ZBORNIK RADOVA Prirodno-matematičkog fakulteta Univerziteta u Novom Sadu Serija za matematiku, 16, 1(1986) REVIEW OF RESEARCH Faculty of Science University of Novi Sad Mathematics Series, 16, 1 (1986)

COMMON FIXED POINTS FOR NONEXPANSIVE TYPE MAPPINGS IN CONVEX AND PROBABILISTIC CONVEX METRIC SPACES

Xie Ping Ding

Department of Mathematics Sichuan Normal University Chengdu, Sichuan, China

ABSTRACT

In this paper some common fixed point theorems in convex and probabilistic convex metric spaces are proved.

1. INTRODUCTION

Takahashi [1] introduced the notion of convexity in metric spaces and generalized some fixed point theorems in Banach spaces. Subsequently, Itoh [2], Tallman [3], Naimpally, Singh and Whitfield [4], Rhoades, Singh and Whitfield [5], and Hadžić [6] have studied convex metric spaces and fixed point theorems.

In this paper, we shall first introduce the concept of starshaped subsets in a convex and probabilistic convex metric space. Then we shall show some fixed point theorems for commuting mappings of the nonexpansive type on a starshaped subset of convex and probabilistic convex metric spaces. Our

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 47H10.

Key words and phrases: Common fixed points, convex metric space, probabilistic convex metric space.

theorems improve and generalize the corresponding results in [6, 9, 10, 11].

2. DEFINITIONS AND LEMMAS

Definition 1. Let X be a metric space and I = [0,1]. A mapping $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure on X if for every $(x,y,\lambda) \in X \times X \times I$ and $u \in X$

(1)
$$d(u,W(x,y,\lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u,x) + (1-\lambda)d(u,y).$$

X together with a convex structure W is called a convex metric space.

In the following, we assume throughout that X is a convex metric space.

Definition 2. A nonempty subset K of X is said to be convex if for each $(x,y,\lambda) \in X \times X \times I$, $W(x,y,\lambda) \in K$.

Definition 3. A nonempty subset K of X is called starshaped if there exists a $x_0 \in K$ such that the set $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda): x \in K, \lambda \in I\} \subset K$. The x_0 is said to be a starcentre of K.

Clearly, every convex set is a starshaped set and the inverse is not true.

Definition 4. Let K be a starshaped subset of X with a star-centre x_0 . We say that K satisfies condition (B) if for all $(x,y,\lambda) \in K \times K \times I$

 $d(W(x,x_0,\lambda),W(y,x_0,\lambda)) \leq \lambda d(x,y).$

Definition 5. A mapping $S: X \rightarrow X$ is said to be (W, x_0) -convex if for each $(x, \lambda) \in X \times I$, $W(Sx, x_0, \lambda) = S(W(x, x_0, \lambda))$.

Lemma 1. Let K be a starshaped subset of X with a star-centre x_0 and let S: K + K be a (W,x_0) -convex mapping. Then the fixed point set fix (S) of S is a starshaped set with a star-centre x_0 .

Proof. By (1) we have $x_0 = W(x_0, x_0, \lambda)$, $\forall \lambda \in I$ $W(Sx_0, x_0, 0) = x_0$. It follows from definition 5 that $Sx_0 = S(W(x_0, x_0, \lambda)) = W(Sx_0, x_0, \lambda) \ \forall \lambda \in I$. Putting $\lambda = 0$, we obtain $x_0 = Sx_0$ and so $x_0 \in f(x(S))$. Now for any $x \in f(x(S))$ and $x \in I$, we have

$$W(x,x_0,\lambda) = W(Sx,x_0,\lambda) = S(W(x,x_0,\lambda)).$$

Thus the set $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda): x \in fix(S), \lambda \in I\} \subset fix(S)$, i.e. fix(S) is a starshaped set with the star-centre x_0 .

Definition 6. A continuous mappings f: K + K is said to be α -densifying if for any bounded $D \subset K$ with $\alpha(D)>0$,

$$\alpha(f(D)) < \alpha(D),$$

where a is the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

For terminologies, notations and properties of Menger spaces (X,F,t), the reader may consult [7].

Definition 7. Let (X,F,t) be a Menger space. A mapping $W: X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$ is said to be a convex structure if for all $(x,y,u,\lambda) \in X \times X \times X \times (0,1)$,

$$F_{\mathrm{u}, \mathbb{W}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \lambda)}(2\varepsilon) \geq \mathsf{t}(F_{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{x}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\lambda}), F_{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{y}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \lambda})),$$

for each $\varepsilon \ge 0$ and W(x,y,0) = y, W(x,y,1) = x.

Definition 8. A nonempty set $K \subset X$ is said to be a starshaped subset of a Menger space (X,F,t) with a convex struc-

ture W if there exists a $x_0 \in K$ such that the set $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda): x \in K, \lambda \in I\} \subseteq K$. The x_0 is called a star-centre of K.

Definition 9. A starshaped subset K of Menger space (X,F,t) with a convex structure W satisfies condition (β) if for all $(x,y,\lambda) \in X \times X \times (0,1)$,

$$F_{W(x,x_0,\lambda),W(y,x_0,\lambda)}(\lambda \epsilon) \geq F_{x,y}(\epsilon)$$
.

for every $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

Definition 10. Let (X, F, t) be a Menger space with a convex structure W. A mapping $S: X \to X$ is said to be (W, x_0) -convex if for each $(x, \lambda) \in X \times I$, $W(Sx, x_0, \lambda) = S(W(x, x_0, \lambda))$.

Using a similar argument as in Lemma 1, we can easily prove

Lemma 2. Let (X,F,t) be a Menger space with a convex structure W, and let K be a starshaped subset of X with a star-centre x_0 . If S: K + K is a (W,x_0) -convex mapping, then the fixed point set fix(S) of S is a starshaped subset with a star-centre x_0 .

3. MAIN RESULTS

Theorem 1. Let K be a closed starshaped subset of a complete convex metric space (X,d) with a star-centre $X \circ$ and K satisfy condition (β) . Suppose that f: K + K is a non-expansive mapping, i.e. for each $X, Y \in K$

$$d(fx,fy) \leq d(x,y),$$

f(K) is bounded and there exists $m \in N$ (the set of all positive integers) such that f^{m} is α -densifying on $\{W(x,x_{0},\lambda) : x \in f(K), \lambda \in I\}$. Then f has a fixed point in K.

Proof. Let $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of real numbers from (0,1) such that $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1$ and for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, define

$$f_n x = W(fx, x_0, k_n), \forall x \in K.$$

Since K satisfies condition (β), we have that for all x,y ϵ K

$$d(f_n x, f_n y) = d(W(fx, x_0, k_n), W(fy, x_0, k_n))$$

 $\leq k_n d(fx, fy) \leq k_n d(x, y).$

By Banach's contraction theorem, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $x_n \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $x_n = f_n x_n$. Furthermore,

$$d(x_{n},fx_{n}) = d(f_{n}x_{n},fx_{n}) = d(W(fx_{n},x_{0},k_{n}),fx_{n})$$

$$\leq k_{n}d(fx_{n},fx_{n}) + (1-k_{n})d(fx_{n},x_{0})$$

and since f(K) is bounded, it follows that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, fx_n) = 0.$$

Since f is nonexpansive, we have

$$d(x_n, f^m x_n) \le \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} d(f^k x_n, f^{k+1} x_n) \le md(x_n, f x_n)$$

and so

(2)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} d(x_n, f^m x_n) = 0.$$

Let us prove that the set $\{W(f_X,x_0,\lambda): x \in K, \lambda \in (0,1)\}$ is bounded.

This follows from the inequality

$$d(u,W(fx,x_0,\lambda)) \le \lambda d(u,fx) + (1-\lambda)d(u,x_0)$$

for all $(u,x) \in K \times K$ and since f(K) is bounded. From $x_n = f_n x_n$,

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \{\mathbb{W}(fx, x_0, \lambda) : x \in \mathbb{K}, \lambda \in (0, 1)\}$ and so the set $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded. Furthermore, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have from (2) that

$$\alpha(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \le \alpha(B(f^m(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}), \varepsilon)) \le \alpha(f^m(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})) + \varepsilon$$

where

$$B(A,\varepsilon) = \{x \in K : d(x,A) < \varepsilon\} \quad (A \subset K) \text{ (see [8])}$$

and so

$$\alpha(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}) \leq \alpha(f^m(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})).$$

This implies that $\alpha(\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}})=0$ and there exists a convergent subsequence $\{x_n\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let $\lim_{k\to\infty}x_{n_k}=x^*$. Then from

$$d(x^*,fx^*) \le d(x^*,x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k},fx_{n_k}) + d(fx_{n_k},fx^*)$$

and (2), since f is continuous, it follows that $x^* = fx^*$.

Theorem 2. Let K be a closed starshaped subset of a complete convex metric space (X,d) with a star-centre x_0 and K satisfy condition (β). Suppose that f,g,S,T: K \rightarrow K such that S and T commute with f (or g), f(K) (or g(K)) is bounded and the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) There exists $m \in N$ such that f^m is α -condensing on $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda): x \in f(K), \lambda \in I\}$ and for all $x,y \in K$, $d(fx,gy) \leq (Sx,Ty)$,
- (ii) S and T are (W,xo)-convex and continuous.

Then there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $x^* = fx^* = gx^* = Sx^* = Tx^*$.

Proof. Let fix(S,T) denote the set of common fixed points of S and T. Since S and T are (W,x_0) -convex, it follows from Lemma 1 that $x_0 \in fix(S,T)$ and $fix(S,T) \subseteq K$ is a starshaped subset of X with a star-centre x_0 . By the continuity S and T, fix(S,T) is also closed. From (i) we have that for all $x,y \in fix(S,T)$

$d(fx,gy) \le d(x,y)$

and hence fx = gx for all $x \in fix(S,T)$ and for all $x,y \in fix(S,T)$

$$d(fx,fy) \le d(x,y)$$
.

For each $x \in \text{dix}(S,T)$, since S and T commute with f, we have fx = fSx = Sfx and fx = fTx = Tfx and so $fx = gx \in \text{dix}(S,T)$. Hence, it follows from Theorem 1 that there exists x^* in dix(S,T) such that $x^* = fx^*$ and so $x^* = fx^* = gx^* = Sx^* = Tx^*$.

Remark 1. It is easy to check that Theorem 1 of [6] is a very special case of Theorem 2.

Theorem 3. Let K be a closed starshaped subset of a linear space X with a translation invariant metric satisfying $d(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, 0) \le \lambda d(x, 0) + (1-\lambda)d(y, 0)$ and (X,d) is complete. Suppose that f, g, S, T: K + K such that S and T commute with f (or g), f(K) (or g(K)) is bounded and the following conditions are satisfied

- (i) There exists $m \in N$ such that f^m is α -densifying on $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda): x \in f(K), \lambda \in I\}$ and for all $x,y \in K$, $d(fx,gy) \leq d(Sx,Ty)$.
- (ii) S and T are continuous such that for each $(x,\lambda) \in X \times I$ $S(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x_0) = \lambda Sx + (1-\lambda)x_0,$ $T(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)x_0) = \lambda Tx + (1-\lambda)x_0,$ where x_0 is a star-centre of K.

Then there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $x^* = fx^* = gx^* = Sx^* = Tx^*$.

Proof. We define the mapping $W : X \times X \times I + X$ by

$$W(x,y,\lambda) = \lambda x + (1-\lambda)y.$$

It is easy to check that W is a convex structure on X and so X is a complete convex metric space. Hence Theorem 3 follows from Theorem 2.

Remark 2. Theorem 3 improves and generalizes Theorem 2 of [9] and the corresponding results in [10, 11].

Theorem 4. Let K be a closed starshaped subset of a complete Menger space X with a convex structure W which satisfies condition (B). Suppose that f: K + K is such that f(K) is probabilistic bounded (which means that sup $D_{f(K)}(\epsilon) = 1$ where $D_{f(K)}(\epsilon) = \sup$ inform $f(K)(\epsilon) = \sup$ inform $f(K)(\epsilon)$

(3)
$$F_{fx,fy}(\varepsilon) \ge F_{x,y}(\varepsilon).$$

Then f has a fixed point in K.

Proof. Let $\{k_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence satisfying the condition in Theorem 1, and for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, define $f_nx=W(fx,x_0,k_n)$ for all $x\in K$. Since K satisfies condition (β) from (3), we have that

$$F_{f_n}x, f_ny^{(k_n\varepsilon)} = F_{W(fx,x_0,k_n),W(fy,x_0,k_n)}^{(k_n\varepsilon)}$$

$$\geq F_{fx,fy}^{(\varepsilon)} \geq F_{x,y}^{(\varepsilon)},$$

for all $x,y \in K$ and $n \in N$. Since f(K) is probabilistic bounded it is easy to check that the set $\{W(fx,x_0,k_n):x\in K\}$ is also probabilistic bounded for each $n \in N$. From Theorem 11.2.3 of [12] it follows that for each $n \in N$, there exists $x_n \in K$ such that $x_n = f_n x_n$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{split} & F_{\mathbf{x}_n}, f_{\mathbf{x}_n}(2\varepsilon) = F_{f_n} \mathbf{x}_n, f_{\mathbf{x}_n}(2\varepsilon) = F_{\mathbf{W}(f\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_0, \mathbf{k}_n), f_{\mathbf{x}_n}(2\varepsilon)} \\ & \geq \mathsf{t}(F_{f\mathbf{x}_n}, f_{\mathbf{x}_n}(\frac{\varepsilon}{\mathbf{k}_n}), F_{f\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \mathbf{k}_n})) = \mathsf{t}(1, F_{f\mathbf{x}_n}, \mathbf{x}_0(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \mathbf{k}_n})) \\ & = F_{f\mathbf{x}_n, \mathbf{x}_0}(\frac{\varepsilon}{1 - \mathbf{k}_n}). \end{split}$$

Since f(K) is probabilistic bounded, for each z ∈ K we have

inf
$$F_{fx_n,fz}(\varepsilon) \ge \sup_{r \le p,q \in f(K)} F_{p,q}(r) = D_{f(K)}(\varepsilon)$$

and so

(4)
$$\sup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f_{x_n, fz}(\varepsilon) = \sup_{\varepsilon \geq 0} D_{f(K)}(\varepsilon) = 1$$

Since

(5)
$$F_{fx_n,x_o}(\frac{\varepsilon}{1-k_n}) \ge t\left(F_{fx_n,fz}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1-k_n)}),F_{fz,x_o}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2(1-k_n)})\right)$$

using the continuity of t, relations (4) and (5), and relation $\lim_{n\to\infty} k_n = 1, \text{ we obtain that } \lim_{n\to\infty} F_{fx_n}, x_0(\frac{\epsilon}{1-k_n}) = 1 \text{ and so for each } \epsilon > 0,$

(6)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{x_n,fx_n}(\varepsilon) = 1.$$

Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_n = f_n x_n = \mathbb{W}(fx_n, x_0, k_n) \in \{\mathbb{W}(x, x_0, \lambda) : x \in f(K), \lambda \in (0,1)\}$ and f^m is precompact on $\{\mathbb{W}(x, x_0, \lambda) : x \in f(K), \lambda \in (0,1)\}$, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{k \to \infty} f^m x_{n_k} = x^* \in K$. By (3), for each $\epsilon \geq 0$, each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that

$$F_f^k_{x_n}, f^{k+1}_{x_n}(\varepsilon) \ge F_{x_n}, f_{x_n}(\varepsilon)$$

and so

$$F_{x_n, f^m x_n}(\varepsilon) \ge t \left(F_{x_n, f x_n}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}), t(F_{x_n, f x_n}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^2}), \dots \right) ...$$

$$\dots, F_{x_n, f x_n}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{m-1}}) \dots \right) ...$$

Hence, from t(1,1) = 1, the continuity of t and (6), we obtain that

(7)
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} F_{x_n}, f^m x_n = 1, \forall \epsilon > 0.$$

The continuity of t, relation (7) and the inequality

$$F_{x_{n_k},x^{*}}(\varepsilon) \ge t(F_{x_{n_k},f^{m_{x_{n_k}}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}),F_{x^{*},f^{m_{x_{n_k}}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2})})$$

imply that $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_{n_k} = x^*$. From the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}^{*},\mathbf{f}\mathbf{x}^{*}}(\varepsilon) &\geq \mathbf{t}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}^{*},\mathbf{x}_{n_{k}}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{2}),\mathbf{t}\left(\mathbf{F}_{\mathbf{x}_{n_{k}},\mathbf{f}\mathbf{x}_{n_{k}}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}),\mathbf{f}\mathbf{x}_{n_{k}}(\frac{\varepsilon}{4}),\mathbf{f}\mathbf{x}_{n_{$$

$$\geq \mathsf{t}\Big(\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}^{\bigstar},\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{k}}}}(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}),\mathsf{t}(\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{k}}}},\mathsf{f}\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{k}}}(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{4}),\mathsf{F}_{\mathsf{x}_{\mathsf{n}_{\mathsf{k}}},\mathsf{x}^{\bigstar}}(\tfrac{\varepsilon}{4}))\Big),$$

it follows that $F_{x^{it},fx^{*}}(\varepsilon)=1$ for each $\varepsilon>0$ and so $x^{*}=fx^{*}$.

Theorem 5. Let K be a closed starshaped subset of a complete Menger space X with a convex structure W which satisfies condition (B). Suppose that $f,g,S,T:K \to K$ are such that S and T commute with f (or g), f(K) (or g(K)) is probabilistic bounded and the following conditions are satisfied:

(i) There exists $m \in N$ such that f^m (or g^m) is precompact on the set $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda) : x \in f(K), \lambda \in (0,1)\}$ (or $\{W(x,x_0,\lambda) : x \in g(K), \lambda \in (0,1)\}$) and for all $x,y \in K$

(8)
$$F_{fx,gy}(\varepsilon) \ge F_{Sx,Ty}(\varepsilon),$$

(ii) S and T are continuous and (W,xo)-convex

Then there exists $x^* \in K$ such that $x^* = fx^* = gx^* = Sx^* = Tx^*$.

Proof. Let fix(S,T) be the set of common fixed points of S and T. Since S and T are (W,x_0) -convex, from Lemma 2, it follows that $x_0 \in \text{fix}(S,T)$ and fix(S,T) is a starshaped subset of X with a star-centre x_0 . By the continuity of of S and T, fix(S,T) is also closed. From (8) we have that for all $x,y \in \text{fix}(S,T)$

$$F_{fx,gy}(\varepsilon) \ge F_{x,y}(\varepsilon)$$
, for all $\varepsilon \ge 0$,

and hence for all $x \in fix(S,T)$, fx = gx and so all $x,y \in fix(S,T)$

$$F_{fx,fy}(\varepsilon) \ge F_{x,y}(\varepsilon), \forall \varepsilon \ge 0.$$

Since S and T commute with f, for each $x \in \text{dix}(S,T)$ we have that fx = fSx = Sfx and fx = fTx = Tfx and so $fx \in \text{dix}(S,T)$. Thus, from Theorem 4 it follows that there exists $x^* \in \text{dix}(S,T)$ such that $x^* = fx^*$ and so $x^* = fx^* = gx^* = Sx^* = Tx^*$.

Remark 3. Theorem 5 improves and generalizes Theorem 2 of [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Takahashi: A convexity in metric space and non-expansive mappings I, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 22 (1970), 142 149.
- [2] S. Itoh: Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces, Fund. Math., 102 (1979), 109 117.
- [3] L.A. Tallman: Fixed points for condensing multifunctions in metric spaces with convex structures, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1977), 62 70.
- [4] S.A. Naimpally, K.L. Singh and J.H.M. Whitfield: Fixed points in convex metric spaces, Math. Japonica, 29 (1984), 585 - 597.

- [5] B.E. Rhoades and K.L. Singh and J.H.M. Whitfield: Fixed points for generalized nonexpansive mappings, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 23 (1982), 443 451.
- [6] O. Hadžić: On common fixed points in metric and probabilistic metric spaces with convex structures, Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak., Ser. Mat. 14 (1984), 13 - 24.
- [7] B. Schweizer and A. Skier: Probabilistic metric spaces, North Holland Ser. Prob. Appl. Math., 5, 1983.
- [8] B.N. Sadovskil: Limit compact and condensing operators, Russian Math. Surveys, 127 (1972), 85 155.
- [9] L.F. Guseman and B.C. Peters: Nonexpansive mappings on compact subsets of metric linear spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 47 (1975), 383 386.
- [10] W.G. Dotson: Fixed point theorems for nonexpansive mappings on starshaped subsets of Banach spaces, J. London Math. Soc., 4 (1971/72), 408 410.
- [11] W.G. Dotson: On fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in nonconvex sets, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 38 (1973), 155 156.
- [12] V.I. Istratescu: Fixed points theory, D. Reidel Pub. Comp. Holland, 1981.

REZIME

ZAJEDNIČKE NEPOKRETNE TAČKE NEEKSPANZIVNIH PRESLIKAVANJA U KONVEKSNIM I VEROVATNOSNIM KONVEKSNIM METRIČKIM PROSTORIMA

U ovom radu dokazane su neke teoreme o zajedničkoj nepokretnoj tački u konveksnim i verovatnosnim konveksnim metričkim prostorima.

Received by the editors February 25, 1986.