

DE HAAN'S CLASS OF DISTRIBUTIONS I

*Bogoljub Stanković*

*Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad  
Dr Ilije Djuričića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

ABSTRACT

A class of distributions, named  $\pi(G)$ , is defined as a generalization of de Haan's  $\pi_g$  class of functions [2]. The properties and the characterisation of the class  $\pi(G)$  are given. The applications of the class  $\pi(G)$  are left for the second part which will be treated in the next paper.

INTRODUCTION

In a quite recently published book [1], the authors named the study of the existence of the limit

$$(1) \quad \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\lambda x) - f(x)}{g(x)} = k(\lambda), \quad \lambda > 0,$$

---

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 46F05, 26A12

Key words and phrases: Regular varying function, asymptotical behaviour of a distribution.

with an  $f$  measurable and  $g$  positive function, as "de Haan's theory".

For a regular varying function  $g$  the class  $\Pi_g$  is the class of measurable functions satisfying (1) with

$$k(\lambda) \equiv k_\rho(\lambda) = c \begin{cases} \log \lambda, & \rho=0 \\ (\lambda^\rho - 1) / \rho, & \rho \neq 0 \end{cases},$$

for some constant  $c \neq 0$ .

In his book [2] de Haan treated this class  $\Pi_g$ , but he elaborated in more detail a subclass of  $\Pi_g$ , namely, the class  $\Pi_g$  but with  $g$  as a slowly varying function and  $c=1$ . The class  $\Pi_g$  is a proper subclass of the famous Karamata class of regularly varying functions (see [3] and [5]) and has many applications (see [1] and [2]).

Our aim is to enlarge the class  $\Pi_g$  to distributions (generalized functions of L.Schwartz's type [4]) and to point at some applications of such an enlarged class.

### 1. THE CLASS $\Pi_g$ OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Writing  $F(y) = f(e^Y)$ ,  $G(y) = g(e^Y)$  and  $K(y) = k(e^Y)$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , we obtain the "additive-argument version" of (1):

$$(2) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(y+h) - F(h)}{G(h)} = K(y), \quad y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

This version suits our aim better and has been frequently used in proving the properties of elements of the class  $\Pi_g$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $G$  be a positive and measurable function. A distribution  $T \in \mathcal{U}'(\mathbb{R})$  belongs to the class  $\pi(G)$  if and only if for every  $\varphi \in \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{R})$  and every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(3) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)}{G(h)}, \varphi(x) = \langle S(x, y), \varphi(x) \rangle$$

where  $S(\cdot, y)$  is a family of distributions which is not constant in the parameter  $y$ . If in our definition  $S(\cdot, y)$  can be constant, then we have the class  $\pi_0(G)$ .

First, we notice that  $\langle T(x+y), \varphi(x) \rangle = (T * \check{\varphi})(y) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\check{\varphi}(x) = \varphi(-x)$  and the asterisk  $*$  is the sign of the convolution. Now, (3) can be written in the form:

$$(4) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(T * \check{\varphi})(y+h) - (T * \check{\varphi})(h)}{G(h)} = (S(\cdot, y) * \check{\varphi})(0).$$

If we denote by  $F(y) = (T * \check{\varphi})(y)$  and by  $K(y) = (S(\cdot, y) * \check{\varphi})(0)$ , relation (4) has just the form of (2). In such a way, we related the class  $\pi(G)$  of distributions with the class  $\Pi_G$  of functions and through relation (4) we can use all the results which concern the class  $\Pi_G$ , in proving properties of the class  $\pi(G)$ .

PROPOSITION 1. If  $T \in \pi(G)$ , then  $S(\cdot, y)$  has the form  $S(x, y) = k_\rho(e^y) e^{\rho x}$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. For every  $z \in \mathbb{R}$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(z+h)}{G(h)} \langle \frac{T(x+y+(z+h)) - T(x+(z+h))}{G(z+h)}, \varphi(x) \rangle \\ = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(x+z+y+h) - T(x+z+h)}{G(h)}, \varphi(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 1.9., p.16 in [2] that there exists a  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$(6) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G(z+h)}{G(h)} = e^{\rho z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Relation (5) can be written now in the form:

$$(7) \quad e^{\rho z} \langle S(x, y), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle S(x+z, y), \varphi(x) \rangle.$$

Takin care of the existence of the derivative of a distribution, from relation (7) we can derive the following one:  $\rho S(x, y) = D_x S(x, y)$ , where  $D_x S$  is the derivative in  $x$  of the distribution  $S(x, y)$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . The unique solution of this equation is  $S(x, y) = e^{\rho x} C(y)$ , where  $C(y)$  is a family of constant distributions. From Theorem 1.9., p.16 in [2] and relation (4), it follows that  $\langle S(x, y), \varphi(x) \rangle = k_\rho(e^y)$ . Hence,  $C(y) = c_1 k_\rho(e^y)$  and  $S(x, y)$  has the form  $k_\rho(e^y) e^{\rho x}$ .

REMARKS. 1) We can always suppose that  $G$  is a continuous function. Namely, if  $T \in \pi(G)$ , then for a  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{D}$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)}{G(h)}, \varphi_0(x) \rangle = k_\rho(e^y) \langle e^{\rho x}, \varphi_0(x) \rangle.$$

We can choose a  $y_0$  such that  $k_\rho(e^{y_0}) \langle e^{\rho x}, \varphi_0(x) \rangle = 1$ . Since  $G(h) > 0$ ,  $h \in \mathbb{R}$ , there exists a  $h_0$  such that  $\langle [T(x+y_0+h) - T(x+h)] \varphi_0(x) \rangle \geq G_1(h) > 0$ ,  $h > h_0$ . The function  $G_1(h)$  can be enlarged for  $h < h_0$ :  $G_1(h) = G_1(h_0)$ ,  $h < h_0$ . The function  $G_1$  is continuous, positive and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)}{G_1(h)}, \varphi(x) \rangle =$$

$$= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)}{G(h)}, \varphi(x) \rangle \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_1(h)}{G(h)}.$$

Since  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} G_1(h)/G(h) = 1$ , we can always replace  $G$  by  $G_1$  in (3).

2) We have seen that  $G$  from Definition 1 satisfies relation (6). Write  $G(h) = e^{\rho h} L(h)$ , then there exists the following limit:  $\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} L(x+h)/L(h) = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and  $L(\ln y)$  is a slowly varying function [5]. Then, we can always suppose that  $G$  has the form  $G(h) = e^{\rho h} L(h)$ .

PROPOSITION 2. The limit given by relation (3) is uniform in  $y$  on every compact set belonging to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Proof. This proposition follows directly from Theorem 3. 1.16., p.139 in [1], if we use form (4) of limit (3).

PROPOSITION 3. If  $f$  is a function belonging to the class  $\Pi_g$ , then  $f(e^Y) = F(Y)$  defines a regular distribution  $\tilde{F}$ , which belongs to the class  $\pi(G)$ .

Proof. If  $f$  belongs to the class  $\Pi_g$ ,  $f$  is measurable and  $F(Y) = f(e^Y)$  is measurable, as well. As a consequence of Theorem 3.1.16., p. 139 in [1], it follows that  $f$  is locally bounded; then, the same property has  $F$ .  $F$  is locally integrable and defines a regular distribution  $\tilde{F}$ . Now, for a  $\varphi \in \mathcal{V}$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-r, r]$  and  $y \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{F(x+y+h) - F(x+h)}{G(h)}, \varphi(x) \rangle &= \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^r \frac{F(x+y+h) - F(x+h)}{G(x+h)} \frac{G(x+h)}{G(h)} \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We know (see [5]) that limit (6) is uniform in  $z$  on every compact set belonging to  $\mathcal{R}$ . Taking care of Theorem 3.1.16 in [1], once again, we can apply Lebesgue's theorem to the last integral and we shall obtain for the sought limit

$$= \int_{-r}^r k_{\rho}(e^y) e^{\rho x} \varphi(x) dx$$

which proves our proposition.

The next example shows that we can find a locally integrable function  $h: \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$  which does not belong to any class  $\Pi_g$ , but the regular distribution  $\tilde{h}(e^x)$  belongs to a class  $\pi(G)$ . Such an example is the following:

$$h(x) = x \int_{\alpha}^x g(\ln u) \frac{du}{u} + x g(\ln x), \quad x > 0,$$

$g$  is continuous,  $g(x) \ll e^n$ ,  $x \in J_n = (n - e^{-2n}, n + e^{-2n})$ ;  $g(x) = 0$ ,  $x \notin J_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence,  $g \in L^1(-\infty, \infty)$ . Now,

$$\frac{h(xt) - h(t)}{t} = x \int_{\alpha}^{xt} g(\ln u) \frac{du}{u} - \int_{\alpha}^t g(\ln u) \frac{du}{u} +$$

$$+ x g(\ln x + \ln t) - g(\ln t), \quad x > 0$$

the two integrals have a limit when  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , but  $x g(\ln x + \ln t) - g(\ln t)$  oscillates between zero and infinity. On the contrary, the function

$$h(e^x) = F(x) = e^x \int_{\alpha}^x g(v) dv + e^x g(x) = \frac{d}{dx} (e^x \int_{\alpha}^x g(v) dv)$$

defines a regular distribution belonging to  $\pi(e^h)$ . To show that, assume that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  and  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-r, r]$ . Then, for the distribution  $\tilde{F}$ , limit (3) is:

$$\begin{aligned} & - \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^r [e^{x+y} \int_{\alpha}^{x+y+h} g(v) dv - e^x \int_{\alpha}^{x+h} g(v) dv] \varphi'(x) dx \\ & = \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} g(v) dv (e^y - 1) \int_{-r}^r e^x \varphi(x) dx, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3 makes precise in what sense the class of distributions  $\pi(G)$  enlarges the class of functions  $\Pi_g$ . A distribution which is not regular, but belongs to a  $\pi(G)$  is  $T(x) = x_+^{\lambda}$ ,  $\lambda = -1, -2, \dots, \lambda < -1$  (see [4]). In this case, for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-r, r]$ ,  $h > r + |y|$ , limit (3) is:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-r}^r \frac{(x+y+h)^{\lambda} - (x+h)^{\lambda}}{h^{\lambda-1}} \varphi(x) dx = \lambda y \int_{-r}^r \varphi(x) dx.$$

Hence, the limit distribution  $S(x, y) = \lambda y$ .

PROPOSITION 4. A distribution  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  belongs to the class  $\pi(G)$  if and only if for every interval  $I_r = [-r, r]$  there exist numerical functions  $F_i, i=1, 2, \dots, m$ , continuous on  $[-r, \infty)$ , such that for every  $i, i=1, 2, \dots, m$ , the limit:

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_i(y+h) - F_i(h)}{G(h)} = k_{i, \rho}(e^y), \quad k_{i, \rho}(e^y) = c_i \frac{e^{\rho y} - 1}{\rho}$$

is uniform for  $x \in I_r$ , when  $h \rightarrow \infty$ . The restriction of the distribution  $T$  on  $[-r, \infty)$  can be given in the form

$$(8) \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^m D^{j_i} F_i .$$

By  $D$  we denote the derivative in the sense of distributions.

Proof. First, we shall prove that the conditions are sufficient. Suppose that  $T$  is given by the sum (8), then limit (3) is:

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{j_i} \int_{-r}^r \frac{F_i(x+y+h) - F_i(x+h)}{G(h)} \varphi^{(j_i)}(x) dx = \\ & = \sum_{i=1}^m (-1)^{j_i} \int_{-r}^r k_{i,\rho}(e^y) e^{\rho x} \varphi^{(j_i)}(x) dx \\ & = \int_{-r}^r k_{\rho}(e^y) e^{\rho x} \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that  $T \in \pi(G)$ .

Suppose, now, that  $T \in \pi(G)$ . Then, the set of distributions  $H \equiv \{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)/G(h), h \in [r, \infty), y \in [-r_1, r_1]\}$  is bounded in  $\mathcal{D}'$ . From a part of the proof of Theorem XXII, p. 51 in [4] or from a lemma proved in [6], p. 130 follows

**LEMMA 1.** If  $t \in \pi(G)$ , then for an interval  $I_r \equiv [-r, r]$  and a  $\Omega$ , which is a relatively compact open neighbourhood of zero in  $\mathcal{R}$ , there exists a  $m > 0$ , such that for every  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{D}_{\Omega}^m$  the function  $(T * \varphi * \psi)(x)$  is continuous for  $x \in [-r, \infty)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left[ \frac{T(t+y+h) - T(t+h)}{G(h)} * \varphi(t) * \psi(t) \right](x) = \\ & = k_{\rho}(e^y) [e^{\rho t} * \varphi(t) * \psi(t)](x) ; \end{aligned}$$



this limit is uniform in  $x \in I_r, y \in I_r, r \in \mathbb{R}$ .

To bring to an end the proof of Proposition 4, let us take relation (VI, 6; 23) from [4]

$$(9) \quad T = \Delta^{2k} * (\gamma E * \gamma E * T) - 2\Delta^k * (\gamma E * \xi * T) + (\xi * \xi * T)$$

where  $E$  is a solution of the iterated Laplace equation  $\Delta^k E = \delta; v, \xi \in \mathcal{D}'_\Omega$ . We have only to choose the number  $k$  large enough so that  $\gamma E$  belongs to  $\mathcal{D}'_\Omega^m$ . If we denote by  $F_1 = \gamma E * \gamma E * T, F_2 = \gamma E * \xi * T$  and by  $F_3 = \xi * \xi * T$ , all the functions  $F_i, i=1,2,3$ , are of the form  $F_i = T * \varphi_i * \psi_i$ , where  $\varphi_i, \psi_i \in \mathcal{D}'_\Omega^m, i=1,2,3$ .

By properties of the convolution we have:

$$\frac{F_i(y+h) - F_i(h)}{G(h)} = \left[ \left( \frac{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)}{G(h)} \right) * \varphi_i(x) * \psi_i(x) \right](0)$$

and by Lemma 1 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_i(y+h) - F_i(h)}{G(h)} &= k_{i,\rho}(e^y) [e^x * \varphi_i(x) * \psi_i(x)](0) \\ &= k_{i,\rho}(e^y). \end{aligned}$$

uniformly in  $y \in I_r$ .

Proposition 4 characterizes the class  $\pi(G)$  as the class of distributions  $T$  given by the sum of functions  $F_i, F_i(\ln y) \in \Pi_G$ , where  $g(t) = G(\ln t)$ .

PROPOSITION 5. If  $T \in \pi(G)$  and  $U \in \mathcal{E}'$ , then  $T * U \in \pi_0(G)$  if, in addition,  $(U * e^{\rho x})(0) \neq 0$ , then  $T * U \in \pi(G)$ , as well.

Proof. If  $T \in \pi(G)$ , then  $(T(x+y+h) - T(x+h))/G(h)$  converges in  $\mathcal{D}'$  for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ . The convolution  $T * U$ , for a fixed  $U$ , is a continuous mapping  $\mathcal{D}' \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'$ . By the properties of the convolution, we have:

$$\frac{(T * U)(t+y+h) - (T * U)(t+h)}{G(h)} = \left[ \frac{T(x+y+h) - T(x+h)}{G(h)} * U(x) \right](t)$$

and this converges for every  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  to:

$$k_{\rho}(e^y) (e^{\rho x} * U(x))(t) = (e^{\rho x} * U(x))(0) e^{\rho t} k_{\rho}(e^y).$$

COROLLARY. If  $T \in \pi(G)$ ,  $\rho \neq 0$ , then for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $D^k T \in \pi(G)$ , as well. It follows from the facts that  $D^k T = \delta^{(k)} * T$  and  $\delta^{(k)} * e^{\rho x} \neq 0$ . This property of the class  $\pi(G)$  is very important for applications to differential equations and to other convolution equations.

## 2. SOME COMMENTS

1. One can ask the question why we started from the "additive-argument version" in defining the class  $\pi(G)$ ? To answer this question, we have to remark that the membership to the class  $\pi_g$  is a local property in the sense: If  $f_1(t) = f_2(t)$ ,  $t > t_0$  and if  $f_1 \in \pi_g$ , then  $f_2 \in \pi_g$ , as well. We have looked to find such a generalization of  $\pi_g$  to keep that very natural property. The next proposition makes precise that the class  $\pi(G)$  satisfies such a demand.

PROPOSITION 6. If for two distributions  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  we have  $T_1 = T_2$  over an open interval  $(\alpha, \infty)$  and  $T_1$  belongs to  $\pi(G)$ , then  $T_2$  belongs to  $\pi(G)$ , as well.

Proof. For a  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  we have  $\langle T_1(x+y+h), \varphi(x) \rangle = \langle T_1(x), \varphi(x-y-h) \rangle$ . Denote by  $\psi(x) = \varphi(x-y-h) \in \mathcal{D}$ . If the  $\text{supp } \varphi \subset [-r, r]$ , then the  $\text{supp } \psi \subset [-r+y+h, r+y+h]$ . We can find  $h_0$  such that  $-r-|y|+h > \alpha$ ,  $h > h_0$ . For such a  $h_0 < T_1(x+z+h)$ ,  $\varphi(x) > \langle T_2(x+z+h), \varphi(x) \rangle$  when  $|z| < |y|$ ,  $h > h_0$ . Now, it is easy to prove that  $T_2 \in \pi(G)$ .

The opposite of the assertion of Proposition 6 is not true. That follows from

PROPOSITION 7. Suppose that  $G$  is of the form  $G(x) = L(x)$  (see remarks after Proposition 1) and that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T_1(x+h) - T_2(x+h)}{G(h)} = U \text{ in } \mathcal{D}' ,$$

where  $U$  is a constant distribution. If  $T_1 \in \pi(G)$ , then  $T_2 \in \pi(G)$ , as well.

Proof. For  $x, y$  belonging to compact sets in  $\mathbb{R}$  we have the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{T_2(x+y+h) - T_2(x+h)}{G(h)} &= \frac{T_2(x+y+h) - T_1(x+y+h)}{G(h)} + \frac{G(y+h)}{G(h)} + \\ &+ \frac{T_1(x+h) - T_2(x+h)}{G(h)} + \frac{T_1(x+y+h) - T_1(x+h)}{G(h)} . \end{aligned}$$

The assertion of Proposition 7 follows directly from this relation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bingham, N.H., Goldie, C.M. and Teugels, J.L., *Regular variation*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [2] Geluk, J.L. and de Haan, L., *Regular variation, extensions and Tauberian theorems*, CWI Tract 40, CWI Amsterdam, 1987.
- [3] Karamata, J., *Sur un mode de croissance régulière des fonctions*, *Mathematica (Cluj)* 4, (1930), 35-53.
- [4] Schwartz, L., *Théorie des distributions*, T II, Hermann, Paris, 1951.
- [5] Seneta, E., *Regularly Varying Functions*, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1976.
- [6] Stanković, B., *A structural theorem for distributions having S-asymptotic*, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.)*, T. 45 (59), (1989), 129-132.

## REZIME

## DE HAAN-OVA KLASA DISTRIBUCIJA

De Haan [2] je definisao i izučavao klasu  $\Pi_g$  funkcija koje predstavljaju striktnu potklasu Karamatinih regularno promenljivih funkcija [3]. Posebno se bavio klasom  $\Pi_g$  kada je  $g$  sporo promenljiva funkcija. Ta klasa ima veliku primenu u raznim oblastima matematike i u raznim oblastima njene primene (vidi [1] i [2]).

U ovom radu definisana je klasa distribucija  $\pi(G)$  na sledeći način:

DEFINICIJA 1. Neka je  $G$  pozitivna i merljiva funkcija. Distribucija  $T \in \mathcal{D}'$  pripada klasi  $\pi(G)$  tada i samo tada ako za svako  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}$  i svako  $y \in \mathbb{R}$  postoji granica definisana relacijom (3), gde je  $S(\cdot, y)$  familija konstantnih distribucija,  $S(\cdot, y)$  različita od konstante dok  $y$  prolazi skupom  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Dokazane su razne osobine klase  $\pi(G)$ . Tako je pokazano da je  $S(x, y) = c(e^{\rho y} - 1)e^{\rho x}$ ,  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ ; da svaka funkcija  $f \in \Pi_g$  definiše regularnu distribuciju  $\tilde{F}(y) = f(e^y)$  koja pripada klasi  $\pi(G)$ ,  $G(y) = g(e^y)$ . Lokalno integrabilna funkcija  $f$  ne mora pripadati klasi  $\Pi_g$  ako  $\tilde{F}(y) = f(e^y)$  pripada klasi  $\pi(G)$ . Okarakterisana je klasa  $\pi(G)$  kao skup distribucija  $T = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} D^i F_i$  gde  $F_i(\ln y) \in \Pi_g$ ,  $g(h) = G(\ln h)$ . Konvolucija sa elementom  $U \in \mathcal{E}'$  preslikava  $\pi(G)$  u  $\pi(G)$  ako  $(U * e^{\rho x})(0) \neq 0$ . To je važna osobina za primenu jer  $\delta^{(k)} \in \mathcal{E}'$ , pa izvodi preslikavaju  $\pi(G)$  u  $\pi(G)$  ako je  $\rho \neq 0$ . Najzad je pokazano da pripadanje klasi  $\pi(G)$  je lokalna osobina.

Received by Editors October 3, 1989.