

BIJECTIVE MAPS WHICH ARE CLOSE TO
PARTIAL ISOMETRIES

Endre Pap

*University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science,
Institute of Mathematics, Dr I. Djuričića 4,
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

ABSTRACT

In this paper it is introduced the notion of partial isometry from a set X onto a set Y which are endowed with the families of pseudometrics. It is proved an estimation for the closeness of a partial linear isometry to a bijective map M from a locally convex space onto sequential complete Hausdorff locally convex space.

1. INTRODUCTION

The classical problem of Hyers and Ulam [2] asked whether any map M from one real Banach space X onto another real Banach space Y such that for some $\varepsilon > 0$

$$|\|M(x) - M(y)\| - \|x - y\|| < \varepsilon, \quad (x, y \in X),$$

is "close" to an isometry. This problem was recently solved by

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 47A05, 54E40.

Key words and phrases: (I, J') -isometry, pseudometric center.

Gevirtz [1] in the sense that for surjective map M there exists a linear surjective isometry J such that

$$\|M(x) - J(x)\| \leq 5\epsilon, \quad (x \in X).$$

He used some results of A. Vogt [7], who considered Mazur-Ulam theorem [4].

We shall use the approach of Lindenstrauss, Szankowski [3] to obtain estimation for closeness of an partial linear isometry of a bijective map M from a locally convex space X onto sequential complete Hausdorff locally convex space. The notion of partial isometry is introduced in this paper as a generalization of the isometry.

2. PARTIAL ISOMETRIES

First, we generalize the notion of isometry on metric spaces.

Definition 1. Let X be a nonempty set endowed with a family of pseudometrics $\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ (will be denoted by $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$) and let Y be another nonempty set endowed with a family of pseudometrics $\{D_j\}_{j \in J}$. A map J from X onto Y is a (I, J) -isometry, or if there is no possibility for misunderstanding, only partial isometry, if for every $j \in J$ there exists $i \in I$ such that

$$D_j(J(x), J(y)) = d_i(x, y), \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Since each uniformity U for a set X is generated by the family P of all pseudo-metrics which are uniformly continuous on $X \times X$ (P is usually called the gage of U) we can introduce by Definition 1. the notion of partial isometry between two uniform spaces.

We have the following obvious properties of partial isometries.

Proposition 1. Let X, Y and Z be nonempty sets endowed with the families of pseudometrics $\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{D_j\}_{j \in J}$, $\{r_s\}_{s \in S}$, respectively. If J_1 is an (I, J) -isometry from X onto Y and J_2 be a (J, S) -isometry from Y onto Z , then $J_2 \circ J_1$ is an (I, S) -isometry from X onto Z .

Proposition 2. Let X and Y be uniform spaces with the corresponding gages P and Q , respectively. Then each (P, Q) -isometry from X onto Y is uniformly continuous.

Remark. Some authors call a map J isometry also if it maps X into Y . In this case our definition reduces on surjective isometry.

3. AN ESTIMATION FOR PSEUDOMETRIC CENTERS

Let M be a map from a set X into a set Y , endowed with the families of pseudometrics $\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{D_j\}_{j \in J}$, respectively. We introduce the following function defined for $t \geq 0$

$$(1) \quad \phi_M^{i,j}(t) = \sup\{|D_j(M(x), M(y)) - d_i(x, y)| \mid \\ |d_i(x, y) \leq t \text{ or } D_j(M(x), M(y)) \leq t\}.$$

Definition 2. The point u_i of the set X endowed with the family of pseudometrics $\{d_i\}_{i \in I}$ is called a pseudometric center with respect to d_i for $x, y \in X$ if there exists an isometry $a_i : X \rightarrow X$ such that $a_i(x) = y$ and for every $v \in X$ holds

$$a_i(a_i(v)) = v, \quad d_i(v, a_i(v)) = 2d_i(v, u_i).$$

The point u of the set X is called a pseudometric center for $x, y \in X$ if it is pseudometric center with respect to d_i for all $i \in I$.

We have the following reformulation of the Proposition from [3].

Theorem 1. Let M be a bijective map from $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$ onto $(Y, \{D_j\}_{j \in J})$. Let ϕ be any function such that $\phi(t) \geq \phi_M^{i,j}(t)$ for some $i \in I$ and some $j \in J$ and $\phi(2t) \leq 2\phi(t)$ for every t . Let $x, y \in X$ and assume that this pair has a pseudometric center u_i with respect to d_i and that $M(x), M(y)$ has a pseudometric center v_j with respect to D_j . If n be an integer such that $2^n \geq (d_i(x, y) / (\phi(d_i(x, y))))$, then

$$(2) \quad D_j(v_j, M(u_i)) \leq 19\phi(d_i) + \phi\left(\frac{1}{2}d_i\right) + \phi\left(\frac{1}{4}d_i\right) + \dots + \phi(2^{-n}d_i),$$

where $d_i = d_i(x, y)$.

Theorem follows from the Proposition from [3] applying it on spaces (X, d_i) and (Y, D_j) .

Definition 3. $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$ has property (P) if for every i , every $x, y \in X$ and each $r, t \geq 0$ exists $w_i \in X$ such that $d_i(x, w_i) \leq r$, and $d_i(y, w_i) \leq t$ and $d_i(x, y) = d_i(x, w_i) + d_i(y, w_i)$. If the preceding is true for all $i \in I$, then we say the X has property (P).

We remark, that from the preceding definition it follows: for each $x \in X$ and each $r \geq 0$ there exists $w \in X$ such that $d_i(x, w) \leq r$, i.e. each point x of X is an accumulation point.

Proposition 3. Each locally convex space satisfies the condition (P).

Proof. The element w from Definition 3. is of the form $sx + (1 - s)y$, $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

Proposition 4. Let M be a map from a space $(X, \{d_i\}_{i \in I})$ onto space $(Y, \{D_j\}_{j \in J})$ both with property (P). Then for all $i \in I$ and all $j \in J$

$$\phi_M^{i,j}(r+t) \leq \phi_M^{i,j}(r) + \phi_M^{i,j}(t), \quad (r, t \geq 0).$$

Proof. We shall investigate separately two cases:

1) $d_i(x, y) \leq r+t$ and $D_j(M(x), M(y)) \geq d_i(x, y)$;

$$2) \quad D_j(M(x), M(y)) \leq d_i(x, y) \text{ and } D_j(M(x), M(y)) \leq r+t.$$

In the first case, we take by (P) an element $w \in X$ such that $d_i(x, w) \leq r$, $d_i(y, w) \leq t$ and $d_i(x, y) = d_i(x, w) + d_i(y, w)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |D_j(M(x), M(y)) - d_i(x, y)| &= D_j(M(x), M(y)) - d_i(x, y) \\ &\leq D_j(M(x), M(w)) - d_i(x, w) - d_i(w, y) + D_j(M(w), M(y)) \\ &\leq \phi_M^{i,j}(r) + \phi_M^{i,j}(t). \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, there exists $w \in X$ such that $D_j(M(x), M(w)) \leq r$, $D_j(M(w), M(y)) \leq t$ and $D_j(M(x), M(y)) = D_j(M(x), M(w)) + D_j(M(w), M(y))$ (we have used that $M(X) = Y$ and the property (P) of Y). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |D_j(M(x), M(y)) - d_i(x, y)| &= d_i(x, y) - D_j(M(x), M(y)) \\ &\leq d_i(x, w) - D_j(M(x), M(y)) + d_i(w, y) - D_j(M(w), M(y)) \\ &\leq \phi_M^{i,j}(r) + \phi_M^{i,j}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2. Let M be a bijective map from a locally convex space X , endowed with the family of seminorms $\{p_i\}_{i \in I}$, onto a sequentially complete Hausdorff space Y , endowed with the family of seminorms $\{P_j\}_{j \in J}$.

If for each $j \in J$ there exists $i \in I$ such that

$$(3) \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{\phi_M^{i(j),j}(t)}{t^2} < \infty,$$

where

$$\phi_M^{i,j}(t) = \sup\{|P_j(M(x), M(y)) - p_i(x-y)| \mid p_i(x-y) \leq t \text{ or}$$

$$P_j(M(x) - M(y)) \leq t\},$$

then there exists a linear (I, J) -isometry J from X onto Y such that

$$(4) \quad P_j(M(x) - J(x)) \leq K(p_{i(j)}(x)) \int_{p_{i(j)}(x)}^{\infty} \frac{\phi^j(t)}{t^2} dt + \\ + \int_1^{p_{i(j)}(x)} \frac{\phi^j(t)}{t} dt),$$

where K is an universal constant and $\phi^j(t) = \max\{1, \phi_M^{i(j),j}(t)\}$.

Proof. By Proposition 3. both X and Y have the property (P) and so by Proposition 4. it holds $\phi^{i,j}(2t) \leq 2\phi^{i,j}(t)$ where $\phi^{i,j}(t) = \max\{1, \phi_M^{i,j}(t)\}$. Now, we can apply Theorem 1. on the points $x = 0$ and $y = 2^n w$. The corresponding pseudometric centers are $u = (x+y)/2$ and $v = (M(x) + M(y))/2$ independent from i and j . So we have $u = 2^{n-1}w$ and $v = 2^{-1}M(2^n w)$. Let $M_n(w) := 2^{-n}M(2^n w) - 2^{-n+1}M(2^{n-1}w)$, ($n \in \mathbb{N}$).

If we suppose that $p_i(w) \leq 2^m$ for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then (2) implies

$$P_j(M_n(w)) \leq 2^{-n+1}(19\phi^j(2^{n+m}) + \phi^j(2^{n+m+1}) + \dots \\ \dots + \phi^j(1)).$$

Hence

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_j(M_n(w)) \leq 19 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n+1} \phi^j(2^{n+m}) + \\ + \sum_{j=m+1}^{\infty} \phi^j(2^j) \sum_{n=j-m}^{\infty} 2^{-n} + \sum_{j=0}^m \phi^j(2^j) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} < \\ < 20 \cdot 2^m \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \phi^j(2^n) + 2 \sum_{j=0}^m \phi^j(2^j).$$

Since (3) holds, we can use Lemma 2 from [3] and we obtain that

$$\sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} \phi^j(2^n)$$

converges. Then the preceding inequalities imply that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_j(M_n(w))$ converges for all $j \in J'$. Hence $\{\sum_{k=1}^n M_k(w)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X . Since Y is sequentially complete, there exists $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(w)$. Hence there exists

$$(6) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n}M(2^n w) = M(w) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n(w),$$

which defines an operator $J : X \rightarrow Y$, i.e.

$$(7) \quad J(w) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 2^{-n}M(2^n w).$$

(6) implies

$$P_j(J(x) - M(x)) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_j(M_n(w)).$$

By (5) and Lemma 2 from [3], taking m in the case $p_i(w) \geq 1$ such that $p_i(w) \geq 2^{m-1}$, we obtain (4).

We have to prove that the map J is a surjective linear (I, J') -isometry. We remark that condition (3) implies $\phi_M^{i(j), j}(t) = o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Using this fact and Theorem 1. for $2^n x, 2^n y, (x, y \in X; n \in \mathbb{N})$ we obtain for each $j \in J'$

$$P_j(2^{-n}M(2^n \cdot \frac{1}{2}(x+y)) - \frac{1}{2}(2^{-n}M(2^n x) + 2^{-n}M(2^n y))) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Hence by (7)

$$(8) \quad J(\frac{1}{2}(x+y)) = \frac{1}{2}(J(x) + J(y)), \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Since

$$\phi_M^{i(j), j}(t) = \sup\{|P_j(M(x) - M(y)) - p_i(x-y)| p_i(x-y) \leq t$$

or $P_j(M(x) - M(y)) \leq t\} = o(t)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

and (7) hold, we obtain that for each $j \in J'$ there exists $i \in I$ such that

$$P_j(J(x) - J(y)) = p_i(x-y).$$

By (8) J is linear. We have to prove that $J(X) = Y$. Suppose that this is not true. Then there exists an element y from Y such that $P_j(y) = 1$ and $\inf\{D_j(y, J(X)) : z \in J(X)\} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. Taking $x_n \in X$ such that $M(x_n) = ny$ we obtain $P_j(M(x_n) - J(x_n)) \geq \frac{n}{2}$. This is a contradiction with (4), since relation (4) implies $P_j(M(x_n) - J(x_n)) = o(n)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Combining the result of Gevirtz [1] and Theorem 2.1. from [5] we have:

Theorem 3. Let M be a map from a real Banach space X onto a real Banach space Y . If for some $\varepsilon > 0$ holds

$$|\|M(x) - M(y)\| - \|x-y\|| < \varepsilon, \quad (x, y \in X),$$

then M is a 15ε -homomorphism, i.e.

$$\|M(x+y) - M(x) - M(y)\| \leq 15\varepsilon.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Gevirtz, J.: *Stability of isometries on Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.,
- [2] Hyres, D.M., Ulam, S.M.: *On approximate isometries*, Bull. Amer. math. Soc. 51, (1945), 288-292.
- [3] Lindenstrauss, J., Szankowski, A.: *Non linear perturbations of isometries*, Coll. Laurent Schwartz 1983, Vol. I, Asterisque 131, (1985), 357-371.
- [4] Mazur, S., Ulam, S.: *Sur les transformations isométriques d'espaces vectoriels normes*, Comptes Rendus Acad. Sci. Paris 194, (1932), 946-948.
- [5] Pap, E.: *Approximately and bounded homomorphisms on uniform commutative semigroups*, Zbornik radova PMF u N. Sadu (to appear).

- [6] Rolewicz, S.: *Metric Linear Spaces*, V. Reidel Publ. Comp., 1985.
- [7] Vogt, A.: *Maps which preserve equality of distance*, *Studia Math.* 45, (1973), 43-48.

REZIME

BIJEKTIVNA PRESLIKAVANJA KOJA SU BLISKA
PARCIJALNIM IZOMETRIJAMA

U radu je uveden pojam parcijalne izometrije sa skupa X na skup Y koji su snabdeveni familijama pseudometrike. Dokazano je da je za proizvoljno bijektivno preslikavanje M sa lokalno konveksnog vektorskog prostora na nizovno kompletan Hausdorffov lokalno konveksan vektorski prostor postoji parcijalna linearna izometrija koja je bliska preslikavanju M i data je procena za ovu bliskost.

Received by the editors June 1, 1988.