

ON THE TOPOLOGICAL STRUCTURE OF RANDOM NORMED SPACES

Olga Hadžić

Prirodno-matematički fakultet. Institut za matematiku.
 21 000 Novi Sad, ul. ar Ilje Đuričića 4, Jugoslavija.

ABSTRACT. From the Theorem in [1] we obtain the following Corollary: Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be the random normed space with continuous T -norm t and $\Phi_n(x) = t(t(\dots t(t(x, x), x), \dots, x))$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $x \in [0, 1]$. If the family $\{\Phi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$, then S is, in the (ϵ, λ) topology, a locally convex topological vector space.

We shall give a short proof of this Corollary without the use of the Theorem on the representation of Archimedean semigroups but using a result from Krauthausen's Dissertation [2].

The notion of random normed space was introduced in [4]. By Δ we shall denote the set of all distribution functions. Let S be a vector space and $\mathcal{F}: S \rightarrow \Delta$. The triplet (S, \mathcal{F}, t) where t is a T -norm [3], is a random normed space iff the following conditions are satisfied:

1. $F_p(0) = 0$, for all p in S .
2. $F_p = H$ iff $p = 0 \in S$, where:

$$H(u) = \begin{cases} 1 & u > 0 \\ 0 & u \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

3. If λ is a non-zero scalar then:

$$F_{\lambda p}(u) = F_p\left(\frac{u}{|\lambda|}\right)$$

for all p in S and for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$.

4. For all $p, q \in S$ and for all $u \geq 0, v \geq 0$:

$$F_{p+q}(u+v) \geq t(F_p(u), F_q(v))$$

5. $t(u, v) \geq \max\{u+v-1, 0\}$ for all u, v in $[0, 1]$.

The (ε, λ) topology in S is introduced by the family $\mathcal{U} = \{U(\varepsilon, \lambda)\}_{\substack{\varepsilon > 0 \\ \lambda \in (0, 1)}}$ of the neighbourhood of zero:

$$U(\varepsilon, \lambda) = \{x \mid F_x(\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$$

and if t is a continuous T -norm then S is, in the (ε, λ) -topology, a topological vector space.

Now, we shall give some results and definitions from Krauthausen's Dissertation [2].

DEFINITION 1. [2] *Let X be a vector space. The mapping $\|\cdot\|: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an F -Norm iff:*

(F1) For every $x \in X: \|x\| \geq 0$.

(F2) For every $x \in X: \|x\| = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = 0$.

(F3) For every $x \in X$ and every $a \in \mathcal{K}$ (\mathcal{K} is the scalar field) $|a| \leq 1 \Rightarrow \|ax\| \leq \|x\|$.

(F4) For every $x, y \in X: \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$.

(F5) For every $x \in X$ and every $(a_n) \in \mathcal{K}^{\mathbb{N}}$:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0 \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|a_n x\| = 0.$$

Then we say that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an F normed space.

If (X, τ) is a Hausdorff topological vector space with a denumerable base of neighbourhoods of zero, then there exists an F -norm on X such that $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is an F -normed space and the topology τ and the topology induced by the F -norm [2] are identical.

DEFINITION 2. *Let X be a vector space and $A \subseteq X$. The set A is p -convex ($0 < p \leq 1$) iff for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, every $x_i \in A$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) and every $a_i \geq 0$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, n$) the following implication holds:*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^p = 1 \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \in A.$$

DEFINITION 3. *Let X be a Hausdorff topological vector space and $0 < p \leq 1$. We say that X is locally p -convex iff there exists a neighbourhood base of zero \mathcal{U} in X such that:*

$$V = \text{co}_p V, \text{ for every } V \in \mathcal{U}$$

where $\text{co}_p V$ is p -convex hull of V .

In [2] the following Theorem is proved.

THEOREM. *Let $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ be a F -normed space and $0 < p \leq 1$ such that:*

For every $(x_i) \in X^{\mathbb{N}}: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0 \Rightarrow \text{co}_p \{x_i \mid i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. Then X is a locally p -convex space.

Now, we shall prove the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION. Let (S, \mathcal{F}, t) be a random normed space with continuous T -norm t such that the family $\{\Phi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$. Then S is, in the (ε, λ) topology, a locally convex topological vector space.

Proof: Suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$, $(x_n) \in S^{\mathbb{N}}$ and let us prove that $\text{co}\{x_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded. Since S is a topological vector space, the set $\{x_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded iff for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ there exists $\mu(\varepsilon, \lambda) > 0$ such that:

$$(1) \quad \{x_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subseteq \mu \cdot U(\varepsilon, \lambda).$$

The relation (1) means that $F_x(\mu(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda$, for every $x \in \{x_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Since the family $\{\Phi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is equicontinuous at the point $x=1$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that $x \geq \delta \Rightarrow \Phi_n(x) > 1 - \lambda$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ tends to zero and so there exists $n(\varepsilon, \lambda) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that:

$$(2) \quad F_{x_n}(\varepsilon) > \delta, \quad \text{for every } n \geq n(\varepsilon, \lambda).$$

Let $M_1 = \{x_n | n \geq n(\varepsilon, \lambda)\}$ and $M_2 = \{x_n | n < n(\varepsilon, \lambda)\}$. Since for every $x \in S$, F_x is a distribution function, there exists $\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)$ such that:

$$(3) \quad F_x(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) > \delta, \quad \text{for every } x \in M_2 \text{ } (\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda) \geq 1).$$

Suppose now that $x \in \text{co}\{x_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ and let us prove that:

$$(4) \quad F_x(2\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) > 1 - \lambda.$$

Then, from (4) we have that $\mu(\varepsilon, \lambda) = 2\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)$. Since $x \in \text{co}\{x_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, we have that:

$$x = \sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_{t_k} + \sum_{s=1}^m p_s x_{j_s}$$

where:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n r_k + \sum_{s=1}^m p_s = 1 \quad (r_k, p_s \geq 0 \text{ for every } k=1, 2, \dots, n; s=1, 2, \dots, m)$$

and $x_{t_k} \in M_1$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$) and $x_{j_s} \in M_2$ ($s=1, 2, \dots, m$).

Suppose that $\sum_{k=1}^n r_k < 1$ and $\sum_{s=1}^m p_s < 1$ (if $\sum_{k=1}^n r_k = 1$ or $\sum_{s=1}^m p_s = 1$ the proof is similar).

Now, we have:

$$F_x(2\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) = F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_{t_k} + \sum_{s=1}^m p_s x_{j_s}\right)(2\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) \geq t\left(F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_{t_k}\right)(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon), F\left(\sum_{s=1}^m p_s x_{j_s}\right)(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon)\right)$$

Further:

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_{\sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_{ik}}^n(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) &= F_{\sum_{k=1}^n r_k x_{ik}}^n(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\left(\sum_{k=1}^n r_k + 1 - \sum_{k=1}^n r_k\right)\varepsilon) \geq \\
 &\geq \underbrace{t(\dots t(F_{x_{i_1}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon), F_{x_{i_2}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon)), F_{x_{i_8}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon))}_{n \text{ times}} \\
 &\dots F_0(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\left(1 - \sum_{k=1}^n r_k\right)\varepsilon) = \\
 &= \underbrace{t(\dots t(F_{x_{i_1}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon), F_{x_{i_2}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon)), F_{x_{i_8}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon), \dots, 1)}_{n\text{-times}} = \\
 &= \underbrace{t(\dots t(F_{x_{i_1}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon), F_{x_{i_2}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon)), F_{x_{i_8}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon))}_{(n-1) \text{ times}}, \dots, \\
 &F_{x_{i_n}}(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) \geq \Phi_{n-1}(\delta)
 \end{aligned}$$

since (3) holds.

Similarly from (2) it follows:

$$F_{\sum_{s=1}^m p_s x_{js}}^m(\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) \geq F_{\sum_{s=1}^m p_s x_{js}}^m(\varepsilon) = F_{\sum_{s=1}^m p_s x_{js}}^m\left(\left(\sum_{s=1}^m p_s + 1 - \sum_{s=1}^m p_s\right)\varepsilon\right) \geq \Phi_{m-1}(\delta).$$

So we have:

$$F_x(2\rho(\varepsilon, \lambda)\varepsilon) \geq t(\Phi_{n-1}(\delta), \Phi_{m-1}(\delta)) = \Phi_{m+n-1}(\delta) > 1 - \lambda$$

and the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] O. Hadžić, *On the (ε, λ) -topology of probabilistic locally convex spaces*, Glasnik matematički, Vol. 13 (33), 1978, 293–297.
- [2] Clemens Krauthausen, *Der Fixpunktsatz von Schauder in nicht notwendig konvexen Räumen sowie Anwendungen auf Hammerstein'sche Gleichungen*, Doktors Dissertation, Aachen, 1976.
- [3] B. Schweizer and A. Sklar, *Statistical metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 10 (1960), 313–334.
- [4] A. N. Šerstnev, *The notion of a random normed space*, DAN SSSR, 149 (1963), 280–283 (Russian).

O TOPOLOŠKOJ STRUKTURI SLUČAJNIH NORMIRANIH PROSTORA

Olga Hadžić

REZIME

U ovom radu dat je dokaz da je slučajan normirani prostor (S, \mathcal{F}, t) , sa T -normom t takvom da je familija funkcija $\{\Phi_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ u tački $x=1$ podjednako neprekidna, lokalno konveksan u (ϵ, λ) topologiji. Ovaj dokaz se razlikuje od ranije datog dokaza iz rada [1].