-1} p(\alpha)\|$ for each $p = 0, \dots, m-1$

(iii) $\|\alpha_{a_0} - \beta_{a_0}\| = \|\beta - \alpha\|$ for β real,

(iv) $\|\alpha_{a_0 - a'_0 + a''_0}\| = \|\alpha_{a_0 - a'}\| + \|\alpha_{a_0 - a''}\|$.

Obviously, $\sigma(\alpha) = \|a_1 - a_0, a_2 - a_1, \dots, a_m - a_{m-1}\|$ for $\alpha = (a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}, a_m)$ - X^M is then a Gähler's m - metric on X .

Example 2.2. Let $X = R^2$ and $\|\cdot\|, \|\cdot\|_2, \|\cdot\|_3$ be defined on X in the following way:

$$\|\cdot\| = \sqrt{x_A^2 + y_A^2 + z_A^2} \quad (\text{a usual Euclidean norm on } X),$$

$$\|\cdot\|_2 = abs \begin{vmatrix} i & j & k \\ x_A & y_A & z_A \\ x_B & y_B & z_B \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{a 2 - norm on } X, \text{ comp. White [56]}),$$

$$\|\cdot\|_3 = abs \begin{vmatrix} x_A & y_A & z_A \\ x_B & y_B & z_B \\ x_C & y_C & z_C \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{a 3 - norm on } X, \text{ respectively}),$$

where $A = (x_A, y_A, z_A)$, $B = (x_B, y_B, z_B)$, $C = (x_C, y_C, z_C)$. Then σ_1, σ_2 and σ_3 , where $\sigma_1(A, B) = \|B - A\|$, $\sigma_2(A, B, C) = \|B - A, C - B\|$ and $\sigma_3(A, B, C, D) = \|B - A, C - B, D - C\|$, are 1 - metric, 2 - metric and 3 - metric, respectively. It is easy to see that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$, $(X, \|\cdot\|_2)$, $(X, \|\cdot\|_3)$ are Banach k - normed spaces, $k = 1, 2, 3$ (compare A. White [56], Ex. 1.1).

Let $f : X \rightarrow X$, $f(A) = (f_1 x_A, f_2 y_A, f_3 z_A)$.

If $\sigma_1(fA, fB) = \lambda \sigma_1(A, B)$ for $A, B \in R^3$ and some $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, then there exists a unique fixed point of f in R^3 .

If $\sigma_2(fA, fB, fC) = \lambda \sigma_2(A, B, C)$, $A, B, C \in R^3$, $\lambda \in [0, 1)$, then in general f has not a fixed point in R^3 . But, if $Per f = \emptyset$, then for $A, B, C \in Per f$, $A = B = C$ or A, B, C belong to the same line in R^3 .

If $\sigma_3(fA, fB, fC) = \lambda \sigma_3(A, B, C, D)$, for $\lambda \in [0, 1)$ and $A, B, C, D \in R^3$, then in general f has no fixed points. But if $Per f = \emptyset$, then for $A, B, C, D \in Per f$, $A = B = C = D$ or A, B, C, D belong to the same line or A, B, C, D belong both to the same plane in R^3 .

Remark 2.6. Let $X = R^n$, and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $Per f = \emptyset$. Let us consider the sequence $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2, \dots, \|\cdot\|_n$ of k - metrics, $k = 1, \dots, n$, which are generated by k - norms, $k = 1, \dots, n$, $n \in N$, respectively.

We define the sequence of relations $\sim_2, \dots, \sim_{n+1}$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} (A_0, A_1) \sim_2 \sim X^2 \quad \text{iff } A_0, A_1 \in Perf \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_1(fA_0, fA_1) = \lambda_1 \sigma_1(A_0, A_1), \dots, \\ (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n) \sim_{n+1} \sim X^{n+1} \quad \text{iff } A_0, \dots, A_n \in Perf \quad \text{and} \\ \sigma_n(fA_0, fA_1, \dots, fA_n) = \lambda_n \sigma_n(A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n), \\ \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in [0, 1). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that the finite sequence $\sim_2, \dots, \sim_{n+1}$ is an E -sequence (i.e. n -ary equivalence relation of W. Szmielew [53]):

(i) \sim_2 is the identity relation on $Perf$,

(ii) (Reflexive law): $(A_0, \dots, A_k) \sim_{k+1} \sim (A_0, \dots, A_k, C) \sim_{k+2}$ for any $C \in Perf$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$,

(iii) (Transitive law): $(A_0, \dots, A_k) \sim_{k+1} \sim (A_0, \dots, A_k, C_i) \sim_{k+2}$, $k = 0, \dots, k+1 \sim (C_0, \dots, C_{k+1}) \sim_{k+2}$, $k = 1, \dots, n-1$.

For $(A_0, A_1) \sim_2$, $[A_0, A_1] := \sim C : (A_0, A_1, C) \sim_3$ —and for $(A_0, \dots, A_k) \sim_{k+1}$, $[A_0, \dots, A_k] := \sim C : (A_0, \dots, A_k, C) \sim_{k+2}$, $k = 2, \dots, n-1$, and we say ([53], p. 9) that $[A_0, A_1]$ ($[A_0, \dots, A_k]$, respectively) is then the equivalence class of \sim_3 (of \sim_{k+2} , respectively) spanned by elements A_0, A_1 (A_0, \dots, A_k , respectively), $k = 2, \dots, n-1$.

Thus, if in Example 2.2, $Perf = \sim$, then $[A_0]$ has only one element $A_0 \in Perf$, $[A_0, A_1] = \sim C \in Perf : C$ belongs to the line, which passes across A_0, A_1 , ..., $[A_0, \dots, A_{n-1}] = \sim C \in Perf : C$ belongs to the hiperplane, which passes across A_0, \dots, A_{n-1} .

The following version of Bessaga's theorem [3] may be proved.

Theorem 2.4. *Let X be a nonempty set and let f be a surjective mapping of X in itself such that $Fix f = \sim$ and $card Perf > 1$. Then for each $m \geq 2$ and each $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists an m -metric σ_λ such that f is (m, m) -contraction and (X, σ_λ) is sequentially complete.*

Proof. Let $\sim_2 \sim X^2$ be an equivalence relation defined by C. Bessaga in [3] in the following way:

$$(a, a') \sim_2 \text{ iff there exist } p, q \in N, \text{ that } f^p a = f^q a'.$$

Let $\phi : X/\bar{-}_2 - X$ be a choice function such that $\phi([\bar{a}]) = \bar{a}$ if $\bar{a} - Perf$. If $a - [\bar{a}]$, where $\bar{a} - Perf$ and $a - \bar{a}$, then there exist p, q , such that $f^p a = f^q \bar{a}$ and $f^1 a = \bar{a}$ for some 1. Thus there exists s , such that $f^{p+s} a = f^{q+s} \bar{a} = f^{n_1} \bar{a} = \bar{a}$ for some $n - N$. Let s be a minimal number for which the above equality holds. Thus there exists p that $f^p a = \bar{a}$ and $f^{p-1} a - \bar{a}$.

If $a - [a_0]$ and $a_0 - Perf$ then there exist p, q that $f^p a = f^q a_0$. If there exist p^-, q^- that $f^{p^-} a = f^{q^-} a_0$, then $p - q = p^- - q^-$. Indeed, if $p - q = p^- - q^- + s$, and for example s is positive, then $f^q a_0 = f^p a = f^{p-p'} f^{p'} a = f^{p-p'} f^{q'} a_0 = f^{p-p'+q'} a_0$. Thus $f^q a_0 = f^{p-p'+q'-q+q} a_0 = f^{s+q} a_0$ and $f^s f^q a_0 = f^q a_0$, $f^p a = f^s f^p a$, i.e. $f^q a_0$ and $f^p a$ belong to $Perf$ and therefore $p - q = constans$.

Let $- : X - Z^\#$ be a function of the form

$$-(a) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } a - Perf \\ p, & \text{if } f^p a - Perf \text{ and } f^{p-1} a - Perf, \\ p - q, & \text{if } a - [a_0]_{-2} \text{ and } f^p a = f^q a_0, [a_0] - Perf = -. \end{cases}$$

Let $\nu : X^{M_0} - Z^\#$ have the form

$$\nu(a_0, \dots, a_{m-1}) = \begin{cases} -, & \text{if } a_i - Perf \text{ for each } i - 0, \dots, m-1, \\ \min \{ -(a_i) : i - 0, \dots, m-1 \}, & \text{if } a_i - Perf \text{ for some } i - 0, \dots, m-1. \end{cases}$$

Let \bar{a} be a given fixed point of f in X .

Putting

$$\sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_j - \bar{a}}) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha_{a_j - \bar{a}} - \Delta \\ \lambda^{\nu(a_0, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{m-1})}, & \text{if } \alpha_{a_j - \bar{a}} - \Delta, \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha - X^M$, $j - M$, where $(a_0, \dots, a_j, \dots, a_{m-1}) = (a_0, \dots, a_{j-1}, a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{m-1})$. and taking

$$\sigma_\lambda(\alpha) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \alpha - \Delta \\ \sum_{i=0}^m \sigma_\lambda(\alpha_{a_i - \bar{a}}) & \text{if } a_i - a_j \text{ for each } i - j, 0 - i, j - m, \end{cases}$$

we get the desired m - metric σ_λ compare the proof of Theorem 2.2. It is obvious, that $\sigma_\lambda(fa_0, \dots, fa_m) = \lambda\sigma_\lambda(\alpha)$, and that (X, σ_λ) is a sequentially complete m - metric space.

Remark 2.7.

a) From Theorem 2.4 we get the conclusion: each (m, k) - contraction f (in m - metric space (X, σ)) fulfilling assumptions of Theorem 2.3, $2 - k - m, m - 2$, is an (m, m) - contraction in some sequentially complete m - metric space (X, σ_λ) for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. If (X, σ) is an m - metric space, then the following question remains without the answer: is there an m - metric σ_λ , topologically equivalent to σ , that f is an (m, m) - contraction in (X, σ_λ) for any $\lambda \in (0, 1)$? (Compare the papers [25], [30]).

b) It is easy to formulate some versions of Theorem 2.3, in which a fixed point of an (m, k) - contraction, $2 - k - m$, is unique. But uniqueness of a fixed point is not a natural assertion for such (m, k) - contractions, rather and it is true under very strong conditions. For example, if $\sigma_j(\alpha) = W_j < \dots$ for each $j = J$, then (m, k) - contraction in a sequential complete m - space $(X, \sigma_j)_{j=J}$ has a unique fixed point for $k = -2, \dots, m - 2$.

Remark 2.8. As a result of the "good properties" of an m - metric there exist a large number of fixed - point theorems for contraction type mappings in a m - metric space. Moreover, there exist the well - known models of such theorems for contraction mappings in a usual metric (see for example B. Rhoades [43]). On the other hand the proofs of fixed - point assertions in some m - uniform spaces as for example in $PM - m$ - spaces slightly more complicated. The metrization theorems of [1] give us a possibility to translate the large number of contraction type conditions from m - uniform spaces into m - metric spaces, respectively.

3. Fixed points of m - contractions in uniform m - \mathcal{H} - spaces and m - \mathcal{M} - spaces

Theorems 1.3 - 1.4 give a possibility to formulate the large number of fixed - point theorems for m - contraction mappings in m - spaces and m - spaces, respectively. The proofs of some fixed - point theorems are reduced to the proofs of some fixed - point theorems for m - contraction mappings in m - metric spaces and m - metric spaces, respectively. We prove

here some versions of Banach contraction principles in $--$ and $---$ spaces.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(X, -, -)$ be a sequentially complete $---$ space $(m-1)$, with the base $- = -U_{j,\epsilon} - X^M : 0 < \epsilon < r, j - J-$ of its uniformity and let $f : X - X$ have the property*

$$(3.1) \quad \text{for each } t - (0, r), \text{ if } \alpha - U_{j,t} \text{ then}$$

$$\alpha_{a_0 - f_{a_0, a_1 - f_{a_1}} - U_{j, \lambda t},$$

for $\alpha - X^M, j - J$, where $\lambda - (0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{a} of f in X .

Proof. Let σ_j be a function of Theorem 1.3. The condition (3.1) implies the inequality (2.2) of Theorem 2.1 for $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j-J}$. Indeed, $\alpha - U_{j,\epsilon}$ iff $\sigma_j(\alpha) < \epsilon$ and $\sigma_j(\alpha_{a_0 - f_{a_0, a_1 - f_{a_1}}}) - \lambda\epsilon$. Since $\epsilon > \sigma_j(\alpha)$ was arbitrary, the inequality holds

$$\sigma_j(\alpha_{a_0 - f_{a_0, a_1 - f_{a_1}}}) - \lambda\sigma_j(\alpha), \quad \alpha - X^M.$$

From the definition of σ , $\sigma_j(\alpha) - r$ for any $\alpha - X^M$.

Let $x - X$. Then for each $n - N$ and $p - N$ the inequality holds

$$\sigma_j[f^n x, f^{n+p} x, \alpha] - \lambda^n \sigma_j[x, f^p x, \alpha] - \lambda^n r$$

for any $\alpha - X^{M_{01}}$. Thus $(f^n x)$ is a Cauchy sequence convergent to $\bar{a} - X$.

We also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, \bar{a}, \alpha] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, f\bar{a}, \alpha] = 0$$

for each $\alpha - X^{M_{01}}$. Indeed, $\sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, f^n x, \alpha_{a_i - \bar{a}}] - 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, i = 0, \dots, m-2$, and from (M.3) $_{-}$, $\sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, \bar{a}, \alpha] - 0$. Now, from $\sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, f^n x, \alpha] - 0, \sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, f^n x, \alpha_{a_i - \bar{a}}] - 0$ and $\sigma_j[f^n x, f\bar{a}, \alpha] - \lambda\sigma_j[f^{n-1} x, \bar{a}, \alpha] - 0$, we get $\sigma_j[f^{n+1} x, f\bar{a}, \alpha] - 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for each $i = 0, \dots, m-2$.

Thus from (M.3) $_{-}$, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0, \sigma_j[\bar{a}, f\bar{a}, \alpha] < \epsilon$ for any $\alpha - X^{M_{01}}$. Therefore $\bar{a} = f\bar{a}$ and if $\bar{b} = f\bar{b}$, then the inequality $\sigma_j[\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \alpha] - \lambda\sigma_j[\bar{a}, \bar{b}, \alpha], j - J, \alpha - X^{M_{01}}$, implies $\bar{a} = \bar{b}$.

Remark 3.1. Let (X, σ) be a sequentially complete $---$ $m-$ metric space and $f : X - X$ be an $m-$ contraction mapping with a contraction constant

$\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{x} of f in X . Indeed, if $U_{j,\epsilon} : j \in J, \epsilon \in (0, r)$ is the base of the m -uniformity U of its m -space, then we get (3.1) for f . Obviously, $(X, U, -)$ is sequentially complete and all assumptions of Theorem 3.1 are fulfilled.

Remark 3.2. Let for $m \geq 1$, $(X, U, -)$ be a sequentially complete m -space with the base U defined as in Remark 3.1. of its uniformity U and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ fulfil the condition (3.1) of Theorem 3.1. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{a} of f in X . Indeed, each m -space is m -space, too.

Theorem 3.2. Let $(X, U, -)$ be a sequentially complete m -space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $f(X) = X$ and the following condition holds

$$(3.2) \quad \text{for each } \epsilon \in (0, r), \quad \text{if } \alpha \in U_{j,\epsilon}, \quad \text{then}$$

$$\alpha_{a_0 - f a_0, \dots, a_k - f a_k} \in U_{j,\lambda\epsilon},$$

for each $\alpha \in X^M, j \in J$, some $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{x} of f in X .

Proof. Let σ be the family of $\sigma_j, j \in J$, defined in Theorem 1.3. It is easy to verify, that the condition (3.2) obtains the form

$$(3.3) \quad \sigma_j(\alpha_{a_0 - f a_0, \dots, a_k - f a_k}) \in \lambda \sigma_j(\alpha),$$

for each $\alpha \in X^M$ and $j \in J$.

All assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are fulfilled and there exists $\bar{x} \in X$, such that $\bar{x} = f\bar{x}$. But $\sigma_j = r$ for each $j \in J$, and thus \bar{x} is a unique fixed point of f in X (see Remark 2.7. b)).

Remark 3.3. We were mentioned in this paper that there are known the large number of fixed - point theorems for mappings fulfilling contraction type conditions in 2 - metric spaces. These conditions are sometimes very general and sometimes very complicated, too. There are also some nonlinear contractions in 2 - metric spaces. We can not translate some of them from a 2 - metric space to a 2 - uniform space. After all such fixed - point theorems in m -uniform spaces give some profit as we mean.

Theorem 3.3. Let $(X, U, -)$ be a sequentially complete 2 - space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be such that the following condition holds

$$(3.4) \quad \text{for each } t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5 \in (0, r),$$

$$(fx, fy, z) - U_{j, \neg_j(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)} \text{ whenever } (x, y, z) - U_{j, t_1},$$

$$(x, fx, z) - U_{j, t_2}, (y, fy, z) - U_{j, t_3}, (x, fy, z) - U_{j, t_4}$$

and $(fx, y, z) - U_{j, t_5}$, for each $j - J$, $(x, y, z) - X^M$, $M = -0, 1, 2-$, where $\sigma_j : R_+^5 - R_+$ is non - decreasing and upper - semicontinuous function, such that $t = 0$ is a unique solution of the inequality $t - \neg_j(t, t, t, t, t)$, $t - R_+$, $j - J$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{a} of f in X .

Proof. Let $\sigma = (\sigma_j)_{j - J}$ be a 2- metric defined as in Theorem 1.4. It is easy to verify that the condition (3.3) obtains the form:

$$(3.5) \quad \sigma_j(fx, fy, z) - \neg_j(\sigma_j(x, y, z), \sigma_j(x, fx, z),$$

$$\sigma_j(y, fy, z), \sigma_j(x, fy, z), \sigma_j(fx, y, z)),$$

for each $(x, y, z) - X^M$, $j - J$, and as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 of the paper [32], the sequence $(f^n x)$ is convergent to $\bar{x} - X$ for each $x - X$. We have $\sigma_j(\bar{x}, f\bar{x}, z) = 0$ for each $j - J$ and each $z - X$ and thus $\bar{x} = f\bar{x}$. If $\bar{y} = f\bar{y}$, then

$$\sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z) = \sigma_j(f\bar{x}, f\bar{y}, z) -$$

$$\neg_j(\sigma_j(x, y, z), 0, 0, \sigma_j(x, y, z), \sigma_j(x, y, z)) -$$

$$\neg_j(\sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z), \sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z), \sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z), \sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z), \sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z))$$

for each $z - X$ and each $j - J$. From the properties of \neg_j , $\sigma_j(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, z) = 0$ for each $z - X$ and for any $j - J$. Therefore $\bar{x} = \bar{y}$ and the proof is complete.

Remark 3.4. The cited in the proof of Theorem 3.2, the fixed - point result was proved in [32] by less restrictive conditions on the comparative function σ_j , $j - J$. Precisely, we assume in [32], that $- : R_+^5 - R_+$ is non - decreasing function such that $A : R_+ - R_+$, $A(t) = a(t, t, t, t, t)$, $t - R_+$, is upper - semi - continuous and $t = 0$ is a unique solution of the inequality $t - A(t)$, $t - R_+$.

4. Fixed points of contraction mappings in probabilistic m - spaces

The following result is a conclusion from the result of -3.

Theorem 4.1. Let (X, F, \neg_F) be a sequentially complete probabilistic $m - H -$ space, $m - 1$. Let $f : X - X$ be such that the condition holds

$$(4.1) \quad \text{for each } t \in R_+, F_{\alpha_{a_0} - f_{a_0}, a_1 - f_{a_1}} \lambda t > 1 - \lambda t$$

whenever $F_\alpha(t) > 1 - t$, for all $\alpha \in X^M$, ($M = -0, \dots, m -$) and some $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{x} of f in X .

Proof. Taking $U_\epsilon = \{-\alpha \in X^M : F_\alpha(\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon\}$, $\epsilon \in R$, we get the base $\{- = -U_\epsilon : 0 < \epsilon < 1 -$ of the $H - m -$ structure $\{-$. Obviously, the condition (4.1) on f obtains the form (3.1) of Theorem 3.1 (with $r = 1$) in a sequentially complete $m - H -$ space $(X, -, \neg_-)$ and $\neg_- = \neg_F$. Thus from Theorem 3.1, there exists a unique fixed point \bar{x} of f in X .

Example 4.1. Let $(\Omega, -, P)$ be a probability space and (V, σ) be a $h - m -$ metric space, $m - 1$. Let $\{-$ be a set of functions from Ω into V and $\{- : -^M - D$, where D is the set of distribution functions defined in $\{-1$. Let us suppose that the additional conditions hold:

(i) For all $\alpha \in -^M$ and all $\epsilon \in R_+$, the set $A_\epsilon(\alpha) = \{-\omega \in \Omega : \sigma(\alpha(\omega)) < \epsilon -$ belongs to $\{-$, (i.e. the composite function $\sigma(\alpha)$ from Ω into R_+ is $P -$ measurable),

(ii) For all $\alpha \in -^M$, $\epsilon \in R$, $F_\alpha(\epsilon) = \{- (\alpha)(\epsilon) = P(A_\epsilon(\alpha))$

(iii) If for $a, b \in \{-$, the equality holds $F_{[a, b, \alpha]}(\epsilon) = 1$ for each $\epsilon > 0$ and every $\alpha \in -^{M_{a_1}}$, then $a = b$.

It is easy to see that conditions (F.1) - (F.3) of $PM - m -$ space are fulfilled for the pair $(-, \{-$.

If $\epsilon > 0$, then there exists $\delta > 0$, that $\sigma(\alpha(\omega)) < \epsilon$ whenever $\sigma(\alpha_{a_i - v}(\omega)) < \delta$ for some $v \in \{-$ and each $\omega \in \Omega$. Thus, from Lemma 1.1, if $\delta < \frac{\epsilon}{m+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(\epsilon) &= P(A_\epsilon(\alpha)) = P\{-\omega \in \Omega : \sigma(\alpha(\omega)) < \epsilon - \\ &= P(A_\delta(\alpha_{a_0 - v}) - A_\delta(\alpha_{a_1 - v}) - \dots - A_\delta(\alpha_{a_m - v})) - \\ &= P(A_\delta(\alpha_{a_0 - v})) + \dots + P(A_\delta(\alpha_{a_m - v})) - m > (m + 1)(1 - \delta) - m = \\ &= 1 - (m + 1)\delta > 1 - \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

and (F.4)_H holds, too. Therefore $(-, F)$ is a probabilistic $H - m -$ structure and $(-, F, \neg_F)$ is a probabilistic $m - H -$ space, respectively.

Let $g : - - -$ be such that the following "contraction condition" with a constant $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ holds

$$(4.2) \quad P(A_{\lambda\epsilon}(\alpha_{a_0 - g a_0, a_1 - g a_1})) > 1 - \lambda\epsilon$$

whenever $P(A_\epsilon(\alpha)) > 1 - \epsilon$, for each $\alpha \in -^M$ and $\epsilon > 0$.

If we assume, that $(-, F, -_F)$ is sequentially complete, then from Theorem 4.1, there exists a unique $\bar{a} \in -$, such that $g\bar{a} = \bar{a}$ i.e. $(g\bar{a})(\omega) = \bar{a}(\omega)$ for any $\omega \in \Omega$.

Remark 4.1.

a) If in Example 4.1, the function $\sigma : V^M \rightarrow R_+$, is an m -metric on V , then obviously σ is an H - m -metric, too, and we get the same assertion in Example 4.1 putting an m -metric σ_m instead of an H - m -metric σ . On the other hand in this case the following "classical" form of condition $(F.4)_M$ holds:

$$(4.3) \quad F_\alpha\left(\sum_{i=0}^m \epsilon_i\right) = T(F_{\alpha_{a_0-v}}(\epsilon_0), \dots, F_{\alpha_{a_m-v}}(\epsilon_m))$$

for each $\alpha \in -^M$, $v \in V$ and $\epsilon_0, \dots, \epsilon_m > 0$, where $T(t_0, \dots, t_m) = \max\{t_0 + \dots + t_m - m, 0\}$.

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} F_\alpha(\epsilon_0 + \dots + \epsilon_m) &= P(A_{\epsilon_0 + \dots + \epsilon_m}(\alpha)) = \\ P_{-\omega \in \Omega} : \sigma_m(\alpha(\omega)) &< \epsilon_0 + \dots + \epsilon_m = \\ P(A_{\epsilon_0}(\alpha_{a_0-v})) &+ \dots + P(A_{\epsilon_m}(\alpha_{a_m-v})) = m \end{aligned}$$

and thus (4.3) holds.

b) If the pair $(-, -)$ is as above, then we say that $(-, -)$ is a Sherwood's canonical m - E -space (for $m = 1$, see [45], Df. 9.1.1) with base $(\Omega, -, P)$ and target (V, σ) .

c) If $(-, -)$ is an m - E -space with base $(\Omega, -, P)$ and target (V, σ) , then for every ω in Ω the function $\sigma_\omega : -^M \rightarrow R_+$, $\sigma_\omega(\alpha) = \sigma(\alpha(\omega))$ is an m -pseudometric on $-$ for each $\omega \in \Omega$. Obviously, for each distinct $p, q \in -$, there is $\alpha \in -^{M_{01}}$ and at least one $\omega \in \Omega$, that $\sigma_\omega[p, q, \alpha] \neq 0$. We say that the m - E -space $(-, -)$ is pseudometrically generated, i.e. there is a probability space $(\Omega, -, P)$, called the base, such that

- (i) for each $\omega \in \Omega$ there is an m - pseudometric σ_ω in \mathcal{F} ,
- (ii) for any distinct $p, q \in \mathcal{F}$ there is an $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}_0^1$ and such that $\sigma_\omega[p, q, \alpha] > 0$,
- (iii) for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^M$, $\epsilon > 0$, the set $\{\omega \in \Omega : \sigma_\omega(\alpha) < \epsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{F} ,
- (iv) for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^M$, $\mathcal{F}(\alpha) = F_\alpha$, where $F_\alpha(\epsilon) = P\{\omega \in \Omega : \sigma_\omega(\alpha) < \epsilon\}$.

If in addition each σ_ω is a m - metric on \mathcal{F} , then $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ is m - metrically generated.

d) For $m = 1$, H.Sherwood [1969] has established the fact that, up to isometry, the class of E - spaces and the class of pseudometrically generated spaces coincide ([45], Th. 9.2.2).

Theorem 4.2. *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ be a sequentially complete probabilistic $H - m$ - space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be such that $f(X) = X$ and there exists $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ that the condition holds*

$$(4.4) \quad \text{for each } t \in R_+, \text{ if } F_\alpha(t) > 1 - t,$$

then $f_{\alpha_{a_0 \rightarrow f a_0, \dots, a_k \rightarrow f a_k}}(\lambda t) > 1 - \lambda t$ for all $\alpha \in X^M$, where $k = 1, \dots, m$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{x} of f in X .

Proof. Taking $U_\epsilon = \{\alpha \in X^M : F_\alpha(\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon\}$, $\epsilon \in R_+$, we get the base $\mathcal{B} = \{U_\epsilon : 0 < \epsilon < 1\}$ of the $H - m$ - structure \mathcal{F} . Next, the condition (4.4) on f has the form (3.2) of Theorem 3.2. Thus there exists a unique $\bar{x} \in X$, that $\bar{x} = f\bar{x}$.

Example 4.2. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and (V, σ) be an $H - m$ - metric space, $m = 1$. Suppose that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ is the same as in Example 4.1. Let $g : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$, $g(\alpha) = \alpha$, be such that

$$(4.5) \quad P(A_{\lambda\epsilon}(\alpha_{a_0 - g a_0, \dots, a_k - g a_k})) > 1 - \lambda\epsilon,$$

whenever $P(A_\epsilon(\alpha)) > 1 - \epsilon$, for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{M}^M$, $\epsilon > 0$, some $k = 1, \dots, m$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$. Let us suppose that $(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ is sequentially complete. Then all assumptions of Theorem 4.2 are fulfilled and therefore there exists a unique $\bar{a} = g\bar{a}$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ be a sequentially complete probabilistic 2- metric space and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be such that the condition holds*

$$(4.6) \quad \text{for } t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5 > 0,$$

$$F_{(fx, fy, z)}(-(t_2 t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)) > 1 - -(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$$

whenever

$$\begin{aligned} F_{(x, y, z)}(t_1) &> 1 - t_1, \quad F_{(x, fx, z)}(t_2) > 1 - t_2, \\ F_{(y, fy, z)}(t_3) &> 1 - t_3, \quad F_{(x, fy, z)}(t_4) > 1 - t_4 \quad \text{and} \\ F_{(fx, y, z)}(t_5) &> 1 - t_5, \end{aligned}$$

for each $(x, y, z) \in X^M$, $(M = -0, 1, 2-)$ where $- : R_+^5 \rightarrow R_+$ is non-decreasing and upper-semicontinuous function such that $t = 0$ is a unique solution of the inequality $t \leq -(t, t, t, t, t)$, $t \in R_+$. Then there exists a unique fixed point \bar{a} of f in X .

Proof. If $U_\epsilon = \{(x, y, z) \in X^M : F_{(x, y, z)}(\epsilon) > 1 - \epsilon\}$, $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$, then $U_\epsilon = -U_\epsilon : 0 < \epsilon < 1$ is the base of the $M = 2-$ uniformity and the assumptions of Theorem 3.2 are fulfilled.

Example 4.3. Let $(\Omega, -, P)$ be a probability space let V be a space of functions from Ω into $V = R^n$, $n = 2$. Let $\|\cdot\|$ be some 2-norm on V . Then $\|\cdot\|$ generate the 2-metric σ on R^n . Let $A_\epsilon(\alpha) = \{\omega \in \Omega : \sigma(\alpha(\omega)) < \epsilon\}$ belong to \mathcal{A} and let $F_\alpha(\epsilon) = P(A_\epsilon(\alpha))$ for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^M$ and each $\epsilon > 0$. If (iii) of Example 4.1 holds (for $m = 2$), then $(-, -)$ is a probabilistic $M = 2-$ structure, where $-(\alpha)(\omega) = F_\alpha(\epsilon)$, $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^M$, $\epsilon > 0$. Suppose that $(-, -, -)$ is sequentially complete and $g : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ fulfils the following condition:

$$(4.7) \quad \text{for all } t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5 > 0,$$

$$P(A_{-(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)}(\alpha_{a_0 - g a_0, a_1 - g a_1})) > 1 - -(t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4, t_5)$$

whenever

$$\begin{aligned} P(A_{t_1}(\alpha)) &> 1 - t_1, \quad P(A_{t_2}(\alpha_{a_1 - g a_0})) > 1 - t_2, \\ P(A_{t_3}(\alpha)) &> 1 - t_3, \quad P(A_{t_4}(\alpha_{a_1 - g a_0})) > 1 - t_4, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P(A_{t_5}(\alpha_{a_0 - g a_0})) > 1 - t_5,$$

for each $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^M$, where $- : R_+^5 \rightarrow R_+$ is such as in Theorem 4.3. Then there exists a unique $\bar{a} \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $g\bar{a} = \bar{a}$.

Remark 4.2. If $(L, -, \rightarrow)$ is a completely distributive lattice with order reversing involution, then an L -fuzzy set (shortly a fuzzy set) on a set X is any map $A : X \rightarrow L$ (see for ex. [6]). The fuzzy set theory has beginning

with the classic paper [57] of L.Zadeh [1965] for the case $L = [0, 1] - R$. When L is a lattice $-0, 1-$ then the collection of fuzzy sets corresponds to the characteristic functions of ordinary sets. If in Example 4.1 in the sequel Λ denotes the family of all functions $\lambda_\epsilon, \epsilon - R$, where $\lambda_\epsilon : X^M - [0, 1], \alpha - \lambda_\epsilon(\alpha) = F_\alpha(\epsilon)$, then Λ is the collection of fuzzy sets on X^M . Therefore the following observation is obvious: the $H - m-$ metric σ_F of Example 4.1 is de facto generating by Λ .

Remark 4.3. There are known two different classes of definitions of fuzzy metrics. The probabilistic function $F : X - X - R - [0, 1]$ is the classic model of one of them (see I. Kramosil, J, Michalek [28]). In our paper we consider only this "fuzzy metric" it's a generalization, respectively, which is a distance function on X (some $m-$ distance function of Gähler on X , respectively). The second class of definitions is more sensible for the fuzzy set theory. In this case a fuzzy set metric is a distance function on the space of fuzzy sets defined on X . For such definition see for example [6]. The usual system of metric axioms is too restrictive for a fuzzy distance function, rather M.A. Erceg in [6] gives a definition of the pseudo - quasi fuzzy metric. For example, $p, q-$ metric does not fulfil the axiom of symmetry. M.Erceg proved in [6] that his $p.q.-$ metric generates some quasi - uniformity of B. Hutton [22] (for the case $L = -0, 1-$ see M.C. Murdeshwar, S.A. Naimpally [36]) and that this quasi - uniformity generate the same topology. The results of M.Erceg and other's (see for example I. Reilly [42]) give a possibility to formulate some fixed - point theorems for selfmappings on a space of fuzzy sets.

References

- [1] Angelov V., A reuniformization for contractive mappings in uniform spaces, Math. Nachr. 127 (1986), 211-221.
- [2] Anthony J.M., Sherwood H., Taylor M., Sequential convergence and probabilistic metric spaces, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 29(10) (1974), 1177-1187.
- [3] Bessaga C., On the converse of the Banach fixed - point principle, Coll. Math. 12(1) (1959), 41-43.

- [4] Cain G.L., Kasriel Jr. R.H., Fixed and Periodic Points of Local Contraction Mappings on Probabilistic Metric Spaces, *Math. System Theory* 9 (4) (1976), 289-297.
- [5] Drossos C.A., Stochastic Menger spaces and convergence in probability, *Rev. Roumanie Math. Pures Appl.* 22 (1977), 1069-1076.
- [6] Erceg M.A., Metric Spaces in Fuzzy Set Theory, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 69(1979), 205-230.
- [7] Florescu L.C., Probabilistic pseudometrics, *Mathematica, Cluj - Napoca*, 24(1-2)(1982), 21-29.
- [8] Florescu L.C., Probabilistic convergence structures, *Preprint Series In Mathematics of A. Myller, Math. Sem. Univ. Iasi*, (1985), 1-26.
- [9] Frank M.J., Probabilistic topological spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 34 (1971), 67-81.
- [10] Gähler S., 2- metrische Räume und ihre topologische Structure, *Math. Nachr.* 26 (1963), 115-148.
- [11] Gähler S., Uber die Uniformisierbareit 2 - metrischer Räume, *Math. Nachr.* 28(1965), 235-244.
- [12] Gähler S., Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m - metrische Räume I, *Math. Nachr.* 40(1969), 165 - 189.
- [13] Gähler S., Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m - metrische Räume II, *Math. Nachr.* 40(1969). 229-264.
- [14] Gähler S., Untersuchungen über verallgemeinerte m - metrische Räume III, *Math. Nachr.* 41(1969), 23-36.
- [15] Gähler S., Lineare 2 - normierte Räume, *Math. Nachr.* 28(1964), 1-43.
- [16] Hažić O., A fixed point theorem in random normed spaces, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zbor. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 7(1977), 23-27.
- [17] Hažić O., A fixed point theorem in probabilistic locally convex spaces, *Rev. Roumanie Math. Pures Appl.* (1978), 735-744.
- [18] Heikkila S., Seikkala S., On generalized contractions in probabilistic metric spaces, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* 28(4), (1983), 297-306.

- [19] Hicks T.L., Fixed Point Theory in Probabilistic Metric Spaces, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 13 (1983), 63-72.
- [20] Hicks T.L., Sharma P.L., Probabilistic metric structures: topological classification, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 14(1)(1984), 35-42.
- [21] Hicks T. L., Random normed structures, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat., 14(1),(1984), 43-50.
- [22] Hutton B., Uniformities on Fuzzy Topological Spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 58(1977), 559-571.
- [23] Iseki K., Sharma P.L., Sharma B.K., Contraction type mappings on 2 - metric spaces, Math. Jap. 21(1976), 67-70.
- [24] Istratescu V.I., Fixed point theory. An introduction, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, 1981.
- [25] Ivanov A.A., Henogvisknie morki otobraženii metričeskih prostranstv, Zaniski Naučnih Seminarov Lomi, 66(1976), 5-103. (in Russian)
- [26] Khan M.S., On fixed point theorems in 2 - metric space, Publ. Inst. Math. 27(41)(1980), 107-11.
- [27] Khan, M.S., Fisher, B., Some Fixed point theorems for commuting mappings, Math. Nachr. 106(1982),323-326.
- [28] Kramosil I., Michalek J., Fuzzy metrics and statistical metric spaces, Cybernetics 11(1975), 336-344.
- [29] Menger K., Statistical metrics, Proc. Mat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 10(1960), 313-334.
- [30] Meyers P., A converse to Banach's contraction theorem, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Stand. (1967), 73-76.
- [31] Miczko A., Converses of generalized Banach contraction principles in some uniform spaces, University of Gdańsk, Preprint (to appear).
- [32] Miczko A., Palczewski B., Common fixed of contractive type mappings in 2 - metric spaces, Math. Nachr. 124(1985), 341-355.

- [33] Miczko A., Palczewski B., Some common fixed point theorems of generalized contractions in 2 - metric spaces, *Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Gdańskiej, Matematyka XIII*(1985), 53-76.
- [34] Miczko A., Palczewski B., Some generalizations of probabilistic metric spaces, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, 23, 2 (1993) (in print).
- [35] Morrel B., Nagata J., Statistical metric spaces as related to topological spaces, *General Topology Appl.* 9(1978), 233-237.
- [36] Murdeshwar M.C., Nainpally S.A., Quasi - Uniform Topological Spaces, Series A, *Preprints of Research Papers* 4(2), Noordhoff, 1966.
- [37] Doung Trong Nhan. Pair of nonlinear contraction mappings with common fixed points, *Studia Univ.Babes - Bolyai, Math.* 26(1)(1981),34-51.
- [38] Nishiura E., Constructive methods in probabilistic metric space, *Fund. Math.* 17(1970), 115-124.
- [39] Palczewski B., Miczko A., On some converses of generalized Banach contraction principles, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis and Its Applications* (edited by S.P. Singh) (1985), 335-352.
- [40] Palczewski B., Miczko A., Converses of generalized Banach contraction principles and remarks on mappings with a contractive iterate at the point, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.*, 17,1 (1987), 71-91.
- [41] Pervin W.J., *Foundations of general topology*, Acad. Perss. New York, 1964.
- [42] Reilly I.L., On generating quasi uniformities, *Math. Annalen* 189(1970), 317-318.
- [43] Rhoades B.E., A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 226 (1977), 256-290.
- [44] Rhoades B.E., Contraction type mappings on a 2 - metric space, *Math. Nachr.* 91(1979), 151-155.
- [45] Schweizer B., Sklar A., *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*, North - Holland, New York, 1983.

- [46] Schweizer B., Sklar A., Thorp, E., The metrization of statistical metric spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* 10 (1960), 673-675.
- [47] Sehgal V.M., Rharuda - Reid A.T., Fixed points of contraction mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. System Theory* 6(1972), 97-102.
- [48] Sharma A.K., On fixed points in 2 - metric spaces, *Math. Sem. Notes.* 6(1978), 467-473.
- [49] Sherstnev A.N., The notion of random normed spaces, *DAN USSR* 149(2)(1963), 280-283.
- [50] Sherwood H., On E - spaces and their relation to other classes of probabilistic metric space, *J. London Math. Soc.* 44, 441-448.
- [51] Sherwood H., Complete probabilistic metric spaces, *Z. Wahsch. Verw. Geb.* 20(1971), 117-128.
- [52] Stevens R.R., Metrically generated probabilistic metric spaces, *Fund. Math.* 61(1968), 259-269.
- [53] Szmielew W., On n - ary equivalence relations and their application to geometry, *Dissertationes Mathematicae*, Warszawa, 1981.
- [54] Do Hong Tan, A fixed point theorem for multivalued quasicontractions in probabilistic metric spaces, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod. - Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* 12(1982), 43-54.
- [55] Nguyen Huan Tan, Generalized probabilistic metric spaces and fixed point theorems, *Math. Nachr.* 129 (1986), 205-218.
- [56] White Jr. A.G., 2 Banach Spaces, *Math. Nachr.* 42 (1-3) (1969), 43-60.
- [57] Zadeh L.A., Fuzzy sets, *Inform. Contr.* 8(1965), 338-353.

REZIME**KONTRAKCIJE U VEROVATNOSNIM m - METRIČKIM
PROSTORIMA**

U ovom radu su dokazane neke teoreme o kontrakciji za samopreslikavanja u Gählerovom kompletnom metričkom prostoru

Received by the editors February 2, 1990