

ON THE S-ASYMPTOTIC OF TEMPERED AND
 K_1^* -DISTRIBUTIONS. PART III, STRUCTURAL THEOREMS

Stevan Pilipović

*University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science,
Institute of Mathematics, Dr I. Djuriđića 4,
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

ABSTRACT

Several structural properties of a distribution f which has the S-asymptotic behaviour are given.

1. It is said ([5]) that an $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$ has the S-asymptotic at ∞ with respect to a continuous and positive function $c(h)$, $h \in (A, \infty)$, $A > 0$, if for some $g \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R})$

$$(1) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{f(x+h)}{c(h)}, \phi(x) \rangle = \langle g(x), \phi(x) \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

In this case we write $f(x+h) \overset{s}{\sim} g(x)c(h)$, $h \rightarrow \infty$.

It is shown in [5] that g must be of the form

$$(2) \quad g(x) = C \exp(ax), \quad C \in \mathbb{R}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R},$$

and if in (2) $C \neq 0$ then c must be of the form

$$c(h) = \exp(\alpha h) L(\exp h), \quad h > A,$$

where L is a slowly varying function ([7]). With no loss of

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 46F05

Key words and phrases: Structural theorems, tempered distributions, asymptotic behaviour.

generality, we shall always assume that L is continuous and different from zero in $(1, \infty)$. For the properties of the S -asymptotic behaviour of distributions we refer the reader to [2], [3], [4], [5] and [8].

We shall give in this note several structural properties of an f which has the S -asymptotic.

2. THEOREM 1. Let $f \in L_{loc}^1$,

$$f(x) \sim \exp(\alpha x) L(\exp x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty \text{ (in the ordinary sense)}.$$

Then

$$f(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} \exp(\alpha x) \exp(\alpha h) L(\exp h) \text{ as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. Since $L(\lambda h)/L(h)$ converges uniformly to 1 ($h \rightarrow \infty$) on any interval $[a, b] \subset (0, \infty)$ ([7]) we have ($\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $\text{supp } \phi \subset [a, b]$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{f(x+h)\phi(x) dx}{\exp(\alpha h)L(\exp h)} \\ &= \int_a^b \frac{f(x+h)\exp(\alpha x)\phi(x)}{\exp(\alpha(x+h))L(\exp(x+h))} \frac{L(\exp x \exp h)}{L(\exp h)} dx \\ &\rightarrow \int_a^b \exp(\alpha x)\phi(x) dx, \quad h \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion.

THEOREM 2. Let $f(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} 1 \cdot h^\nu L(h)$, $h \rightarrow \infty$, where $\nu > -1$. Let $g \in \mathcal{D}'$ and for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $g^{(m)} = f$. Then

$$(3) \quad g(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} 1 \cdot h^{\nu+m} L(h), \quad h \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. By the l'Hospital rule, we obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle g^{(m-1)}(x+h), \phi(x) \rangle}{\int_1^h t^\nu L(t) dt} \rightarrow \langle 1, \phi \rangle, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

From [7, Ch.2], it follows that

$$\int_1^h t^{\nu} L(t) dt \sim h^{\nu+1} L(h), \quad h \rightarrow \infty.$$

So, the proof of the theorem follows by repeating the l'Hospital rule m -times.

Note that we can formulate and prove a similar assertion as in Theorem 2, for $\nu < -1$, if we assume the supplement conditions which enable us the use of the l'Hospital rule.

The generalized form of Theorem 2 is the following one:

THEOREM 2'. Let $f(x+h) \sim \int_0^x (\exp ax) \exp(ah) L(\exp h)$,
 $h \rightarrow \infty$, and

$$\int_0^x \exp(ah) L(\exp h) dh \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty. \quad \text{Let } g \in \mathcal{D}' \text{ such that}$$

$g^{(m)} = f$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$g(x+h) \sim \int_0^x (\exp ax) \int_0^{h_1} \left(\dots \left(\int_0^{h_{m-1}} \exp(at) L(\exp t) dt \right) dh_{m-1} \right) \dots dh_1, \quad h \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we assume on L instead of continuity that is measurable the following theorem is of interest:

THEOREM 3. Let $\phi_0 \in C_0^\infty$ such that $\int_0^\infty \phi_0(t) dt = 1$. If
and

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f^{(1)}(x+h)}{\exp(ah)L(h)}, \phi_0(x) \right\rangle = (\alpha)^1 \langle \exp(ax), \phi_0(x) \rangle,$$

$$i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

and

$$f^{(m)}(x+h) \sim \int_0^x \exp(ax) (\exp(ah))^m L(\exp h), \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$f(x+h) \sim \int_0^x \exp(ax) (\exp(ah)) L(\exp h), \quad h \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. This asseartion was proved in [2] for $m=1$.
By repeating the same arguments, the proof for $m>1$ follows.
Here is the proof for $m=1$.

Any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ can be written in the form

$$\phi(x) = \phi_0(x) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx + \tilde{\phi}(x), \text{ where } \tilde{\phi} \in \mathcal{D} \text{ such that } \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(t) dt = 0.$$

Obviously,

$$\tilde{\phi}(x) = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{x} \phi(t) dt \right)' \text{ where } x \rightarrow \int_{-\infty}^{x} \phi(t) dt \in \mathcal{D}, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

So, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f(x+h)}{\exp(ah)L(\exp h)}, \phi(x) \right\rangle &= \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f(x+h)}{\exp(ah)L(\exp h)}, \phi_0(x) \right\rangle \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(x) dx + \\ &+ \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\langle \frac{f(x+h)}{\exp(ah)L(\exp h)}, \left(\int_{-\infty}^x \phi(t) dt \right)' \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now, assumptions of the theorem imply the assertion.

3. For the main structural theorem we need the following lemma which was proved in [2]. For the sake of completeness, we shall give here the complete proof of it.

LEMMA 4. Let $c(h)$, $h \in (0, \infty)$, be a real-valued positive locally integrable function such that for some $f \in \mathcal{D}'$ the limit in (1) exists with $g \neq 0$. There exist $c \in C^\infty$ different from zero on \mathbb{R} , $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $A \in \mathbb{R}$, $A \neq 0$, such that

$$c(h)/\tilde{c}(x+h) \rightarrow A^{-1} \exp(-\alpha x), \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

in the sense of convergence in E .

PROOF. Let $c_0(x) = c(x)$ for $x > 1$, $c_0(x) = 1$ for $x \leq 1$, and $\omega \in C_0^\infty$ such that

$$\text{supp } \omega \subset [-1, 1], \quad \omega(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in (-1, 1), \quad \text{and } \int_{-1}^1 \omega(t) dt = 1.$$

We put $\hat{c}(x) = (c_0(t) * \omega(t))(x)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Obviously $\hat{c} \in C^\infty$. Since for some $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$\int_{-1}^1 c_0(x-t)\omega(t)dt \geq \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} c_0(x-t)\omega(t)dt \geq \min\{\omega(t); |t| \leq \varepsilon\} \cdot \int_{-\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon} c_0(x-t)dt > 0,$$

we obtain that $\hat{c}(x) \neq 0$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let K be a compact set in \mathbb{R} . There exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $x \in K$ and $t \in [-1, 1]$

$$c_0(x+h-t)/c(h) \rightarrow \exp(\alpha(x-t)), \quad h \rightarrow \infty, \quad ([5, \text{Theorem 3a}]).$$

Since the set $K_0 = \{x-t, x \in K, t \in [-1, 1]\}$ is a compact one, the last convergence is uniform on K_0 . Let us prove it.

Because in (1) $g \neq 0$, we obtain that for some $\phi \in C_0^\infty$, $m = \langle g, \phi \rangle \neq 0$. Let $y \in K_0$, $h > \max\{1, (1 - \min\{t; t \in K_0\})\}$. We put

$$d_h(y) = c_0(h+y)/c(h); \quad r_h(y) = \langle f(x+h+y)/c_0(h+y), \phi(x) \rangle;$$

$$s_h(y) = \langle f(x+h+y)/c(h), \phi(x) \rangle.$$

We have

$$d_h(y) \rightarrow \exp(\alpha y), \quad h \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$r_h(x) \rightarrow m \neq 0, \quad h \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{uniformly on } K_0;$$

$$r_h(y)d_h(y) = s_h(y) \rightarrow \langle g(x+y), \phi(x) \rangle = m \exp(\alpha y), \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on K_0 because the set $\{x+y; y \in K_0\}$ is bounded in D and the strong and weak convergence are equivalent in D' .

Using the inequality

$$|d_h(y)r_h(y) - m \exp(\alpha y)| \geq |r_h(y)| |d_h(y) - \exp(\alpha y)| - \exp(\alpha y) |r_h(y) - m|,$$

one can easily prove that if $d_h(y)$ does not converge uniformly to $\exp(\alpha y)$ on K_0 , then $s_h(y)$ does not converge uniformly to $m \exp(\alpha y)$ on K_0 as $h \rightarrow \infty$. This is a contradiction. Thus we have proved that

$$c_0(x+h-t)/c(h) \rightarrow \exp(\alpha(x-t)), \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in $x \in K$, $t \in [-1, 1]$. This implies that for a non-negative integer β

$$\tilde{c}^{(\beta)}(x+h)/c(h) = \int_{-1}^1 (c_0(x+h-t)/c(h)) \omega^{(\beta)}(t) dt$$

$$\rightarrow \int_{-1}^1 \exp(\alpha(x-t)) \omega^{(\beta)}(t) dt, \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on K , i.e.

$$\tilde{c}^{(\beta)}(x+h)/c(h) \rightarrow A(\alpha)^\beta \exp(\alpha x),$$

$$\text{where } A = \int_{-1}^1 \exp(-\alpha t) \omega(t) dt, \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly in $x \in K$. By the same arguments, one can prove that

$$c(h)/\tilde{c}(x+h) \rightarrow A^{-1} \exp(-\alpha x), \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on K .

Now, by induction, one can prove that for every non-negative integer

$$(c(h)/\tilde{c}(x+h))^{(\beta)} \rightarrow (A^{-1} \exp(-\alpha x))^{(\beta)}, \quad h \rightarrow \infty,$$

uniformly on K .

THEOREM 5. Let $f(x+h) \overset{S}{\sim} (\exp \alpha x) (\exp \alpha h) L(\exp h)$, $h \rightarrow \infty$, where α is different from 0. There is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \geq m_0$ there are $g_{m,i} \in C(1, \infty)$, $i=0, 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m g_{m,i}^{(i)}(x), \quad x \in (1, \infty),$$

and

$$g_{m,i}(x) \sim C_i x^m \exp(\alpha x) L(\exp x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where C_i are suitable constants.

($C(1, \infty)$ is the space of all the continuous functions on $(1, \infty)$.)

PROOF. The function $c(h) = \exp(ah)L(\exp h)$, $h > A$, satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4. Let $\tilde{c} \in C^\infty$ correspond to this function.

From [6, T.I., p.72, Théorème X] we obtain

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{f(x+h)}{\tilde{c}(x+h)}, \phi(x) \rangle = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{f(x+h)}{c(h)}, \frac{c(h)}{\tilde{c}(x+h)} \phi(x) \rangle = \langle 1, \phi(x) \rangle, \forall \phi \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Let $\theta \in C^\infty$, $\theta(x) = 0$ for $x < 0$ and $\theta(x) = 1$ for $x > 1$. We have

$$\frac{\theta(\cdot+h)f(\cdot+h)}{\tilde{c}(\cdot+h)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ in } \mathcal{D}' \text{ as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, $\{\frac{\theta(\cdot+h)f(\cdot+h)}{\tilde{c}(\cdot+h)}, h > 0\}$ is a bounded subset of \mathcal{D}' . This implies that this set is bounded in S' . By the Banach-Steinhaus Theorem we obtain

$$\frac{\theta(\cdot+h)f(\cdot+h)}{\tilde{c}(\cdot+h)} \rightarrow 1 \text{ in } S' \text{ as } h \rightarrow \infty, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \langle \frac{(\theta f / \tilde{c})(x+h)}{d(h)}, \phi(x) \rangle = \langle 1, \phi \rangle, \forall \phi \in S,$$

where $d(h) = 1, h > A$. Since the S-asymptotic in S'_+ with $\nu > -1$ (in our case $d(h) = h^\nu, h > A, \nu = 0$) implies the quasiasymptotic of $\theta f / \tilde{c}$, the structural theorem [1, Theorem I] implies that there is m_0 such that for every $m > m_0$ there is $F_m \in C(-\infty, \infty)$ such that

$$(\theta f / \tilde{c})(x) = F_m^{(m)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \text{ and}$$

$$F_m(x) \sim x^m \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Thus, we obtain

$$f(x) = \tilde{c}(x) F_m^{(m)}(x), \quad x \in (1, \infty).$$

The Leibniz formula implies

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (-1)^i (\tilde{c}^{(i)}(x) F_m(x))^{(m-i)}, \quad x \in (1, \infty).$$

Since

$$\frac{\tilde{c}^{(1)}(x+h)}{c(h)} \rightarrow A(a)^1 e^x, \quad h \rightarrow \infty \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

we obtain

$$\tilde{c}^{(1)}(h) \sim A(a)^{(1)} c(h), \quad h \rightarrow \infty.$$

This implies the proof.

Now, observe the case $\alpha=0$.

THEOREM 6. Let $f(x+h) \sim 1 \cdot h^{\nu} L(h)$ with $\nu > -1$. Then there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m > m_0$ there is $F_m \in C(1, \infty)$ such that

$$f = F_m^{(m)}$$

and

$$F_m(x) \sim x^{m+\nu} L(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty.$$

PROOF. For $\nu > -1$ the S -asymptotic of θf (θ is defined in the preceding proof) implies the quasiasymptotic of this distribution with respect to $h^{\nu} L(h)$. Now, [1, Theorem T] implies the assertion.

THEOREM 7. Let $f(x+h) \sim 1 h^{\nu} L(h)$, where $\nu < -1$. Then there is $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that for every $m > m_0$ there are $f_{m,i} \in C(1, \infty)$ and $A_{m,i} \neq 0$, $i=0, \dots, m$, such that

$$f_{m,i}(x) \sim A_{m,i} x^{m+\nu-i} L(x), \quad i=0, 1, \dots, m$$

and

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m f_{m,i}^{(m-1)}(x), \quad x \in (1, \infty).$$

PROOF. Take $k > 0$ such that $k+\nu > -1$. With θ as in the preceding proof, we have

$$(1+(x+h)^2)^{k/2} f(x+h) \sim 1 h^{k+\nu} L(h), \quad h \rightarrow \infty.$$

By the same arguments as in the preceding proof, we have that there is m_0 such that for every $m > m_0$ there is an $F \in C(-\infty, \infty)$, $\text{supp } F \subset [0, \infty)$

$$F_m(x) \sim x^{v+k+m} L(x), \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

and

$$(1+x^2)^{k/2} \theta(x) f(x) = F_m^{(m)}(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Thus, for $x \in (1, \infty)$ we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (-1)^i \left(\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{k/2}} \right)^{(i)} F_m^{(m-i)}(x)$$

The proof follows from the fact that

$$\left(\frac{1}{(1+x^2)^{k/2}} \right)^{(i)} \sim C_i x^{-k-i}, \quad x \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $C_i \neq 0$ are suitable constants, $i=0, \dots, m$.

The more difficult problem is the following one.

Let $f(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} g(x)c(h)$, $h \rightarrow \infty$, $g \neq 0$. There is a question whether $f'(x)$ has the S-asymptotic with the limit $g_1 \neq 0$ with respect to some $c_1(h)$. In many special cases, the answer can be given easily, but for example, if $c(h) = h^v$, we do not know any satisfactory answer.

The same problem in "classical" analysis is even more difficult. Namely, for an $f \in C^1$, it can happen that f has the S-asymptotic behaviour with respect to some $c(h)$ but f' does not have the ordinary asymptotic behaviour with respect to $c(h)$. This is shown by the following example.

Suppose that $F \in L^1$, $F \geq 0$ and that for some sequence (ε_i) , $\varepsilon_i > 0$ and (x_i) , $x_{i+1} > x_i > 1$, $F(x) = \exp(\exp x_i)$, $x \in (x_i - \varepsilon_i, x_i + \varepsilon_i)$, $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $G(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x F(t) dt$. We have

$$(\exp t G(t))(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} A \exp x \exp h \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty,$$

where

$$A = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(t) dt.$$

This implies that

$$(\exp t G(t))'(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} A \exp x \exp h \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty.$$

Obviously, $(\exp x (G(x)))'$ does not have the ordinary asymptotic behaviour as the function $\exp x$ when $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Let us note that the distribution

$$f(x) = x^2 + x \sin x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

satisfies the relation

$$f(x+h) \stackrel{S}{\sim} 1 h^2 \quad \text{as } h \rightarrow \infty,$$

but its derivative does not have the S -asymptotic behaviour with the limit distribution different from 0.

REFERENCES

- [1] Дрожжинов, .Н., Завьялов, Б.И., Квазиасимптотика обобщенных функции и тауберовы теоремы в комплексной области, Матем. Сб., 102 (1977), 372-390.
- [2] Pilipović, S., *On the Behaviour of Distributions at Infinity*, Proc. Conf. Math. Anal., Szark., 1985. (to appear).
- [3] Pilipović, S., *Remarks on Supports of Distributions*, Gl. Math., 22(42) (1987), 375-380.
- [4] Pilipović, S., *On the S -asymptotics of Tempered and K_1^s -Distributions, Parts I and II*, Rev. Res. Sci. Math. Univ. Novi Sad, 15, 47-58, 59-67.
- [5] Pilipović, S., Stanković, B., *S -asymptotic of Schwartz Distributions*, Pliska (to appear).
- [6] Schwartz, L., *Théorie des Distributions*, Hermann, Paris, T.I. (1957), T.II (1957).
- [7] Seneta, E., *Regularly Varying Functions*, Lect. Not. Math. 508, Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1976.
- [8] Stanković, B., *Applications of the S -asymptotic*, Rev. Res. Sci. Math. Univ. Novi Sad, 15, 1-9.

REZIME

O S-ASIMPTOTICI TEMPERIRANIH I K_1^* -DISTRIBUCIJA.
DEO III, STRUKTURNE TEOREME

Dato je nekoliko strukturnih osobina distribucije f koja ima S-asimptotsko ponašanje.

Received by the editors June 1, 1986.