

SOME CONGRUENCES ON A STRONGLY π -INVERSE
 r -SEMIGROUP

Petar Protić* and Stojan Bogdanović**

* *Gradjevinski fakultet Niš, 18000 Niš,
Jugoslavija*

** *Institut za matematiku Novi Sad, Dr.
Ilije Djuričića 4, 21000 Novi Sad,
Jugoslavija*

ABSTRACT

In this paper we describe a congruence pair for a strongly π -inverse r -semigroup and in this way we obtain a generalization of a result of Petrich [5].

A semigroup S is π -regular if for every $a \in S$ there exists a positive integer m such that $a^m \in a^m S a^m$. We shall denote by $\text{Reg}S$ the set of all regular elements of S . An element a' is an inverse for a if $a = a a' a$ and $a' = a' a a'$. As usual, we shall denote by $V(a)$ the set of all inverses of a . If A is a subset of S , then $V(a) = \bigcup_{a \in A} V(a)$. A semigroup S is π -orthodox if S is π -regular, and the set $E(S)$ of all idempotents of S is a subsemigroup of S [1]. A semigroup S is strongly π -inverse if it is π -regular and the idempotents commute [1].

1. THE KERNEL OF A CONGRUENCE ON A π -ORTHODOX SEMIGROUP

Let S be a π -regular semigroup. Let a mapping $r : S \rightarrow \text{Reg}S$ be defined by $r(a) = a^m$, where m is the smallest posi-

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 20M10.

Key words and phrases: π -regular semigroup, congruence.

tive integer such that $a^m \in \text{Reg}S$. The mapping r is a surjection.

Definition 1.1. A relation ρ on a π -regular semigroup S is r -semiprime if

$$(\forall a \in S) a \rho r(a).$$

Lemma 1.1. If ρ is an r -semiprime congruence on a π -regular semigroup S , then S/ρ is a regular semigroup and

$$V(a\rho) = V(r(a)\rho).$$

Proof. Let $a \in S/\rho$. Then $a \rho r(a)$ and there exists $x \in S$ such that $r(a) = r(a)xr(a)$. So $a\rho = r(a)\rho = (r(a)xr(a))\rho = r(a)\rho x\rho r(a)\rho = a\rho x\rho a\rho$. Thus S/ρ is regular.

If A is a subset of a π -regular semigroup S , then

$$\text{reg}A = \{a \in A : a \in \text{Reg}S\} = A \cap \text{Reg}S$$

and

$$\text{Reg}A = \{a \in A : (\exists x \in A) a = axa\}.$$

A subsemigroup K of a semigroup S is full if $E(S) \subseteq K$.

Definition 1.2. Let S be a π -regular semigroup. A subset A of S is self-conjugate if $a'(\text{reg}A)a \subseteq \text{reg}A$ for every $a \in \text{Reg}S$ and $a' \in V(a)$. A subsemigroup K of S is inverse-closed if $V(\text{reg}K) \subseteq \text{reg}K$, K is strongly inverse-closed if $V(\text{Reg}K) \subseteq \text{Reg}K$.

Definition 1.3. A subsemigroup K of a π -orthodox semigroup S is normal if it is full, self-conjugate and inverse-closed.

Definition 1.4. A subsemigroup K of a π -regular semigroup S is r -semiprime if

$$(\forall a \in S)(r(a) \in K \rightarrow a \in K).$$

Let ρ be a congruence on a semigroup S . The restriction $\rho|_{E(S)}$ is the trace of ρ to be denoted by trp , and the set

$$\text{kerp} = \{a \in S : (\exists e \in E(S)) a \rho e\}$$

is the kernel of ρ [5].

Lemma 1.2. If S is a π -orthodox semigroup, then

$$a^{\wedge}ea, aea^{\wedge} \in E(S)$$

for every $e \in E(S)$, $a \in \text{Reg}S$, $a^{\wedge} \in V(a)$.

Proof. By Proposition VI 1.4 [2].

Theorem 1.1. Let ρ be a (r -semiprime) congruence on a π -orthodox semigroup S . Then kerp is a normal (r -semiprime) subsemigroup of S .

Proof. It is clear that kerp is full. Let $a \in \text{Reg}S$ and $a^{\wedge} \in V(a)$. Then

$$a^{\wedge}(\text{reg}(\text{kerp}))a = \cup \{a^{\wedge}ba : b \in \text{reg}(\text{kerp})\}.$$

Since $b \in \text{reg}(\text{kerp})$ we have that $b \rho e$ for some $e \in E(S)$. So $a^{\wedge}bap a^{\wedge}ea$. Now by Lemma 1.2 we obtain that $a^{\wedge}ba \in \text{kerp}$. Since S is π -orthodox we have by Proposition IV 3.1. [4] that $\text{Reg}S$ is a subsemigroup of S . So $a^{\wedge}ba \in \text{reg}(\text{kerp})$. Hence kerp is a full, self-conjugate subsemigroup of S . Furthermore, $\text{Reg}S$ is an orthodox semigroup and

$$\text{ker}|_{\text{Reg}S} = \text{kerp} \cap \text{Reg}S = \text{reg}(\text{kerp}).$$

From this and Lemma 2.3 [3], we obtain

$$V(\ker \rho |_{\text{Reg} S}) \subseteq \ker \rho |_{\text{Reg} S}$$

i.e.

$$V(\text{reg}(\ker \rho)) \subseteq \text{reg}(\ker \rho).$$

Hence, $\ker \rho$ is normal.

If ρ is an r -semiprime congruence and $a \in S$, $r(a) \in \ker \rho$, then there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $apr(a)\rho e$ whence $a \in \ker \rho$. Thus $\ker \rho$ is an r -semiprime subsemigroup of S .

Proposition 1.1. If K is a full π -regular subsemigroup of a ρ -regular semigroup S and $\text{Reg} S$ is a subsemigroup of S , then K is a strongly inverse-closed subsemigroup of S .

Proof. Let $a \in \text{Reg} K$, $a' \in V(a)$ such that $a' \in \text{Reg} K$ and let $x \in V(a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &= xax = x(aa'a)x = (xa)a'(ax) \in E(S) \cdot \text{Reg} K \cdot E(S) \subseteq \\ &\subseteq \text{Reg} K. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $V(a) \subseteq \text{Reg} K$.

Proposition 1.2. If K is an inverse-closed subsemigroup of a π -regular semigroup S , then $\text{reg} K = \text{Reg} K$ and K is a π -regular subsemigroup of S .

Proof. Clearly $\text{Reg} K \subseteq \text{reg} K$. Let $a \in \text{reg} K$ and $x \in V(a)$. Since $V(\text{reg} K) \subseteq \text{reg} K$ we have that $V(a) \subseteq \text{reg} K \subseteq K$. So $x \in K$. Hence, $a \in \text{Reg} K$. Thus $\text{reg} K \subseteq \text{Reg} K$.

Corollary 1.1. Let ρ be a (r -semiprime) congruence on a π -orthodox semigroup S . Then $\ker \rho$ is a normal π -orthodox (r -semiprime) subsemigroup of S and $\text{reg}(\ker \rho) = \text{Reg}(\ker \rho)$.

Proof. Follows immediately by Theorem 1.1 and Pro-

position 1.2.

2. CONGRUENCE PAIR

Now we shall introduce the following notion.

Definition 2.1. *A π -regular semigroup is an r-semigroup if*

$$(\forall a, b \in S)(r(ab) = r(a)r(b)).$$

Every regular semigroup is an r-semigroup, but the converse is not true. For example, the semigroup S given by the table

	0	e	a	b
0	0	0	0	0
e	0	e	a	b
a	0	0	0	0
b	0	b	a	e

is a strongly π -inverse r-semigroup and it is not regular.

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be an r-semigroup. Then RegS is a subsemigroup of S and*

$$r : S \rightarrow \text{RegS}$$

is a homomorphism.

Proof. *Let $a, b \in \text{RegS}$. Then*

$$ab = r(a)r(b) = r(ab) \in \text{RegS}.$$

Definition 2.2. *Let S be a strongly π -inverse semigroup. A congruence ξ on the semilattice $E(S)$ is normal if*

$$e\xi f \leftrightarrow a^{-1}ea\xi a^{-1}fa$$

for $e, f \in E(S)$, $a \in \text{Reg}S$ and a^{-1} inverse of a .

Definition 2.3. Let S be a strongly π -inverse semigroup and let K be a normal r -semiprime subsemigroup of S . If, in addition, ξ is a normal congruence on $E(S)$ satisfying

$$(i) \quad r(a)e \in K, e\xi r(a)^{-1}r(a) \Rightarrow r(a) \in K,$$

$$(ii) \quad a \in K \Rightarrow r(a)^{-1}er(a)\xi r(a)^{-1}r(a)e$$

for every $e \in E(S)$, $a \in S$, then (ξ, K) is a congruence pair for S .

Define a relation $K_{(\xi, K)}$ on S by

$$aK_{(\xi, K)}b \leftrightarrow r(a)^{-1}r(a)\xi r(b)^{-1}r(b), r(a)r(b)^{-1} \in K.$$

It is clear that $K_{(\xi, K)}$ is an r -semiprime relation.

Lemma 2.2. Let (ξ, K) be a congruence pair for a strongly π -inverse r -semigroups. Then

$$(i) \quad r(a)er(b) \in K, e\xi r(a)^{-1}r(a) \Rightarrow r(ab) \in K,$$

$$(ii) \quad ab \in K \Rightarrow r(a)er(b) \in K,$$

$$(iii) \quad r(a)r(b)^{-1} \in K, r(a)^{-1}r(a)\xi r(b)^{-1}r(b) \Rightarrow \\ \Rightarrow r(a)^{-1}er(a)\xi er(b)^{-1}er(b)$$

for every $e \in E(S)$, $a, b \in S$.

Proof. (i) Let $r(a)er(b) \in K$ and $e\xi r(a)^{-1}r(a)$. Since S is r -semiprime, we have

$$(1) \quad r(a)er(b) = r(a)e(r(b)r(b)^{-1})r(b) =$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= r(a)(r(b)r(b)^{-1})er(b) = (r(a)r(b))(r(b)^{-1}er(b)) = \\
 &= r(ab)(r(b)^{-1}er(b)) \in K.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since ξ is a normal congruence on $E(S)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2) \quad r(ab)^{-1}r(ab) &= (r(a)r(b))^{-1}r(a)r(b) = \\
 &= r(b)^{-1}r(a)^{-1}r(a)r(b)\xi r(b)^{-1}er(b).
 \end{aligned}$$

Now, by (1) and (2) and by Definition 2.3 (i), we obtain that $r(ab) \in K$, since $r(b)^{-1}er(b) \in K$.

(ii) Let $a, b \in S$, $ab \in K$ and $e \in E(S)$. Then

$$r(a)er(b) = r(ab)(r(b)^{-1}er(b)) \in K \cdot E(S) \subseteq K,$$

since $E(S) \subseteq K$.

(iii) Let $r(a)r(b)^{-1} \in K$, $r(a)^{-1}r(a)\xi r(b)^{-1}r(b)$ and $e \in E(S)$. Then by Definition 2.3 (ii), we have that

$$r(b)r(a)^{-1}er(a)r(b)^{-1}\xi(r(b)r(a)^{-1})(r(a)r(b)^{-1})e$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
 &r(b)(r(a)^{-1}er(a))r(b)^{-1}\xi r(b)(r(a)^{-1}r(a))r(b)^{-1}e \\
 &\quad \xi r(b)(r(b)^{-1}r(b))r(b)^{-1}e = r(b)r(b)^{-1}e.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 r(a)^{-1}er(a) &= (r(a)^{-1}r(a))(r(a)^{-1}er(a))(r(a)^{-1}r(a)) \\
 &\quad \xi(r(b)^{-1}r(b))(r(a)^{-1}er(a))(r(b)^{-1}r(b)) \\
 &= r(b)^{-1}(r(b)r(a)^{-1}er(a)r(b)^{-1})r(b) \\
 &\quad \xi r(b)^{-1}(r(b)r(b)^{-1}e)r(b) = r(b)^{-1}er(b).
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. *Let (ξ, K) be a congruence pair for a strongly π -inverse r -semigroup. Then $K_{(\xi, K)}$ is an r -semiprime congruence on S with the trace ξ and the kernel K . Conversely, if ρ is an r -semiprime congruence on a strongly π -inverse r -semigroup, then $(\text{tr}_\rho, \text{ker}_\rho)$ is a congruence pair for S and $\rho = K_{(\text{tr}_\rho, \text{ker}_\rho)}$.*

Proof. Let (ξ, K) be a congruence pair for a strongly π -inverse r -semigroup and let $K = K_{(\xi, K)}$. Since $E(S) \subseteq K$, we have that K is reflexive. Furthermore, since K is inverse-closed, we have that K is symmetric. That K is transitive follows by Lemma 2.2.(i). Hence K is an equivalence.

Assume that aKb and $c \in S$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} r(ac)^{-1}r(ac) &= (r(a)r(c))^{-1}r(a)r(c) = \\ &= r(c)^{-1}r(a)^{-1}r(a)r(c)\xi r(c)^{-1}r(b)^{-1}r(b)r(c) = \\ &= (r(b)r(c))^{-1}r(b)r(c) = r(bc)^{-1}r(bc). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since ξ is a normal convergence on $E(S)$, we have by Lemma 2.2. (ii) that $r(a)r(b)^{-1} \in K$ whence

$$\begin{aligned} r(a)(r(c)r(c)^{-1})r(b)^{-1} &= r(a)r(c)(r(b)r(c))^{-1} = \\ &= r(ac)r(bc)^{-1} \in K. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $aKbc$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.2.(iii), we have that aKb imply $r(a)^{-1}er(a)\xi r(b)^{-1}er(b)$ so

$$\begin{aligned} r(ca)^{-1}r(ca) &= (r(c)r(a))^{-1}r(c)r(a) = \\ &= r(a)^{-1}r(c)^{-1}r(c)r(a)\xi r(b)^{-1}r(c)^{-1}r(c)r(b) = \\ &= r(cb)^{-1}r(cb). \end{aligned}$$

Now, since K is self-conjugate, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} r(ca)r(cb)^{-1} &= r(c)r(a)r(b)^{-1}r(c)^{-1} \in r(c)K r(c)^{-1} \\ &\subseteq K \end{aligned}$$

thus $caKcb$. Therefore, K is a congruence. It is clear that K is r -semiprime and that $\text{tr}K = \xi$. Let $a \in \ker K$. Then there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that

$$aKe \leftrightarrow r(a)^{-1}r(a)\xi e, r(a)e \in K,$$

whence (by Definition 2.3 (i)) $r(a) \in K$. So $a \in K$. Conversely, let $a \in K$. Then $r(a) = r(a)r(a)^{-1}r(a) \in K$ and $r(a)^{-1}r(a) \cdot \xi r(a)^{-1}r(a) = r(a)^{-1}r(a)r(a)^{-1}r(a)$. Since $r(a)^{-1}r(a) \in E(S)$, we have that $aKr(a)^{-1}r(a)$. Thus $a \in \ker K$. Therefore, $K = \ker K$.

Conversely, let ρ be an r -semiprime congruence on a strongly π -inverse r -semigroup S . By Corollary 1.1, $\ker \rho$ is a normal strongly π -inverse r -semiprime subsemigroup of S . Since $\text{tr} \rho$ is a normal congruence on $E(S)$, it remains to prove that conditions (i) and (ii) from Definition 2.2 hold. Assume that $r(a)c \in \ker \rho$ and $e(\text{tr} \rho)r(a)^{-1}r(a)$, (where $a \in S, e \in E(S)$). Then there exists $f \in E(S)$ such that $r(a)epf$. Thus $r(a) \in \ker \rho$, and therefore condition (i) holds. Furthermore, if $a \in \ker \rho$, then $r(a) \in \ker \rho$. So $r(a)\rho e$ for some $e \in E(S)$. From this it follows that $r(a)^{-1}r(a)\rho r(a)^{-1}e$. Now, since $e\rho r(a)$, we have that $r(a)^{-1}r(a)\rho r(a)^{-1}er(a)$, i.e. (ii) holds.

Now, assume that $a K_{(\text{tr} \rho, \ker \rho)} b$. Then $r(a)r(b)^{-1}\rho e$ for some $e \in E(S)$. Since $r(a)r(b)^{-1}$ and $r(b)r(a)^{-1}$ are mutually inverse, we have that $r(b)r(a)^{-1} \in \ker \rho$ and there exists $f \in E(S)$ such that $r(b)r(a)^{-1}\rho f$. Now

$$r(a)r(b)^{-1} = r(a)r(b)^{-1}r(b)r(a)^{-1}r(a)r(b)^{-1}\rho ef = ef.$$

So $ef\rho r(a)r(b)^{-1}\rho e$. Similarly, $r(b)r(a)^{-1}\rho fef = ef\rho f$. Thus $ef\rho$ and $r(b)r(a)^{-1}\rho e$. From this it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} r(a) &= r(a)(r(a)^{-1}r(a))\rho r(a)(r(b)^{-1}r(b)) = \\ &= (r(a)r(b)^{-1})r(b)\rho er(b) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} r(b) &= r(b)(r(b)^{-1}r(b))\rho r(b)(r(a)^{-1}r(a)) = \\ &= (r(b)r(a)^{-1})r(a)\rho r(a). \end{aligned}$$

So

$$r(a)\rho r(b)\rho e(er(a)) = er(a)\rho r(b)$$

and since ρ is r -semiprime, we have that $a\rho b$. Thus $K_{(tr\rho, kerp)} \subseteq \rho$. Conversely, let $a\rho b$. Then $r(a)\rho r(b)$, whence $r(a)r(b)^{-1}\rho r(b)r(b)^{-1}$. So $r(a)r(b)^{-1} \in kerp$. Also

$$(3) \quad r(a)^{-1}r(a)\rho r(a)^{-1}r(b) \text{ and } r(b)^{-1}r(a)\rho r(b)^{-1}r(b).$$

Hence $r(a)^{-1}r(b)$, $r(b)^{-1}r(a) \in kerp$. Now we have that $r(a)^{-1}r(b)\rho r(b)^{-1}r(a)\rho e$ for some $e \in E(S)$ and by (3) we obtain that $r(a)^{-1}r(a)\rho r(b)^{-1}r(b)$. Thus $aK_{(tr\rho, kerp)}^b$, i.e. $\rho \subseteq K_{(tr\rho, kerp)}$. Therefore, $\rho = K_{(tr\rho, kerp)}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bogdanović, S.: Power regular semigroups, *Zbornik radova PMF Novi Sad*, Vol. 12 (1982), 418 - 428.
- [2] Howie, J.M.: *An introduction to semigroup theory*, Academic Press, 1976.
- [3] Meakin, J.: Congruences on orthodox semigroups, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 12 (1971), 323 - 341.
- [4] Petrich, M.: *Introduction to semigroups*, Merrill, Columbus, 1973.
- [5] Petrich, M.: Congruences on inverse semigroups, *J. Algebra*, 55 (1978), 231 - 256.

REZIME

NEKE KONGRUENCIJE NA STROGO π -INVERZNOJ
POLUGRUPI

U ovom radu opisujemo kongruencijski par na strogo π -inverznoj polugrupi S , pri čemu uopštavamo poznati rezultat M. Petricha [5].

Received by the editors October 16, 1985.