

On rough continuity and rough \mathcal{I} -continuity of real functions

Amar Kumar Banerjee¹² and Anirban Paul³

Abstract. In this paper, we study the rough continuity of real valued functions of real variables and then we discuss some important properties of rough continuity. Then we study the idea of rough \mathcal{I} -continuity of real valued functions and find the relation between rough \mathcal{I} -continuity and rough continuity. We also introduce the notion of rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity and rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuity of real valued functions and discuss some properties of these two types on continuity.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 54A20, 40A35

Key words and phrases: rough continuity; rough \mathcal{I} -continuity; rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuity; rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity; (AP) condition

1. Introduction

The notion of statistical convergence of sequences of real numbers was given independently by Fast [13] and Steinhaus [26] as a generalization of ordinary convergence. Then over the years a lot of development was made in this area (see [14, 28]). The notion of \mathcal{I} -convergence of the sequences of real numbers which is a generalization of the notion of statistical convergence was given by Kostyrko et. al. [19] using the structure of the ideal \mathcal{I} of subsets of the set of natural numbers. Another type of convergence which is closely related to \mathcal{I} -convergence is the notion of \mathcal{I}^* -convergence given by Kostyrko et. al. [17]. It is seen in [19] that these notions are equivalent if and only if the ideal satisfies the property (AP). Several works were done in recent years on \mathcal{I} -convergence (see [7, 6, 8, 5, 9, 21, 22, 23]).

The notion of rough convergence of sequences in a finite dimensional space was introduced by Phu [25] in 2001. In 2013, S. K. Pal et. al. [24] introduced the notion of rough ideal convergence using the concepts of \mathcal{I} -convergence and rough convergenc. Later in 2014, E. Dündar and C. Çakan [11] introduced the idea of of rough \mathcal{I} -convergence in normed linear spaces independently. Then further works in this direction were carried out by several authors [1, 2, 3, 12, 10, 15, 16]. In [4], V. Baláž et. al. introduced the notion of \mathcal{I} -continuity of functions $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ as a generalization of the statistical continuity of the functions introduced by J. Cerveňanský in [27]. In their paper, V. Baláž et. al. [4]

¹Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India,
e-mail: akbanerjee@math.buruniv.ac.in

²Corresponding author

³Department of Mathematics, The University of Burdwan, Golapbag, Burdwan-713104, West Bengal, India,
e-mail: paulanirban310@gmail.com

introduced the notion of \mathcal{I} -continuity, $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity and \mathcal{I}^* -continuity of real valued functions, where $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ are the ideals on the set of natural numbers. It is observed from [4] that \mathcal{I} -continuity and ordinary coincide for any admissible ideal \mathcal{I} . This motivates us to investigate where such results continue to hold for the case of rough \mathcal{I} -continuity.

In our present work, using the concept of rough convergence of real sequences and \mathcal{I} -continuity of real valued functions we have first introduced the notion of rough continuity and then extend the notion of rough continuity to rough \mathcal{I} -continuity of real valued functions. Furthermore the concepts of rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity and rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuity of real valued functions of real variables were also introduced here. Then we have discussed some properties of these ideas and have found out relations between them. Also we have further verified how far some of the results which are true in case of \mathcal{I} -continuity, $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity and \mathcal{I}^* -continuity continue to hold in case of rough \mathcal{I} -continuity, rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity and rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuity, where $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ are ideals on the set of natural numbers. Like in the case of continuity and \mathcal{I} -continuity, we have shown that the concepts of rough continuity and rough \mathcal{I} -continuity are equivalent for any nontrivial admissible ideals \mathcal{I} .

Before going to the main results we will recall some basic definitions and notions which will be needed in sequel.

2. Preliminaries

Throughout our discussion \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{N} will denotes the set of all real numbers and set of all natural numbers, respectively. $\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2$ denote the nontrivial admissible ideals of the set of \mathbb{N} unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.1. [13] Let K be a subset of the set of natural numbers \mathbb{N} and let us denote the set $K_i = \{k \in K : k \leq i\}$. Then the natural density of K is given by $d(K) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|K_i|}{i}$, where $|K_i|$ denotes the cardinality of the set K_i .

Definition 2.2. [13] A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of real numbers is said to be statistically convergent to x if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $d(A(\varepsilon)) = 0$, where $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |x_n - x| \geq \varepsilon\}$.

Let \mathcal{I} be a collection of subsets of a set S . Then \mathcal{I} is called an ideal on S if (i) $A, B \in \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow A \cup B \in \mathcal{I}$ and (ii) $A \in \mathcal{I}$ and $B \subset A \Rightarrow B \in \mathcal{I}$ [20].

An ideal \mathcal{I} on S is called admissible if it contains all the singletons, that is, $\{s\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for each $s \in S$. \mathcal{I} is called nontrivial if $S \notin \mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{I} \neq \phi$ [20]. From the definition it follows that $\phi \in \mathcal{I}$.

If $S = \mathbb{N}$, the set of all positive integers then \mathcal{I} is called an ideal on \mathbb{N} . We will denote by Fin the ideal of all finite subsets of a given set S .

If \mathcal{I} is a nontrivial ideal on S , then the class $F(\mathcal{I}) = \{M \subset \mathbb{N} : \text{there exists } A \in \mathcal{I} \text{ such that } M = \mathbb{N} \setminus A\}$ is a filter on S , called the filter associated with \mathcal{I} .

Definition 2.3. [19] An admissible ideal $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is said to satisfy the condition (AP) if for every countable family of mutually disjoint sets $\{A_1, A_2, \dots\}$ belonging to \mathcal{I} there exists a countable family of sets $\{B_1, B_2, \dots\}$ such that the

symmetric difference $A_j \Delta B_j$ is a finite set for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and $B = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} B_j \in \mathcal{I}$. Several examples of countable family satisfying (AP) are seen in [19].

Definition 2.4. [19, 18] Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a nontrivial ideal. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of elements of X is said to be \mathcal{I} -convergent to $x \in X$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq \varepsilon\}$ belongs to \mathcal{I} . The element x is here called the \mathcal{I} -limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

It should be noted here that if \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal then usual convergence in X implies \mathcal{I} -convergence in X . If \mathcal{I}_d denotes the class of all $A \subset \mathbb{N}$ with $d(A) = 0$, then \mathcal{I}_d is nontrivial admissible ideal and \mathcal{I}_d -convergence coincides with the statistical convergence.

Definition 2.5. [17, 19] Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a normed linear space and $\mathcal{I} \subset 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ be a nontrivial ideal. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X is said to be \mathcal{I}^* -convergent to x if there exists a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k < \dots\}$ in $F(\mathcal{I})$ such that the subsequence $\{x_{m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is convergent to x i.e., $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|x - x_{m_k}\| = 0$.

It is seen in [19] that \mathcal{I}^* -convergence implies \mathcal{I} -convergence. If an admissible ideal \mathcal{I} has the property (AP), then for a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a normed linear space X , \mathcal{I} -convergence implies \mathcal{I}^* -convergence.

Definition 2.6. [25] Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ and r be a non-negative real number. Then $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be rough convergent of roughness degree r to x or simply r -convergent to x , denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{r} x$, if for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N(\varepsilon) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n \geq N(\varepsilon)$ implies $\|x_n - x\| < r + \varepsilon$ and x is called rough limit of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of roughness degree r .

For $r = 0$, Definition 2.6 reduces to the definition of usual convergence of sequences. Here x is called the r -limit point of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, which is usually no longer unique (for $r > 0$). So we have to consider the so called r -limit set (or shortly r -limit) of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by $\text{LIM}^r x_n := \{x \in X : x_n \xrightarrow{r} x\}$. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be r -convergent if $\text{LIM}^r x_n \neq \phi$. In this case, r is called a rough convergence degree of $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Definition 2.7. [11] A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be rough \mathcal{I} -convergent to x , denoted by $x_n \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x$ provided that $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x\| \geq r + \varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{I}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

Here r is called the roughness degree. If we take $r = 0$, then the definition of rough \mathcal{I} -convergence reduces to \mathcal{I} -convergence. In general rough \mathcal{I} -limit of a sequence $\{x_n\}$ may not be unique for the roughness degree $r > 0$. So we have to consider the so called rough \mathcal{I} -limit set of a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ which is defined by $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x_n := \{x : x_n \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{I}} x\}$. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be rough \mathcal{I} -convergent if $\mathcal{I} - \text{LIM}^r x_n \neq \phi$.

Definition 2.8. [9] A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in a normed linear space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is said to be rough \mathcal{S}^* -convergent of roughness degree r to x if there exists a set $M = \{m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \cdots < m_k < \cdots\} \in F(\mathcal{S})$ such that the subsequence $\{x_{m_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree r to x i.e., for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\|x_{m_k} - x\| < r + \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq N$ and we write $x_n \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{S}^*} x$.

Here x is called the rough \mathcal{S}^* -limit of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of roughness degree r . For $r = 0$, we have the definition of ordinary \mathcal{S}^* -convergence of sequences in normed linear spaces. Obviously rough \mathcal{S}^* -limit of a sequence in normed linear spaces is not unique. Therefore we have to consider the rough \mathcal{S}^* -limit set of the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined as follows: $\mathcal{S}^* - \text{LIM}^r x_n = \{x \in X : x_n \xrightarrow{r-\mathcal{S}^*} x\}$.

Definition 2.9. [4] A function $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be \mathcal{S} -continuous at a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, if $\mathcal{S} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0 \implies \mathcal{S} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$.

If f is \mathcal{S} -continuous at each point of a set $M \subset \mathbb{R}$, then f is called \mathcal{S} -continuous on the set M .

Also it was noted in [4] that \mathcal{S} -continuity coincides with ordinary continuity for every admissible ideal \mathcal{S} . Also if f and g are \mathcal{S} -continuous at x_0 , then $f + g$ and fg are \mathcal{S} -continuous at x_0 .

Definition 2.10. [4] Let \mathcal{S}_1 and \mathcal{S}_2 be two admissible ideals. A function $f : [a, b] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be $(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$ -continuous at $x_0 (\in [a, b])$ if $\mathcal{S}_1 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0 \implies \mathcal{S}_2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ for every sequence $\{x_n\}$.

A function f is said to be $(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$ -continuous on $[a, b]$ if it is $(\mathcal{S}_1, \mathcal{S}_2)$ -continuous at each $x \in [a, b]$.

We now give the definition of \mathcal{S}^* -continuity from [4] as follows:

Definition 2.11. [4] A function f is said to be \mathcal{S}^* -continuous at x_0 if $\mathcal{S}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x_0 \implies \mathcal{S}^* - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(x_0)$ for every sequence $\{x_n\}$.

The relationship between continuity and \mathcal{S}^* -continuity of real valued function f is as follows:

Theorem 2.12. [4] *If the ideal \mathcal{S} has the property (AP). Then f is \mathcal{S}^* -continuous at x_0 if and only if f is continuous at x_0 .*

3. Rough Continuity

Definition 3.1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}$. A function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rough continuous at a point $x \in D$ of roughness degree r_x , if for every sequence $\{x_n\} \subset D$ converging to x , there exists a non-negative real number r_x such that the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree r_x to $f(x)$. If $\rho = \sup\{r_x : x \in D\}$ exists finitely then f is called rough continuous on D of roughness degree ρ .

Remark 3.2. If a function is continuous at a point x then obviously it is rough continuous at the point x of roughness degree zero i.e., $r_x = 0$, but the converse may not be true which can be seen from the next two examples. Thus if we denote the set of all real valued continuous functions by $C(f)$ and the set of real valued rough continuous functions of roughness degree r by $rC(f)$ then we have $C(f) \subset rC(f)$.

Example 3.3. Let us consider the function defined by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases}$$

. Then it is easy to see that f is rough continuous throughout \mathbb{R} of roughness degree $\rho = 1$. For, suppose $x = a$ be arbitrary and let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to a . Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have either $|f(x_n) - f(a)| < 1 + \varepsilon$ or $|f(x_n) - f(a)| < 0 + \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since this is true for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to a , thus the function considered above is rough continuous at a of roughness degree $r_a = 1$. Again as the point a is chosen arbitrarily, therefore, the function f is rough continuous throughout \mathbb{R} of roughness degree $\rho = 1$.

Example 3.4. Let us consider the function $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ such that $f(x) = [x]$. Obviously this function is not continuous for all integer values of $x \in \mathbb{R}$. But this function is rough continuous throughout \mathbb{R} including all integers. For, let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence which is convergent to an integer x_0 . Then for arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that either $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < 1 + \varepsilon$ or $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < 0 + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence f is rough continuous at x_0 of roughness degree $r_{x_0} = 1$. Also since the integer x_0 is chosen arbitrarily, it follows that the function considered here is rough continuous of roughness degree $\rho = 1$ throughout \mathbb{R} although it is not continuous at all integer points.

Remark 3.5. From the above Example 3.4, it is clear that if we consider the function $f^2(x) = f(x) \cdot f(x) = [x] \cdot [x] = [x]^2$, then it is easy to see that f^2 is rough continuous at the integer points 0 and ± 1 of roughness degree 1 and the roughness degree becomes larger than 1 at any integer points other than 0 and ± 1 . Hence f^2 is rough continuous at every integer points other than 0 and ± 1 of roughness degree greater than 1 although $f(x) = [x]$ is rough continuous at every integer points of roughness degree 1. Hence the roughness degree of the function $f^2(x) = [x]^2$ is not the square of the roughness degree of the function f .

Theorem 3.6. *A real valued bounded function is always rough continuous for some roughness degree.*

Proof. Let $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in D ($\subset \mathbb{R}$) such that it is convergent to $x_0 \in D$. Again since the function f is bounded, so $\{f(x_n)\}$ is a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} . Let M be an upper bound of f . So for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x_0 , $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| \leq |f(x_n)| + |f(x_0)| \leq 2M < 2M + \varepsilon$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. So it follows that f is rough continuous at x_0 . \square

Converse of Theorem 3.6 is also true if we take D as a closed and bounded interval of \mathbb{R} . Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.7. *If a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough continuous of roughness degree ρ and D is a closed and bounded interval, then the function f is bounded.*

Proof. Suppose that the function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough continuous of roughness degree ρ . Let $D = [a, b]$. Suppose that the function f is not bounded. Hence for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a $x_n \in D$ such that $|f(x_n)| > n$. Now as D is bounded so is the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Hence by the Bolzano-Weierstrass Theorem, this sequence has a convergent subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since D is closed, let the subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converge to some $x \in D$. Since $a \leq x_{n_k} \leq b$, therefore taking limit as $k \rightarrow \infty$ we get $a \leq x \leq b$. As $x \in D$, let $|f(x)| = M$. Since f is rough continuous of roughness degree ρ on D , therefore the sequence $\{f(x_{n_k})\}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree ρ to $f(x)$. Thus for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x_{n_k}) - f(x)| < \rho + \varepsilon$ for all $k \geq N$. Therefore $|f(x_{n_k})| = |f(x_{n_k}) - f(x) + f(x)| \leq \rho + \varepsilon + |f(x)| = \rho + \varepsilon + M$ for all $k \geq N$. Take a natural number r such that $n_r > \rho + \varepsilon + M$, and let $p = \max\{r, N\}$. Then $|f(x_{n_k})| \leq \rho + \varepsilon + M < n_r \leq n_p$ for all $k \geq p$, in particular $|f(x_{n_p})| < \rho + \varepsilon + M < n_r \leq n_p$. But $|f(x_{n_p})| > n_p$. This leads to a contradiction. Therefore f is bounded. \square

Proposition 3.8. *If functions f and g are rough continuous of roughness degree $r_{1_{x_0}}$ and $r_{2_{x_0}}$ respectively at x_0 then the function $f + g$ is also rough continuous at x_0 of roughness degree $r_{1_{x_0}} + r_{2_{x_0}}$.*

Proof. Let f and g be functions rough continuous at x_0 of roughness degree $r_{1_{x_0}}$ and $r_{2_{x_0}}$, respectively. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to x_0 . Then according to our assumption and by the definition of rough continuity we have $f(x_0) \in \text{LIM}^{r_{1_{x_0}}} f(x_n)$ and $g(x_0) \in \text{LIM}^{r_{2_{x_0}}} g(x_n)$. Thus there exists $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < r_{1_{x_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ and $|g(x_n) - g(x_0)| < r_{2_{x_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ for all $n \geq N_1$ and $n \geq N_2$ respectively. Let $N = \max\{N_1, N_2\}$. Now $|(f+g)(x_n) - (f+g)(x_0)| = |f(x_n) - f(x_0) + g(x_n) - g(x_0)| \leq |f(x_n) - f(x_0)| + |g(x_n) - g(x_0)| < r_{1_{x_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + r_{2_{x_0}} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = r_{1_{x_0}} + r_{2_{x_0}} + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, therefore it follows that $f + g$ is rough continuous at x_0 of roughness degree $r_{1_{x_0}} + r_{2_{x_0}}$. \square

Theorem 3.9. *If a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough continuous at an arbitrary point $x_0 \in D$ of roughness degree r_{x_0} , then the function $(cf)(x) = cf(x)$, where c is a non zero real number is rough continuous at point x_0 of roughness degree $|c|r_{x_0}$.*

Proof. Let a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be rough continuous at an arbitrary point $x_0 \in D$ of roughness degree r_{x_0} . Suppose $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to x_0 and c be a non zero real number. Then for an arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ we have $|f(x_0) - f(x_n)| < r_{x_0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|}$ for all $n \geq N$. Now $|cf(x_0) - cf(x_n)| = |c||f(x_0) - f(x_n)| < |c|(r_{x_0} + \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|}) = |c|r_{x_0} + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, therefore cf is rough continuous at x_0 of roughness degree $|c|r_{x_0}$. \square

Corollary 3.10. *If $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough continuous at $x_0 \in D$ of roughness degree r_{x_0} , then the function $\frac{1}{f}$ is rough continuous at x_0 , provided that $f(x_0)$ is non zero.*

Proof. Let $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be rough continuous at $x_0 \in D$ of roughness degree r_{x_0} and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence converging to $x_0 \in D$. Also let $f(x_0) = L (\neq 0)$. Now according to our assumption and by the definition of rough continuity, the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree r_{x_0} to $f(x_0)$. Also since the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ has a non empty rough limit set therefore $\{f(x_n)\}$ is bounded, so let $|f(x_n)| \leq M$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Then by the definition of rough continuity of f there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < r_{x_0} + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N$. Now, $|\frac{1}{f(x_n)} - \frac{1}{f(x_0)}| = \frac{|f(x_n) - f(x_0)|}{|f(x_0)f(x_n)|} < \frac{r_{x_0} + \varepsilon}{|LM|}$ for all $n \geq N$. Hence it follows that $\frac{1}{f}$ is rough continuous at x_0 . \square

Note 3.11. Note that the roughness degree for rough continuity of $\frac{1}{f}$ depends on the value of f at x_0 and on M .

Connectedness of a set in case of continuous image is preserved. But this is not true in case of rough continuity. We will justify our claim in the following remark.

Remark 3.12. Let us consider the function $f : [1, 3] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f(x) = [x]$. As we have seen in Example 3.4 that this function is rough continuous on $[1, 3]$ of roughness degree $\rho = 1$. But the image of the function is not an interval. Thus connectedness may not be preserved by the rough continuity unlike continuity.

Example 3.13. Let us consider the function f on $D = [-1, 1]$ by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x = -1 \\ 2x, & x \in (-1, 1] \end{cases}$$

. Now obviously D is a compact subset of \mathbb{R} . Also it is easy to see that f is rough continuous on D , but $f(D) = \{0\} \cup (-2, 2] = (-2, 2]$. Therefore $f(D)$ is not a closed set in \mathbb{R} and hence it is not compact. Thus image of a compact set under rough continuous function is not necessarily a compact set.

A constant function on $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and so rough continuous of roughness degree $\rho = 0$. On other hand if a function on D is rough continuous of roughness degree $\rho \neq 0$ then it can not be constant. Thus we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.14. *If the roughness degree ρ of a function f is non zero, then a constant function can not be rough continuous of roughness degree ρ .*

Proof. Suppose that $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that it is rough continuous of roughness degree ρ , where ρ is non zero. Since ρ is non zero therefore there exists a non zero $R_{x_0} (\leq \rho)$ such that f is rough continuous at some point $x_0 \in D$ of roughness degree R_{x_0} . Now as f is rough continuous at point x_0 of roughness degree R_{x_0} , therefore for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x_0 , the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree R_{x_0} to

$f(x_0)$. Thus for an $\varepsilon > 0$ we have a $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|f(x_n) - f(x_0)| < R_{x_0} + \varepsilon$ for all $n \geq N \rightarrow (i)$. Now since R_{x_0} is non zero, it follows from (i) that f can not be constant. \square

4. Rough \mathcal{I} -continuity

Throughout this section we consider the ideal of subsets of \mathbb{N} , the set of all natural numbers.

Definition 4.1. A function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rough \mathcal{I} -continuous of roughness degree $r_{\mathcal{I}x}$ at a point $x \in D$ if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ \mathcal{I} -converging to x , there exists a non-negative real number $r_{\mathcal{I}x}$ such that the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough \mathcal{I} -convergent of roughness degree $r_{\mathcal{I}x}$ to $f(x)$. Now if $\rho_{\mathcal{I}} = \sup\{r_{\mathcal{I}x} : x \in D\}$ exists finitely, we then call f is rough \mathcal{I} -continuous on D of roughness degree $\rho_{\mathcal{I}}$.

If the \mathcal{I} -roughness degree $r_{\mathcal{I}x}$ of f at a point $x \in D$ becomes zero, the above definition is reduced to the definition of ordinary \mathcal{I} -continuity of the function at x . Obviously, for an admissible ideal \mathcal{I} , rough continuity of a function f implies that it is also rough \mathcal{I} -continuous.

Theorem 4.2. Let \mathcal{I} be a nontrivial admissible ideal on \mathbb{N} . If a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough \mathcal{I} -continuous at a point $x \in D$, then it is also rough continuous at x .

Proof. Let \mathcal{I} be a nontrivial admissible ideal on \mathbb{N} and $f : D \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be rough \mathcal{I} -continuous at $x \in D$ of some non zero roughness degree. If possible let the function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be not rough continuous at $x \in D$. Then there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$ converging to x but there does not exist any non negative real number r_x for which the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree r_x to $f(x)$. Now since \mathcal{I} is an admissible ideal therefore any sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is convergent to x is also \mathcal{I} -convergent to x . Since for the sequence $\{x_n\}$ there does not exist any non negative real number r_x for which the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough convergent of roughness degree r_x to $f(x)$, therefore there exists an $\varepsilon > 0$ for which the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |f(x_n) - f(x)| \geq r_x + \varepsilon\} = \mathbb{N}$ and as \mathcal{I} is a nontrivial ideal so $\mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}$. Therefore $\{f(x_n)\}$ is not rough \mathcal{I} -convergent of roughness degree r_x to $f(x)$, a contradiction. Hence the proof follows. \square

Definition 4.3. Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two admissible ideals. A function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuous at $x \in D$, if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ \mathcal{I}_1 -converging to x , there exists a non-negative real number $r_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)x}$ such that $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent of roughness degree $r_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)x}$ to $f(x)$. Now define $\rho_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)} = \sup\{r_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)x} : x \in D\}$. If $\rho_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)}$ exists finitely, we then call f is rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ continuous of roughness degree $\rho_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)}$ throughout D .

Clearly if the $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -roughness degree of f at any point $x \in D$ becomes zero then rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity coincides with usual $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuity of the function f at x . Also it should be noted that the above definition reduces to rough \mathcal{I} -continuity if $\mathcal{I}_1 = \mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}$.

Theorem 4.4. *Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two admissible ideals on \mathbb{N} such that $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$. Then a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuous at a point $x \in D$ if and only if f is rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} -continuous at x , where \mathcal{I}_{fin} is the ideal of all finite subsets of \mathbb{N} .*

Proof. Let the function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} -continuous at $x \in D$. Therefore, for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ which is \mathcal{I}_{fin} -convergent to x there exists a non negative real number $r_{\mathcal{I}_{fin}_x}$ such that $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} -convergent to $f(x)$ of roughness degree $r_{\mathcal{I}_{fin}_x}$. Also let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two ideals such that $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be \mathcal{I}_1 -convergent to x . Since f is rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} continuous, it is rough continuous at x and so it is rough \mathcal{I}_1 -continuous. So there exists a non negative real number r_x such that $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough \mathcal{I}_1 -convergent of roughness degree r_x to $f(x)$. Since $\mathcal{I}_1 \subset \mathcal{I}_2$ it follows that $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent to $f(x)$ of roughness degree r_x .

Conversely, let f be not rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} -continuous at x . So there is a sequence $\{x_n\}$, \mathcal{I}_{fin} -convergent to x but $\{f(x_n)\}$ is not rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} -convergent to $f(x)$. So for each non negative r , a suitable $\varepsilon > 0$ be chosen such that the inequality $|f(x_n) - f(x)| \geq r + \varepsilon$ holds for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is \mathcal{I}_1 -convergent to x but $\{f(x_n)\}$ is not rough \mathcal{I}_2 -convergent to $f(x)$, since the set $A(\varepsilon) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : |f(x_n) - f(x)| \geq r + \varepsilon\} = \mathbb{N} \notin \mathcal{I}_2$ for every non negative real r . So f is not $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ continuous at x . Hence if f is $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ rough continuous at x , it is rough \mathcal{I}_{fin} continuous at x . \square

Theorem 4.5. *Let \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 be two ideals on \mathbb{N} such that $\mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2 \neq \phi$. If the function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is constant then f is rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuous of any non zero roughness degree on D .*

Proof. Suppose that the function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is a constant function and let $x \in D$. Let a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in D be such that it is \mathcal{I}_1 -convergent to the point x in D . Now since f is constant, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, we have $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |f(x_n) - f(x)| \geq r + \varepsilon\} = \phi \in \mathcal{I}_2$ where r is any non negative number. Hence f is rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuous at x of roughness degree $r_{(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)_x} = r \geq 0$. \square

The converse of Theorem 4.5 is not true in general as shown in the following example.

Example 4.6. Let us consider the function f defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x \text{ is rational} \\ 0, & \text{if } x \text{ is irrational} \end{cases} .$$

Now let us take two ideals \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 such that $\mathcal{I}_1 \setminus \mathcal{I}_2 \neq \phi$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$ be arbitrary and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence \mathcal{I}_1 -convergent to x . Now as $|f(x_n) - f(x)| \leq 1 < 1 + \varepsilon$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for any $\varepsilon > 0$, therefore the set $\{n \in \mathbb{N} : |f(x_n) - f(x)| \geq 1 + \varepsilon\} = \phi \in \mathcal{I}_2$. Hence f is rough $(\mathcal{I}_1, \mathcal{I}_2)$ -continuous of roughness degree 1 although it is not a constant function.

Definition 4.7. A function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is said to be rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuous at a point $x \in D$ if for every sequence $\{x_n\}$, \mathcal{I}^* -converging to x , there exists

a non negative real number $r_{\mathcal{I}^*x}$ for which the sequence $\{f(x_n)\}$ is rough \mathcal{I}^* -convergent of roughness degree $r_{\mathcal{I}^*x}$ to $f(x)$. Now if $\rho_{\mathcal{I}^*} = \sup\{r_{\mathcal{I}^*x} : x \in D\}$ exists finitely, then f is called rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuous of roughness degree $\rho_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ throughout D .

Clearly, if for any point $x \in D$ the roughness degree $\rho_{\mathcal{I}^*}$ becomes zero, then the rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuity becomes \mathcal{I}^* -continuity of the function f at x .

Theorem 4.8. *If a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuous at a point $x_0 \in D$, then it is also rough \mathcal{I} -continuous at x_0 .*

Proof. Since for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ of real numbers \mathcal{I}^* -convergence implies \mathcal{I} -convergence and rough \mathcal{I}^* -limit of $\{x_n\}$ implies that it is also a rough \mathcal{I} -limit, the theorem follows immediately. \square

The converse of Theorem 4.8 is also true if we have an ideal \mathcal{I} which has the property (AP).

Theorem 4.9. *Let \mathcal{I} be an ideal such that it has the property (AP). Then if a function $f : D(\subset \mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is rough \mathcal{I} -continuous at a point $x_0 \in D$, then it is also rough \mathcal{I}^* -continuous at x_0 .*

Proof. We know that if an ideal \mathcal{I} has the property (AP) then for a sequence $\{x_n\}$, \mathcal{I} -convergence implies \mathcal{I}^* -convergence. Again if an ideal \mathcal{I} has the property (AP), then rough \mathcal{I} -limit of $\{x_n\}$ of some roughness degree r is also a rough \mathcal{I}^* -limit of $\{x_n\}$ of same roughness degree r . So the proof follows. \square

References

- [1] ARSLAN, M., AND DÜNDAR, E. On rough convergence in 2-normed spaces and some properties. *Filomat* 33, 16 (2019), 5077–5086.
- [2] ARSLAN, M., AND DÜNDAR, E. Rough statistical convergence in 2-normed spaces. *Honam Math. J.* 43, 3 (2021), 417–431.
- [3] ARSLAN, M., AND DÜNDAR, E. Rough statistical cluster points in 2-normed spaces. *Thai J. Math.* 20, 3 (2022), 1419–1429.
- [4] BALÁŽ, V., ČERVENĀNSKÝ, J., KOSTYRKO, P., AND SALÁT, T. \mathcal{I} -convergence and \mathcal{I} -continuity of real functions. *Acta Mathematica* 5 (1991), 43.
- [5] BANERJEE, A. K., AND BANERJEE, A. A study on I -Cauchy sequences and I -divergence in S -metric spaces. *Malaya J. Mat.* 6, 2 (2018), 326–330.
- [6] BANERJEE, A. K., AND MONDAL, R. Rough convergence of sequences in a cone metric space. *J. Anal.* 27, 4 (2019), 1179–1188.
- [7] BANERJEE, A. K., AND PAUL, A. I -divergence and I^* -divergence in cone metric spaces. *Asian-Eur. J. Math.* 13, 8 (2020), 2050139, 11.
- [8] BANERJEE, A. K., AND PAUL, A. On I and I^* -Cauchy conditions in C^* -algebra valued metric spaces. *Korean J. Math.* 29, 3 (2021), 621–629.
- [9] BANERJEE, A. K., AND PAUL, A. On rough I^* and I^K -convergence of sequences in normed linear spaces. *Facta Univ. Ser. Math. Inform.* 37, 3 (2022), 541–557.
- [10] DÜNDAR, E. On rough \mathcal{I}_2 -convergence of double sequences. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 37, 4 (2016), 480–491.

- [11] DÜNDAR, E., AND ÇAKAN, C. Rough \mathcal{I} -convergence. *Demonstr. Math.* 47, 3 (2014), 638–651.
- [12] DÜNDAR, E., AND ULUSU, U. On rough \mathcal{I} -convergence and \mathcal{I} -cauchy sequence for functions defined on amenable semigroups. *universal journal of mathematics and applications.* 86–90.
- [13] FAST, H. Sur la convergence statistique. *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951), 241–244 (1952).
- [14] FRIDY, J. A. On statistical convergence. *Analysis* 5, 4 (1985), 301–313.
- [15] KIŞI, O., AND DÜNDAR, E. Rough I_2 -lacunary statistical convergence of double sequences. *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2018), Paper No. 230, 16.
- [16] KIŞI, O., AND DÜNDAR, E. Rough $\Delta\mathcal{I}$ -statistical convergence. *J. Appl. Math. Inform.* 40, 3-4 (2022), 619–632.
- [17] KOSTYRKO, P., MAČAJ, M., AND ŠALÁT, T. Statistical convergence and \mathcal{I} -convergence. Unpublished. Available at: <http://thales.doa.fmph.uniba.sk/macaj/ICON.pdf>.
- [18] KOSTYRKO, P., MAČAJ, M., ŠALÁT, T., AND SLEZIAK, M. \mathcal{I} -convergence and extremal \mathcal{I} -limit points. *Math. Slovaca* 55, 4 (2005), 443–464.
- [19] KOSTYRKO, P., ŠALÁT, T., AND WILCZYŃSKI, W. \mathcal{I} -convergence. *Real Anal. Exchange* 26, 2 (2000/01), 669–685.
- [20] KURATOWSKI, C. *Topologie. Vol. I.* Monografie Matematyczne [Mathematical Monographs], Tom 20. Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1958. 4ème éd.
- [21] LAHIRI, B. K., AND DAS, P. Further results on I -limit superior and limit inferior. *Math. Commun.* 8, 2 (2003), 151–156.
- [22] LAHIRI, B. K., AND DAS, P. I and I^* -convergence in topological spaces. *Math. Bohem.* 130, 2 (2005), 153–160.
- [23] NABIEV, A., PEHLIVAN, S., AND GÜRDAL, M. On \mathcal{I} -Cauchy sequences. *Taiwanese J. Math.* 11, 2 (2007), 569–576.
- [24] PAL, S. K., CHANDRA, D., AND DUTTA, S. Rough ideal convergence. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* 42, 6 (2013), 633–640.
- [25] PHU, H. X. Rough convergence in normed linear spaces. *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 22, 1-2 (2001), 199–222.
- [26] STEINHAUS, H. Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique. *Colloq. Math. Vol. 2* (1951), 73–74.
- [27] ČERVENŠANSKÝ, J. Statistical convergence and statistical continuity. *Vedecké práce Mf STU(Truava)* 6 (1998), 207–211.
- [28] ŠALÁT, T. On statistically convergent sequences of real numbers. *Math. Slovaca* 30, 2 (1980), 139–150.

Received by the editors October 1, 2022

First published online September 25, 2023