

A FAMILY OF EXPONENTIAL SPLINE DIFFERENCE SCHEMES

Katarina Surla, Dragoslav Herceg and Ljiljana Cvetković
Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

Abstract

Using a spline in tension for the problem: $-\varepsilon y'' + p(x)y = f(x)$, $0 < x < 1$, $y(0) = \alpha_0$, $y(1) = \alpha_1$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, a family of difference schemes is derived. The schemes have a second order of uniform convergence. Some of them converge with respect to ε .

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 65L10

Key words and phrases: Singular perturbation, small parameter, uniform convergence, spline in tension, difference scheme.

1. Introduction

We shall consider the problem

$$(1) \quad \begin{cases} -\varepsilon y'' + p(x)y = f(x), & 0 < x < 1, \\ y(0) = \alpha_0, & y(1) = \alpha_1, \end{cases}$$

where $0 < x < 1$, $p(x)$ and $f(x)$ are smooth functions and $p(x) \geq p > 0$.

It is known that problem (1) has a unique solution y , which in general displays boundary layers at $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. The following lemma describes some properties of the exact solution $y = y(x)$.

This research was supported partly by NSF and SCI for Scientific Research of SAP Vojvodina through funds made available to the U.S.-Yugoslav Joint Board on Scientific and Technological Cooperation (Grant JF 799).

Lemma 1 ([1]). *Let $y(x) \in C^4[0,1]$ and $p'(0) = p'(1) = 0$. The the solution of (1) can be written in the form*

$$y(x) = u(x) + w(x) + g(x),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= q_0 \exp(-x(p(0)/\varepsilon)^{1/2}) \\ w(x) &= q_1 \exp(-(1-x)(p(1)/\varepsilon)^{1/2}) \end{aligned}$$

q_0, q_1 are bounded functions of ε independent of x and

$$|q^{(i)}(x)| \leq M(1 + \varepsilon^{1-(1/2)^i}), \quad i = 0(1)4,$$

M is a constant independent of ε . \square

According to Lemma 1 we have

$$y(x) = \begin{cases} u(x) + g_1(x), & 0 < x \leq 1/2, \\ w(x) + g_2(x), & 1/2 < x \leq 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$|g_j^{(i)}(x)| \leq M(1 + \varepsilon^{1-(1/2)^i}), \quad i = 0(1)4, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Taking into account this in [3] the collocation method via the spline in tension for problem (1) is derived. A boundary layer functions $u(x)$ and $w(x)$ are introduced into the base of the spline. The uniform convergence of the second order is achieved. In [4] the corresponding difference scheme is analysed. The optimal order of the convergence in the sense of [1] is proved. In this paper we formed different difference schemes via a spline in tension which satisfies different

collocation conditions. They are consequence of a different approximation of functions $p(x)$ and $f(x)$ (piecewise constant and piecewise linear). Some of them have the optimal error estimate in the sense of [1], i.e.

$$(2) \quad |y_i - v_i| \leq Mh \min(h, \sqrt{\varepsilon}),$$

where $y_i = y(x_i)$, v_i is the approximate value for y_i , $x_i = ih$, $i = 0(1)n + 1$, $h = 1/n + 1$, M is a constant independent of ε and h .

The corresponding results for the cubic spline are given in [6].

Throughout the paper M denotes the positive constants that may take different values in different formulas, but are always independent of ε and h . All the constants in the asymptotic equalities are independent of ε and h .

2. Derivation of the schemes

We seek the solution of problem (1) in the form of the spline in tension $e(x)$, on the mesh $x_i = ih$, $i = 0(1)n + 1$, $h = 1/n + 1$. On each interval $[x_j, x_{j+1}]$, $e(x)$ has the form

$$(3) \quad e(x) = e_j(x) = v_{j+1}t + v_j(1-t) + \frac{d_{j+1}}{\rho_j^2} \left(\frac{sh\mu_j t}{sh\mu_j} - t \right) + \frac{d_j}{\rho_j^2} \left(\frac{sh\mu_j(1-t)}{sh\mu_j} - (1-t) \right),$$

where $\mu_j = \rho_j h$, $t = \frac{x-x_j}{h}$, $x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$.

The function $e(x)$ belong to $C^2[0, 1]$ and $e_j(x) \in \text{span} \{1, x, \exp(-\rho_j x), \exp(\rho_j x)\}$.

The values ρ_j are tension parameters which will be determined. The unknown coefficients d_j and d_{j+1} will be determined so that the function $e(x)$ satisfies the "comparison" problem:

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} -\varepsilon e''(x) + \tilde{p}(x)e(x) = \tilde{f}(x), \\ e(0) = \alpha_0, \quad e(1) = \alpha_1, \end{cases}$$

at the grid points. Here $\tilde{p}(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(x)$ are piecewise polynomial approximations to $p(x)$ and $f(x)$.

Let index j be fixed. Starting with piecewise constant approximations we denote the approximate value for $p(x)$ by p^+ when $x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$ and by p^- when $x \in [x_j, x_{j-1}]$. Analogously we denote the approximation for $f(x)$. According to (3) and (4) we put

$$d_{j+1} : = d_j^+ = -\frac{f^+ - p^+ v_{j+1}}{\varepsilon} \text{ for } x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}] \text{ and}$$

$$d_j : = d_j^- = -\frac{f^- - p^- v_{j+1}}{\varepsilon} \text{ for } x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j].$$

Using $\rho_j = \rho^- = \sqrt{p^-/\varepsilon}$ for $x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$ and $\rho_j = \rho^+ = \sqrt{p^+/\varepsilon}$ for $x \in [x_j, x_{j+1}]$, from equation

$$(5) \quad e'_{j-1}(x_j) = e'_j(x_j)$$

and the boundary conditions, we obtain the scheme

$$(6) \quad \tilde{R}v_j = \tilde{Q}f_j, \quad j = 1(1)n,$$

where

$$\tilde{R}v_j = R^-v_{j-1} + R^c v_j + R^+v_{j+1},$$

$$\tilde{Q}f_j = Q^-f^- + Q^+f^+,$$

$$R^- = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{p^-}{\varepsilon(\rho^-)^2} \left(-\frac{\rho^-}{sh\mu^-} + \frac{1}{h} \right)$$

$$R^+ = \frac{1}{h} + \frac{p^+}{\varepsilon(\rho^+)^2} \left(-\frac{\rho^+}{sh\mu^+} + \frac{1}{h} \right)$$

$$R^c = \frac{p^-}{\varepsilon(\rho^-)^2} \left(\rho^- cth\mu^- - \frac{1}{h} \right) + \frac{p^+}{\varepsilon(\rho^+)^2} \left(\rho^+ cth\mu^+ - \frac{1}{h} \right) + \frac{2}{h}$$

$$Q^- = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\rho^-)^2} \left(\rho^- cth\mu^- - \frac{\rho^-}{sh\mu^-} \right),$$

$$Q^+ = \frac{1}{\varepsilon(\rho^+)^2} \left(\rho^+ cth\mu^+ - \frac{\rho^+}{sh\mu^+} \right),$$

$$v_0 = \alpha_0, \quad v_{n+1} = \alpha_1, \quad \mu^- = \rho^-h, \quad \mu^+ = \rho^+h.$$

The choice of approximation to $p(x)$ and $f(x)$ determines the particular scheme.

Let $p^+ = p^- = p(x_j)$ and $f^- = f^+ = f(x_j) = f_j$. Then scheme (6) obtains the form

$$(7) \quad r^- v_{j-1} + r^c v_j + r^+ v_{j+1} = q^c f_j,$$

where

$$r^- = r^+ = -\frac{\rho_j}{sh \mu_j}, \quad r^c = 2 \rho_j cth \mu_j, \quad \rho_j = \sqrt{p_j/\varepsilon}, \quad \mu_j = \rho_j h,$$

$$q^c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\varepsilon p_j}} \left(2 cth \mu_j - \frac{2}{sh \mu_j} \right).$$

If we consider ρ_j as a tension parameter independent of collocation conditions and if $\rho_j \rightarrow 0$, the scheme (7) gets the form

$$(8) \quad -\varepsilon h^{-2}(v_{j-1} - 2v_j + v_{j+1}) = f(x_j).$$

Then the spline in tension becomes a cubic one and scheme (8) is derived in [6] via the cubic spline.

Let $f^- = f_{j-1}$, $f^+ = f_{j+1}$, $p^- = p_{j-1}$, and $p^+ = p_{j+1}$. Now scheme (6) has the form

$$(9) \quad r^- v_{j-1} + r^c v_j + r^+ v_{j+1} = q^- f_{j-1} + q^+ f_{j+1},$$

$$\begin{aligned} r^- &= -\frac{\sqrt{p_{j-1}/\varepsilon}}{sh \mu_{j-1}}, \quad r^+ = -\frac{\sqrt{p_{j+1}/\varepsilon}}{sh \mu_{j+1}}, \\ r^c &= \sqrt{p_{j-1}/\varepsilon} cth \mu_{j-1} + \sqrt{p_{j+1}/\varepsilon} cth \mu_{j+1}, \\ q^- &= \frac{1}{p_{j-1}} \left(\sqrt{p_{j-1}/\varepsilon} cth \mu_{j-1} - \frac{\sqrt{p_{j-1}/\varepsilon}}{sh \mu_{j-1}} \right), \\ q^+ &= \frac{1}{p_{j+1}} \left(\sqrt{p_{j+1}/\varepsilon} cth \mu_{j+1} - \frac{\sqrt{p_{j+1}/\varepsilon}}{sh \mu_{j+1}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

In the case $f^+ = (f_{j+1} + f_j)/2$, $f^- = (f_{j-1} + f_j)/2$, $p^+ = (p_{j+1} + p_j)/2$, $p^- = (p_{j-1} + p_j)/2$, the corresponding scheme is the derived in [2]:

$$(10) \quad r^- v_{j-1} + r^c v_j + r^+ v_{j+1} = q^- f_{j-1} + q^c f_j + q^+ f_{j+1},$$

$$r^- = -\frac{\rho_{j-1}}{sh \mu_{j-1}}, \quad r^+ = -\frac{\rho_j}{sh \mu_j}, \quad r^c = \rho_{j-1} cth \mu_{j-1} + \rho_j cth \mu_j,$$

$$\rho_j = \sqrt{(p_{j+1} + p_j)/2\varepsilon}, \quad \mu_j = h \rho_j,$$

$$q^- = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \rho_{j-1}} \left(cth \mu_{j-1} - \frac{1}{sh \mu_{j-1}} \right),$$

$$q^+ = \frac{1}{2\varepsilon \rho_{j+1}} \left(cth \mu_{j+1} - \frac{1}{sh \mu_{j+1}} \right), \quad q^c = q^- + q^+.$$

In [2] it is proved that scheme (10) has a second order of the uniform convergence.

When $\tilde{p}(x) = \frac{p_j - p_{j-1}}{h} (x - x_{j-1}) + p_{j-1}$ for $x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j]$ equation (5) leads to the scheme derived in [5]:

$$(11) \quad r^- v_{j-1} + r^c v_j + r^+ v_{j+1} = q^- f_{j-1} + q^c f_j + q^+ f_{j+1},$$

$$r^- = -\frac{\mu_{j-1}}{sh \mu_{j-1}}, \quad r^+ = -\frac{\mu_{j+1}}{sh \mu_{j+1}}, \quad r^c = 2\mu_j cth \mu_j,$$

$$q^- = -\frac{1}{p_{j-1}} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{j-1}}{sh \mu_{j-1}} \right), \quad q^+ = -\frac{1}{p_{j+1}} \left(1 - \frac{\mu_{j+1}}{sh \mu_{j+1}} \right),$$

$$q^c = -\frac{2}{p_j} (-1 + \mu_j cth \mu_j), \quad \mu_j = h \sqrt{p_j/\varepsilon}.$$

For scheme (11) estimate (2) is valid ([5]).

When ε is fixed and $h \rightarrow 0$, the mentioned schemes become within $O(h^4)$ the schemes derived in [6] via the spline without the fitting factors.

3. Convergence of the schemes

The following theorem gives sufficient conditions for the convergence of schemes (6).

Theorem 1 Let in (1) $y(x) \in C^4[0,1]$ and $p'(0) = p'(1) = 0$. Let v_j be the approximation to $y(x_j)$ obtained using scheme (6) where

$$\begin{aligned} p^- &= p(x_j - \alpha h) + O(h^2), & p^+ &= p(x_j + \alpha h) + O(h^2), \\ f^- &= f(x_j - \alpha h) + O(h^2), & f^+ &= f(x_j + \alpha h) + O(h^2), \quad 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the estimate

$$(12) \quad |y(x_j) - v_j| \leq Mh^2$$

holds.

Proof. Let A be a matrix corresponding to scheme (6). Then

$$(13) \quad |y(x_j) - v_j| \leq \|A^{-1}\| \max_j |\tilde{\tau}_j(y)|$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\tau}_j(y) &= \tilde{R}y_j - \tilde{R}v_j = \tilde{R}y_j - \tilde{Q}f_j = \tilde{R}y_j - Qf_j + Qf_j - \tilde{Q}f_j, \\ Qf_j &= q^+(-\varepsilon y_j'' + p^+ y_j) + q^-(-\varepsilon y_j'' + p^- y_j). \end{aligned}$$

After some Taylor developments, we obtain that

$$(14) \quad |Qf_j - \tilde{Q}f_j| \leq \begin{cases} Mh^3/\varepsilon & \text{for } h^2 \leq \varepsilon \\ Mh^2/\sqrt{\varepsilon} & \text{for } \varepsilon \leq h^2. \end{cases}$$

According to Lemma 1, we have

$$(13) \quad \tau_j(y) = \tilde{R}y_j - Qf_j = \tau_j(u) + \tau_j(w) + \tau_j(g),$$

Now, we shall estimate separately the contribution to the error from functions u, w and g . Let $\varepsilon \leq h^2$. Then

$$\tau_j(g) = T_0 g_j + T_1 g_j' + T_2 g_j'' + T_3 g_j''' + R^- g^{iv}(\sigma_1) + R^+ g^{iv}(\sigma_2),$$

where

$$x_{j-1} < \sigma_1 < x_j < \sigma_2 < x_{j+1},$$

$$T_0 = R^- + R^c + R^+ - Q^- p^- - Q^+ p^+ = 0, \quad T_1 = -hR^- + hR^+,$$

$$T_2 = h^2/2(R^- + R^+) + \varepsilon(Q^- + Q^+), \quad T_3 = h^3/3!(-R^- + R^+).$$

By Taylor developments and Lemma 1 we obtain

$$(14) \quad |\tau_j(g)| \leq Mh^3/\varepsilon.$$

One can check that $\tau_j(u) = 0$ for $p(x) = p(0) = \text{const.}$ Thus, if denote

$$\tau_j(u) = \tau_j(u, p^-, p^+),$$

we have $\tau_j(u, p(0), p(0)) = 0$ and $\tau_j(u) = \tau_j(u, p^-, p^+) - \tau_j(u, p(0), p(0))$. Using Taylor expansions about $p(0)$ we obtain

$$(15) \quad |\tau_j(u)| \leq Mh^3/\varepsilon.$$

Further, $\tau_j(w, p(1), p(1)) = 0$ where $\tau_j(w) = \tau_j(w, p^+, p^-)$. In a similar way as for $\tau_j(u)$, we can prove that

$$(16) \quad |\tau_j(w)| \leq Mh^3/\varepsilon.$$

Since

$$(17) \quad \|A^{-1}\| \leq \begin{cases} Mh^3/\varepsilon & \text{for } h^2 \leq \varepsilon \\ Mh^2/\sqrt{\varepsilon} & \text{for } \varepsilon \leq h^2, \end{cases}$$

from (11) - (17) we obtain the statement of Theorem 1 when $h^2 \leq \varepsilon$.

Let $h^2 \geq \varepsilon$. Since $|R^-|, |R^+| \leq M/h$, $|q^-|, |q^+| \leq M/\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, from the expression

$$\tau_j(g) = T_0g_j + T_1g_j' + R^-h^2g_j''(\xi_1) + R^+h^2g_j''(\xi_2) + \varepsilon(Q^- + Q^+)g_j'',$$

we obtain

$$(18) \quad |\tau_j(g)| \leq Mh.$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_j(u) &= \tau_j(u, p, p) - \tau_j(u, p(0), p(0)) = (R^- - R^-(p(0)))u_{j-1} + \\ &\quad + (R^c - R^c(p(0), p(0)))u_j + (R^+ - R^+(p(0)))u_{j+1} \end{aligned}$$

where $R^- = R^-(p^-)$, $R^+ = R^+(p^+)$, $R^c = R^c(p^-, p^+)$. Using the known properties of exponential functions [1], we have

$$(19) \quad |\tau_j(u)| \leq M\varepsilon/h.$$

Similarly $|\tau_j(w)| \leq M\varepsilon/h$ and from (13), (18), (19) and (20) we obtain the statement of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2 *Let the assumptions of Theorem 1 be fulfilled. Let v_j be the approximate value for $y(x_j)$ obtained using scheme (7). Then*

$$|y(x_j) - v_j| \leq M \min(h^2, \varepsilon), \quad j = 0(1)n + 1.$$

Proof. In the case $h^2 \leq \varepsilon$ the statement follows from Theorem 1 ($\alpha = 0$ and the constant in the asymptotic member is equal to zero). For $\varepsilon \leq h^2$ we have that $Qf_j - \tilde{Q}f_j = 0$. Since $|r^-|, |r^+| \leq M\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and $|\tau_j(g)| \leq M\sqrt{\varepsilon}$, following the proof of the Theorem 1 we obtain that Theorem 2 holds.

References

- [1] Doolan, P.E., Miller, H.J.J., Schilders, Uniform Numerical Methods for Problems with Initial and Boundary Layers, Boole Press, Dublin, 1980.
- [2] Hegarty, F.A., Miller, H.J.J., O'Riordan, E., Uniform second order difference schemes for singular perturbation problems, Boundary and Interior Layer-Computational and Asymptotic Methods (J.J.H. Miller, ed.), Boole Press, Dublin, 1980, 301-305.
- [3] Surla, K., A collocation by spline in tension, Approx. Theory and its Appl. 6:2 (1990) 101-110.
- [4] Surla, K., Herceg, D., Exponential spline difference scheme for singular perturbation problem, Proceedings of the Conference ISAM'89, Spline in Numerical Analysis, Weissig 1989, 171-180.
- [5] Surla, K., Stojanović, M., Solving a singularly perturbed boundary value problem by spline in tension, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 24 (1988) 355-363.
- [6] Uzelac, Z., Surla, K., A family of uniformly convergent spline difference schemes for self-adjoint problems, Proceedings of the VI-th Seminar on Applied Mathematics, Tara 1988, B.S. Jovanović, ed. 243-249.

REZIME**FAMILIJA EKSPONENCIJALNIH SPLAJN DIFERENCNIH ŠEMA**

Koristeći splajn u tenziji za problem $-\varepsilon y'' + p(x)y = f(x)$, $0 < x < 1$, $y(0) = \alpha_0$, $y(1) = \alpha_1$, $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$, izvedena je familija diferencnih šema. Šeme imaju drugi red uniformne konvergencije. Neke od njih konvergiraju u odnosu na ε .

Received by the editors November 7, 1988.