

C O I N C I D E N C E P O I N T S F O R S E T - V A L U E D M A P P I N G S I N C O N V E X M E T R I C S P A C E S

Olga Hadžić, Ljiljana Gajić

*University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Science,
Institute of Mathematics, Dr I. Djuričića 4,
21000 Novi Sad, Yugoslavia*

A B S T R A C T

In this paper a generalization of the well-known fixed point theorem of Assad and Kirk for multivalued mappings in convex metric spaces is given. The multivalued version of the Palais-Smale condition is introduced and applied in the proof of Theorem 2., which contains an existence result on coincidence points for set-valued mappings in metric spaces with a convex structure.

1. I N T R O D U C T I O N

Since Assad and Kirk published their paper [1] many authors proved fixed point theorems or theorems on coincidence points in metric spaces with a convex structure or in convex metric spaces [2], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9], [10], [11], [12].

Let us recall that a metric space (M, d) is convex if for each $x, y \in M$ with $x \neq y$ there exists $z \in M$, $x \neq z \neq y$ such that

$$d(x, z) + d(z, y) = d(x, y).$$

In [1] the following result is obtained.

THEOREM A. *Let (M, d) be a complete convex metric space, K a nonempty closed subset of M , $f: K \rightarrow CB(M)$ (the family of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of M) so that $f(\partial K) \subseteq K$ and f is a contraction mapping (in respect to the Hausdorff metric H). Then there exists*

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 54H25.

Key words and phrases: Coincidence points, multivalued mappings, convex metric spaces, metric spaces with a convex structure.

$z \in K$ such that $z \in fz$.

Itoh [7], Khan [8], Baskaran and Subrahmanyam [2] and Hadžić [6] (for singlevalued f) obtained generalizations of Theorem A. Theorem 1, which will be proved in this paper, is a theorem on the coincidence point for mappings $f: K \rightarrow CB(M)$, $S: K \rightarrow M$ and $T: K \rightarrow M$. If $S = T = Id|_K$ from Theorem 1 it follows Theorem A. A point $y \in K$ is a coincidence point for f , S and T if

$$\{Ty, Sy\} \subseteq fy.$$

We shall need for the next text some notions and notations. If X is a metric space, we shall denote by 2^X the family of all nonempty subsets of X . Let (X, d) be a metric space, $f: K \rightarrow CB(X)$, $S: K \rightarrow X$ and $\emptyset \neq K \subset X$. The pair (f, S) is said to be weakly commutative if and only if for every $y \in K$ and $z \in K$ such that $y \in fz$ and $Sz \in K$:

$$d(Sy, fSz) \leq d(fz, Sz).$$

For singlevalued mappings the notion of the weak commutativity is introduced by Sessa in [11]. There are examples of mappings which are weakly commutative but not commutative. If f and S are such that for $Sz \in K$ and $fz \subset K$, $fSz = Sfz$ then the pair (f, S) is obviously weakly commutative since for $y \in fz \cap K$ and $Sz \in K$:

$$d(Sy, fSz) = \inf_{u \in fSz} d(Sy, u)$$

and there exists $v \in fSz$ such that $Sy = v$. This implies that

$$\inf_{u \in fSz} d(Sy, u) \leq d(Sy, v) = d(v, v) = 0 \leq d(fz, Sz)$$

In [12] Takahashi introduced the notion of the convexity in metric spaces. Let (X, d) be a metric space and $W: X \times X \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$. The mapping W is said to be a convex structure if for every $(x, y, \lambda) \in X \times X \times [0, 1]$:

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u, x) + (1 - \lambda) d(u, y)$$

for every $u \in X$. A metric space with a convex structure belongs to the class of convex metric spaces. There are metric spaces with a convex structure which can not be imbedded in

any Banach space [12].

A metric space (X,d) with a convex structure W satisfies condition II if for all $(x,y,z,\lambda) \in X^3 \times [0,1]$

$$d(W(x,z,\lambda),W(y,z,\lambda)) \leq \lambda d(x,y) \quad [10].$$

If (X,d) is a metric space with a convex structure $W, x_0 \in X$ and $S: X \rightarrow X$ we say that the mapping S is (W, x_0) -convex if and only if for every $z \in X$ and every $\lambda \in (0,1)$:

$$W(Sz, x_0, \lambda) = SW(z, x_0, \lambda) .$$

By α we shall denote the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness.

2. A GENERALIZATION OF THE FIXED POINT THEOREM OF ASSAD AND KIRK

THEOREM 1. Let (M,d) be a complete convex metric space, K a non-empty closed subset of M , $S, T: K \rightarrow M$ continuous mappings, $f: K \rightarrow CB(M)$ H -continuous mapping, $\partial K \subseteq SK \cap TK$, $fK \cap K \subseteq SK \cap TK$, (f,S) and (f,T) weakly commutative pairs and the following implications hold:

$$Tx \in \partial K \Rightarrow fx \in K; \quad Sx \in \partial K \Rightarrow fx \in K .$$

If there exists $q \in (0,1)$ so that:

$$H(fx, fy) \leq qd(Sx, Ty) , \text{ for every } x, y \in K ,$$

then there exists $z \in K$ so that:

$$\{Tz, Sz\} \cap fz \neq \emptyset .$$

If $S, T: M \rightarrow M$ are continuous and:

$$(i) \quad y \in fx, Tx \in K \Rightarrow d(Ty, fTx) \leq d(fx, Tx)$$

$$(ii) \quad y \in fx, Sx \in K \Rightarrow d(Sy, fSx) \leq d(fx, Sx)$$

then there exists $z \in K$ so that:

$$Tz \in fz \text{ and } Sz \in fz .$$

PROOF : Let $x \in \partial K$. Since $\partial K \subseteq TK$ it follows that there exists $p_0 \in K$ such that $x = Tp_0$.

From $Tp_0 \in \partial K$, using the implication: $Tx \in \partial K \Rightarrow fx \in K$, we conclude that $fp_0 \in K \cap fK \subseteq SK$. Let $p_1 \in K$ be such that $Sp_1 = p_1' \in fp_0 \subseteq K$. Since $p_1' \in fp_0$ there exists $p_2' \in fp_1$ so that $d(p_1', p_2') \leq H(fp_0, fp_1) + q$. Suppose that $p_2' \in K$. Then

$p_2' \in K \cap f(K) \subseteq TK$ which implies that there exists $p_2 \in K$ such that $TP_2 = p_2'$. If $p_2' \notin K$ then there exists $q \in \partial K$ so that:

$$d(Sp_1, q) + d(q, p_2') = d(Sp_1, p_2').$$

Since $q \in \partial K \subseteq TK$ there exists $p_2 \in K$ such that $q = Tp_2$ and so:

$$d(Sp_1, Tp_2) + d(Tp_2, p_2') = d(Sp_1, p_2').$$

Let $p_3' \in fp_2$ be such that:

$$d(p_2', p_3') \leq H(fp_1, fp_2) + q^2.$$

It is easy to see that in this way we obtain two sequences $\{p_n\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\{p_n'\}, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$1. \text{ For every } n \in \mathbb{N}: p_n' \in fp_{n-1}$$

$$2. \text{ For every } n \in \mathbb{N}: p_{2n}' \in K \Rightarrow p_{2n}' = Tp_{2n}$$

$$p_{2n}' \notin K \Rightarrow Tp_{2n} \in \partial K \text{ and}$$

$$(1) \quad d(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) + d(Tp_{2n}, p_{2n}') = d(Sp_{2n-1}, p_{2n}').$$

$$3. \text{ For every } n \in \mathbb{N}: p_{2n+1}' \in K \Rightarrow p_{2n+1}' = Sp_{2n+1}$$

$$p_{2n+1}' \notin K \Rightarrow Sp_{2n+1} \in \partial K \text{ and:}$$

$$(2) \quad d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) + d(Sp_{2n+1}, p_{2n+1}') = d(Tp_{2n}, p_{2n+1}').$$

4. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$d(p_n', p_{n+1}') \leq H(fp_{n-1}, fp_n) + q^n.$$

Let P_0, P_1, Q_0 and Q_1 be defined by:

$$P_0 = \{p_{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p_{2n}' = Tp_{2n}\}$$

$$P_1 = \{p_{2n}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p_{2n}' \neq Tp_{2n}\}$$

$$Q_0 = \{p_{2n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p_{2n+1}' = Sp_{2n+1}\}$$

$$Q_1 = \{p_{2n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p_{2n+1}' \neq Sp_{2n+1}\}.$$

First we shall prove that:

$$(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) \notin P_1 \times Q_1 \text{ and } (p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \notin Q_1 \times P_1.$$

If $p_{2n} \in P_1$ then $p_{2n}' \neq Tp_{2n}$ and in this case we have that $Tp_{2n} \in \partial K$ which implies that $p_{2n+1}' \in fp_{2n} \subseteq K$. Hence $p_{2n+1}' = Sp_{2n+1}$ which means that $p_{2n+1} \in Q_0$. We can prove similarly that $(p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \notin Q_1 \times P_1$.

Let us prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$(3) \quad d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) + q^{2n} \\ \text{or} \\ qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1} + q^{2n} \end{cases}$$

and

$$(4) \quad d(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) \leq \begin{cases} qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1} \\ \text{or} \\ qd(Sp_{2n-3}, Tp_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-2} + q^{2n-1} \end{cases} .$$

If $(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) \in P_0 \times Q_0$ then:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) &= d(p'_{2n}, p'_{2n+1}) \leq H(fp_{2n-1}, fp_{2n}) + q^{2n} \leq \\ &\leq qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) + q^{2n} . \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) \in P_0 \times Q_1$. Then from (2) we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) &\leq d(Tp_{2n}, p'_{2n+1}) = d(p'_{2n}, p'_{2n+1}) \leq \\ &\leq qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) + q^{2n} . \end{aligned}$$

From the relation $(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) \in P_1 \times Q_0$ we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) &\leq d(Tp_{2n}, p'_{2n}) + d(p'_{2n}, p'_{2n+1}) \leq \\ &\leq d(Tp_{2n}, p'_{2n}) + qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) + q^{2n} \leq \\ &\leq d(Tp_{2n}, p'_{2n}) + d(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) + q^{2n} . \end{aligned}$$

Using (1) we obtain that:

$$d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) \leq d(Sp_{2n-1}, p'_{2n}) + q^{2n} .$$

Since $p_{2n} \in P_1$ and $(p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \notin Q_1 \times P_1$ we obtain that $p_{2n-1} \in Q_0$ which means that $p'_{2n-1} = Sp_{2n-1}$ and so:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tp_{2n}, Sp_{2n+1}) &\leq d(p'_{2n-1}, p'_{2n}) + q^{2n} \leq \\ &\leq qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1} + q^{2n} . \end{aligned}$$

If $(p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \in Q_0 \times P_0$ then:

$$d(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) = d(p'_{2n-1}, p'_{2n}) \leq qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1} .$$

Suppose that $(p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \in Q_0 \times P_1$. Then from (1) we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n}) &\leq d(Sp_{2n-1}, p'_{2n}) = d(p'_{2n-1}, p'_{2n}) \leq \\ &\leq qd(Sp_{2n-1}, Tp_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1} . \end{aligned}$$

If $(p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \in Q_1 \times P_0$ then:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, \text{Tp}_{2n}) &\leq d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, p'_{2n-1}) + d(p'_{2n-1}, \text{Tp}_{2n}) = \\
&= d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, p'_{2n-1}) + d(p'_{2n-1}, p'_{2n}) \leq \\
&\leq d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, p'_{2n-1}) + qd(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, \text{Tp}_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1} \leq \\
&\leq d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, p'_{2n-1}) + d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, \text{Tp}_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $p_{2n-1} \in Q_1$ from (2) we obtain that:

$$d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, \text{Tp}_{2n}) \leq d(\text{Tp}_{2n-2}, p'_{2n-1}) + q^{2n-1}.$$

Further from $p_{2n-1} \in Q_1$ it follows that $p_{2n-2} \in P_0$ which means that $\text{Tp}_{2n-2} = p'_{2n-2}$.

Hence:

$$\begin{aligned}
d(\text{Sp}_{2n-1}, \text{Tp}_{2n}) &\leq d(p'_{2n-2}, p'_{2n-1}) + q^{2n-1} \leq \\
&\leq qd(\text{Sp}_{2n-3}, \text{Tp}_{2n-2}) + q^{2n-2} + q^{2n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the above inequalities we conclude that (3) and (4) hold.

Inequalities (3) and (4) can be written in the form:

$$z_{2n} = \text{Tp}_{2n}, z_{2n+1} = \text{Sp}_{2n+1} \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$d(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq \begin{cases} qd(z_n, z_{n-1}) + q^n \\ \text{or} \\ qd(z_{n-2}, z_{n-1}) + q^n + q^{n-1}, \end{cases}$$

which is inequality (*) from [1].

Then: $d(z_n, z_{n+1}) \leq q^{\frac{n}{2}} (\delta + n)$,

where

$$\delta = q^{\frac{1}{2}} \max\{d(z_0, z_1), d(z_1, z_2)\}.$$

Hence the sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence and let

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Tp}_{2n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Sp}_{2n+1}.$$

There exists at least one subsequence $\{p_{2n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ or $\{p_{2n_k+1}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is contained in P_0 or Q_0 respectively since

$$(p_{2n}, p_{2n+1}) \notin P_1 \times Q_1 \quad \text{and} \quad (p_{2n-1}, p_{2n}) \notin Q_1 \times P_1.$$

Suppose that there exists $\{p_{2n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $p_{2n_k} \in P_0$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$p'_{2n_k} = \text{Tp}_{2n_k} \in f p_{2n_k-1} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Let us prove that $Sz \in fz$. Using the weak commutativity of the pair (f, S) and the relations:

$$Tp_{2nk} \in fp_{2nk-1} \cap K \text{ and } Sp_{2nk-1} \in K, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$$

we obtain that:

$$\begin{aligned} d(STp_{2nk}, fSp_{2nk-1}) &\leq d(fp_{2nk-1}, Sp_{2nk-1}) \leq \\ &\leq d(Tp_{2nk}, Sp_{2nk-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(Tp_{2nk}, Sp_{2nk-1}) = 0$, we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(STp_{2nk}, fSp_{2nk-1}) = 0.$$

From the inequality:

$$d(STp_{2nk}, fz) \leq d(STp_{2nk}, fSp_{2nk-1}) + H(fSp_{2nk-1}, fz),$$

since f is H -continuous, we obtain that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(STp_{2nk}, fz) = 0.$$

Hence from the inequality:

$$d(Sz, fz) \leq d(Sz, STp_{2nk}) + d(STp_{2nk}, fz)$$

using the continuity of the mapping S we obtain that $d(Sz, fz) = 0$ and so $Sz \in fz$, which implies that $\{Tz, Sz\} \cap fz \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose now that $S, T: M \rightarrow M$ and that (i) and (ii) hold.

From 4. we obtain that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(p'_{2nk+1}, p'_{2nk}) &\leq H(fp_{2nk}, fp_{2nk-1}) + q^{2nk} \leq \\ &\leq qd(Tp_{2nk}, Sp_{2nk-1}) + q^{2nk} \end{aligned}$$

which implies that:

$$d(p'_{2nk+1}, Tp_{2nk}) \leq qd(Tp_{2nk}, Sp_{2nk-1}) + q^{2nk}.$$

Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(Tp_{2nk}, Sp_{2nk-1}) = 0$, we obtain that:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p'_{2nk+1} = z$$

and so :

$$(5) \quad Tz = T(\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p'_{2nk+1}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} Tp'_{2nk+1}.$$

Using the implication (i) for $x = p_{2nk}$ and $y = p'_{2nk+1}$ we conclude that:

$$d(Tp'_{2nk+1}, fTp_{2nk}) \leq d(fp_{2nk}, Tp_{2nk}) \leq d(p'_{2nk+1}, Tp_{2nk})$$

and since

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(p'_{2nk+1}, Tp_{2nk}) = d(z, z) = 0, \text{ we have that}$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(Tp'_{2nk+1}, fTp_{2nk}) = 0.$$

Further:

$$d(Tp'_{2nk+1}, fz) \leq d(Tp'_{2nk+1}, fTP_{2nk}) + H(fTP_{2nk}, fz)$$

and since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} H(fTP_{2nk}, fz) = 0$, it follows that:

$$(6) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(Tp'_{2nk+1}, fz) = 0.$$

Using (5) and (6) we obtain that $d(Tz, fz) = 0$ since:

$$d(Tz, fz) \leq d(Tz, Tp'_{2nk+1}) + d(Tp'_{2nk+1}, fz).$$

From $d(Tz, fz) = 0$ we conclude that $Tz \in fz$.

COROLLARY (THEOREM A) [1] Let (M, d) be a complete convex metric space, K a nonempty closed subset of M ,

$f: K \rightarrow CB(M)$ so that for every $x \in \partial K$, $fx \in K$ and:

$$H(fx, fy) \leq qd(x, y), \text{ for every } x, y \in K$$

where $q \in (0, 1)$. Then there exists $z \in K$ such that $z \in fz$.

PROOF: It is obvious that for $S = T = \text{Id}|_K$ all the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied.

3. A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN METRIC SPACES WITH A CONVEX STRUCTURE

In this section we shall need the following definition which is given in [5].

DEFINITION 1. Let (X, d) be a metric space, $A, S: X \rightarrow 2^X$ and $K \subseteq X$.

The mapping A is said to be (α, S) -densifying on the set K if and only if for every $M \subseteq K$ such that $S(M), A(M) \in B(X)$ the following implication holds:

$$\alpha(S(M)) \leq \alpha(A(M)) \implies \bar{M} \text{ is compact.}$$

REMARK In [4] the definition of the Palais-Smale condition for the singlevalued mappings is given. We shall recall this definition. Let X and Γ be metric spaces and $p, q: \Gamma \rightarrow X$. The pair (p, q) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if for every sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from Γ the relation

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(p(y_n), q(y_n)) = 0$$

implies that there exists a convergent subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

From this definition it is obvious that if in Definition 1. the mappings S and A are singlevalued and $A(K)$ is bounded, the following implication holds:

A is (α, S) densifying on $K \Rightarrow$ the pair (A, S) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on K (i.e. the pair $(A|_K, S|_K)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, where $A|_K$ and $S|_K$ are the restrictions of A and S on K respectively).

Indeed, if A is (α, S) densifying on K and $A(K)$ is bounded then from:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(S(y_n), A(y_n)) = 0$$

it follows that $\alpha(SL) = \alpha(AL)$ (this can be proved easily), where $L = \{y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, which implies that \bar{L} is compact. Hence the pair $(A|_K, S|_K)$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.

Let us remark that in [4] the notion of a condensing pair (p, q) of singlevalued mappings is given, which is similar to Definition 1 if the mappings A and S are singlevalued. If $q: \Gamma \rightarrow 2^X$ and $p: \Gamma \rightarrow X$, we can introduce the Palais-Smale condition in the following way.

DEFINITION 2. The pair (p, q) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition if for every sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from Γ , the relation:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(p(y_n), v_n) = 0, \text{ for some } \{v_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

such that $v_n \in q(y_n)$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$), implies the existence of a convergent subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

The set of coincidence points for the pair (p, q) is defined by:

$$K(p, q) = \{y, y \in \Gamma \text{ and } p(y) \in q(y)\}.$$

and for $\epsilon > 0$ we shall define an ϵ -coincidence point for the pair (p, q) in the following way.

DEFINITION 3. A point $y \in \Gamma$ is an ϵ -coincidence point for the pair (p, q) if there exists an element $v \in q(y)$ such that $d_X(p(y), v) < \epsilon$.

Similarly as in [4] (Proposition 2.3) we can prove the following proposition.

PROPOSITION: Let (Γ, d_Γ) and (X, d_X) be metric spaces, $q: \Gamma \rightarrow 2^X$ a closed mapping and $p: \Gamma \rightarrow X$ a continuous map-

ping. Let for every $\epsilon > 0$ the pair (p, q) have an ϵ -coincidence point and satisfy the Palais-Smale condition. Then

$$K(p, q) \neq \emptyset.$$

PROOF: Let $\epsilon_n = \frac{1}{n}$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) and y_n be an ϵ_n -coincidence point for the pair (p, q) . Let $v_n \in q(y_n)$, ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) so that $d_X(p(y_n), v_n) < \frac{1}{n}$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_X(p(y_n), v_n) = 0$$

and since the pair (p, q) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition, there exists a convergent subsequence $\{y_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Let $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} y_{n_k} = y$. Since $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d_X(p(y_{n_k}), v_{n_k}) = 0$, it follows that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{n_k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} p(y_{n_k}) = p(y).$$

From $v_{n_k} \in q(y_{n_k})$, ($k \in \mathbb{N}$), using the closedness of the mapping q , we obtain that $p(y) \in q(y)$, which means that $y \in K(p, q)$.

Similarly as in [5] we shall prove a common fixed point theorem if:

$$H(fx, fy) \leq d(Sx, Ty), \text{ for every } x, y \in K,$$

where K is (W, x_0) -star convex ($x_0 \in K$ and $W(K, x_0, (0, 1)) \subset K$)

THEOREM 2. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space with a convex structure W with property II, K a nonempty closed (W, x_0) -star convex subset of M , $S, T: M \rightarrow M$ continuous (W, x_0) convex mappings, $f: K \rightarrow k(M)$ (the family of all nonempty compact subsets of M) so that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. fK is bounded, $K \subseteq SK \cap TK$ and:
 $Tx \in \partial K \Rightarrow fx \in K$; $Sx \in \partial K \Rightarrow fx \in K$.
2. For every $x, y \in K$:
 $H(fx, fy) \leq d(Sx, Ty)$.
3. The mapping f is H -continuous and:
 $Sx \in K \Rightarrow fSx = Sfx$; $Tx \in K \Rightarrow Tfx = fTx$.
4. The mapping f is (α, S) or (α, T) densifying.

Then there exists $z \in K$ so that $Tz \in fz$ and $Sz \in fz$.

PROOF: As in [5] let $\{k_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be such a sequence from $(0, 1)$ that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ and $f_n: K \rightarrow k(M)$ be defined by:

$f_n x = W(fx, x_0, k_n)$, for every $x \in K$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We shall prove that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in K$ so that $Tx_n \in f_n x_n$ and $Sx_n \in f_n x_n$. It remains to be proved that T, S and f_n satisfy all the conditions of Theorem 1. Since the set K is (W, x_0) -star convex from the condition 1. of this theorem we obtain that

$$Tx \in \partial K \Rightarrow f_n x \in K; \quad Sx \in \partial K \Rightarrow f_n x \in K.$$

Further $f_n Sx$, if $Sx \in K$, is equal to the set $Sf_n x$ since:

$$f_n Sx = \bigcup_{z \in fSx} W(z, x_0, k_n) = \bigcup_{z \in Sf_x} W(z, x_0, k_n) =$$

$$= \{W(Sy, x_0, k_n), y \in fx\} = \{SW(y, x_0, k_n), y \in fx\} = Sf_n x, \text{ for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Similarly from $Tx \in K$ we obtain that $f_n Tx = Tf_n x$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. It is easy to see that from $f_n Sz = Sf_n z$ we obtain the inequality:

$d(Sy, f_n Sz) \leq d(f_n z, Sz)$, for $y \in f_n z$
since

$$\begin{aligned} d(Sy, f_n Sz) &= \inf_{z \in f_n Sz} d(Sy, z) = \inf_{z \in Sf_n z} d(Sy, z) < \\ &< d(Sy, Sy) = 0 \leq d(f_n z, Sz). \end{aligned}$$

Since the convex structure W satisfies condition II it follows that:

$$\begin{aligned} H(f_n x, f_n y) &= H(W(fx, x_0, k_n), W(fy, x_0, k_n)) \leq \\ &\leq k_n H(fx, fy) \leq k_n d(Sx, Ty) \end{aligned}$$

for every $x, y \in K$, and hence the mapping f_n is H -continuous. Further, from the compactness of fx , for every $x \in K$ and the continuity of W in respect to the first variable it follows that $f_n x$ is compact for every $x \in K$. From $K \subseteq SK \cap TK$ we obtain that $f_n K \cap K \subseteq SK \cap TK$. Hence, all the conditions of Theorem 1. are satisfied and for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in K$ so that $Tx_n \in f_n x_n$ and $Sx_n \in f_n x_n$. The rest of the proof is as in the proof of Theorem 2. from [5]. For the completeness we shall give the rest of the proof. Since $Tx_n \in f_n x_n$ and $Sx_n \in f_n x_n$ ($n \in \mathbb{N}$) there exist $u_m \in fx_m$ and $v_m \in fx_m$ ($m \in \mathbb{N}$) so that $Sx_m = W(u_m, x_0, k_m)$ and $Tx_m = W(v_m, x_0, k_m)$. Then it is easy to prove that:

$$(7) \quad \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx_m, u_m) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} d(Tx_m, v_m) = 0.$$

Hence, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an ε -coincidence point for (S, f) and (T, f) . Since the mapping f is (α, S) or (α, T) densi-

lying on the set K and the set $f(K)$ is bounded, we conclude that the pair (S, f) or the pair (T, f) satisfies the Palais-Smale condition on the set K . Further, the mapping f is H -continuous and fx is compact for every $x \in K$ and so the mapping f is closed. Using the Proposition we conclude that $K(S, f) \neq \emptyset$ or $K(T, f) \neq \emptyset$. From the Proposition it follows that $y \in K(S, f)$ (if, for example $K(S, f) \neq \emptyset$) is of the form $y = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}$, for some subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. From (7) we have that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} v_{n_k} = Ty \in fy$ and so $y \in K(T, f) \cap K(S, f)$.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.A. Assad, W.A. Kirk, *Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type*, *Pacific J. Math.*, Vol. 43, No. 3 (1972), 553-562.
- [2] R. Baskaran, P.V. Subrahmanyam, *Common coincidence and fixed points (to appear)*.
- [3] B. Fisher, *Mappings with a common fixed point*, *Math. Sem. Notes, Kobe University*, 8 (1980), 81-84.
- [4] L. Górnielwicz, Z. Kucharski, *Coincidence of k -set contraction pairs*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 107 (1985), 1-15.
- [5] O. Hadžić, *On coincidence points in metric and probabilistic metric spaces with a convex structure*, *Univ. u Novom Sadu, Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak., Ser. Mat.*, 15, 1 (1985), 11-22.
- [6] O. Hadžić, *Common fixed point theorems in convex metric spaces*, *Numerical Methods and Approximation Theory*, D. Hecceg (ed.), Novi Sad, September 4-6, 1985, 73-82.
- [7] S. Itoh, *Multivalued generalized contractions and fixed point theorems*, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 18(2) (1977), 247-258.
- [8] M.S. Khan, *Common fixed point theorems for multivalued mappings*, *Pacific J. Math.*, 95(2) (1981), 337-347.
- [9] S.A. Naimpally, K.L. Singh, J.H.M. Whitfield, *Common fixed points for nonexpansive and asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 24, 2 (1983), 287-300.
- [10] B.E. Rhoades, K.L. Singh, J.H.M. Whitfield, *Fixed points for generalized nonexpansive mappings*, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae*, 23, 3 (1982), 443-451.
- [11] S. Sessa, *On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration*, *Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)*, 32(46) (1982), 149-153.

- [12] W. Takahashi, *A convexity in metric space and nonexpansive mappings*, I., *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 22 (1970), 142-149.
- [13] L. Talman, *Fixed points for condensing multifunctions in metric spaces with convex structure*, *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 29 (1977), 62-70.

REZIME

TAČKE KOINCIDENCIJE VIŠEZNAČNIH PRESLIKAVANJA
U KONVEKSNIM METRIČKIM PROSTORIMA

U ovom je radu dokazano uopštenje dobro poznate teoreme o nepokretnoj tački Assada i Kirka za višeznačna preslikavanja u konveksnim metričkim prostorima. Višeznačna verzija uslova Palais-Smalea je uvedena i primenjena u dokazu Teoreme 2, koja sadrži jedan rezultat o postojanju tačke koincidencije za višeznačna preslikavanja u metričkim prostorima sa konveksnom strukturom.

Received by the editors March 17, 1986.