

Univ. u Novom Sadu  
Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak.  
Ser. Mat. 21, 1 (1991), 65-74

Review of Research  
Faculty of Science  
Mathematics Series

# MANY DIMENSIONAL LYAPUNOV CONVEXITY THEOREM FOR AN $E_\lambda$ -DECOMPOSABLE MEASURE

**Endre Pap**

Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad  
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

## Abstract

A Lyapunov type theorem on the convexity of the range for a many dimensional  $E_\lambda$ -decomposable measure with respect to a non-strict  $t$ -conorm  $E_\lambda$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , is proved.

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980):* 28B10

*Key words and phrases:*  $t$ -conorm, strongly continuous,  $E_\lambda$ -convex subset

## 1. Introduction

One of the important results in the investigation of ranges of measures is Lyapunov's theorem on compactness and convexity. This theorem has many generalizations ([2], [3], [4], [6], [13]) and many applications, specially in the mathematical economy ([3], [5]).

We shall continue in this paper investigations on  $E_\lambda$ -decomposable measures ([9] - [12], see also [1], [4], [14] - [17]). As a direct consequence of our

earlier results [10] we shall formulate in this paper a one-dimensional version of Lyapunov's theorem for an atomless  $\sigma - \perp$ -decomposable measure. M.Fedrizzi, M.Squillante and A.G.S.Ventre [4] have proved a version of a one-dimensional Lyapunov theorem for strongly continuous  $\perp$ -decomposable measures with respect to the Archimedean  $t$ -conorm.  $\perp$ . The closedness part of Lyapunov's theorem cannot be generalized for the many dimensional case even for additive set functions (charges)-see [13], Example 11.4.8. In this paper we shall generalize the convexity part of Lyapunov's theorem for many dimensional  $E_\lambda$ -decomposable measures with respect to the non-strict  $t$ -conorm  $E_\lambda, 0 < \lambda \leq 1$ .

## 2. One dimensional Lyapunov theorems

An operation  $\perp : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is said to be a  $t$ -conorm if it is commutative, associative, nondecreasing in each argument and has zero as a neutral element.

An operation  $\top : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is said to be a  $t$ -norm if it is commutative, associative, nondecreasing and has 1 as a unit.

A  $t$ -conorm  $\perp$  is said to be partially continuous if it satisfies condition

(F) For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and each  $x \in [0, 1], x < \varepsilon$ , there exists  $y \in (0, 1]$  such that  $x \perp y < \varepsilon$  (see E.Pap [10]).

A  $t$ -conorm  $\perp$  is said to be Archimedean if  $\perp$  is continuous and  $\perp(x, x) > x$  for all  $x \in (0, 1)$ . An Archimedean  $t$ -conorm is said to be strict if  $\perp$  is strictly increasing in  $(0, 1) \times (0, 1)$ .

Ling's representation theorem [7]: An operation  $\perp$  is an Archimedean  $t$ -conorm if and only if there exists an increasing and continuous function  $g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $g(0) = 0$ , such that

$$\perp(x, y) = g^{(-1)}(g(x) + g(y)),$$

where  $g^{(-1)}$  is the pseudoinverse of  $g$ , given by

$$g^{(-1)}(y) := \begin{cases} g^{(-1)}(y) & \text{for } y \in [0, g(1)] \\ 1, & \text{for } y \in [g(1), \infty] \end{cases}$$

Function  $g$  is called an additive generator of  $\perp$  and it is unique up to

a positive constant factor. If  $\perp$  is a non-strict  $t$ -conorm with the additive generator  $g$  such that  $g(1) = 1$ , then we shall call  $g$  a normed generator.

For any  $t$ -conorm  $\perp$  we define (S.Weber [16]):

$$b \perp a := \inf\{y : a \perp y \geq b\}.$$

For any non-strict Archimedean  $t$ -conorm  $\perp$  with additive generator  $g$

$$b \perp a = g^{-1}(g(b) - g(a))$$

for  $a \leq b$  holds.

Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra of subsets of a non empty set  $X$ . A set function  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$  will be called a  $\perp$ -decomposable measure, if

$$m(A \cup B) = m(A) \perp m(B)$$

holds for all  $A, B \in \Sigma$  such that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ . A set function  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with  $m(\emptyset) = 0$  will be called a  $\sigma - \perp$ -decomposable measure if it holds that

$$m\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n\right) = \perp_{n=1}^{\infty} m(A_n)$$

for each sequence  $(A_n)$  of pairwise disjoint elements from  $\Sigma$ .

A set function  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is order continuous if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} m(E_n) = 0$  for any sequence  $(E_n), E_n \in \Sigma$  ( $n \in N$ ), such that  $E_n \searrow \emptyset$ .

A set  $A \in \Sigma$  is an atom of a  $\perp$ -decomposable measure  $m$  iff  $m(A) > 0$  and either  $m(A \cap B) = 0$  or  $m(A \setminus B) = 0$  for any  $B \in \Sigma$ . A set function  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is atomless, if it is without atoms.

As a consequence of Theorem 1.3.4. (the Darboux property) from [10], we obtain the following version of Lyapunov's theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be an atomless order continuous  $\sigma - \perp$ -decomposable measure with respect to a  $t$ -conorm  $\perp$ , which is partially continuous. Then the range of  $m$  is the interval  $[0, m(X)]$ .*

For the second version of Lyapunov's theorem we need the following definition:  $\varepsilon$ - $\perp$ -decomposable measure  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is strongly continuous on  $\Sigma$  if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite partition

$$\{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n\}, A_i \in \Sigma \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, n),$$

of  $X$ , such that

$$m(A_i) < \varepsilon$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then we have, according to [4](Theorem 4.1)

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a  $\perp$ -decomposable measure with respect to the Archimedean  $t$ -conorm  $\perp$ . If  $m$  is strongly continuous, then range  $R(m)$  of  $m$  is the interval  $[0, m(X)]$ .*

### 3. The many dimensional case

Let  $E_\lambda$  for a fixed  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda \leq 1$ , be defined in the following way

$$xE_\lambda y := \min((x^\lambda + y^\lambda)^{1/\lambda}, 1) \quad (x, y \in [0, 1]).$$

Then  $E_\lambda$  is a non-strict  $t$ -conorm with the normed additive generator  $g(x) = x^\lambda$ .

The restricted distribution law

$$c.(xE_\lambda y) = (c.x)E_\lambda(c.y)$$

is valid for all  $c \in [0, 1]$  and for all  $(x, y) \in D$ , where

$$D = \{(x, y) : x^\lambda + y^\lambda \leq 1\}$$

(sec. S. Weber [17] and Schwyhla [15]).

A subset  $C$  of  $[0, 1]^n$  is  $E_\lambda$ -convex if for each  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in C$  such that  $((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) \in D^n$  and each  $t \in [0, 1]$ , we have  $(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in C$ , where

$$z_i = (c.x_i)E_\lambda((1 - \perp t).y_i)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . The operation  $-\perp$  is with respect to  $E_\lambda$ . The  $E_\lambda$ -dyadic numbers from  $[0, 1]$  is of the form

$$e = \frac{a_1}{2} E_\lambda \frac{a_2}{2^2} E_\lambda \dots E_\lambda \frac{a_k}{2^k},$$

where  $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are zero or one.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  be strongly continuous  $E_\lambda$ -decomposable measures for a fixed real number  $\lambda, 0 < \lambda \leq 1$  defined on a  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  of subset of a set  $X$ . Then for the range

$$R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n) = \{(m_1(A), m_2(A), \dots, m_n(A)) : A \in \Sigma\}$$

of  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n$  the set  $D_n \cap R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$  is an  $E_\lambda$ -convex subset of the  $n$ -dimensional interval  $[0, 1]^n$ , where  $D_n = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) : x_1^\lambda + x_2^\lambda + \dots + x_n^\lambda \leq 1\}$ .

*Proof.* Let

$$\mu_i = m_i E_\lambda m_{i+1} E_\lambda \dots E_\lambda m_n$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We have that the set  $D_n \cap R(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n)$  is  $E_\lambda$ -convex if and only if the range  $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$  of  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  is  $E_\lambda$ -convex. So we shall prove that  $R(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$  is  $E_\lambda$ -convex.

For that purpose we shall show by induction the following property of  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ :

(a) For every  $A \in \Sigma$  there exists a set  $B$  in  $\Sigma$ , such that  $B \subset A$  and  $\mu_i(B) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_i(A)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Then (a) will imply that for any arbitrary but fixed set  $A$  from  $\Sigma$  and every  $E_\lambda$ -dyadic number  $e$  from the interval  $[0, 1]$ , there exists a set  $A_e$  such that:

$$A_0 = \emptyset, \quad A_1 = A, \quad A_{e_1} \subset A_{e_2}$$

whenever for the  $E_\lambda$ -dyadic numbers  $e_1$  and  $e_2, 0 \leq e_1 \leq 1$  holds and

$$(1) \quad \mu_i(A_e) = e \mu_i(A)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Namely, by repeated application of (a) for  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , there exists the set  $A_{\frac{1}{2^k}}$  such that

$$\mu_i(A_{\frac{1}{2^k}}) = \frac{1}{2^k} \mu_i(A)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Using the sets  $A_{\frac{1}{2^k}}$  we can construct the set  $A_e$  for the  $E_\lambda$ -dyadic number  $e = \frac{a_1}{2} E_\lambda \frac{a_2}{2^2} E_\lambda \dots E_\lambda \frac{a_s}{2^s}$ , where  $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , is zero or one. For example, if

$$e = \frac{1}{2} E_\lambda \frac{1}{2^2},$$

then  $A_e = A \setminus A_{\frac{1}{2^2}}$  and

$$\mu(A \setminus A_{\frac{1}{2^2}}) = (1 - \frac{1}{2^2}) \mu_i(A) = (\frac{1}{2} E_\lambda \frac{1}{2^2}) \mu_i(A)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Further, for any real number  $t$  from  $[0, 1]$  we take all the  $E_\lambda$ -dyadic numbers  $e$  such that  $e \leq t$ . Then we have  $t = \sup\{e : e \leq t\}$ . Therefore, if we take

$$(2) \quad A_t = \bigcup_{e \leq t} A_e,$$

where the union runs through the  $E_\lambda$ -dyadic numbers  $e \leq t$ , we have  $A_t \in \Sigma$  and

$$(3) \quad \mu_i(A_t) = t \cdot \mu_i(A)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now we shall prove (a) by induction. For  $n = 1$ , (a) follows by Theorem 2.2. Let us assume (a) to be true for  $n = k$  and prove (a) for  $n = k + 1$ . Let

$$\mu_i = m_i E_\lambda m_{i+1} E_\lambda \dots E_\lambda m_{k+1}$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$ , where  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_{k+1}$  are strongly continuous  $E_\lambda$ -decomposable measures.

Let  $B' \in \Sigma$  be a set obtained by the induction step for  $n = k$ , i.e.  $B'$  is a subset of  $A$  such that

$$\mu_i(B') = \frac{1}{2} \mu_i(A)$$

for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . If it is

$$\mu_{k+1}(B') = \frac{1}{2} \mu_{k+1}(A),$$

then we have proved the desired equality for  $n = k + 1$ . If not, then it is either.

$$\mu_{k+1}(B') < \frac{1}{2}\mu_{k+1}(A) < \mu_{k+1}(A \setminus B')$$

or

$$\mu_{k+1}(A \setminus B') < \frac{1}{2}\mu_{k+1}(A) < \mu_{k+1}(B').$$

We shall suppose the first case. For the second case we proceed in an analogous way. By the induction hypothesis we take for  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k$  the sets  $B'_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) and  $(A \setminus B')_t$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) which are corresponding sets to the sets  $B'$  and  $A \setminus B'$ , respectively, and defined by (2) such that satisfy (3). For any two real numbers  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $b \leq a$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{k+1}(B'_a) -_{\perp} \mu_{k+1}(B'_b) &= \mu_{k+1}(B'_a \setminus B'_b) \leq \mu_k(B'_a \setminus B'_b) = \mu_k(B'_a -_{\perp} B'_b) = \\ &= (a -_{\perp} b)\mu_k(B'), \end{aligned}$$

and analogously

$$\mu_{k+1}((A \setminus B')_a) -_{\perp} \mu_{k+1}((A \setminus B')_b) \leq (a -_{\perp} b)\mu_k(A \setminus B').$$

Since the operation  $-_{\perp}$  is continuous, we obtain by the preceding inequalities that the functions  $\mu_{k+1}(B'_t)$  and  $\mu_{k+1}((A \setminus B')_t)$  are continuous with respect to  $t$ .

Namely, if an arbitrary but fixed sequence  $(x_n)$  from  $[0, 1]$  converges to  $c \in [0, 1]$ , then  $x_n - c \rightarrow 0$  implies  $x_n -_{\perp} c \rightarrow 0$ . The last inequalities imply

$$\mu_{k+1}(B'_{x_n}) -_{\perp} \mu_{k+1}(B'_c) \rightarrow 0,$$

i.e.

$$\mu_{k+1}(B'_{x_n})^{\lambda} -_{\perp} \mu_{k+1}(B'_c)^{\lambda} \rightarrow 0.$$

Hence,

$$\mu_{k+1}(B'_{x_n}) \rightarrow \mu_{k+1}(B'_c),$$

i.e.  $\mu_{k+1}(B'^t)$  is continuous. This implies that the function

$$F(t) := \mu_{k+1}(B'_t \cup (A \setminus B')_{1-t}) = \mu_{k+1}(B'_t) E_{\lambda} \mu_{k+1}((A \setminus B')_t)$$

is also a continuous function of  $t$  for  $0 \leq t \leq 1$ . Then  $F$  takes all the values between  $F(1) = \mu_{k+1}(B')$  and  $F(0) = \mu_{k+1}(A \setminus B')$ . So there exists  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$F(t_0) = \frac{1}{2}\mu_{k+1}(A).$$

Taking  $B = B'_o \cup (A \setminus B')_{1-\perp t}$ , we find the desired set in (1) for  $n = k + 1$ . Namely, we also have for each  $i$  between 1 and  $k$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_i(B) &= \mu_i(B'_o)E_\lambda \mu_i((A \setminus B')_{1-\perp t_o}) = \\ &= t_o \mu_i(B')E_\lambda(1-\perp t_o) \mu_i(A \setminus B') = \\ &= t_o \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu_i(A)E_\lambda(1-\perp t_o) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \mu_i(A) = \\ &= (t_o E_\lambda(1-\perp t_o)) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \mu_i(A) = \frac{1}{2} \mu_i(A). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can prove that  $R(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_{k+1})$  is  $E_\lambda$ -convex. Taking  $T, S \in \Sigma$  and  $0 \leq t \leq 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} &t \cdot \mu_i(T)E_\lambda(1-\perp t) \mu_i(S) = \\ &= (t \cdot \mu_i(T \setminus S))E_\lambda(t \cdot \mu_i(T \cap S))E_\lambda((1-\perp t) \mu_i(S \setminus T))E_\lambda((1-\perp t) \cdot \mu_i(S \setminus T)) = \\ &= (\mu_i((T \setminus S)_t))E_\lambda(\mu_i((S \setminus T)_{1-\perp t}))E_\lambda(\mu_i(S \cap T)) = \\ &\quad \mu_i((T \setminus S)_t \cup (S \cap T) \cup (S \setminus T)_{1-\perp t}) \end{aligned}$$

for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k + 1$ .

Therefore we have proved our theorem by induction.

## References

- [1] D' Apuzzo, L., Squillante, M., Ventre, A.G.S.: A survey on decomposable measures, Control and Cybernetics (to appear).
- [2] Arbib, M.A.: Generalizations of Lyapunov's theorem on the range of a vector measure, J. Math. Mech. 15, 4 (1966), 609-616.
- [3] Aumann, R.J., Shapley, L.S.: Values of non-atomic games, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1974.
- [4] Fedrizzi, M., Squillante, M., Ventre, A.G.S.: On the range of  $\perp$ -decomposable measures, Rivista di Matematica, Universita di Parma (to appear).
- [5] Hildenbrand, W.: Core of an economy, Handbook of mathematical economies Vol.2 (K.J. Arrow and M.D. Intriligator, eds), North-Holland, 1982.



- [6] Lindenstrauss, J.: A short proof of Lyapunov's convexity theorem, *J.Math.* 15, 6 (1966), 971-972.
- [7] Ling, C.H.: Representation of associative function, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 12 (1965), 189-212.
- [8] Lyapunov, A.A.: Sur les fonctions-vecteurs complètement additives, *Bull. Acad. Sci. URSS Math.* 4 (1940), 465-478.
- [9] Pap, E.: Lebesgue and Saks decomposition of  $\perp$  - decomposable measures, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 38(1990), 345-353.
- [10] Pap, E.: On non-additive set functions, *Att Sem. Mat. Fis. Universita Modena* , 39(1991), 345-360.
- [11] Pap, E.: Extension of continuous t-conorm decomposable measures, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* (to appear).
- [12] Pap, E.: Regular Borel t-conorm decomposable measures, *Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat.* (to appear).
- [13] Rao, K.P.S.B., Rao, M.B.: *Theory of charges*, Academic Press, London, 1983.
- [14] Schweizer, B., Sklar, A.: *Probabilistic metric spaces*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1983.
- [15] Schwyhla, W.: About the isomorphism between some Sugeno measures and classical measures, in E.P. Klement, Ed., *Proc. 2nd Int. Sem. on Fuzzy Set Theory*, Linz (1980), 143-176.
- [16] Weber, S.:  $\perp$  - decomposable measures and integrals for Archimedean t-conorms, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 101 (1984), 114-138.
- [17] Weber, S.: Two integrals and some modified versions-critical remarks, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 20 (1986), 97-105.

**REZIME****VIŠEDIMENZIONALNA TEOREMA LJAPUNOVA O  
KONVEKSNOSTI ZA  $E_\lambda$  - DEKOMPOZABILNU MERU**

U radu se dokazuje teorema tipa Ljapunova o konveksnosti skupa vrednosti višedimenzionalne  $E_\lambda$ -dekompozabilne mere u odnosu na  $t$ -konormu  $E_\lambda, 0 < \lambda \leq 1$ .

*Received by the editors May 17, 1990.*