

SOME GENERALIZATIONS OF BROWDER'S FIXED
POINT THEOREM IN TOPOLOGICAL SPACE

Olga Hadžić

*Prirodno-matematički fakultet. Institut za matematiku,
21000 Novi Sad, ul.dr Ilije Djuričića br.4, Jugoslavija*

ABSTRACT

In this note, using the method from [4], we prove a generalization of the well known Browder fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings in topological spaces. Similar results in topological vector spaces are proved by Tarafdar in [6]. A generalization of a coincidence point theorem from [5] is obtained.

H. Komiya introduced, in [4], the notion of convexity in an arbitrary topological space and obtained a generalization of the well known Browder's fixed point theorem for multivalued mappings, proved in [1].

We shall give some definitions and results from [4].

Let X be a Hausdorff topological space, $A(X)$ the family of all subsets of X and $F(X)$ the family of all finite subsets of X .

DEFINITION 1 [4]. An H -operator on X is a mapping $\langle \rangle: A(X) \rightarrow A(X)$ satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) $\langle \emptyset \rangle = \emptyset$.
- (b) $\langle \{x\} \rangle = \{x\}$, for every $x \in X$.
- (c) $\langle \langle A \rangle \rangle = \langle A \rangle$, for every $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$.
- (d) $\langle A \rangle = \bigcup \{ \langle F \rangle \mid F \subseteq A, F \in \mathcal{F}(X) \}$.

A set $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ is *convex* if $\langle A \rangle = A$ and for $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$, the image $\langle A \rangle$ of A is said to be the *convex hull* of A .

In [4], the following proposition is proved.

PROPOSITION.

- (i) An H -operator is monotone ($A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \langle A \rangle \subseteq \langle B \rangle$),
- (ii) The convex hull $\langle A \rangle$ of $A \in \mathcal{A}(X)$ is the smallest convex set containing A .
- (iii) $\langle X \rangle = X$.
- (iv) If $\langle C_i \rangle = C_i$ ($i \in I$) then $\langle \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i \rangle = \bigcap_{i \in I} C_i$.
- (v) If $\langle C_i \rangle = \dot{C}_i$, $i \in I$ and for every $i_1, i_2 \in I$ there exists $i \in I$ with $C_i \subseteq C_{i_1} \cap C_{i_2}$ then $\langle \bigcup_{i \in I} C_i \rangle = \bigcup_{i \in I} C_i$.

Let \mathcal{R} be the set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{N} is a countably infinite set) such that $\text{card}\{x \mid x \in \mathbb{N}, f(x) \neq 0\} < \infty$. This implies that $\mathcal{R} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{R}_i$, where $\mathcal{R}_i = \mathbb{R}$. The topology and the linear structure on \mathcal{R} are the usual ones.

Suppose that an H -operator $\langle \rangle$ on a topological space X is given, and let $\mathcal{H}(X) = \{ \langle F \rangle \mid F \in \mathcal{F}(X) \}$.

DEFINITION 2 [4]. For $H \in \mathcal{H}(X)$, a mapping $\phi: H \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ is called a *structure mapping* on H , if it has the following properties:

- (a) The mapping ϕ is an *into-homeomorphism*.
- (b) If $A \subseteq H$ then $\phi(\langle A \rangle) = \langle \phi(A) \rangle$, where $\langle \phi(A) \rangle$ is the usual convex hull of $\phi(A)$ in \mathcal{R} .

By S_H the set of all structures mappings on H is denoted. If $S_H \neq \emptyset$, for every $H \in H(X)$ then $\phi \in \prod_{H \in H(X)} S_H$ is said to be a structure on X with respect to the H-operator $\langle \rangle$.

DEFINITION 3 [4]. A convex space $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ is a triple consisting of a topological space X , an H-operator $\langle \rangle$ on X and structure ϕ on X with respect to $\langle \rangle$.

If $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ is a convex space, $Y \subseteq X$ and Y is convex then $(Y, \langle \rangle_Y, \phi_Y)$ is a subspace of $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ where the topology of Y is the induced topology, for $A \in A(Y) : \langle A \rangle_Y = \langle A \rangle$ and $\phi_Y = \phi|_H(Y)$.

DEFINITION 4. Let X be a topological space, $\langle \rangle$ an H-operator on X , $K \subseteq X$ and $A \subseteq X$. We say that the set A is H-open on K if $\langle G \rangle \cap A$ is open in $\langle G \rangle$ for every $G \in F(K)$.

In [4] the following theorem is proved.

THEOREM 1. Let $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ be a compact convex space and T be a mapping from X into $A(X) \setminus \emptyset$, where $\langle Tx \rangle = Tx$, for every $x \in X$. If, for every $y \in X$, $T^{-1}y = \{x | x \in X, y \in Tx\}$ is open in X , then there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $x_0 \in Tx_0$.

LEMMA. Let $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ be a convex space, K a nonempty convex subset of X and $F : K \rightarrow A(X)$ so that the following conditions are satisfied:

(a) $x \in F(x)$, for every $x \in K$ and $C(F(x))$ (the complement of $F(x)$ in K) is H-open on K , for every $x \in K$.

(b) For some $x_0 \in K$, $F(x_0)$ is compact and $F(x) \cap F(x_0)$ is closed, for every $x \in K$.

(c) For every $x \in K$ the set:

$$A(x) = \{y | y \in K, x \notin F(y)\}$$

is convex.

If, for every $G \in F(K)$, $\langle G \rangle$ is compact then

$$\bigcap_{x \in K} F(x) \neq \emptyset.$$

PROOF: As in [6] we shall prove that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) \neq \emptyset$, for every finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of K . Let us show that $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = \emptyset$ leads to a contradiction. Let $S = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \rangle$ and for every $x \in S$:

$$(1) \quad B(x) = \{y \mid y \in S, x \notin F(y)\}.$$

Since $\bigcap_{i=1}^n F(x_i) = \emptyset$, it follows that for every $x \in S$ there exists $i_0 \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ so that $x \notin F(x_{i_0})$. From (1) it follows that $x_{i_0} \in B(x)$ and so $B(x) \neq \emptyset$, for every $x \in S$. Since $\langle A(x) \rangle = A(x)$ for every $x \in K$ and $B(x) = S \cap A(x)$, for every $x \in S$, using (iv) in the Proposition and (c) in Definition 1, we conclude that $\langle B(x) \rangle = B(x)$. Further let $T : S \rightarrow A(S)$ be defined in the following way: $T(x) = B(x)$, $x \in S$. Then:

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(x) &= \{y \mid y \in S, x \in T(y)\} = \{y \mid y \in S, x \in B(y)\} = \\ &= \{y \mid y \in S, y \notin F(x)\} = S \cap C(F(x)) \end{aligned}$$

and from (a) it follows that $T^{-1}(x)$ is open in S . Applying the Theorem we conclude that there exists $x_0 \in S$ so that $x_0 \in Tx_0$ and so $x_0 \notin F(x_0)$, which contradicts (a).

REMARK: The mapping F in the Lemma has the following property:

For every finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ of K :

$$\langle \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rangle \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(x_i).$$

If, on the contrary, for some finite subset $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq K$

$$\langle \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rangle \not\subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n F(x_i)$$

there exists $x \in \langle \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rangle$ such that $x \notin F(x_1)$, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, which implies that $x_1 \in A(x)$, for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Since $\langle A(x) \rangle = A(x)$, for every $x \in K$ and $x \in \langle \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rangle$ it follows from $\langle \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \rangle \subseteq A(x)$ ((1) in the Proposition) that $x \in A(x)$, which means that $x \notin F(x)$ and this contradicts (a) in the Lemma. Thus the mapping F from the Lemma is the so-called KKM mapping where co is replaced by $\langle \rangle$.

The following theorem is a generalizations of Tarafdar's result from [6].

THEOREM 2. Let $(X, \langle \rangle, \Phi)$ be a convex space, K be a nonempty convex subset of X , $T : K \rightarrow A(K) \setminus \emptyset$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) For each $x \in K$: $\langle T(x) \rangle = T(x)$.
- (ii) For some $x_0 \in K$, $C(T^{-1}(x_0))$ is compact (the complement in K) and for each $x \in K$ the set $C(T^{-1}(x)) \cap C(T^{-1}(x_0))$ is closed.
- (iii) For every $x \in K$ the set $T^{-1}(x)$ is H -open on K .

If, for every $G \in F(K)$, $\langle G \rangle$ is compact, then there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

PROOF: As in [6], let us define the mapping $F : K \rightarrow A(X)$ in the following way:

$$F(x) = C(T^{-1}(x)) = K \setminus T^{-1}(x), \text{ for every } x \in K.$$

If $x \notin T(x)$, for every $x \in K$ then $x \notin T^{-1}(x)$ and so $x \in F(x)$ for every $x \in K$. The mapping F satisfies all the conditions of the Lemma, which can be easily verified as in [6], and so there exists $u \in \bigcap_{x \in K} F(x)$. Then we have that $u \notin T^{-1}(x)$, for every $x \in K$, which contradicts $K = \bigcup_{x \in K} T^{-1}(x)$. From this we conclude that there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

Similarly as in [6], we can prove the following theorem using the Lemma.

THEOREM 3. Let $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ be a convex space, K be a nonempty convex subset of X and $T: K \rightarrow A(K) \setminus \emptyset$ so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) For some $x_0 \in K$, $C(T(x_0))$ is compact in K and for every $x \in K$ the set $C(T(x)) \cap C(T(x_0))$ is closed.
- (ii) For every $x \in K$, $\langle T^{-1}(x) \rangle = T^{-1}(x)$.
- (iii) For every $x \in K$, $T(x)$ is H -open on X and $K = T(K)$.

If for every $G \in F(K)$, $\langle G \rangle$ is compact then there exists $x_0 \in K$ such that $x_0 \in T(x_0)$.

The following theorem is a generalization of the Theorem from [5].

THEOREM 4. Let $(X, \langle \rangle, \phi)$ be a convex space, K a nonempty convex subset of X , F a topological space and $T, S: K \rightarrow A(F)$ so that the following conditions are satisfied:

1. T is lower semicontinuous and $\overline{T(K)}$ is a compact subset of F .
2. Sx is open, for each $x \in K$, $S^{-1}y \neq \emptyset$, for every $y \in \overline{T(K)}$ and $\langle S^{-1}Tx \rangle = S^{-1}Tx$, for each $x \in K$.

Then $T(u) \cap S(u) \neq \emptyset$, for some $u \in K$.

PROOF: The method of the proof is similar to that in [4]. Since $S^{-1}y \neq \emptyset$, for every $y \in \overline{T(K)}$, it follows that $\overline{T(K)} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K} \{Sx\}$ and since $\overline{T(K)}$ is compact and Sx is open, for every $x \in K$ it follows that:

$$\overline{T(K)} \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in F} Sx, \text{ for some } F \in F(K)$$

and so:

$$K \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n T^{-1}(Sx_i), \text{ for some subset } \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq K.$$

Let $H = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ and $A_i = H \cap T^{-1}(Sx_i)$, $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. Then $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an open cover of H . Let $\tilde{H} = \phi(H) = \langle \phi(\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}) \rangle$, where $\phi = \phi(H)$ is the structure mapping on H . If $\tilde{A}_i = \phi(A_i)$ ($i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$) then $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is an

open cover of \tilde{H} and let $\{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n\}$ be a partition of the unity subordinated to $\{\tilde{A}_i\}_{i=1}^n$. Further, let:

$$f(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^n g_i(\tilde{x}) \tilde{x}_i, \quad \tilde{x}_i = \phi(x_i) \quad (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$$

for every $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{H}$.

From the Brouwer fixed point theorem, it follows that there exists $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{H}$ such that $\tilde{u} = f(\tilde{u})$. Let us suppose that:

$$g_i(\tilde{u}) \neq 0, \quad \text{for every } i \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}.$$

Then $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{A}_k$, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and if $u = \phi^{-1}(\tilde{u})$ we have that $u \in \tilde{A}_k$, for every $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$.

Since $A_i \subseteq T^{-1}(Sx_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ we obtain that $u \in T^{-1}Sx_k$, $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ which implies that $x_i \in S^{-1}Tu$, $i \in \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s\}$. From the relation $\langle S^{-1}Tu \rangle = S^{-1}Tu$, for every $u \in K$, we have that:

$$\langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s} \rangle \subseteq S^{-1}Tu.$$

Then:

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \phi^{-1}(\tilde{u}) = \phi^{-1}\left(\sum_{k=1}^s g_{i_k}(\tilde{u}) \tilde{x}_i\right) \in \phi^{-1}(\langle \tilde{x}_{i_1}, \tilde{x}_{i_2}, \dots, \tilde{x}_{i_s} \rangle) \\ &= \langle x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_s} \rangle \subseteq S^{-1}Tu \end{aligned}$$

which means that $Su \cap Tu \neq \emptyset$.

REFERENCES

- [1] F.E. Browder, *Fixed point theory for multivalued mappings in topological vector spaces*, *Math. Ann.*, 177 (1968), 283-301.
- [2] J. Dugundji, A. Granas, *Fixed Point Theory*, Volume 1, PWN, Warszawa, 1982.
- [3] O. Hadžić, *Fixed Point Theory in Topological Vector Spaces*, Institute of Mathematics, University of Novi Sad, 1984, 327 pp.

- [4] H. Komiya, *Convexity on a topological space*, *Fund.Math.*, *CXI* (1981), 107-113.
- [5] V.M. Sehgal, S.P. Singh and B. Watson, *A coincidence theorem for topological vector spaces*, *Indian J. Pures Appl.Math.*, 14(5) (1983), 565-566.
- [6] E. Tarafdar, *On minimax principles and sets with convex sections*, *Publ.Math., Debrecen*, 29, 3-4 (1982), 219-226.

Received by the editors September 18, 1984.

REZIME

NEKA UOPŠTENJA BRAUDEROVIH TEOREMA O NEPOKRETNOSTI
TAČKI U TOPOLOŠKIM PROSTORIMA

Korišćenjem metode, koju je u radu [4] dao H. Komiya, u ovom radu su uopšteni neki rezultati E. Tarafdara iz rada [6] kao i teorema iz rada [5].