# THEOREMS OF THE GENERALIZED TAUBERIAN TYPE FOR MEASURES

Bogoljub Stanković
Prirodno-matematički fakultet. Institut sa matematiku
21000 Novi Sad,ul.dr Ilije Djuričića br.4, Jugoslavija

#### ABSTRACT

A simple proof of Tauberian type theorems for measures is given. The used limit is general enough to allows the approach not only to the vertex of the cone, but also to any point of the boundary of the conjuquate cone.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

V.S. Vladimorov [7] proved theorems of the Abelian and Tauberian type for positive measures starting from their applications especially in the quantum field theory and also in order to solve some convolution equations. Vladimirov's paper opened up much research in this direction. We shall mention only the results of Yu.N.Drožinov and B. Zavjalov [2], [3]. They proved theorems of the Abelian and Tauberian type for tempered distributions and then, as a special case, they applied these results to measures improving those of Vladimirov.

Our paper [6] relates also to Vladimirov's results. We shall choose another way: we shall prove first some theo-

AMS Mathematics subject classification (1980): 46F12, 40F05 Key words and phrases: Cone, nonnegative measure, asymptotic behaviour of Laplace transform, regular varying function.

rems for measures and then we shall enlarge them to some classes of generalized functions. In such a way we can start with minimum suppositions and with a simpler proof adding new suppositions in relation with the larger class of distributions. In this paper we shall enlarge the limit process in such a way that we can use it to analyse what happens when we approach not only the vertex of cone  $\Gamma^*$  but any point of its boundary.

#### 2. NOTATIONS

Let  $\Gamma$  be a closed and acute cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with vertex in zero.  $\Gamma^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, (y,x) \geq 0, x \in \Gamma\}$  be the conjugate cone of the cone  $\Gamma$ . We know that for an acute cone  $\Gamma$  int  $\Gamma^* \neq \emptyset$  and we denote it by C;  $\Gamma^*$  is closed and convex; let  $\operatorname{pr} C = \{e \in C, |e| = 1\}$ .

 $H_e^+$  be the half space {teR^n, (e,t)  $\geq 0$ }; if ee  $\Gamma^*$ , then  $\Gamma \subseteq H_e^+$ .

$$\begin{split} & J_{k} = \{1,2,\ldots,k\}; \ I^{n} = \{t \in R^{n}, \ 0 \le t_{\underline{i}} \le 1, \ i \in J_{n}\}; \\ & I^{n}(u,v) = \{t \in R^{n}, \ 0 \le u_{\underline{i}} < t_{\underline{i}} < v_{\underline{i}} \le 1, \ i \in J_{n}\}; \\ & D_{m,k} = \{x \in I^{m}, \ x_{k+1} = \ldots = x_{\underline{m}} = 1\}, \\ & D_{m,k}(u,v) = \{x \in I^{m}(u,v), x_{k+1} = \ldots = x_{\underline{m}} = 1\}. \end{split}$$

 $\rho_{\gamma}$  be a regular varying function of the power  $\gamma\colon$ 

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+(\infty)} \rho(ut) / \rho(t) = u^{\gamma}, u > 0.$$

3. THEOREM ON ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOUR OF THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM OF A MEASURE

THEOREM 1. Let us suppose:

- $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$  be linear independent elements from the convex closed cone  $\Gamma^*$ ;
- $\rho(r) = \rho_1(r_1) \dots \rho_m(r_m)$ ;  $\rho_1(r_1)$  be regular varying functions of powers  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k > 0$ ;  $\alpha_{k+1} = \dots = \alpha_m = 0$ ,  $m \le n$ ;

- $g(x) \ge 0$  be a bounded semicontinuous function over  $I^n$ , continuous for almost all  $x \in I^n$  and that the point (1, ..., 1) is not an accumulation point of discontinuities;
  - $\mu$  be a nonnegative measure with support in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mu \not\equiv 0$ ;

$$- \tilde{\mu}(iy) = \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y,t)} d\mu(t) exists for all y \in C = int\Gamma^{+}.$$

If for  $y_r = \sum_{i=1}^m r_i \mu_i \sigma_i + \sum_{i=m+1}^n \mu_i \sigma_i \equiv y_r^m + \xi$ ,  $\mu_i > 0$ ,  $i \in J_m$ ;  $\mu_i \ge 0$ ,  $i \in J_n$ , there exists

(1) 
$$\lim_{\mathbf{r}\to\mathbf{o}^{+}(\mathbf{x})} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}},\mathbf{t})} d\mu(\mathbf{t}) = h(\mathbf{y}),$$

then

$$\lim_{\mathbf{r}\to\mathbf{o}^{+}(\mathbf{x})} \rho(\mathbf{r}) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(\mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{r}},t)} g(\mathbf{z}_{1},\ldots,\mathbf{z}_{m}) d\mu(t) =$$
(2)
$$k \quad \alpha = 1$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k} h(y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} k^{\tau_{1}} \cdots \tau_{k} g(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{m}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1} dt, k \geq 1, \\ g(1, \dots, 1) h(y), k = 0; \\ -t. -\tau, \mu, (\sigma_{i}, t) \end{cases}$$

where 
$$\tau_i = e^{-t_i}$$
,  $i \in J_k$ ;  $\tau_i = 1$ ,  $i \in J_m \setminus J_k$  and  $Z_i = e^{-r_i \mu_i (\sigma_i, t)}$ .

We will give the proof of this theorem by using three lemmas as follows. The first one is a generalization of a lemma proved by J.Karamata [5].

LEMMA 1. If g(x) is defined over  $I^m$ , continuous for almost all  $x \in D_{m,k}$  and bounded over  $D_{m,k}$ , then for  $\alpha_1 > 0$ ,  $1 \in J_k$ :  $1 \le k \le m$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist polynomials  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  and  $P(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  such that

(3) 
$$p(x_1,...,x_m) \leq g(x) \leq P(x_1,...,x_m)$$

and

Proof. We shall divide our proof into three parts like J.Karamata. First we suppose that g(x) is of the form:

(5) 
$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in D_{m,k}(u,v) \\ 0, & x \in I^{m} \setminus D_{m,k}(u,v) \end{cases}$$

For every  $\omega > 0$  there exist nonnegative numbers  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon$ " and a continuous function h(x),  $0 \le h(x) \le 1$ ,  $x \in I^m$ ; g(x) = h(x),  $x \in D_{m,k}(u,v)$  and h(x) = 0,  $x \in I^m \setminus D_{m,k}(u-\varepsilon)$ ,  $v+\varepsilon$  and such that

(6) 
$$\int_{(R^+)k} \tau_1 \dots \tau_k = \int_{1}^{k} t_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} \left[ h(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) - g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) \right] dt < \omega.$$

The Stone Weierstrass theorem says that there exists a polynomial  $Q_{\epsilon}(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$  such that

$$|Q_{\epsilon}(x_1,...,x_m) - h(x)| < \epsilon$$
,  $x \in I^m$ .

We can take now  $P(x_1, ..., x_m) = Q_{\varepsilon}(x_1, ..., x_m) + \varepsilon$  and in this case  $g(x) \le h(x) \le P(x_1, ..., x_m)$ ,  $x \in I^m$ , and

In the same way we can find a polinomial  $p(x_1,...,x_m)$  such that  $p(x_1,...,x_m) \le g(x)$ ,  $x \in I^n$  and

(8) 
$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^k} \tau_1 \dots \tau_k \left[ \tau_1^{\alpha_1^{-1}} \left[ g(\tau) - p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) \right] dt \le \omega + 2\varepsilon \right]^k \Gamma(\alpha_1).$$

The same can be proved for a step function with a finite number of jumps in every coordinate.

There only remains to suppose that our function g(x) has the properties fixed in the lemma.

We can define  $\delta$  and  $\rho$  in such a way that

(9) 
$$2M \left[ \int_{\delta I^{k}} + \int_{(R^{+})^{k} \setminus \rho I^{k}} \tau_{1} ... \tau_{k} \right] \frac{k}{1} t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} dt < \epsilon/6$$

where  $M = \sup |g(x)|$ ,  $x \in I^{m}$ .

Over the bounded set  $I^{m}(\delta,\rho)$  the function

(10) 
$$\tau_1 \dots \tau_k g(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) \stackrel{k}{\sqcap} t_i^{\alpha_i - 1}$$

has the Riemann integral; so we have ([4] p.69) two step functions with a finite number of jumps,  $g_1(x)$  and  $g_2(x)$ , such that  $g_1(x) \leq g(x) \leq g_2(x)$ ,  $x \in D_{m,k}(\delta,\rho)$  and

(11) 
$$\int_{\mathbf{I}^{k}(\delta,\rho)} \tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{k} \stackrel{k}{\sqcap} t_{i}^{\alpha_{i}-1} [g_{2}(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{m}) - g_{1}(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{k})] dt < \epsilon/6 .$$

The functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  can be extended over  $(R^+)^k \setminus I^k(\delta,\rho)$  by the constant M. The first part of this proof says that there exist polynomials  $P(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$  and  $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$  such that

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_m) \le g_1(x) \le g(x) \le g_2(x) \le p(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$
 and

(12)  $\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^k} \tau_1 \dots \tau_k \stackrel{k}{\sqcap} t_1^{\alpha_1-1} [P(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) - g_2(\tau)] dt < \varepsilon/3$ 

(13) 
$$\int_{(\mathbb{R}^+)^k} \tau_1 \dots \tau_k \stackrel{k}{\sqcap} t_i^{\alpha_i-1} [g_1(\tau)-p(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m)] dt < \varepsilon/3.$$

From relations (9),(10),(11),(12) and (13) there follows relation (4) which had to be proved.

LEMMA 2. Let g(x) be a bounded, semicontinuous function over  $I^m$ , continuous for almost all  $x \in I^m$  and the point  $(1,\ldots,1)$  not an accumulation point of discontinuities. Then for  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist polynomials  $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$  and  $P(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$  such that  $p(x_1,\ldots,x_m) \leq g(x) \leq P(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$  for  $x \in I^m$  and  $P(1,\ldots,1) - p(1,\ldots,1) < \epsilon$ .

Proof. From our suppositions it follows that there exist an interval  $I^n(1-\omega,1)$  and a continuous function h(x),  $x \in I^m$  such that  $g(x) \le h(x)$ ,  $x \in I^m$ , g(x) = h(x),  $x \in I^m(1-\omega,1)$ . By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem there exist two polynomials  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ , and  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  such that

$$0 \le p(x_1, \dots, x_m) - h(x) \le \varepsilon/2, \quad x \in I^m,$$
  

$$0 \le h(x) - p(x_1, \dots, x_m) < \varepsilon/2, \quad x \in I^m.$$

These two polynomials satisfy the conditions of our lemma.

LEMMA 3. Let us suppose that  $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are linear independent elements from  $\Gamma^*$ ;  $g(x) \geq 0$  is a bounded and upper (lower) semicontinuous function for  $x \in \Gamma^m$ .

If for all yeC,  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_i \sigma_i$ ,  $\mu_i \ge 0$  there exists the integral

(14) 
$$\int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y,t)} d\mu(t)$$

then the integral

(15) 
$$\int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y,t)} g(z_1,\ldots,z_m) d\mu(t)$$

exists too, where 
$$z_i = e^{-\mu_i(\sigma_i,t)}$$
 ,  $i = 1,...,m$ .

Proof. By supposition on g(x),  $g(e^{-\mu_1(\sigma_1,t)},\dots,e^{-\mu_m(\sigma_m,t)})$ , for a fixed yeC, is upper (lower) semicontinuous in teT and therefore ([4] p. 96)  $\mu$ -measurable on every closed and bounded subset E of T and the integral

$$\int_{E} e^{-(y,t)} g(z_{1},...,z_{m}) d\mu(t)$$

exists for every such E ([4], p. 112). Let us denote by  $y_p^m = \int\limits_{i=1}^m p_i \mu_i \sigma_i \text{ ,We know that } y_p^m \in \mathbb{C} \text{ for all } p \geq 0 \text{; for } \xi \in \mathbb{C},$   $y_p^m + \xi \text{ belongs to C too, because } \Gamma^* \text{ is convex. The integral (14)}$  exists for all  $y \in \mathbb{C}$  and

$$\int\limits_{\Gamma} \, e^{-(y_{p+1}^m + \xi,\,t)} \, d\mu(t) \; = \; \int\limits_{\Gamma} \, e^{-(y,\,t)} \, e^{-(y_p^m,\,t)} \, d\mu(t) \;\; .$$

From this relation it follows that for every polynomial  $P(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$ ,  $m \le n$  there exists the following integral too:

$$\begin{cases} e^{-(y,t)}p(z_1,\ldots,z_m)d\mu(t) \\ \psi_i = e^{-\mu_i(\sigma_i,t)} \end{cases}$$
 where  $z_i = e^{-\mu_i(\sigma_i,t)}$ .

Let  $P(x_1, ..., x_m)$  be the polynomial from Lemma 1. then

$$\int\limits_{E} e^{-(y,t)} g(z_{1},...,z_{m}) d\mu(t) \leq \int\limits_{\Gamma} e^{-(y,t)} P(z_{1},...,z_{m}) d\mu(t)$$

for every  $E \subseteq \Gamma$  which shows that the integral

$$\int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y,t)} g(z_1,\ldots,z_m) d\mu(t)$$

exists.

Proof of Theorem 1. Case  $k \ge 1$ . Let us suppose that  $P(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  and  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  are polynomials from Lemma 1, then by our suppositions and Lemma 3 we have:

$$\begin{cases} e^{-(y_p^m + \xi, t)} & p(z_1, \dots, z_m) d_{\mu}(t) \leq \int\limits_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_p^m + \xi, t)} g(z_1, \dots, z_m) d_{\mu}(t) \\ & -(y_p^m + \xi, t) \\ & \leq \int\limits_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_p^m + \xi, t)} & p(z_1, \dots, z_m) d_{\mu}(t) \end{cases} ,$$

where 
$$z_i = e^{-p_i \mu_i (\sigma_i, t)}$$
; similarly:

$$(17) \qquad \begin{cases} \int_{(R^+)} k^{\tau_1} \cdots \tau_k & \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \mathbf{p}(\tau_i, \dots, \tau_m) dt \leq \\ & \leq \int_{(R^+)} k^{\tau_1} \cdots \tau_k & \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \mathbf{p}(\tau_i, \dots, \tau_m) dt \\ & \leq \int_{(R^+)} t^{\tau_1} \cdots t_k & \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_i^{\alpha_i - 1} \mathbf{p}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) dt \end{cases}$$

where 
$$\tau = (e^{-t_1}, \dots, e^{-t_k}, 1, \dots, 1)$$
.

Now, in relation (2) instead of  $\mathbf{r_i}$  , i  $\in \mathbf{J_m}$  , we write  $(\mathbf{n_i}^{+1})\mathbf{r_i}$  , i  $\in \mathbf{J_m}$  , then

$$\lim_{r \to o^{+}(\infty)} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_{r}^{m} + \xi, t)} e^{-(y_{nr}^{m}, t)} d\mu(t) =$$

$$= h(y) = \frac{1}{k (n_{i} + 1)} \alpha_{i}.$$

This relation shows that for any polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_m)$  we have

$$\lim_{r\to o^+(\infty)} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_r^m + \xi, t)} P(z_1, \dots, z_m) d\mu(t) =$$

$$= \frac{h(y)}{\stackrel{k}{\square} \Gamma(\alpha_{i})} \int_{(R^{+})^{k}} \tau_{1} \dots \tau_{k} P(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{m}) \stackrel{k}{\square} t_{1}^{\alpha_{1}-1} dt.$$

From relations 16-19 it follows:

$$\frac{h(y)}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+ \setminus K} \tau_1 \dots \tau_k p(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_m) \stackrel{k}{\sqcap} t_1^{\alpha_1 - 1} dt \leq$$

$$(20) \leq \lim_{r \to o^{+}(\infty)} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_{r}^{m} + \xi, t)} g(Z_{1}, \dots, Z_{m}) d\mu(t) \leq \frac{h(y)}{\zeta} \int_{\Gamma(\alpha, t)} f(x, t) dx \int_{R^{+}(x, t)} \tau_{1} \dots \tau_{k} P(\tau_{1}, \dots, \tau_{m}) \prod_{i=1}^{k} t_{i}^{\alpha_{i} - 1} dt.$$

Now, it is enough to use the properties of our polynomials p and P from Lemma 1, and we shall have relation (2) that we had to prove.

The difference of the proof in the case when no  $\alpha_1 \neq 0$  is only in the fact that we shall use Lemma 2 instead of Lemma 1.

Relation (18) in this case becomes

(21) 
$$\lim_{r \to 0^{+}(\infty)} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_{r}^{m} + \xi, t) - (y_{nr}^{m}, t)} e^{d\mu(t) = h(y)}.$$

For any polynomial  $P(x_1, ..., x_m)$  we have

(22) 
$$\lim_{r \to o^{+}(\infty)} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_{r}^{m} + \xi, t)} P(Z_{1}, \dots, Z_{m}) d\mu(t) =$$
$$= h(y) P(1, \dots, 1) ,$$

where  $Z_i = e^{-r_i \mu_i (\sigma_i, t)}$ ,  $i \in J_m$ .

Now the proof follows as in the first case.

# THEOREMS OF THE TAUBERIAN TYPE FOR THE LAPLACE TRANSFORM OF A MEASURE

THEOREM 2. Let us suppose:

- $\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^n$  are linear independent elements from the convex closed cone  $\Gamma^*$ ;
  - $\rho(\mathbf{r}) = \rho_1(\mathbf{r}_1) \dots \rho_m(\mathbf{r}_m)$ ;  $\rho_i(\mathbf{r}_i)$  are regular varying func-
- tions of powers  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k > 0$ ;  $\alpha_{k+1} = \ldots = \alpha_m = 0$ , respectively.
  - $\mu$  is a nonnegative measure with a support in  $\Gamma$ ,  $\mu \not\equiv 0$ ;
  - $\tilde{\mu}$  (iv) exists for all yeC. If there exists for a fixed yeC,  $y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mu_{i} \sigma_{i}$ ,  $\mu_{i} \geq 0$

(23) 
$$\lim_{r \to o^{+}(\infty)} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_{r}^{m} + \xi, t)} d\mu(t) = h(y) ,$$

where 
$$y_r^m = \sum_{i=1}^n r_i \mu_i \sigma_i$$
 and  $\xi = \sum_{i=m+1}^n \mu_i \sigma_i$ , then

(24) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty (o^{+})} \rho(1/r) \qquad \int e^{-(\xi, t)} d\mu(t) =$$

$$\Gamma \cap (\bigcap_{i} r_{i} \frac{\sigma_{1}}{|i| |\sigma_{1}|^{2}} - H_{\sigma_{1}}^{+})$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{h(y)}{k}, & k \ge 1 \\ |i| \Gamma(\alpha_{1} + 1) \\ h(y), & k = 0 \end{cases}$$

To prove this theorem we shall use our Theorem 1 and the lemma as follows.

LEMMA 4. Let g(y) be the function defined on  $I^{m}$ :

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} \begin{array}{c} \overset{m}{\square} y_{1}^{-1} \end{array}, & e^{-1} \leq y_{1} \leq 1, \text{ for all } i \in J_{m} \\ \\ 0 \end{array}, & 0 \leq y_{1} < e^{-1}, \text{ for one } i \in J_{m} \end{cases}$$

then

$$z_1 ldots z_m g(z_1, ldots, z_m) = \emptyset$$
 $\Gamma \cap [\bigcap_{i=1}^{m} (\frac{1}{q_i} e_i - H_{e_i}^+)]$ 

for  $e_i$  e pr  $\Gamma^*$ , ie  $J_m$  and te  $\Gamma$ , where  $\emptyset_F$  is the characteristic function of the set F and

$$z_{i} = e^{-(e_{i}, q_{i}t)}$$
 ,  $q_{i} > 0$  .

Proof. By our supposition on g we have:

$$\mathbf{z_1...z_m^{}g(z_1,...,z_m^{})} \ = \ \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1, \ \text{if} \ 0 \leq (\mathbf{e_i}, \mathbf{tq_i}) \leq 1, \ \text{for all ieJ}_m \\ \\ 0, \ \text{if} \ 1 < (\mathbf{e_i}, \mathbf{tq_i}), \ \text{for one} \end{array} \right. \ \mathbf{ieJ_m} \ .$$

The inequality  $0 \le (e_i, tq_i) \le 1$  is equivalent with

$$0 \le (\frac{1}{q_i} e_i, t) \le (\frac{1}{q_i})^2$$
.

The first part is always satisfied for  $t \in \Gamma$ . For the second part we have

$$(\frac{1}{q_1} e_1, \frac{1}{q_1} e_1 - t) \ge 0$$
,

whence

$$\frac{1}{q_i} e_i - te H_{e_i}^+$$
 or  $te(\frac{1}{q_i} e_i - H_{e_i}^+)$ .

It follows that t belongs to  $\Gamma$  and to every halfspace  $\frac{1}{q_i}e_i^+$ .

P r o o f of Theorem 2. If we take in Theorem 1 the function g as in our Lemma 4, then from relation (2) with  $r_1 = 1/r_4$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{r\to\infty(o^+)}\rho(1/r)\int\limits_{\Gamma}e^{-(\xi,t)}z_1\dots z_mg(z_1\dots z_m)d\mu(t)=\\ &=\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \frac{h(y)}{k}\int\limits_{\Gamma}\tau_1\dots\tau_kg(\tau_1,\dots,\tau_m)\prod\limits_{\Gamma}t_i^{k-1}dt\\ &|h(y)|g(1,\dots,1)|,\\ \\ h(y)|g(1,\dots,1)|,\\ \end{aligned}\right.\\ &\text{where }z_i=e^{-t_i},\ i\in J_k\ \text{and}\ \tau_i=1,\ i\in J_m\setminus J_k. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4 we have:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \lim_{r\to\infty(o^+)} \rho(1/r) & \int_{m} e^{-(\xi,t)} d\mu(t) = \\ & \Gamma \bigcap \left[ \bigcap \left( r_i \frac{\sigma_i}{\mu_i | \sigma_i|^2} - H_{\sigma_i}^+ \right) \right] \end{array}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \frac{h(y)}{k} & \int_{m} k \frac{\alpha_i^{-1}}{dt} dt, \ k \ge 1 \\ & \prod_{k=0}^{\infty} h(y), \quad k = 0. \end{cases}$$

whence follows relation (24) of our theorem.

REMARKS. The nonnegativity of the measure  $\mu$  in Theorem 2 can be replaced by a less restrictive condition as follows:

Let  $\rho'(r)$  be the product of regular varying functions  $\rho_i'(r_i)$  of powers  $\alpha_i' > 0$ , i.e.  $J_k$ . We know that every real measure  $\mu$  is the difference of two nonnegative measures  $\mu^+$  and  $\mu^-$ ,  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ .

Theorem 2 remains valid if instead of the nonnegativity of  $\mu$  we suppose that  $\mu^-$  is with support in  $\Gamma$  and that there exists  $\rho^+$  such that

a) 
$$\alpha_{i} \leq \alpha_{i}$$
 and

(25) 
$$\lim_{r \to 0^{+}} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_{r}^{m} + \xi, t)} d\mu^{-}(t) = h^{-}(y) \neq 0$$

or

b) 
$$\alpha_{i} \leq \alpha_{i}$$
 and

(26) 
$$\lim_{r\to\infty} \rho(r) \int_{\Gamma} e^{-(y_T^m + \xi, t)} d\mu^{-}(t) = h^{-}(y) \neq 0.$$

In case a) in our Theorem 2 we have use the limit only with the first value, and in case b) with the one which is in brackets.

The following function shows the interest of our Theorem 2. The two-dimensional Laplace transform of the function

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+y}} J_1(2\sqrt{x+y})$$
 is ([1], p.241):  $\frac{e^{-(1/u)}-e^{-(1/v)}}{u-v}$  where  $J_1$  is

the Bessel function. There is no  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0^{+}} \rho^{\alpha} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{\rho u}} - \frac{1}{\rho v}}{\rho (u - v)} = h \neq 0$$

 $u,v \neq 0$ ,  $u \neq v$ .

But we can use our Theorem 2. (See Remarks). We have to take that  $\Gamma^*=(R^+)^2_{,\sigma_1}=(1,0)$ ,  $\sigma_2=(0,1)$ . It is easy to see

that

$$\lim_{r \to 0^+} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{ru}} - \frac{1}{v}}{ru - v} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{v}}}{v}$$

and

$$\lim_{\substack{1 \text{ im} \\ r \to 0^+}} \frac{e^{\frac{1}{u}} - e^{-\frac{1}{rv}}}{u - rv} = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{u}}}{u}.$$

There exists one and only one element to which belongs to all hyperplanes  $q_1\sigma_1$  -  $H_{\sigma_1}$ ,  $q_1\neq 0$ , is  $J_n$ , because the system

(27) 
$$(q_i \sigma_i, t) = (q_i)^2, q_i \neq 0, i \in J_n$$

has one and only one solution  $t_o$ . The set  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} (q_i \sigma_i - H_{\sigma_i}^+)$  is a cone translated in the point  $t_o$ . To show this let us suppose that  $t \in \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} (q_i \sigma_i - H_{\sigma_i}^+)$ , then  $t_o - t$  belongs to  $\bigcap_{i=0}^{n} H_{\sigma_i}^+$ :

$$(q_1\sigma_1, t_0 - t) = (q_1\sigma_1, t_0 - t) + (q_1\sigma_1, q_1\sigma_1 - t_0)$$
  
=  $(q_1\sigma_1, q_1\sigma_1 - t) \ge 0$ , i  $\in J_n$ .

In the case  $\Gamma = (R^+)^n$ ,  $\sigma_i = e_i = (0, ..., 1, ..., 0)$  the set  $\prod_{i=1}^n q_i e_i - H_{e_i}^+$  is the cone  $-(R^+)^n$  translated in the point  $(q_1, ..., q_n)$ .

# REFERENCES

- [1] Doetsch, G., and Voelker D., Die mweidimensionale Laplace-Transforma tion, Birkhauser Basel (1950).
- [2] Дрожжинов Ю.Н. и Завьялов Б.И., Тауберовы теоремы для обобшенных функций с носителями в нонусах, Матем.Сб. 108 (150), Но 1, 78-90.
- [3] Drožžinov Ju.N., A multidimensional Tamberian theorem for holomorphic functions with nonnegative imaginary part, Soviet Math.

  Dokl. Vol. 23 (1981), No 3, 545-548.
- [4] Kamke E., Das Lebesgue-Stieltjes Integral, Teubner Leipsig (1960).
- [5] Karamata J., Neuer Beweis und Verallgemeinerung der Tauberschen Sätse, welche die Laplacesche und Stieltjessche Transformation betreffen, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik Vol. 164, (1931), 27-39.
- [6] Stanković B., Theorems of Tauberian Type for Measures, Glasnik Matematički, Vol 20(40) (1985)(is printing).

•

- [7] Vladimirov V.S., Multidimensional generalization of a Tauberian theorem of Hardy and Littlewood, Izv.Akad.Nauk. SSSR, Ser.Mat. Tom 40 (1976), No 5, 1031-1048.
- [8] Widder D.V., The Laplace Transform, Princeton (1946).

Received by the editors January 11, 1984.

REZIME

## GENERALISANE TAUBEROVE TEOREME ZA MERE

Dat je jednostavan dokaz Tauberove teoreme za meru koja je nenegativna ili zadovoljava dodatni uslov. Granični proces je opštiji i dozvoljava da se ispituje šta se dešava kada se približimo ne samo vrhu konjugovanog konusa, već i bilo kojoj tački njegovog ruba.