Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. 18,1,101-109(1988)

REVIEW OF RESEARCE PACULTY OF SCIENCE MATHEMATICS SERIES

NIKODYM TYPE THEOREM! FOR METRIC VALUED xn-EXHAUSTIVE SET FUNCTIONS

Endre Pap

University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science, Institute of Mathematics. Da. J. Divaičića 4, 21000 Novi Sad, rugosravan

ABSTRACT

In this paper the Nikodym theorem on uniform boundedness for \mathbf{x}_0 -exhaustive metric space valued set functions is proved.

The well-known Nikodym theorem on uniform boundedness of measures [8] has been generalized by many authors in different directions (see references). We can see all these approaches in the following simplified way. They consider a family F of set functions which are defined on a class of subsets of a given set (σ -ring, rings with some additional properties, etc.) with values in some topological algebraic structure (real numbers, Banach space, topological group, uniform semigroup, etc.) and this algebraic structure enables us to introduce some properties of set functions (additivity, subadditivity, k-triangularity, etc.) or combined with topology (countability, exhaustivity, etc.).

AMS Mathematical Subject Classification (1980): 28A33, 28B99. Key words and phrases: x_0 -exhaustive set function, d-bounded.

In this paper we shall consider set functions with values in a metric space M without supposing any algebraic structure on M. This implies that we have not any usual properties for set functions which would be implied with such an algebraic structure. We shall introduce the class of x_0 -exhaustive set functions which are in connection with a fixed point x_0 from M. Using the main idea of I. Dobrakov [4], we have proved the uniform boundedness theorem for such a class of set functions

Let M be a metric space with the metric d. We shall repeat the well-known

<u>Definition 1.</u> A subset A of a metric space (M,d) is <u>d-bounded</u>, or simply bounded, iff there exists M > 0 such that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}\in\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{d}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y})<\mathbf{M}.$$

We have the obvious

<u>Proposition 1.</u> The following statements are equivalent for a subset A of M:

a) There exists an element x_0 from M and a constant M_{x_0} > 0 such that

$$\sup_{y \in A} d(x_0, y) < M_{x_0};$$

b) For each element x from M there exists $M_{_{\mbox{\scriptsize X}}}$ > 0 such that holds

$$\sup_{y \in A} d(x,y) < M_{X};$$

c) A is d-bounded.

Proof. a) \Rightarrow b). Let for some $x_0 \in M$,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{A}} d(\mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{y}) < \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{x}_0}.$$

Then for an arbitrary fixed x ∈ M it holds that

 $d(x,y) \le d(x,x_0) + d(x_0,y)$, $(y \in A)$. Hence,

$$\sup_{y \in A} d(x,y) < M'_{x_0},$$

where $M_x' = d(x, x_0) + M_{x_0}$. b) \Rightarrow c) and c) \Rightarrow a) are obvious.

Remark 1. In a topological vector space X a subset A is bounded (topological) if it is contained in all the sufficiently large multiples kV of any neigbourhood V of 0. If X is pseudometrizable, then a bounded set, in the previous sense, is also d-bounded. But the opposite is not true. For example, the whole vector space Z of all the sequences of complex numbers endowed with pointwise operations and metric

$$d(\{z_n\}, \{w_n\}) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{|z_n - w_n|}{1 + |z_n - w_n|}$$

is d-bounded, but not topologically bounded.
We shall need the following definition from [2].

Definition 2. A ring of sets Σ is called a quasi- σ -ring if any disjoint sequence in Σ possesses a subsequence which belongs to the family of disjoint sequences $\{A_n\}$ in Σ for which

$$\{ \bigcup_{n \in M} A_n \mid M \subset IN \} \subset \Sigma.$$

Let Σ be a quasi- $\sigma\text{-ring}$ of sets and let \boldsymbol{M} be a metric space.

Definition 3. A set function μ : Σ + M is said to be $\textbf{x_0}^-$ -exhaustive, for $\textbf{x_0}$ \in M, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(\mu(E_n), x_0) = 0$$

for each infinite sequence $\{E_n^{}\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from Σ .

Remark 2. If a set function $\mu: \Sigma \to M$ is x_0 -exhaustive for $x_0 \in M$, then it cannot also be y_0 -exhaustive for some

 $y_0 \notin M, y_0 \neq x_0$

Taking a special sequence of sets ϕ, ϕ, \ldots in the preceding Defihition 3, we obtain that for an x_0 -exhaustive set function μ holds $\mu(\phi) = x_0$. This is the reason why in the case of the additive set functions with values in spaces with some algebraic structure, for example the topological group, we can consider only the 0-exhaustive set functions.

<u>Proposition'2.</u> Let M be a family of set functions $\mu: \Sigma \to M$. Then the set

$$\{\mu(E) \mid u \in M, E \in \Sigma\}$$

is d-bounded iff the following conditions hold:

(1) For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $s(m) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(\mu(A), \mu(B)) > s(m)$ implies

either $\sup\{d(\mu(C),x_0) \mid C \in \Sigma, C \subset A \setminus B\} > m$

or $\sup\{d(\mu(C),x_0) \mid C \in \Sigma, C \subset B \setminus A\} > m$,

 $(\mu \in \mathbb{M}; A, B \in \Sigma)$, and

(ii) the set

 $\{\mu(D_n) | \mu \in M, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is d-bounded for every sequence $\{D_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from Σ .

Proof. The necessity of the conditions (i) and (ii) is obvious.

The conditions (i) and (ii) are sufficient. Namely, suppose that the set

$$\{\mu(E) \mid E \in \Sigma, \mu \in M\}$$

is not d-bounded. Then for $x_0 \in M$ there exists a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ from M and a sequence $\{E_n\}$ of sets from Σ such that

$$d(\mu_n(\mathbb{E}_n), x_0) > n, (n \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Condition (ii) implies $d(\mu_n(E_1),x_0) < M$ for some M>0, so (1) implies

$$d(\mu_n(\underline{\mathbb{E}}_1),\mu_n(\underline{\mathbb{E}}_n)) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, by (ii) either

a) $\sup\{d(H(C),x_0) \mid C \in \Sigma, C \subset E_n \setminus E\} \rightarrow \infty$

or

b)
$$\sup\{d(\mu_1(C), x_0) \mid C \in \Sigma, C \subset E_1 \setminus E_1 \rightarrow \infty.$$

Now, we shall choose a subsequence $\{\mu_{n_i}\}$ of $\{\mu_n\}$ and a sequence of sets $\{D_n\}$ from Σ in the following way. Let $\mu_{n_1} = \mu_1$ and $D_1 = E_1$. In the case a) we choose n_2 such that $\mu_{n_2} = \mu_{i_2}$ where i_2, i_3, \ldots is a sequence of natural numbers and E_{i_2}, E_{i_3}, \ldots sets from $\{E_n\}$ and sets $E_j^1 \subset E_{i_j}$, $E_j^1 \subset \Sigma$, $(j=2,3,\ldots)$ such that

$$d(\mu_{i_j}(E_j^1 \setminus E_1), x_0) > j, j = 2,3,...$$

We choose $D_2 = E_2^1 \setminus E_1$. Now, we repeat the procedure for sequences $\{\mu_{ij}\}$ and $\{E_j^1 \setminus E_1\}_2^{\infty}$. In the case b), we choose n_2 such that $\mu_{n_2} = \mu_{i_2}$ where

In the case b), we choose n_2 such that $\mu_{n_2} = \mu_{i_2}$ where we take that i_2, i_3, \ldots is a sequence of natural numbers and E_{i_2}, E_{i_3}, \ldots sets from $\{E_n\}$ and sets $E_j^1 \subset E_{i_j}$, $E_j^1 \in \Sigma$ $(j = 2, 3, \ldots)$ such that

$$d(\mu_{i_{j}}(E_{1} \setminus E_{j}^{1}),x_{0}) > j, j = 2,3,...$$

Let $A_1 = E_1$ and $A_2 = E_1 \setminus E_2$.

Now,we shall repeat the procedure for the sequences $\mu_{i_2}, \mu_{i_3}, \ldots$ and $E_1 \setminus E_2^1, E_1 \setminus E_3^1, \ldots$.

Repeating these procedures we either construct a sequence $\{\mu_{n_k}\}$ of set functions from M and a sequence $\{D_k\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from Σ such that

$$d(\mu_{n_k}(D_k), x_0) > k$$
, $(k \in \mathbb{N})$, (contradiction with (ii)!),

or we construct a sequence $\{\mu_{\mathbf{n_k}}\}$ of set functions from M and a non-increasing sequence $\{A_{\mathbf{k}}\}$ of sets from Σ such that

$$d(\mu_{n_k}(A_k), x_0) > k, (k \in IN).$$

Then condition (i) implies the existence of subsequences $\{\mu_{n_{k_{1}}}\}$ and $\{A_{k_{1}}\}$ such that

$$d(\mu_{n_{k_{i}}}(A_{k_{i-1}} \setminus A_{k_{i}}), x_{0}) > i, (i \in \mathbb{N})$$

for $k_0 = 1$, which is in contradiction with (ii).

We have the obvious

Proposition 3. Let μ : Σ + M be an x_0 -exhaustive set function, and let $\{A_n\}$ be a sequence of pairwise disjoint elements from Σ . Then for each ϵ > 0. there exists a subsequence $\{A_{n_i}\}$ of $\{A_n\}$ such that

$$\sup\{d(\mu(\mathtt{C})\,,\mathbf{x}_0)\ |\ \mathtt{C}\in\Sigma,\ \mathtt{C}\subset\underset{i\in I}{\cup}\mathtt{A}_{n_i}\}\,<\,\epsilon\,,$$

for any $I \subset IN$.

Now, we have the main result

Theorem. Let M be a family of x_0 -exhaustive set functions $\mu: \Sigma \to M$, where M is a metric space.

Then the set $\{\mu(E); \mu \in M, E \in \Sigma\}$ is d-bounded iff the following conditions hold

(i) for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $s(m) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(\mu(A), \mu(B)) > s(m)$, implies either $d(\mu(A \setminus B), x_0) > m$, or $d(\mu(B)A), x_0) > m$

and

.(ii) the set

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \lim_{n\to\infty}\sup\{d(\mu(A\cup B),x_0)\mid B\in\Sigma\;,\\ \\ \sup\{d\mu(C),x_0)\mid C\in\Sigma,\; C\subset B\}<\frac{1}{n}\;\}\mid \mu\in M \right\}$$

is bounded for each $A \in \Sigma$.

<u>Proof.</u> Conditions (i) and (ii) are obvious and necessary.
Suppose now that conditions (i) and (ii) hold but the set

$$\{\mu(E): \mu \in M, E \in \Sigma\}$$

is not d-bounded. Then by Proposition 1 and Proposition 2 there

exist a sequence $\{\mu_n\}$ from M and a sequence $\{D_n\}$ of pairwise disjoint sets from Σ such that

$$d(\mu_n(D_n),x_0) > n$$
, $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

We take that

(2)
$$m_1 = \left[\sup_{S \to \infty} \left\{ \lim_{S \to \infty} \sup_{S \to \infty} \left\{ d(\mu_n(D_1 \cup B), x_0) \right\} \right\} \in \Sigma ,$$

$$\sup_{C \subset B} d(\mu_n(C), x_0) \left\{ \frac{1}{S} \right\} \right\} + 1 \in \mathbb{N}, \text{ by (ii).}$$

$$C \subset E \subset \Sigma$$

Then, there exists $\mathbf{k}(\mathbf{m}_1) \in \mathbf{IN}$, by (i). We take that $\mathbf{m}_1 > \mathbf{s}(\mathbf{m}_1) + 1$. By Proposition 3 and (2) there exists a subsequence $\{\mathbf{D}_n^1\}$ of the sequence $\mathbf{D}_{n_1+1}, \mathbf{D}_{n_2+2}, \ldots$ such that

$$d(\mu_{n_1}(D_1 \cup \bigcup_{i \in I} D_i^1) < m_1$$

for arbitrary I ⊂ IN. Now, we shall take that

$$m_2 = \{ \sup_{n \in S \to \infty} \{ \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup \{ d(\mu_n^{(D_1 \cup D_{n_1} \cup B)} \} x_0) \mid B \in \Sigma,$$

supd(
$$\mu(C), x_0 > \frac{1}{5}$$
)] + 1 $\in \mathbb{N}$, $C \subseteq B$

by (ii) and repeating the preceding procedure. Continuing this procedure we can construct two sequences of natural numbers $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}_0^{\infty}$, $n_0 = 1$, such that

$$\mathbf{m}_{k} = \left[\sup_{n \in S \to \infty} \left\{ \lim_{s \to \infty} \sup_{s \to \infty} \left\{ \mathbf{d} \left(\mu_{\mathbf{n}} \bigcup_{i=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{n}_{i}} \cup \mathbf{B} \right), \mathbf{x}_{0} \right) \mid \mathbf{B} \in \Sigma, \right]$$

supd(μ(C,x₀) <
$$\frac{1}{s}$$
}}] + 1 ∈ IN,
C⊂B

(3)
$$d(\mu_{n_k}(D_{n_k}), x_0) > n_k > s(m_k) + k$$

and

(4)
$$d(\mu_{n_{k}} (\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} D_{n_{i}} \setminus D_{n_{k}}), x_{0}) < \pi_{k}, (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

If we take that $k > \sup_{j} d(u_{n_{j}}(U, D_{n_{j}}), x_{0})$, then we obtain by (3) that

$$d(\mu_{n_{k}}(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}D_{n_{i}}), \mu_{n_{k}}(D_{n_{k}})) \ge d(\mu_{n_{k}}(D_{n_{k}}), x_{0}) - d(\mu_{n_{k}}(\bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty}D_{n_{i}}), x_{0}) >$$

By (i), this implies

$$d(\mu_{n_{k}, i=0}^{\infty} D_{n_{i}} \setminus D_{n_{k}}), x_{0}) > m_{k}, (k \in \mathbb{N}),$$

a contradiction with (4).

REFERENCES

- [1] V. N. Aleksjuk, On weak compactness of a set of quasimeasures. On a connection between metrics and measure (in Russian), Sibirsk. Mat. 7. 11 (1970, 723-738.
- [2] C. Constantinescu. Spaces of Measures, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin -New York, 1984.
- [3] I. Dobrakov, J. Farkova, On submeasures II, Math. Slovaca 30 (1980), 65-81.
- [4] I. Dobrakov, Uniform boundedness principle for exhaustive set functions, Annal. Soc. Math. Polon., Ser. 1; Comm. Math. 24 (1984), 201-205.
- [5] L. Drewnowski, Topological rings of sets continuous set functions integration I, II, III, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci.; Ser. Math. Astronom. Phys. 20 (1972), 269-286, 434-445.
- [6] L. Drewnowski, Uniform boundedness principle for finitely additive vector measures, ibidem 21 (1973), 115-118.
- [7] N. S. Guselnikov, Triangular set functions and Nikodym theorem on uniform boundedness of a family of measures (in Russian), Math. Sbornik (Novaja Serija) 106 (148) (1978), 340-356.
- [8] O. Nikodym, Sur les suites de fonctions parfaitement additives d'ensembles abstraits, C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris, 192 (1931), 727.
- [9] E. Pap. Uniform boundedness of a family of exhaustive set functions, Mat. Vesnik 13 (28) (1976), 319-326.
- [10] E. Pap. Uniform boundedness of family of triangle semigroup valued set functions, Zbornik radova PMF u Novom Sadu, 10 (1980), 77-82.

- [11] E. Pap. Funkcionalna analiza, Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad, Novi Sad, 1982.
- [12] H. Weber, Compactness in spaces of group-valued contents, the Vitali Ethn-Saks theorem and Nikodym boundeness theorem, Rocky Mountain J. Math., 16(2) (1986), 253-275.

REZIME

NIKODYMOVA TEOREMA ZA ×₀-EKSHAUSTIVNE SKUPOVNE FUNKCIJE SA VREDNOSTIMA U METRIČKOM PROSTORU

Received by the editors December 2, 1987.