

SOME RESULTS ON THE PRODUCT OF DISTRIBUTIONS  
DEFINED BY DISTRIBUTION VECTORS

*Brian Fisher*

*Department of Mathematics, The University Leicester,  
LE1 7RH England*

ABSTRACT

The product of two distributions is defined by a distribution vector in order to give more information about the product.

In the following we let  $N$  be the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain  $N' = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and range  $N''$  the real numbers, with negligible functions linear sums of the functions  $n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n$ ,  $\ln^r n$  for  $\lambda > 0$  and  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ , and all functions which converge to zero as  $n$  tends to infinity.

It follows that if

$$f(n) = 3n^2 + n + n^2 \ln n + 2 + 3n^{-1}$$

then the neutrix limit as  $n$  tends to infinity of  $f(n)$  exists and

---

*AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (1980): 46F10*

*Key words and phrases: Dirac delta-function, distribution, test function, neutrix, neutrix limit.*

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(n) = 2.$$

Now let  $\rho$  be a fixed infinitely differentiable function having the properties

$$(i) \quad \rho(x) = 0 \text{ for } |x| \geq 1,$$

$$(ii) \quad \rho(x) \geq 0,$$

$$(iii) \quad \rho(x) = \rho(-x),$$

$$(iv) \quad \int_{-1}^1 \rho(x) dx = 1.$$

We define the function  $\delta_n$  by  $\delta_n(x) = n\rho(nx)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is obvious that  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a regular sequence converging to the Dirac delta-function  $\delta$ .

We now define the locally summable function  $\ln x_+$  by

$$\ln x_+ = \begin{cases} \ln x, & x > 0, \\ 0, & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

and we define the locally summable functions  $\ln x_-$ ,  $\ln|x|$  and  $\operatorname{sgn} x \ln|x|$  by

$$\ln x_- = \ln(x), \quad \ln|x| = \ln x_+ + \ln x_-,$$

$$\operatorname{sgn} x \cdot \ln|x| = \ln x_+ - \ln x_-.$$

We define the distribution  $x_+^{-p}$  by

$$x_+^{-p} = \frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{(p-1)!} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} \ln x_+$$

and we define the distributions  $x_-^{-p}$ ,  $|x|^{-p}$  and  $\operatorname{sgn} x \cdot |x|^{-p}$  by

$$x_-^{-p} = (-x)_+^{-p}, \quad |x|^{-p} = x_+^{-p} + x_-^{-p},$$

$$\operatorname{sgn} x \cdot |x|^{-p} = x_+^{-p} - x_-^{-p}$$

for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ .

The following definition for the product of two distributions was given in [2].

DEFINITION 1. Let  $g$  and  $f$  be distributions and let  $g_n = g * \delta_n$ . We say that the neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to  $h$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$  if

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (f, g_n \phi) = (h, \phi)$$

for all test functions  $\phi$  with compact support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$

In order to give more information about the behaviour of the product  $f \cdot g$  the notion of a distribution vector was introduced in [3], where the following definitions, theorems and lemma were given.

DEFINITION 2. Let  $h_r$  be a distribution for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . We say that

$$\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$$

is a distribution vector.

If  $\alpha$  is any real number we define  $\alpha \underline{h}$  to be the distribution vector

$$\alpha \underline{h} = [\alpha h_0, \alpha h_1, \dots, \alpha h_r, \dots]$$

and if  $\underline{k} = [k_0, k_1, \dots, k_r, \dots]$  is a second distribution vector we define  $\underline{h} + \underline{k}$  to be the distribution vector

$$\underline{h} + \underline{k} = [h_0 + k_0, h_1 + k_1, \dots, h_r + k_r, \dots]$$

If  $h_{r+i} = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  we write

$$\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, 0, \dots] = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r]$$

and if  $h_i = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots$  we write

$$\underline{h} = [h_0] = h_0.$$

DEFINITION 3. Let  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  be a distribution vector and let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary test function with compact support. We define  $(\underline{h}, \phi)$  by the sequence of real numbers

$$(\underline{h}, \phi) = ((h_0, \phi), (h_1, \phi), \dots, (h_r, \phi), \dots).$$

DEFINITION 4. Let  $h = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  be a distribution vector. We define the derivative  $h'$  of  $h$  by

$$h' = [h'_0, h'_1, \dots, h'_r, \dots].$$

DEFINITION 5. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and let  $g_n = g * \delta_n$ . We say that the neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  of  $f$  and  $g$  exists and is equal to the distribution vector  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$  if

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-r} (f, g_n \phi) = (h_r, \phi)$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and all test functions  $\phi$  with compact support contained in the interval  $(a, b)$ .

DEFINITION 6. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and suppose that the neutrix product  $f \cdot g$  exists as the distribution vector  $h = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  on the open interval  $(a, b)$ . We say that  $h_0$  is the finite part of  $f \cdot g$  on the interval  $(a, b)$ . If  $h_r \neq 0$  for some  $r \geq 1$  we write

$$p.f. f \cdot g = h_0$$

on the interval  $(a, b)$  and if  $h_r = 0$  for  $r = 1, 2, \dots$  we write

$$f \cdot g = h_0$$

on the open interval  $(a,b)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $\underline{h} = [h_0, h_1, \dots, h_r, \dots]$  be a distribution vector and let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary test function with compact support. Then

$$(\underline{h}', \phi) = -(\underline{h}, \phi')$$

**THEOREM 2.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be distributions and suppose that the neutrrix products  $f \cdot g$  and  $f' \cdot g$  (or  $f \cdot g'$ ) exist as distribution vectors on the open interval  $(a,b)$ . Then the neutrrix product  $f \cdot g'$  (or  $f' \cdot g$ ) exists as a distribution vector and

$$(f \cdot g)' = f' \cdot g + f \cdot g'$$

on the interval  $(a,b)$ .

**LEMMA 1.**

$$\int_0^{1/n} x^p \delta_n^{(q)}(x) dx = (-1)^{p+1} n^{q-p} p! \rho_{q-p-1}$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$        $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\int_0^{1/n} x^p \delta_n^{(p)}(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} (-1)^p p!$$

for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$\int_0^{1/n} |x^p \delta_n^{(q)}(x)| dx = 0(n^{q-p})$$

for  $q = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$  and  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$\rho_{2r} = \rho^{(2r)}(0), \quad \rho_{2r+1} = \rho^{(2r+1)}(0) = 0$$

for  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

We now prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.

$$(1) \quad \int_0^{1/n} x^p \ln x \delta_n^{(q)}(x) dx = (-1)^p n^{q-p} p! (c_{q-p} - \rho_{q-p-1} a_p) + \\ + (-1)^p n^{q-p} \ln n p! \rho_{q-p-1}$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$  and  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$(2) \quad \int_0^{1/n} x^p \ln x \delta_n^{(p)}(x) dx = (-1)^p p! (c_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_p) - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^p \ln n p!$$

for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  and

$$(3) \quad \int_0^{1/n} |x^p \ln x \delta_n^{(q)}(x)| dx = O(n^{q-p} \ln n)$$

for  $q = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$  and  $p = 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$c_s = \int_0^1 \ln t \rho^{(s)}(t) dt$$

$s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$a_s = \begin{cases} 0, & s = 0, \\ \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{1}{i}, & s = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Putting  $nx = t$  we have

$$(4) \quad \int_0^{1/n} x^p \ln x \delta_n^{(q)}(x) dx = n^{q-p} \int_0^1 t^p \ln(t/n) \rho^{(q)}(t) dt = \\ = n^{q-p} \int_0^1 t^p \ln t \rho^{(q)}(t) dt - \ln n \int_0^{1/n} x^p \delta_n^{(q)}(x) dx.$$

We now prove by induction that

$$(5) \quad \int_0^1 t^p \ln t \rho^{(q)}(t) dt = (-1)^p p! (c_{q-p} - \rho_{q-p-1} a_p)$$

for  $p = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$  and  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ . This is true by definition for  $p = 0$  and  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ . We therefore assume that equation (5) holds for some  $p < q$  and  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Integrating by parts we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 t^{p+1} \ln t \rho^{(q+1)}(t) dt &= - (p+1) \int_0^1 t^p \ln t \rho^{(q)}(t) dt - \\ &- \int_0^1 t^p \rho^{(q)}(t) dt = (-1)^{p+1} (p+1)! (c_{q-p} - \rho_{q-p-1} a_p) - \\ &- (-1)^{p+1} p! \rho_{q-p-1} = (-1)^{p+1} (p+1)! (c_{q-p} - \rho_{q-p-1} a_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

on using our assumption and lemma 1. Equation (5) follows by induction.

Equation (1) now follows from equation (4) and lemma 1 for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots, q-1$  and  $q = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Next we prove by induction that

$$(6) \quad \int_0^1 t^p \ln t \rho^{(p)}(t) dt = (-1)^p p! (c_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_p)$$

for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . This is also true by definition when  $p = 0$ . We therefore assume that equation (6) holds for some  $p$ .

Integrating by parts we then have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 t^{p+1} \ln t \rho^{(p+1)}(t) dt &= - (p+1) \int_0^1 t^p \ln t \rho^{(p)}(t) dt - \\ &- \int_0^1 t^p \rho^{(p)}(t) dt = (-1)^{p+1} (p+1)! (c_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_p) - \frac{1}{2} (-1)^p p! = \\ &= (-1)^{p+1} (p+1)! (c_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_{p+1}) \end{aligned}$$

on using our assumption and lemma 1. Equation (6) follows by induction.

Equation (2) now follows from equation (4) and lemma 1 for  $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Finally we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \int_0^1 |x^p \ln x \delta_n^{(q)}(x)| dx \leq n^{q-p} \int_0^1 |t^p \ln t \rho^{(q)}(t)| dt + n^{q-p} \ln n \int_0^1 |t^p \rho^{(q)}(t)| dt$$

and equation (3) follows. This completes the proof of the lemma.

**THEOREM 3.** *The neutrix products  $\ln x_+ \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  and  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_+$  exist as distribution vectors and*

$$(7) \quad \ln x_+ \cdot \delta^{(q)} = \underline{h}(q) = [h_0(q), h_1(q), \dots, h_q(q)]$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$h_i(q) = \begin{cases} (c_0 + \frac{1}{2}a_q)\delta^{(q)}, & i = 0, \\ (c_i - \rho_{i-1}a_{q-i})\delta^{(q-i)}, & 1 \leq i \leq q \end{cases}$$

and

$$(8) \quad \delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_+ = \underline{k}(q) = [k_0(q), k_1(q), \dots, k_q(q)]$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$k_i(q) = \begin{cases} c_0 \delta^{(q)}, & i = 0, \\ \binom{q}{i} c_i \delta^{(q-i)}, & 1 \leq i \leq q. \end{cases}$$

**PROOF.** Let  $\phi$  be an arbitrary test function with compact support.

Then

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{j=0}^q \frac{x^j}{j!} \phi^{(j)}(0) + \frac{x^{q+1}}{(q+1)!} \phi^{(q+1)}(\xi x),$$

where  $0 < \xi < 1$ .



On using lemma 2 it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\ln x_+, \delta_n^{(q)} \phi) &= \sum_{j=0}^q \frac{\phi^{(j)}(0)}{j!} \int_0^{1/n} x^j \ln x \delta_n^{(q)}(x) dx + \\
 &+ \frac{1}{(q+1)!} \int_0^{1/n} x^{q+1} \ln x \delta_n^{(q)}(x) \phi^{(q+1)}(\xi x) dx = \\
 &= \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \phi^{(j)}(0) (-1)^j n^{q-j} (c_{q-j} - \rho_{q-j-1} a_j) + \\
 &+ \sum_{j=0}^{q-1} \phi^{(j)}(0) (-1)^j n^{q-j} \ln n j! \rho_{q-j-1} + \\
 &+ \phi^{(q)}(0) (-1)^q (c_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_q) - \phi^{(q)}(0) (-1)^q \ln n q! + \\
 &+ O(n^{-1} \ln n).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-i} (\ln x_+, \delta_n^{(q)} \phi) = \begin{cases} (c_0 + \frac{1}{2} a_q) (\delta^{(q)}, \phi), & i = 0, \\ (c_i - \rho_{i-1} a_{q-i}) (\delta^{(q-i)}, \phi), & 1 \leq i \leq q \end{cases}$$

and equation (7) follows.

We now put

$$f_n(x) = \ln x_+ * \delta_n(x)$$

so that

$$f_n(x) = \int_{-1/n}^x \ln(x-t) \delta_n(t) dt$$

for  $|x| \leq 1/n$ . Then

$$f_n^{(j)}(0) = \int_{-1/n}^0 \ln(-t) \delta_n^{(j)}(t) dt = (-1)^j \int_0^{1/n} \ln t \delta_n^{(j)}(t) dt =$$

$$= \begin{cases} c_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln n, & j = 0, \\ (-1)^j n^j (c_j + \ln n \rho_{j-1}) & j \geq 1 \end{cases}$$

on using lemma 2. Thus

$$(\delta^{(q)}, f_n \phi) = (-1)^q \sum_{j=0}^q \binom{q}{j} f_n^{(j)}(0) \phi^{(q-j)}(0) =$$

$$= (-1)^q (c_0 - \frac{1}{2} \ln n) \phi^{(q)}(0) +$$

$$+ (-1)^q \sum_{j=1}^q \binom{q}{j} (-1)^j n^j (c_j + \ln n \rho_{j-1}) \phi^{(q-j)}(0)$$

and so

$$N\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-i} (\delta^{(q)}, f_n \phi) = \begin{cases} c_0 (\delta^{(q)}, \phi), & i = 0, \\ \binom{q}{i} c_i (\delta^{(q-i)}, \phi), & 1 \leq i \leq q. \end{cases}$$

Equation (8) follows. This completes the proof of the theorem.

**COROLLARY 1.** *The neutrix products  $\ln x_- \cdot \delta^{(q)}$ ,  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_-$ ,  $\ln|x| \cdot \delta^{(q)}$ ,  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln|x|$ ,  $(\text{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|) \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  and  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot (\text{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|)$  exist as distribution vectors and*

$$(9) \quad \ln x_- \cdot \delta^{(q)} = [h_0(q), -h_1(q), \dots, (-1)^q h_q(q)],$$

$$(10) \quad \delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_- = [k_0(q), -k_1(q), \dots, (-1)^q k_q(q)],$$

$$\ln|x| \cdot \delta^{(q)} = [2h_0(q), 0, 2h_2(q), \dots, h_q(q) + (-1)^q h_q(q)],$$

$$\delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln|x| = [2k_0(q), 0, 2k_2(q), \dots, k_q(q) + (-1)^q k_q(q)],$$

$$(\operatorname{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|) \cdot \delta^{(q)} = [0, 2h_1(q), 0, \dots, h_q(q) - (-1)^q h_q(q)],$$

$$\delta^{(q)} \cdot (\operatorname{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|) = [0, 2k_1(q), 0, \dots, k_q(q) - (-1)^q k_q(q)],$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

PROOF. Equations (9) and (10) follow from equations (7) and (8) on replacing  $x$  by  $-x$ . The remaining results follow from the definitions of  $\ln|x|$  and  $\operatorname{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|$ .

COROLLARY 2.

$$\text{p.f. } \ln x_+ \cdot \delta^{(q)} = \text{p.f. } \ln x_- \cdot \delta^{(q)} = (c_0 + \frac{1}{2}a_q) \delta^{(q)},$$

$$\text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_+ = \text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_- = c_0 \delta^{(q)},$$

$$\text{p.f. } \ln|x| \cdot \delta^{(q)} = (2c_0 + a_q) \delta^{(q)},$$

$$\text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln|x| = 2c_0 \delta^{(q)},$$

$$\text{p.f. } (\operatorname{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|) \cdot \delta^{(q)} = \text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot (\operatorname{sgn}x \cdot \ln|x|) = 0$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

THEOREM 4. The neutrix products  $x_+^{-p} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  and  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot x_+^{-p}$  exist as distribution vectors for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . In particular

$$(11) \quad x_+^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = \underline{u}(q) = [u_0(q), u_1(q), \dots, u_{q+1}(q)],$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$u_i(q) = \begin{cases} -\frac{1}{2(q+1)} \delta^{(q+1)}, & i = 0, \\ \frac{\rho_i}{q-i+1} \delta^{(q-i+1)}, & 1 \leq i \leq q, \\ -c_{q+1} \delta, & i = q+1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$(12) \quad \delta^{(q)} \cdot x_+^{-1} = \underline{v}(q) = [0, v_1(q), \dots, v_{q+1}(q)],$$

$$q = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$v_i(q) = \begin{cases} -\binom{q}{i-1} \delta^{(q-i+1)}, & 1 \leq i \leq q, \\ -c_{q+1} \delta, & i = q+1. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Using theorem 2 we see that the neutrix product  $x_+^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  exists as a distribution vector and

$$x_+^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = (\ln x_+ \cdot \delta^{(q)})' - \ln x_+ \cdot \delta^{(q+1)} = \underline{h}'(q) - h(q+1)$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Equation (11) follows.

Next, assuming that  $x_+^{-p} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  exists for some positive integer  $p$  and  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , it again follows from theorem 2 that  $x_+^{-p-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  exists for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . It follows by induction that  $x_+^{-p} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  exists for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ .

Similarly, theorem 2 proves that  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot x_+^{-1}$  exists and

$$\delta^{(q)} \cdot x_+^{-1} = (\delta^{(q)} \cdot \ln x_+)' - \delta^{(q+1)} \cdot \ln x_+ = \underline{k}'(q) - \underline{k}(q+1)$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Equation (12) follows.

The existence of  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot x_+^{-p}$  for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  now follows by induction on using theorem 2. This completes the proof of the theorem.

COROLLARY 1. The neutrix products  $x_-^{-p} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$ ,  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot x_-^{-p}$ ,  $|x|^{-p} \cdot \delta^{(q)}$ ,  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot |x|^{-p}$ ,  $(\text{sgn}x \cdot |x|^{-p}) \cdot \delta^{(q)}$  and  $\delta^{(q)} \cdot (\text{sgn}x \cdot |x|^{-p})$  exist as distribution vectors for  $p = 1, 2, \dots$  and  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

In particular

$$x_-^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = [-u_0(q), u_1(q), \dots, (-1)^q u_{q+1}(q)],$$

$$\delta^{(q)} \cdot x_-^{-1} = [0, v_1(q), \dots, (-1)^q v_{q+1}(q)],$$

$$|x|^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = [0, 2u_1(q), 0, \dots, u_{q+1}(q) + (-1)^q u_{q+1}(q)],$$

$$\delta^{(q)} \cdot |x|^{-1} = [0, 2v_1(q), 0, \dots, v_{q+1}(q) + (-1)^q v_{q+1}(q)],$$

$$x^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = [2u_0(q), 0, 2u_2(q), \dots, u_{q+1}(q) - (-1)^q u_{q+1}(q)],$$

$$\delta^{(q)} \cdot x^{-1} = [0, 0, 2v_2(q), \dots, v_{q+1}(q) - (-1)^q v_{q+1}(q)]$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where

$$x^{-1} = \text{sgn}x |x|^{-1} = x_+^{-1} - x_-^{-1}.$$

COROLLARY 2.

$$\text{p.f. } x_+^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = -\frac{1}{2(q+1)} \delta^{(q+1)},$$

$$\text{p.f. } x_-^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = \frac{1}{2(q+1)} \delta^{(q+1)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot x_+^{-1} &= \text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot x_-^{-1} = \text{p.f. } |x|^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} \\ &= \text{p.f. } \delta^{(q)} \cdot |x|^{-1} = \delta^{(q)} \cdot x^{-1} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{p.f. } x^{-1} \cdot \delta^{(q)} = -\frac{1}{q+1} \delta^{(q+1)}$$

for  $q = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

The proofs of these corollaries are immediate.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J.G. van der Corput, *Introduction to the neutrix calculus*, *J. Analyse Math.*, 7 (1959-60), 291 - 398.
- [2] B. Fisher, *A non-commutative neutrix product of distributions*, *Math. Nachr.*, 108 (1982), 117 - 127.
- [3] B. Fisher, *Product of distributions defined by a vector*, *Proc. International Conference on Complex Analysis and Applications, Varna, Bulgaria (1985)*, to appear.

Received by the editors September 1, 1985.

#### REZIME

#### NEKI REZULTATI O PROIZVODU DISTRIBUCIJA DEFINISANOM POMOĆU DISTRIBUCIONIH VEKTORA

Definisan je proizvod dve distribucije pomoću distribucionog vektora i ispitane osobine ovako definisanog proizvoda.