

ON  $\alpha$ -PRODUCTS OF DISTRIBUTIONS

Brian Fisher<sup>\*</sup> and Arpad Takači<sup>\*\*</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, The University,  
Leicester, LE1 7RH, England

<sup>\*\*</sup>University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science,  
Institute of Mathematics, Dr. I. Djuričića 4,  
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia

ABSTRACT

Using the neutrix calculus we introduce and analyse a more general product of distributions than in [4]. In particular, we find the " $\alpha$ -product"  $x^\lambda \overset{\alpha}{\circ} x^\mu$  for  $\lambda + \mu < -1$ ,  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $\alpha = -\lambda - \mu - 1 - [-\lambda - \mu]$ .

☆

In the following  $\rho$  denotes a fixed infinitely differentiable function having the properties

(i)  $\rho(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq 1$ ,

(ii)  $\rho(x) \geq 0$ ,

(iii)  $\rho(x) = \rho(-x)$ ,

(iv)  $\int_{-1}^1 \rho(x) dx = 1$ .

---

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 46F10.

Key words and phrases: Neutrix calculus, product of distributions, distribution vector.

The function  $\delta_n$  is defined by  $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ .

It is obvious that the sequence  $\{\delta_n\}$  is regular and converges to the Dirac delta-function  $\delta$ .

The following definitions and theorem were given in [4].

Definition 1. Let  $h_r$  be a distribution for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We say that

$$\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$$

is a distribution vector.

If  $h_{r+1} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , we write

$$\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, 0, \dots] = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r]$$

and if  $h_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , we write

$$\underline{h} = [h_0] = h_0.$$

The set of all distribution vectors is made into a vector space by defining the sum and product by a scalar in the usual way.

Definition 2. Let  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  be a distribution vector and let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary test function with compact support. We define  $(\underline{h}, \phi)$  by the sequence of real numbers

$$(\underline{h}, \phi) = ((h_0, \phi), (h_1, \phi), \dots, (h_r, \phi), \dots).$$

Definition 3. Let  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  be a distribution vector. We define the derivative  $\underline{h}'$  of  $\underline{h}$  by

$$\underline{h}' = [h_0', h_1', \dots, h_r', \dots].$$

Theorem 1. Let  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  be a distribution vector and let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary test function with compact

support. Then

$$(\underline{h}', \phi) = -(\underline{h}, \phi').$$

Definition 4. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and let  $g_n = g * \delta_n$ .

We say that the neutrix product  $f \circ g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$  if

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-r}(f, g_n \phi) = (h_r, \phi)$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and all test functions  $\phi$  in  $D(a, b)$ , where  $N$  is the neutrix with negligible functions, linear sums of the functions  $n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n$ ,  $\ln^r n$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and all functions which converge to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity.

This definition of the neutrix product was introduced in order to give more information about the behaviour of the neutrix product than was given by definition 4 of [2]. Although this is indeed so for a number of important neutrix products, it fails for other neutrix products.

In order to remedy this we have

Definition 5. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and let  $g_n = g * \delta_n$ .

We say that the  $\alpha$ -neutrix product  $f \circ^\alpha g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$  if

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f, g_n \phi) = (h_0, \phi),$$

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\alpha-r}(f, g_n \phi) = (h_r, \phi)$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  and all test functions  $\phi$  in  $D(a, b)$ , where

$$-1 < \alpha \leq 0.$$

It is immediately obvious that definition 5 is equivalent to definition 4 in the particular case  $\alpha = 0$ .

Definition 6. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and suppose that the  $\alpha$ -neutrix product  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$ . We say that  $h_0$  is the finite part of  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  and then write

$$\text{p.f. } f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g = h_0$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

It is obvious that by taking the finite part of an  $\alpha$ -neutrix product reduces definition 5 to the original definition of the neutrix product, see definition 4 of [2].

Theorem 2. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and suppose that the  $\alpha$ -neutrix products  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  and  $f' \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  (or  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g'$ ) exist and are equal to distribution vectors on the open interval  $(a, b)$ . Then the  $\alpha$ -neutrix product  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g'$  (or  $f' \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$ ) exists as a distribution vector and

$$(f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g)' = f' \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g + f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g'$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

We omit the proof of this theorem as it is almost identical to the proof of theorem 2 of [3].

Theorem 3. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be tempered distributions such that the  $\alpha$ -neutrix product  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  exists and is equal to  $\underline{h}$  on the real line. Then  $\underline{h}$  has only finitely many non-zero terms.

Proof. It is well-known, (see [6], theorem 12, p. 41), that there exist integers  $k, k', l$  and  $l'$  and continuous functions  $F$  and  $G$  on the real line such that

$$(1) \quad f = F^{(k)}, \quad g = G^{(k')}$$

and

$$(2) \quad |F(x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)^l, \quad |G(x)| \leq K(1 + |x|)^{l'}$$

for some  $K > 0$  and all real  $x$ .

Then (1) implies for arbitrary test function  $\phi$  with compact support

$$\begin{aligned} (f, g_n \phi) &= (-1)^k (F, (g_n \phi)^{(k)}) \\ &= (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} n^{k'+j} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(x) \phi^{(k-j)}(x) \int_{-1}^1 G(x-t/n) \rho^{(k'+j)}(t) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

It now follows from (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} |(f, g_n \phi)| &\leq \\ k! n^{k+k'} \sum_{j=0}^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1 + |x|)^{l+l'} |\phi^{(k-j)}(x)| dx \cdot \int_{-1}^1 (1 + |t|)^{l'} |\rho^{(k'+j)}(t)| dt \\ &= o(n^{k+k'}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|n^{-r}(f, g_n \phi)| = o(n^{-r+k+k'})$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-r}(f, g_n \phi) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-r}(f, g_n \phi) = 0$$

for  $r > k + k'$ . The result of the theorem follows.

The proof of this theorem can be modified to give

Theorem 4. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions such that the  $\alpha$ -neutrix product

$f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  exists and is equal to  $\underline{h}$  on the finite open interval  $(a,b)$ . Then  $\underline{h}$  has only finitely many non-zero terms.

Definition 7. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and let  $g_n = g * \delta_n$ .

We say that the  $\alpha$ -product  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a,b)$  if

$$(f, g_n \phi) = (h_0, \phi) + \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} (h_r, \phi) n^{\alpha+r} + \epsilon_n$$

where  $\epsilon_n = O(n^\alpha)$  if  $-1 < \alpha < 0$  and  $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$  if  $\alpha = 0$ . for all test functions  $\phi$  in  $D(a,b)$ .

In particular if  $h_r = 0$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  we simply say that the product  $f \circ g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and write

$$f \circ g = h_0$$

on the interval  $(a,b)$ .

It follows that this definition of the product  $f \circ g$  is equivalent to definition 4 of the product  $f \circ g$  given in [1].

Further, we note that if the product  $f \circ g$  exists and equals  $h_0$ , then the  $\alpha$ -product  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  exists and is equal to  $h_0$  for all  $\alpha$  with  $-1 < \alpha \leq 0$ .

We also note that if the  $\alpha$ -product of two distributions  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h}$ , then the  $\alpha$ -neutrix product of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to  $\underline{h}$ , although the converse does not hold. It follows that theorems 2, 3 and 4 also hold for the  $\alpha$ -product.

Definition 8. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and suppose that

the  $\alpha$ -product  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$ . We say that  $h_0$  is the finite part of  $f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g$  and then write

$$\text{p.f. } f \overset{\alpha}{\circ} g = h_0$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$ .

The following theorem holds, see [5].

Theorem 5. The 0-product  $x_+^\lambda \overset{0}{\circ} x_-^{-\lambda-q}$  exists and

$$x_+^\lambda \overset{0}{\circ} x_-^{-\lambda-q} = \underline{h}(\lambda, q) = [h_0(\lambda, q), h_1(\lambda, q), \dots, h_{q-1}(\lambda, q)]$$

for  $q = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , where

$$h_i(\lambda, q) = \begin{cases} -\frac{\pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda)}{2(q-1)!} \delta^{(q-1)}, & i = 0, \\ \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+q-i) \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda+q)(q-i-1)!} \rho_{i-1} \delta^{(q-i-1)}, & 1 \leq i \leq q-1, \end{cases}$$

$\Gamma$  denotes the gamma function and

$$\rho_i = \rho^{(i)}(0)$$

for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

In particular, the product  $x_+^\lambda \overset{0}{\circ} x_-^{-\lambda-1}$  exists and

$$x_+^\lambda \overset{0}{\circ} x_-^{-\lambda-1} = -\frac{1}{2} \pi \operatorname{cosec}(\pi \lambda) \delta$$

for  $\lambda \neq 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ .

We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 6. Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be real numbers such that  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $\lambda + \mu < -1$ . Then the  $\alpha$ -product

$x_+^\lambda \overset{\alpha}{\circ} x_-^\mu$  exists and

$$(3) x_+^\lambda x_-^\alpha = h(\lambda, \mu) = [0, h_1(\lambda, \mu), \dots, h_q(\lambda, \mu)],$$

where  $q = [-\lambda - \mu]$ ,  $\alpha = -\lambda - \mu - q - 1$ ,

$$h_i(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{B(\mu+1, \lambda+q-i+1)}{(q-i)!} (-1)^{q-i} a_{q-i}(\lambda, \mu) \delta^{(q-i)},$$

$$a_i(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{(-1)^p \Gamma(\lambda+\mu+i+2)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu+p+i+2)} \int_0^1 u^{\lambda+\mu+p+i+1} \rho^{(p)}(u) du$$

for  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $B$  denotes the beta function.

Proof. Suppose first of all that  $\lambda > -1$  and choose positive integers  $p, q$  such that  $-1 < \mu + p$  and  $-1 < \lambda + \mu + q < 0$ .

Then

$$x_-^\mu = \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+p+1)} (-1)^p (x_-^{\mu+p})^{(p)}$$

and putting

$$(x_-^\mu)_n = x_-^\mu * \delta_n$$

we have

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mu+p+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} (-1)^p (x_-^\mu)_n = \begin{cases} \int_x^{1/n} (t-x)^{\mu+p} \delta_n^{(p)}(t) dt, & x \leq 1/n, \\ 0, & x > 1/n. \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\Gamma(\mu+p+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1)} (-1)^p \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_+^\lambda (x_-^\mu)_n x^i dx &= \\ &= \int_0^{1/n} x^{\lambda+1} \int_x^{1/n} (t-x)^{\mu+p} \delta_n^{(p)}(t) dt dx \\ &= \int_0^{1/n} \delta_n^{(p)}(t) \int_0^t x^{\lambda+1} (t-x)^{\mu+p} dx dt \\ &= \int_0^{1/n} t^{\lambda+\mu+p+i+1} \delta_n^{(p)}(t) \int_0^1 v^{\lambda+1} (1-v)^{\mu+p} dv dt \end{aligned}$$



$$= B(\lambda+1, \mu+p+1) n^{-\lambda-\mu-1} \int_0^1 u^{\lambda+\mu+p+1} \rho^{(p)}(u) du$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+1)\Gamma(\mu+p+1)}{\Gamma(\lambda+\mu+2)} n^{-\lambda-\mu-1} (-1)^p a_1(\lambda, \mu)$$

where the substitutions  $x = tv$  and  $nt = u$  have been made. It follows that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_+^{\lambda} (x_-^{\mu})_n x^1 dx = B(\mu+1, \lambda+1) n^{-\lambda-\mu-1} a_1(\lambda, \mu).$$

Now let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary test function with compact support.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (x_+^{\lambda}, (x_-^{\mu})_n \phi) &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x_+^{\lambda} (x_-^{\mu})_n \frac{x^i}{i!} \phi^{(i)}(0) dx + O(n^{-\lambda-\mu-q-1}) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \frac{B(\mu+1, \lambda+i+1)}{i!} (-1)^i a_1(\lambda, \mu) (\delta^{(i)}, \phi) n^{-\lambda-\mu-i-1} + O(n^{\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^q \frac{B(\mu+1, \lambda+q-i+1)}{(q-i)!} (-1)^{q-i} a_{q-i}(\lambda, \mu) (\delta^{(q-i)}, \phi) n^{\alpha+i} + O(n^{\alpha}) \end{aligned}$$

and equation (3) follows for  $\lambda > -1$ ,  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $-1 < \lambda + \mu + q < 0$ .

Now assume that equation (3) holds for  $-p < \lambda < 1-p$ ,  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $-1 < \lambda + \mu + q < 0$ , where  $p$  is some positive integer. Then using theorem 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_+^{\lambda-1} \alpha x_-^{\mu} &= (x_+^{\lambda} \alpha x_-^{\mu})' + \mu x_+^{\lambda} \alpha x_-^{\mu-1} \\ &= [0, h_1'(\lambda, \mu), \dots, h_q'(\lambda, \mu), 0] + \\ &\quad + \mu [0, h_1(\lambda, \mu-1), \dots, h_{q+1}(\lambda, \mu-1)]. \end{aligned}$$

Now for  $i = 1, \dots, q$  we have

$$h_1'(\lambda, \mu) + \mu h_1(\lambda, \mu-1) = \frac{B(\mu+1, \lambda+q-i+1)}{(q-i)!} (-1)^{q-i} a_{q-i}(\lambda, \mu) \delta^{(q-i+1)} +$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \mu \frac{B(\mu, \lambda+q-i+2)}{(q-i+1)!} (-1)^{q-i+1} a_{q-i+1}(\lambda, \mu-1) \delta^{(q-i+1)} \\
& = \lambda \frac{B(\mu+1, \lambda+q-i+1)}{(q-i+1)!} (-1)^{q-i+1} a_{q-i+1}(\lambda-1, \mu) \delta^{(q-i+1)} \\
& = \lambda h_1(\lambda-1, \mu).
\end{aligned}$$

Further

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu h_{q+1}(\lambda, \mu-1) &= \mu B(\mu, \lambda+1) a_0(\lambda, \mu-1) \delta \\
&= \lambda B(\mu+1, \lambda) a_0(\lambda-1, \mu) \delta \\
&= \lambda h_{q+1}(\lambda-1, \mu)
\end{aligned}$$

and equation (3) follows for  $-p-1 < \lambda < -p$ ,  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\mu, \lambda+\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $-1 < \lambda+\mu+q < 0$ . Equation (3) now follows by induction for  $\lambda < -1$ ,  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda+\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $-1 < \lambda+\mu+q < 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary. Let  $\lambda, \mu$  be real numbers such that  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda+\mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $\lambda+\mu < -1$ . Then

$$\text{p.f. } x_+^\lambda \alpha x_-^\mu = 0.$$

The proof of this corollary is immediate.

Theorem 7. The  $\alpha$ -product  $x_+^\lambda \alpha x_+^p$  exists and

$$(4) \quad x_+^\lambda \alpha x_+^p = [x_+^{\lambda+p}, -(-1)^p h_1(\lambda, p), \dots, -(-1)^p h_q(\lambda, p)]$$

for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $\alpha = -\lambda-p-q-1$ ,  $-1 < \alpha < 0$ ,  $\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $h_i(\lambda, p)$  is as defined in theorem 4 for  $i = 1, \dots, q$ .

Proof. Since  $x^p$  is an infinitely differentiable function for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  the product  $x_+^\lambda \circ x^p$  is defined in the normal sense, see [1], and

$$x_+^\lambda \circ x^p = x_+^{\lambda+p} = x_+^\lambda \circ (x_+^p + (-1)^p x_-^p).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} x_+^\lambda \circ x_+^p &= x_+^{\lambda+p} - (-1)^p x_+^\lambda \circ x_-^p \\ &= [x_+^{\lambda+p}, 0, \dots, 0] - (-1)^p [0, h_1(\lambda, p), \dots, h_q(\lambda, p)] \end{aligned}$$

and equation (4) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary. Let  $\lambda$  be a real number such that  $\lambda \neq -1, -2, \dots$  and  $\lambda + p < -1$ , for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then

$$\text{p.f. } x_+^\lambda \circ x_+^p = x_+^{\lambda+p}.$$

The proof of this corollary is also immediate.

We finally point out that the product  $x_+^\lambda \circ x_-^\mu$  exists and  $x_+^\lambda \circ x_-^\mu = 0$  for  $\lambda + \mu > -1$ , see theorem 6 of [4].

#### REFERENCES

- [1] B. Fisher, On defining the product of distributions, *Math. Nachr.* 99 (1980), 239 - 249.
- [2] B. Fisher, A non-commutative neutrix product of distributions, *Math. Nachr.* 108 (1982), 117 - 127.
- [3] B. Fisher, The neutrix distribution product  $x_+^\lambda \circ x_-^\mu$ , *Publ. Math. (Debrecen)* 30 (1983), 321 - 329.
- [4] B. Fisher, Products of distributions defined by a vector, *Proceedings of International Conference on Complex Analysis and Applications, Varna (1985), Sofia (1986)*, 212 - 218.

- [5] B. Fisher and Li Zhishen, The product of the distributions  $x_+^\lambda$  and  $x_-^{\lambda-p}$  defined by a distribution vector, submitted.
- [6] A. Friedman, Generalized functions and partial differential equations, Prentice Hall 1963.

## REZIME

O  $\alpha$ -PROIZVODU DISTRIBUCIJA

Koristeći račun neutrixa uveden je i analiziran opštiji proizvod distribucija nego u [4]. Specijalno, nadjen je "proizvod"  $x_+^\lambda \otimes x_-^\mu$  za  $\lambda + \mu < -1$ ,  $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \neq -1, -2, \dots$  i  $\alpha = -\lambda - \mu - 1 - [-\lambda - \mu]$ .

Received by the editors February 4, 1987.