

ON HERMITE POLYNOMIALS OF THE GAUSSIAN RANDOM PROCESS

Zoran Ivković and Zagorka Lozanov

Prirodno-matematički fakultet. Institut za matematiku
21000 Novi Sad, ul. dr Ilije Djuričića br. 4, Jugoslavija

ABSTRACT

An explicit expression is defined to be a Hermite polynomial of degree $n, n=1,2,\dots$, in variables $\xi(t_1), \xi(t_2), \dots, \xi(t_n) \in \{\xi(t), t \in T\}$, where $\{\xi(t), t \in T\}$ is a real Gaussian process. Some properties of these polynomials are investigated. Especially, $E^s H_n(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = H_n(\hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n)$, where $\hat{\xi}_k = E^s \xi_k$.

Let $\{\xi(t), t \in T\}$ be a real Gaussian random process on a probability space (Ω, F, P) , with the expectation $E\xi(t) = 0, t \in T$ and covariance function $E\xi(t_1)\xi(t_2) = B(t_1, t_2), t_1, t_2 \in T$. Let $H(T)$ be Hilbert space of square integrable functions, measurable with respect to the σ -field $F(T)$, generated by $\{\xi(t), t \in T\}$, with the scalar product

$$(\phi, \psi) = E\phi\psi, \quad \phi, \psi \in H(T).$$

We take that $E\phi = 0, \phi \in H(T)$.

In [4] a Hermite polynomial of degree n , in variables $\xi(t_1), \xi(t_2), \dots, \xi(t_k), t_1, \dots, t_k \in T$ is defined as a polynomial orthogonal to all polynomials of a degree less than n . It is shown that

$$H(T) = \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} \oplus H_p(T),$$

where $H_p(T)$ is a linear closure spanned by Hermite polynomials of degree p , in variables $\xi(t), t \in T$. In [3], explicit expressions for Hermite polynomials of degree 1, 2, and 3 are given.

In this paper an explicit expression is defined as a Hermite polynomial of degree n , $n=1,2,\dots$ and some properties of these polynomials are investigated (Theorem 3, 4, 5).

A well known formula for the moments of the Gaussian n -dimensional random variable $(\xi(t_1), \xi(t_2), \dots, \xi(t_n))$, where n is even, will be used

$$(1) \quad E\xi(t_1)\xi(t_2) \dots \xi(t_n) = \sum \prod B(t_i, t_j),$$

where the sum ranges over all the possible partitions of the set $\{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n\}$ to pairs $\{t_i, t_j\}$ and the product ranges over all the pairs $\{t_i, t_j\}$ of a corresponding partition.

DEFINITION A Hermite polynomial, of degree n of n variables, is

$$(2) \quad H_n(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n - \sum B_{i_1 j_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \\ + \sum B_{i_1 j_1} B_{i_2 j_2} \alpha_{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + (-1)^k \sum B_{i_1 j_1} \dots \\ \dots B_{i_k j_k} \alpha_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_k j_k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \sum \prod B_{ij},$$

$$\xi_i = \xi(t_i), \quad B_{i_\nu j_\nu} = B(t_{i_\nu}, t_{j_\nu}), \quad i_\nu, j_\nu \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

We take that $i_\nu < j_\nu$ which is not a restriction,

$$\alpha_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_k j_k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \xi_i, \quad i \neq i_\nu, \quad i \neq j_\nu, \quad \nu=1, 2, \dots, k,$$

$$k=1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor.$$

The first sum, in (2), ranges over all the possible choices of a pair (i_1, j_1) from the set $1, 2, \dots, n$. The k -th sum, $k=2, 3, \dots, \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1$, in (2), ranges over all the possible choices of k pairs $(i_1, j_1), (i_2, j_2), \dots, (i_k, j_k)$, from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, where the order of pairs is not important. The last term in (2) is $\sum \prod B_{ij}$, where the sum ranges over all the possible partitions of the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ to pairs (i, j) and the product ranges over all the pairs of a corresponding partition. Notice that $\sum \prod B_{ij}$ is equal to $E\xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n$, given in (1).

$\Sigma B_{i_1 j_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ has $\binom{n}{2}$ terms,

$\Sigma B_{i_1 j_1} B_{i_2 j_2} \alpha_{i_1 j_1 i_2 j_2} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ has $\frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n-2}{2} = \binom{n}{4} 3!!$ terms,

$\Sigma B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_k j_k} \alpha_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_k j_k} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ has

$\frac{1}{k!} \binom{n}{2} \binom{n-2}{2} \dots \binom{n-2(k-1)}{2} = \binom{n}{2k} (2k-1)!!$ terms because there is $\binom{n}{2} \binom{n-2}{2} \dots \binom{n-2(k-1)}{2} = A$ ways to choose k pairs from the set $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and as the order of pairs is not important, the exact number is $\frac{1}{k!} A$

$\Sigma \prod B_{i_j} has (n-1) !!$ terms.

The polynomial $H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, for an n even, contains only elements of an even degree and the constant element.

For n odd, it contains only elements of an odd degree and the constant element is zero. In $H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ we may have some equal variables. So we have a Hermite polynomial in one, two, there, e.t.c. variables of degree. If $\xi_1 = \xi_2 = \dots = \xi_n$, we

have the Hermite polynomial of one variable. For example, $H_1(\xi) = \xi$, $H_2(\xi) = \xi^2 - \sigma^2$, $H_3(\xi) = \xi^3 - 3\sigma^2 \xi$, $H_4(\xi) = \xi^4 - 6\sigma^2 \xi^2 + 3\sigma^4$, $H_5(\xi) = \xi^5 - 10\sigma^2 \xi^3 + 15\sigma^4 \xi$. In general

$$H_n(\xi) = \xi^n + \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (-1)^k (2k-1)!! \binom{n}{2k} \sigma^{2k} \xi^{n-2k},$$

where $\xi : N(0, \sigma^2)$.

If $\xi(t)$, $t \in T$ are independent random variables, then

$H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) = H_{n_1}(\xi_1) H_{n_2}(\xi_2) \dots H_{n_k}(\xi_k)$, for $k \leq n$, $n = n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k$. $H_{n_i}(\xi_i)$, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$, are the Hermite polynomials of one variable, which is a well known definition of the Hermite

polynomial of degree n , in k variables, see for instance [1].

THEOREM 1.

$$E H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0.$$

P r o o f. The statement follows immediately for n odd, because all odd moments of the Gaussian n -dimensional random variable (ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n) are zero. Let $n = 2m$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$. Then

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} E H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) &= E \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n - \sum B_{i_1 j_1} E \alpha_{i_1 j_1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + \\ &+ (-1)^k \sum B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_k j_k} E \alpha_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_k j_k}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + \\ &+ (-1)^m \sum \prod B_{i_j j_j}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us notice an arbitrary term $B_{i_{10} j_{10}} B_{i_{20} j_{20}} \dots B_{i_{m0} j_{m0}}$ in the first member $E \xi_1 \dots \xi_n$ of (3). This term occurs in the second member of (3) exactly $m = n/2$ times, because $B_{i_1 j_1}$ can be any one of $B_{i_{10} j_{10}}, \dots, B_{i_{20} j_{20}}, \dots, B_{i_{m0} j_{m0}}$. It occurs $\binom{m}{k}$ times in the k -th member of (3), $k = 2, \dots, m-1$, because $B_{i_1 j_1}, B_{i_2 j_2}, \dots, B_{i_k j_k}$ can be any k elements from $B_{i_{10} j_{10}}, B_{i_{20} j_{20}}, \dots, B_{i_{m0} j_{m0}}$. In the last member $\sum \prod B_{i_j j_j}$ it occurs only once. According this, the coefficient of $B_{i_{10} j_{10}} B_{i_{20} j_{20}} \dots B_{i_{m0} j_{m0}}$ in (3) is

$$1 - m + \binom{m}{2} + \dots + (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} + \dots + (-1)^m \binom{m}{m} = 0.$$

Since the product $B_{i_{10} j_{10}} \dots B_{i_{m0} j_{m0}}$ was arbitrary, the same is valid for any other term in $E \xi_1 \dots \xi_n$.

THEOREM 2. *The Hermite polynomial $H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ is orthogonal to every polynomial in $H(T)$ of degree k , $k < n$.*

P r o o f. It is sufficient to see that

$$E H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \eta_1 \eta_2 \dots \eta_k = 0,$$

where $\eta_i = \eta(t_i) \in \{\xi(t), t \in T\}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, $k < n$. If n is even and k odd, or conversely, the statement immediately follows, because, after multiplication, we get a polynomial with all the elements of odd degree, so, its expectation is zero. Let n and k be both odd, or both even. We shall show the case $n = 2m$, $k = 2q$, $m, q \in \mathbb{N}$.

The proof is analogous when n and k are both odd. Denote

$$B(i_s, i_p) = E \xi_{i_s} \xi_{i_p}, \quad i_s, i_p \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

$$B(i_s, j_p) = E \xi_{i_s} \eta_{j_p}, \quad i_s \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}, j_p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\},$$

$$B(j_s, j_p) = E \eta_{j_s} \eta_{j_p}, \quad j_s, j_p \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}.$$

The terms in $E \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \eta_1 \dots \eta_k$ have the form

$$(4) \quad B(i_1, i_2) \dots B(i_{v-1}, i_v) B(i_{v+1}, j_1) \dots B(i_n, j_\mu) B(j_{\mu+1}, j_{\mu+2}) \dots \\ \dots B(j_{k-1}, j_k), \quad v \in \{2, 4, \dots, n\}, \mu = n - v, \mu \leq k.$$

For $v = n$, ($\mu = 0$) we have

$$B(i_1, i_2) \dots B(i_{n-1}, i_n) B(j_1, j_2) \dots B(j_{k-1}, j_k).$$

For $v = n - k$, ($\mu = k$) we have

$$B(i_1, i_2) \dots B(i_{n-k-1}, i_{n-k}) B(i_{n-k+1}, j_1) \dots B(i_n, j_k).$$

Let us notice an arbitrary term in $E \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \eta_1 \dots \eta_k$ as it is given in (4). In $\sum B_{i_1 j_1} E \alpha_{i_1 j_1} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \eta_1 \dots \eta_k$ the term (4) occurs $\binom{v/2}{1} = v/2$ times, because $B_{i_1 j_1}$ can be any one of the

$B(i_1, i_2), \dots, B(i_{v-1}, i_v)$. In $\sum B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_r j_r} E \alpha_{i_1 j_1 \dots i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \eta_1 \dots \eta_k$, for $r \leq v/2$, the term (4) occurs $\binom{v/2}{r}$ times,

and for $r > v/2$ it does not occur. We have that in $E \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \eta_1 \dots \eta_k$ the term (4) occurs

$$1 - \binom{v/2}{1} + \dots + (-1)^r \binom{v/2}{r} + \dots + (-1)^{v/2} \binom{v/2}{v/2} = 0$$

times. Since the term (4) is arbitrary, this is valid for any other term in $E \xi_1 \dots \xi_n \eta_1 \dots \eta_k$.

REMARK 1. Let $S_1, S_2 \subseteq T$ be arbitrary and $H_p(S_1), H_q(S_2)$ the corresponding linear closures, spanned by the Hermite polynomial of degree p , in the variables $\xi(t_1), \dots, \xi(t_k), t_1, \dots, t_k \in S_1$ and of degree q in the variables $\xi(t_1), \dots, \xi(t_j), t_1, \dots, t_j \in S_2$. In [4] it is shown that

$$H_p(S_1) \perp H_q(S_2), \quad p \neq q.$$

The proof follows also from Theorem 2., because $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k$ are the arbitrary elements from $\{\xi(t), t \in T\}$.

THEOREM 3. *The Hermite polynomial $H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $n=2, 3, \dots$ satisfies the partial differential equations*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = H_{n-1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n),$$

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

P r o o f. Denote

$$\sum_{i_1, j_1, \dots, i_r, j_r \in \{1, \dots, n\}} B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_r j_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} \dots \alpha_{i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$$

by

$$\sum_{1, 2, \dots, n} B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_r j_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} \dots \alpha_{i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \xi_2 \dots \xi_n - \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \sum B_{i_1 j_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) +$$

$$+ \dots + (-1)^r \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \sum B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_r j_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} \dots \alpha_{i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) +$$

$$+ \dots + (-1)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \sum \prod B_{ij}.$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \sum_{1, \dots, n} B_{i_1 j_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \sum_{2, \dots, n} B_{i_1 j_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1} (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n).$$

The sum on the right hand side ranges over all the choices of a pair (i_1, j_1) from the set $\{2, \dots, n\}$, because after differentiation all the terms with coefficient $B_{i_1 j_1}$, $j_1 \in \{2, \dots, n\}$ disappear, namely, the corresponding products $\alpha_{i_1 j_1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ do not contain ξ_1 . In the rest of the terms $B_{i_1 j_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, (i_1, j_1) are chosen from the set $\{2, \dots, n\}$ and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \alpha_{i_1 j_1}(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n).$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \sum_{1, \dots, n} B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_r j_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) =$$

$$\sum_{2, \dots, n} B_{i_1 j_1} \dots B_{i_r j_r} \alpha_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_r j_r} (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$$

The sum on the right hand side ranges over all the choices of r pairs $(i_1, j_1), \dots, (i_r, j_r)$, from the set $\{2, \dots, n\}$, because all the terms which do not contain ξ_1 in $\alpha_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ disappear. In the other terms, the indexes are chosen from the set $\{2, \dots, n\}$, and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} \alpha_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_r j_r} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \alpha_{i_1 j_1, \dots, i_r j_r} (\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n).$$

This is independent of r , so it is valid for every term in $H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$. The same is true if we consider any of ξ_2, \dots, ξ_n instead of ξ_1 .

THEOREM 4. *The polynomials $P_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $n \geq 2$, $P_1(\xi_1) = \xi_1$, which satisfy the partial differential equations*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_k} P_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = P_{n-1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n)$$

$$k=1, 2, \dots, n, \xi_i = \xi(t_i), t_i \in T, i=1, 2, \dots, n$$

with the initial condition $EP_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0$ are Hermite polynomials $H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$, $n=1, 2, \dots$.

Before the proof let us consider the following examples

$$1. P_1(\xi_1) = \xi_1 = H_1(\xi_1)$$

$$2. \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} P_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = P_1(\xi_2) = \xi_2, \text{ so } P_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1 \xi_2 + \phi(\xi_2).$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} P_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = P_1(\xi_1) = \xi_1 = \xi_1 + \phi'(\xi_2), \text{ so } \phi'(\xi_2) = 0,$$

and $P_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1 \xi_2 + c$. The constant c is evaluated from the condition $EP_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = 0$, and it is equal to $-E\xi_1 \xi_2 = -B_{12}$, so finally

$$P_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) = \xi_1 \xi_2 - B_{12} = H_2(\xi_1, \xi_2) .$$

$$3. \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} P_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \xi_2 \xi_3 - B_{23}, \text{ so } , P_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - B_{23} \xi_1 + \phi_1(\xi_2, \xi_3)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} P_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \xi_1 \xi_3 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \phi_1(\xi_2, \xi_3), \text{ so } , \phi_1(\xi_1, \xi_2) = -B_{13} \xi_2 + \phi_2(\xi_3), \text{ and } P_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - B_{23} \xi_1 - B_{13} \xi_2 + \phi_2(\xi_3) .$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} P_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \xi_1 \xi_2 - B_{12} = \xi_1 \xi_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} \phi_2(\xi_3), \text{ so } , \phi_2(\xi_3) = -B_{12} \xi_3 + c .$$

From $EP_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = 0$, it follows that $c = 0$ and finally

$$P_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) = \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 - B_{23} \xi_1 - B_{13} \xi_2 - B_{12} \xi_3 = H_3(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3) .$$

P r o o f. We know that $P_1(\xi_1) = \xi_1 = H_1(\xi_1)$ and suppose that

$$P_{n-1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) = H_{n-1}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{n-1}) .$$

Then

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} P_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_1} P_n = P_{n-1}(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = H_{n-1}(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = \xi_2 \xi_3 \dots \xi_n - \sum_{2, \dots, n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij}(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots .$$

It follows that

$$P_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n - \sum_{2, \dots, n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \xi_1 + \dots + \phi_1(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) .$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} P_n = \xi_1 \xi_3 \dots \xi_n - \sum_{3, \dots, n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij}(\xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) \xi_1 + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \phi_1(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = P_{n-1}(\xi_1, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) = H_{n-1}(\xi_1, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) =$$

$$= \xi_1 \xi_3 \dots \xi_n - \sum_{1, 3, \dots, n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij}(\xi_1, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots , \text{ whence}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_2} \phi_1(\xi_2, \dots, \xi_n) = \sum_{j=3}^n B_{1j} \alpha_{1j}(\xi_1, \xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots \text{ and}$$

$$P_n = \xi_1 \xi_2 \dots \xi_n - \sum_{2, \dots, n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) - \sum_{j=3}^n B_{1j} \alpha_{1j}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \phi_2(\xi_3, \dots, \xi_n)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} P_n &= \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_3 \cdots \xi_n - \sum_{2,4,\dots,n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij} (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_n) - \\ &- \sum_{j=4}^n B_{1j} \alpha_{1j} (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_3} \phi_2 (\xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) = \\ &= \xi_1 \xi_2 \xi_4 \cdots \xi_n - \sum_{1,2,4,\dots,n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij} (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_n} \phi_2 (\xi_3, \dots, \xi_n) &= -B_{12} \alpha_{12} (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_4, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots, \text{ so} \\ P_n (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) &= \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n - \sum_{2,\dots,n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) - \\ &- \sum_{j=3}^n B_{1j} \alpha_{1j} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) - B_{12} \alpha_{12} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + \phi_3 (\xi_4, \dots, \xi_n) = \\ &= \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n - \sum_{1,2,\dots,n} B_{ij} \alpha_{ij} (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) + \dots + \phi_3 (\xi_4, \dots, \xi_n). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way we get the third and the other elements in

$$P_n (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = H_n (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

Let $S \subset T$. Denote $F^S \xi(t) = E(\xi(t) | F(S))$, $t \in T$.

Where $F(S)$ is the σ -algebra generated by $\{\xi(t), t \in S\}$.

In [2] it is shown that the conditional expectation, with respect to $F(S)$, of a polynomial of degree n , in variables $\xi(t_1), \dots, \xi(t_k)$, $t_1, \dots, t_k \in T$, is a polynomial of degree n , in $\hat{\xi}(t_1), \dots, \hat{\xi}(t_k)$, $t_1, \dots, t_k \in T$, where $\hat{\xi}(t) = E^S \xi(t)$, $t \in T$.

$$\text{THEOREM 5. } E^S H_n (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = H_n (\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n).$$

P r o o f. We know that

$$E^S H_n (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = P_n (\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n) \text{ and}$$

$$E^S H_1 (\xi_1) = E^S \xi_1 = \hat{\xi}_1.$$

The conditional distribution of $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$, given $F(S)$, is a Gaussian with the mean vector $(\hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n)$ and covariance matrix $B = \|b_{ij}\|$, where $b_{ij} = E^S (\xi_i - \hat{\xi}_i) (\xi_j - \hat{\xi}_j)$ are constant, because $\xi_k - \hat{\xi}_k$ is independent of $F(S)$. Let $\phi(x_1 - \hat{\xi}_1, x_2 - \hat{\xi}_2, \dots, x_n - \hat{\xi}_n | F(S))$ be the conditional density of $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)$. So

$$E^S H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = \int_{R^n} H_n(x_1, \dots, x_n) \phi(x_1 - \hat{\xi}_1, \dots, x_n - \hat{\xi}_n | F(S)) dx_1 \dots dx_k \dots dx_n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\xi}_k} E^S H_n &= \int_{R^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\xi}_k} H_n(y_1 + \hat{\xi}_1, \dots, y_n + \hat{\xi}_n) \phi(y_1, \dots, y_n | F(S)) dy_1 \dots \\ &\dots dy_k \dots dy_n = \int_{R^n} H_{n-1}(y_1 + \hat{\xi}_1, \dots, y_{k-1} + \hat{\xi}_{k-1}, y_{k+1} + \hat{\xi}_{k+1}, \\ &\dots, y_n + \hat{\xi}_n) \phi(y_1, \dots, y_n | F(S)) dy_1 \dots dy_n = \\ &= \int_{R^{n-1}} H_{n-1}(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n) \phi(x_1 - \hat{\xi}_1, \dots, x_{k-1} - \hat{\xi}_{k-1}, x_{k+1} - \\ &- \hat{\xi}_{k+1}, \dots, x_n - \hat{\xi}_n | F(S)) dx_1 \dots dx_{k-1} dx_{k+1} \dots dx_n = E^S H_{n-1}(\xi_1, \dots \\ &\dots, \xi_{k-1}, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_n) . \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the polynomials $E^S H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = P_n(\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n)$ satisfy the partial differential equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \hat{\xi}_k} P_n(\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n) = P_{n-1}(\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_{k-1}, \hat{\xi}_{k+1}, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n), \quad n \geq 2,$$

and since

$$E P_n(\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n) = E E^S H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = 0,$$

from Theorem 2. we have

$$E^S H_n(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) = P_n(\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n) = H_n(\hat{\xi}_1, \dots, \hat{\xi}_n) .$$

REMARK 2. In [4] it is shown that for $n \in H_p(T)$, $E^S n \in H_p(S)$. The same result follows immediately from Theorem 5.

REFERENCES

- [1] Antosik, P., Mikusiński, J., Sikorski, R., *Theory of Distributions, The Sequential Approach*, PWN. Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1973.
- [2] Ivković, Z., *On the Prediction of a Functional of the Gaussian Random Process*, *Zbornik radova PMF u Novom Sadu, br. 11 (Ser. Mat.)* (1981), 101-104.
- [3] Розанов, .А., *Гауссовские бесконечномерные распределений* Москва, Наука, труды матем. ин-та им В.А. Стеклова, Т 108, (1968)
- [4] Розанов, .А., *Марковские случайные поля*, Москва, Наука, (1981).

Received by the editors April 8, 1983.

REZIME

О ЕРМИТСКИМ ПОЛИНОМИМА ГАУСОВОГ СЛУЧАЈНОГ
ПРОЦЕСА

U radu se daje eksplicitan izraz za Ermitske polinome stepena n , $n = 1, 2, \dots$, i ispituju se neke osobine ovih polinoma (Teoreme 3., 4., 5.) .