

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN PROBABILISTIC  
METRIC SPACES WITH A CONVEX STRUCTURE

*O. Hadžić*

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science  
Institute of Mathematics, Dr Ilije Djuričića 4

ABSTRACT

Using some properties of the functions of noncompactness we prove in this paper some common fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces with a convex structure.

1. INTRODUCTION

In [12] K. Menger introduced the notion of a probabilistic metric space and there are many papers and books on the theory of probabilistic metric spaces (for the bibliography, see the books [3], [15]). Some fixed point theorems in probabilistic metric spaces are proved in [2], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [16]. Since the space of generalized random variables is contained in the class of Menger spaces (special probabilistic metric spaces), fixed point theorems in Menger spaces are of a special interest for the stochastic analysis.

W. Takahashi introduced in [17] the notion of the convexity in metric spaces and some fixed point theorems in such spaces are proved in [4], [8], [9], [13], [14].

In this paper we shall prove common fixed point theo-

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(1980):54H25

Key words and phrases: Fixed point, probabilistic metric spaces

rems in probabilistic metric spaces with a convex structure. These theorems contain, as special cases, many well known fixed point theorems.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

We shall give some definitions and notations which will be used in the next text.

A triple  $(S, F, t)$  is a Menger space if  $S$  is a non-empty set,  $F : S \times S \rightarrow D$ , where  $D$  denotes the set of all distribution functions  $F$  and  $t$  is a T-norm [15] so that the following conditions are satisfied:  $(F(p, q) = F_{p, q}$ , for every  $p, q \in S)$ :

1.  $F_{p, q}(u) = 1$ , for every  $u > 0$  if and only if  $p = q$ .
2.  $F_{p, q}(0) = 0$  for every  $p, q \in S$ .
3.  $F_{p, q} = F_{q, p}$ , for every  $p, q \in S$ .
4.  $F_{p, r}(u+v) \geq t(F_{p, q}(u), F_{q, r}(v))$ , for every  $p, q, r \in S$  and every  $u, v > 0$ .

The  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -topology is introduced by the  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -neighbourhoods of  $v \in S$ :

$$U_v(\epsilon, \delta) = \{u, u \in S, F_{u, v}(\epsilon) > 1 - \delta\}, \epsilon > 0, \delta \in (0, 1).$$

One of the most interesting example of a Menger space is the following. Let  $(M, d)$  be a separable metric space and  $(\Omega, \mathcal{E}, P)$  a probability space. Further, let  $S$  be the space of all equivalence classes of measurable mappings of  $\Omega$  into  $M$ ,  $t(u, v) = \max\{u + v - 1, 0\}$  ( $u, v \in [0, 1]$ ) and for every  $X, Y \in S$  and  $s > 0$ :

$$F_{X, Y}(s) = P\{\omega, d(X(\omega), Y(\omega)) < s, \omega \in \Omega\}$$

$(X = \{X(\omega)\}, Y = \{Y(\omega)\})$ . Then the triple  $(S, F, t)$  is a Menger space and the convergence in the  $(\epsilon, \delta)$ -topology and in the

probability are identical.

Let us recall that a metric space  $(S,d)$  is with the convex structure in the sense of Takahashi if there exists a mapping  $W : S \times S \times [0,1] \rightarrow S$  so that:

$$d(z, W(x,y,s)) \leq sd(z,x) + (1-s)d(z,y)$$

for every  $(x,y,z,s) \in S \times S \times S \times [0,1]$ .

*Definition.* Let  $(S,F,t)$  be a Menger space. A mapping  $W : S \times S \times [0,1] \rightarrow S$  is said to be a convex structure on  $S$  if for every  $(x,y) \in S \times S$ :

$$W(x,y,0) = y, W(x,y,1) = x \text{ and for every } s \in (0,1),$$

$z \in S$  and  $u > 0$ :

$$F_{z,W(x,y,s)}(2u) \geq t(F_{z,x}(u/s), F_{z,y}(u/(1-s))).$$

It is easy to see that every metric space with the convex structure  $W$  can be considered as a Menger space  $(S,F,\min)$  with the same function  $W$ . Every random normed space  $(S,F,t)$  is a Menger space with the convex structure  $W$  defined by:

$$W(x,y,s) = sx + (1-s)y \quad (x,y \in S, s \in [0,1]).$$

A nontrivial example of a Menger space with a convex structure is the following one.

Let  $(M,d)$  be a separable metric space with a convex structure  $W$  which has the property that for every  $s \in [0,1]$  the mapping  $(x,y) \rightarrow W(x,y,s)$  is continuous. Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{L}, P)$  be a probability space. We shall prove that the Menger space of all equivalence classes of measurable mappings from  $\Omega$  into  $M$  is a probabilistic metric space with a convex structure if  $t(u,v) = \max\{u+v-1, 0\}$  and for every  $X, Y \in S : F_{X,Y}(u) = P\{\omega, d(X(\omega), Y(\omega)) < u\}$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Let  $\bar{W}(X,Y,s)(\omega) = W(X(\omega), Y(\omega), s)$ , for every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , every  $X, Y \in S$  and every  $s \in [0,1]$ . It is easy to see that

$\bar{W} : S \times S \times [0,1] \rightarrow S$  and that for every  $X, Y, U \in S$ ,  $s \in (0,1)$  and every  $u > 0$ :

$$F_{U, \bar{W}(X, Y, s)}(2u) \geq F_{U, X}(u/s) + F_{U, Y}(u/(1-s)) - 1.$$

Since  $\bar{W}(X, Y, 0)(\omega) = W(X(\omega), Y(\omega), 0) = Y(\omega)$  and  $W(X, Y, 1)(\omega) = W(X(\omega), Y(\omega), 1) = X(\omega)$ , for every  $\omega \in \Omega$  it follows that the mapping  $\bar{W}$  is a convex structure on the probabilistic metric space  $(S, F, t)$ .

In this paper we shall suppose that a convex structure  $W$  on a Menger space  $(S, F, t)$  satisfies the condition:

$$F_{W(x, z, s), W(y, z, s)}(us) \geq F_{x, y}(u),$$

for every  $(x, y, z) \in S \times S \times S$ .

A similar condition for metric spaces with a convex structure is introduced in [3].

The notion of the Kuratowski function is introduced in [1] as a probabilistic generalization of the notion of the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

Suppose that  $(S, F, t)$  be a Menger space and  $A$  a non-empty subset of  $S$ . The function  $D_A(\cdot)$ , defined on the set  $[0, \infty) = \mathbb{R}^+$ , by  $D_A(u) = \sup_{s < u} \inf_{p, q \in A} F_{p, q}(s)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$  is called the probabilistic diameter of the set  $A$  and the set  $A$  is probabilistic bounded if and only if  $\sup_{u > 0} D_A(u) = 1$ .

Let  $A$  be a probabilistic bounded subset of  $S$ . The Kuratowski function  $\alpha_A(u)$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{R} = (-\infty, \infty)$ , of the set  $A$  is defined by:

$$\alpha_A(u) = \sup \{r > 0, \text{ there is a finite family } A_j (j \in J) \text{ such that } A = \bigcup_{j \in J} A_j \text{ and } D_{A_j}(u) \geq r, \text{ for every } j \in J\}$$

The Kuratowski function has the following properties:

- 1)  $\alpha_A \in D$ .
- 2)  $\alpha_A(u) \geq D_A(u)$ , for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .

- 3)  $0 \neq A \subset B \subset S \Rightarrow \alpha_A(u) \geq \alpha_B(u)$ , for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- 4)  $\alpha_{A \cup B}(u) = \min\{\alpha_A(u), \alpha_B(u)\}$ , for every  $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ .
- 5)  $\alpha_A(u) = \alpha_{\bar{A}}(u)$ , ( $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ), where  $\bar{A}$  is the closure of  $A$ .
- 6)  $\alpha_A = H \Leftrightarrow A$  is precompact, where  $H(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq 0 \\ 1, & x > 0. \end{cases}$

In [3] the function  $\beta_A(u)$  is defined in the following way:

$$\beta_A(u) = \sup\{r > 0, \text{ there exists a finite subset } A_f \text{ of } S \text{ such that } \tilde{F}_{A, A_f}(u) \geq r\}$$

where:

$$\tilde{F}_{A, B}(u) = \sup_{s < u} \inf_{x \in A} \sup_{y \in B} F_{x, y}(s)$$

and  $A$  and  $B$  are two probabilistic bounded subsets of  $S$ . The function  $\beta$  satisfies 1) - 6) and the following inequalities:

$$\beta_A(u) \geq \alpha_A(u) \geq \beta_A(u/2), \text{ for every } u > 0, \text{ if } t = \min.$$

Let  $(S, F, t)$  be a Menger space,  $K$  a probabilistic bounded subset of  $S$  and  $T : K \rightarrow S$  so that  $T(K)$  is probabilistic bounded. If for every  $B \subset K$ :

$$\gamma_{T(B)}(u) \leq \gamma_B(u), \text{ for every } u > 0 \Rightarrow B \text{ is precompact}$$

( $\gamma_A \in \{\alpha_A, \beta_A\}$ ) we say that the mapping  $T$  is *densifying on the set  $K$  in respect to the function  $\gamma$*  or probabilistic  $\gamma$ -densifying. The map  $T : K \rightarrow S$  ( $K \subset S$ ) is said to be a *probabilistic  $q$ -contraction* if there exists  $q \in (0, 1)$  so that for every  $x, y \in K$ :

$$F_{Tx, Ty}(qu) \geq F_{x, y}(u), \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

If  $T : K \rightarrow K$  is a probabilistic  $q$ -contraction with a bounded

set  $O_T(x) = \{T^n(x), n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  ( $x \in K$ ) it is known [7] that there exists one and only one element  $x \in K$  such that  $x = Tx$ , under the assumption that  $T$ -norm  $t$  is continuous.

If  $q = 1$  the mapping  $T$  is said to be nonexpansive. A mapping  $T : K \rightarrow S$  is  $(W, x_0)$ -convex ( $x_0 \in K$ ) if for every  $x \in K$ ,  $TW(x, x_0, s) = W(Tx, x_0, s)$  ( $s \in [0, 1]$ ).

## 2. COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS

If  $(S, F, t)$  is a Menger space with a convex structure  $W$  and  $K \subset S$  we say that  $K$  is *starshaped* if there exists  $x_0 \in K$  (the star center of  $K$ ) if for every  $x \in K$  and every  $s \in (0, 1)$   $W(x, x_0, s) \in K$ . For nonexpansive mappings defined on a starshaped subset of  $S$  we shall prove the following fixed point theorem.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $(S, F, t)$  be a complete Menger space with a convex structure  $W$  and continuous  $T$ -norm  $t$ ,  $K$  a closed and starshaped subset of  $S$  and  $f : K \rightarrow K$  so that  $f(K)$  is probabilistic bounded. If  $f$  is nonexpansive and such that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  so that  $f^m$  is densifying on the set  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in f(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$  in respect to  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ ) where  $x_0$  is the star center of  $K$  then there exists  $x \in K$  so that  $x = fx$ .*

**Proof.** First, we shall prove that there exists a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  from the set  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in f(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$  such that for every  $u > 0$ :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, f^m x_n}(u) = 1.$$

Let  $\{r_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a sequence of numbers from  $(0, 1)$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} r_n = 1$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $x \in K$ , let:

$$f_n x = W(fx, x_0, r_n).$$

It is obvious that  $f_n$  is a probabilistic  $r_n$ -contraction since:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_{W(fx, x_0, r_n), W(fy, x_0, r_n)}(u) = \\
 & = F_{W(fx, x_0, r_n), W(fy, x_0, r_n)}(r_n(u/r_n)) \\
 & > F_{fx, fy}(u/r_n), \text{ for every } y, x \in K \text{ and every } u > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Further for every  $u > 0$  we have that:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sup_{s < u} \inf_{x, y \in K} F_{W(fx, x_0, r_n), W(fy, x_0, r_n)}(s) > \\
 & > \frac{s}{r_n} \sup_{\frac{s}{r_n} < \frac{u}{r_n}} \inf_{x, y \in K} F_{fx, fy}(s/r_n)
 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that:

$$D_{f_n}(K)(u) > D_f(K)(u/r_n)$$

and hence  $f_n(K)$  is probabilistic bounded for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the set  $O_{f_n}(x) = \{f_n^m x, m \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is probabilistic bounded and hence, there exist, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x_n \in K$  so that  $f_n x_n = x_n$ . Then for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and every  $u > 0$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 & F_{x_n, fx_n}(2u) = F_{W(fx_n, x_0, r_n), fx_n}(2u) > \\
 & > t(F_{fx_n, fx_n}(u/r_n), F_{fx_n, x_0}(u/(1-r_n))) = \\
 & = t(1, F_{fx_n, x_0}(u/(1-r_n))) = F_{fx_n, x_0}(u/(1-r_n))
 \end{aligned}$$

and since  $f(K)$  is probabilistic bounded it follows that:

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_{x_n, fx_n}(u) = 1, \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

Further, from the relation  $x_n = W(fx_n, x_0, r_n)$ , ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ) it follows that  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset W(f(K), x_0, (0, 1))$ . From the inequality:

$$\Gamma_{x_n, f^m x_n}(u) \geq t(\Gamma_{x_n, f x_n}(u/2), t(\Gamma_{x_n, f x_n}(u/2^2), \dots \\ \dots, \Gamma_{x_n, f x_n}(u/(2^{m-1}))) \dots)$$

the continuity of  $t$  and (1) we obtain that:

$$(2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Gamma_{x_n, f^m x_n}(u) = 1, \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

We shall show, using (2), that there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . First, we shall prove that:

$$(3) \quad \gamma_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) = \gamma_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u), \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

Let us suppose that  $\gamma = \beta$ . In order to prove (3) we shall prove that for every  $s \in (0, u)$ :

$$(4) \quad \beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u - s) \leq \beta_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u).$$

Then from the left continuity of  $\beta$  it follows that:

$$\beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) \leq \beta_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u).$$

Similarly we can prove that:

$$\beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) > \beta_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u)$$

for every  $u > 0$  which implies that (3) is satisfied. Hence, we shall prove that (4) is satisfied. If  $\beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u - s) = 0$  for some  $u$  and  $s \in (0, u)$  then (4) holds. So, we shall suppose that  $\beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u - s) > 0$  for every  $u > 0$  and every  $s \in (0, u)$ . Let  $r > 0$  be such that:

$$r < \beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u - s).$$

Then there exists a finite set  $A_f \subset S$  such that:



$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \max_{z \in A_f} F_{f^m x_n, z}(u - s) > r$$

and hence there exist, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $z_n \in A_f$  such that  $F_{f^m x_n, z_n}(u - s) > r$ . From the relation  $t(1, r) = r$  and the continuity of  $t$  it follows that for every  $d \in (0, r)$  there exists  $d' \in (0, 1)$  so that:

$$1 > h > 1 - d' \Rightarrow t(h, r) > r - d.$$

From (2) it follows that there exists  $n_0(s, d') \in \mathbb{N}$  so that:

$$F_{f^m x_n, x_n}(s/2) > 1 - d', \text{ for every } n \geq n_0(s, d')$$

which implies that:

$$(5) \quad F_{x_n, z_n}(u - s/2) \geq t(F_{x_n, f^m x_n}(s/2), F_{f^m x_n, z_n}(u - s)) > r - d,$$

for every  $n \geq n_0(s, d')$ .

From (5) we obtain that:

$$r - d \leq \beta_{\{x_n, n \geq n_0(s, d')\}}(u) = \beta_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u).$$

Since  $d$  is an arbitrary element of the interval  $(0, r)$  we obtain that  $\beta_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) \geq r$  which implies (4). The mapping  $f^m$  is densifying on  $W(f(K), x_0, (0, 1))$  in respect to  $\beta$  and since

$$\beta_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) \leq \beta_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u)$$

we obtain that the set  $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is precompact. This means that there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Suppose that  $\gamma = \alpha$ . Similarly as in the case  $\gamma = \beta$  we shall prove that:

$$\alpha_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u - s) \leq \alpha_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u)$$

for every  $u > 0$  and every  $s \in (0, u)$ . Let  $r > 0$  be such that  $0 < r < \alpha_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u - s)$ . From the definition of the function  $\alpha$  it follows that there exist  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \subset S$  so that:

$$(6) \quad \{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n A_j, D_{A_j}(u - s) \geq r, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Relation (6) implies that  $F_{x, y}(u - s) \geq r, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  for every  $x, y \in A_j$ . Let  $d \in (0, r)$  and  $d' \in (0, 1)$  be such that

$$1 > h_1, h_2 > 1 - d' \Rightarrow t(h_1, t(r, h_2)) > r - d.$$

Let  $B_j = \{z, F_{z, y}(s/4) > 1 - d', \text{ for some } y \in A_j\}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $n_1(s, d') \in \mathbb{N}$  so that:

$$F_{x_n, f^m x_n}(s/4) > 1 - d', \text{ for every } n \geq n_1(s, d').$$

Then we can prove that  $\{x_n, n \geq n_1(s, d')\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n B_j$  where  $D_{B_j}(u) \geq r - d$ , for every  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . This implies that  $\alpha_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) \geq r - d$ , where  $d$  is an arbitrary element from  $(0, r)$ . Hence:

$$\alpha_{\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u) \geq \alpha_{\{f^m x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}}(u)$$

which implies that the set  $\{x_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is precompact. The space  $S$  is complete and so there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Let  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k} = x$ . Then from (1) and the continuity of  $f$  we obtain that  $fx = x$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $K$  be a closed, starshaped subset of  $S$  where  $(S, F, t)$  is a complete Menger space with a convex structure  $W$  and continuous  $T$ -norm  $t$ . Suppose that the mappings  $f, g, S, T : K \rightarrow K$  are such that  $S$  and  $T$  commute with  $f$  (or  $g$ ),  $f(K)$  (or  $g(K)$ ) is probabilistic bounded and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^m$  (or  $g^m$ ) is probabilistic  $\gamma$ -densifying ( $\gamma \in (a, \beta)$ ) on the set  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in f(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$  (or  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in g(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$ , where  $x_0$

is the star center for  $K$ , and for all  $x, y \in K$ :

$$F_{fx,gy}(s) \geq F_{Sx,Ty}(s), \text{ for every } s > 0.$$

(ii)  $S$  and  $T$  are continuous and  $(W, x_0)$ -convex.

Then there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $x = fx = gx = Sx = Tx$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that  $x_0 \in \text{Fix}(S, T) = \{x, x \in K, x = Sx = Tx\}$  and that  $\text{Fix}(S, T)$  is a closed and starshaped subset of  $S$ . Further for every  $x, y \in \text{Fix}(S, T)$ :

$$F_{fx,fy}(s) \geq F_{x,y}(s), \text{ for all } s > 0$$

and  $fx = gx$ , for every  $x \in \text{Fix}(S, T)$ . Let us prove that  $f(\text{Fix}(S, T)) \subseteq \text{Fix}(S, T)$ . Suppose that  $x \in \text{Fix}(S, T)$ . Then:

$$fx = fSx = Sfx, \quad fx = fTx = Tfx$$

and hence  $fx \in \text{Fix}(S, T)$ . This means that  $f(\text{Fix}(S, T)) \subseteq \text{Fix}(S, T)$ . So, we can apply Theorem 1 taking for the set  $K$  the set  $\text{Fix}(S, T)$ .

From Theorem 2 we obtain as a Corollary the following result obtained by Xie Ping Ding in [4].

*Corollary.* Let  $K$  be a closed, starshaped subset of  $X$  where  $(X, F, t)$  is a complete Menger space with a convex structure  $W$  and continuous  $T$ -norm  $t$ . Suppose that the mappings  $f, g, S, T : K \rightarrow K$  are such that  $S$  and  $T$  commute with  $f$  (or  $g$ ),  $f(K)$  is probabilistic bounded and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^m$  (or  $g^m$ ) is precompact on the set  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in f(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$  (or  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in g(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$ ) where  $x_0$  is the star center for  $K$ , and for all  $x, y \in K$ :

$$F_{fx,gy}(s) \geq F_{Sx,Ty}(s), \text{ for every } s > 0.$$

(ii)  $S$  and  $T$  are continuous and  $(W, x_0)$ -convex.

Then there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $x = fx = gx = Sx = Tx$ .

*Proof.* It remains to be proved that the condition (i) of Theorem 2 is satisfied. Since  $f^m$  (or  $g^m$ ) is precompact on the set  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in f(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$  (or on the set  $\{W(x, x_0, s), x \in g(K), s \in (0, 1)\}$ ) it follows from the property 6) of the Kuratowski function  $\alpha$  and from the same property of the function  $\beta$  that:

$$\gamma_{f^m(W(f(K), x_0, (0, 1)))} = H, \gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}.$$

Suppose now that for some  $B \subset W(f(K), x_0, (0, 1))$ :

$$\gamma_{f^m(B)}(u) \leq \gamma_B(u), \text{ for every } u > 0.$$

We have to prove that the set  $B$  is precompact. Using property 3) we obtain that for every  $u > 0$ :

$$1 = \gamma_{f^m(W(f(K), x_0, (0, 1)))}(u) \leq \gamma_{f^m(B)}(u) \leq \gamma_B(u)$$

and so  $\gamma_B(u) = 1$ . This implies that the set  $B$  is precompact. This means that  $f^m$  is probabilistic  $\gamma$ -densifying. The following well known result of D. Göhde is also a Corollary of Theorem 1.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space,  $K$  a closed, starshaped subset of  $X$ ,  $f : K \rightarrow K$  a nonexpansive mapping so that there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $f^m(K)$  is relatively compact. Then there exists  $x \in K$  such that  $x = fx$ .

*Proof.* Every Banach space is a random normed space  $(X, F, \min)$  where the mapping  $F : X \rightarrow D$  is defined by:

$$\Gamma_x(u) = \begin{cases} 1, & \|x\| < u \\ 0, & \|x\| \geq u \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that  $\Gamma_{fx, fy}(u) \geq \Gamma_{x, y}(u)$ , for every  $x, y \in K$

and every  $u > 0$ . Further, the set  $f^m(K)$  is relatively compact if and only if  $\gamma_{f^m(K)} = H$  and so the mapping  $f^m$  is densifying on  $K$  in respect to  $\gamma$  ( $\gamma \in \{\alpha, \beta\}$ ). Hence, if we take that  $W(x, y, s) = sx + (1 - s)y$  ( $x, y \in X, s \in [0, 1]$ ) all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

## R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] Gh. Bocsan, On the Kuratowski's function in random normed spaces, Sem. Teor. Funct. Mat. Apl., A. Spatiu metric probabiliste, Timisoara, 8 (1974).
- [2] G. L. Cain and R. H. Kasriel, Fixed and Periodic Points of Local Contraction Mappings on Probabilistic Metric Spaces, Math. Systems Theory Vol. 9. No. 4 (1976), 289-297.
- [3] Gh. Constantin, I. Istratescu, Elemente of Analiza Probabilista si Aplicatii, Editura Academiei Republici Socialiste Romania, Bucuresti, 1981.
- [4] Xie Ping Ding, Common fixed points for nonexpansive type mappings in convex and probabilistic convex metric spaces, Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak., Ser. Mat., 16, 1 (1986), 73-84.
- [5] D. G8hde, Zum Princip der kontraktiven Abbildung, Math. Nachr. 30 (1965), 251-258.
- [6] O. Hadžić, Fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings in probabilistic metric spaces, Mat. vesnik 3(16)(31), (1979), 125-133.
- [7] O. Hadžić, Some theorems on the fixed points in probabilistic metric and random normed spaces, Boll. Unione Mat. Ital., 6, 1-8 (1982), 381-391.
- [8] O. Hadžić, On coincidence points in metric and probabilistic metric spaces with a convex structure, Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak., Ser. Mat. 15(1) (1985), 11-22.
- [9] O. Hadžić, Some common fixed point theorems in convex metric spaces, Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod. -Mat. Fak., Ser. Mat., 15, 2 (1985), 1-13.
- [10] T. L. Hicks, Fixed point theory in probabilistic metric spaces, Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak., Ser. Mat., 13 (1983), 63-72.

- [11] S. Itoh, Multivalued generalized contractions and fixed point theorems, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 18(2)(1977), 247-258.
- [12] K. Menger, Statistical metric, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* 28 (1942), 535-537.
- [13] S. A. Naimpally, K. L. Singh and J. H. Whitfield, Common fixed points for nonexpansive and asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 24, 2 (1983), 287-300.
- [14] B. E. Rhoades, K. L. Singh and J. H. M. Whitfield, Fixed points for generalized nonexpansive mappings, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 23, 3 (1982), 443-451.
- [15] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, North-Holland Series in Probability and Applied Mathematics, 5, (1983).
- [16] V. Sehgal, A. Bharucha-Reid, Fixed points of contractions mappings on probabilistic metric spaces, *Math. System. Theory* 6(1972), 97-102.
- [17] W. Takahashi, A convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings, 1, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* 22(1970), 142-149.

## REZIME

### TEOREME O ZAJEDNIČKOJ NEPOKRETNOSTI U VEROVATNOSNIM METRIČKIM PROSTORIMA SA KONVEKSNOM STRUKTUROM

Korišćenjem osobina funkcija nekompaktnosti u ovom radu su dokazane neke teoreme o zajedničkoj nepokretnosti tački u verovatnosnim metričkim prostorima sa konveksnom strukturom.

Received by the editors June 1, 1987.