

THE σ -POINT-FINITE cn -NETWORKS (ck -NETWORKS) OF
PIXLEY-ROY HYPERSPACES

Luong Quoc Tuyen and Ong Van Tuyen

Abstract. In this paper, we study the relation between a space X satisfying certain generalized metric properties and the Pixley-Roy hyperspace $\mathcal{F}[X]$ over X satisfying the same properties. We prove that if X has a σ -point-finite cn -network (resp., ck -network), then $\mathcal{F}[X]$ also has a σ -point-finite cn -network (resp., ck -network).

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The generalized metric properties on Pixley-Roy hyperspaces have been studied by many authors [1, 2, 5–10]. They considered several generalized metric properties and studied the relation between a space X satisfying such property and its Pixley-Roy hyperspaces satisfying the same property.

Throughout this paper, all spaces are assumed to be T_1 and regular, \mathbb{N} denotes the set of all positive integers. Moreover, if \mathcal{P} is a family of subsets of a space X and $G \subset X$, denote $(\mathcal{P})_G = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : P \cap G \neq \emptyset\}$.

The *Pixley-Roy hyperspace* $\mathcal{F}[X]$ over a space X , defined by C. Pixley and P. Roy in [8], is the set of all non-empty finite subsets of X with the topology generated by the sets of the form $[F, V] = \{G \in \mathcal{F}[X] : F \subset G \subset V\}$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}[X]$ and V is an open subset in X containing F . It is known that $\mathcal{F}[X]$ is always zero-dimensional, completely regular (see [2]).

For each $F \in \mathcal{F}[X]$ and $A \subset X$, denote $[F, A] = \{H \in \mathcal{F}[X] : F \subset H \subset A\}$.

DEFINITION 1.1. A family \mathcal{P} of subsets of a space X is said to be:

(i) a *network* [3] for X , if for any neighborhood U of a point $x \in X$, there exists a set $P \in \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in P \subset U$.

(ii) a *cn-network* [4] for X , if for any neighborhood U of a point $x \in X$, the set $\bigcup\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P \subset U\}$ is a neighborhood of x .

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 54B20, 54D20

Keywords and phrases: Pixley-Roy; hyperspace; cn -network; ck -network; σ -point-finite.

(iii) a *ck-network* [4] for X , if for any neighborhood U of a point $x \in X$, there is a neighborhood U_x of x such that for each compact subset $K \subset U_x$, there exists a finite subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}$ satisfying $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}$ and $K \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F} \subset U$.

(iv) *point-finite* [3], if the family $\{P \in \mathcal{P} : x \in P\}$ is finite for each $x \in X$.

(v) *σ -point-finite*, if \mathcal{P} can be expressed as $\bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where each \mathcal{P}_n is point-finite, and $\mathcal{P}_n \subset \mathcal{P}_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

REMARK 1.2 ([4]). *ck-networks* \implies *cn-networks* \implies *networks*.

In this paper, we study the relation between a space X satisfying certain generalized metric properties and the Pixley-Roy hyperspace $\mathcal{F}[X]$ over X satisfying the same properties. We prove that if X has a σ -point-finite *cn-network* (resp., *ck-network*), then $\mathcal{F}[X]$ also has a σ -point-finite *cn-network* (resp., *ck-network*).

2. Main results

THEOREM 2.1. *Let X be a space. If X has a σ -point-finite *cn-network* (resp., *ck-network*), then so does $\mathcal{F}[X]$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a *cn-network* (resp., *ck-network*) for X , where each \mathcal{P}_k is point-finite and $\mathcal{P}_k \subset \mathcal{P}_{k+1}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if we put

$$\mathfrak{P}_k = \left\{ [F, \bigcup \mathcal{H}] : F \in \mathcal{F}[X] \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } (\mathcal{P}_k)_F \right\},$$

then $\mathfrak{P}_k \subset \mathfrak{P}_{k+1}$. Moreover, \mathfrak{P}_k is point-finite for $\mathcal{F}[X]$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Indeed, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $F \in \mathcal{F}[X]$ and $\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_k$ such that $F \in \mathcal{W}$. Then there exist $G \in \mathcal{F}[X]$ and a finite subfamily $\mathcal{H} \subset (\mathcal{P}_k)_G$ such that $\mathcal{W} = [G, \bigcup \mathcal{H}]$ and $G \subset F \subset \bigcup \mathcal{H}$.

Since $\mathcal{H} \subset (\mathcal{P}_k)_G$, we have $\mathcal{H} \subset (\mathcal{P}_k)_F$. This implies that

$$\{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_k : F \in \mathcal{W}\} \subset \{[G, \bigcup \mathcal{H}] : G \subset F, \mathcal{H} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } (\mathcal{P}_k)_F\}.$$

Since \mathcal{P}_k is point-finite for X and the set F is finite, $(\mathcal{P}_k)_F$ is finite and the set $\{G : G \subset F\}$ is finite. Hence, $\{[G, \bigcup \mathcal{H}] : G \subset F, \mathcal{H} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } (\mathcal{P}_k)_F\}$ is finite. Therefore, $\{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_k : F \in \mathcal{W}\}$ is finite. This proves that \mathfrak{P}_k is point-finite for $\mathcal{F}[X]$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{P} = \bigcup\{\mathfrak{P}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a σ -point-finite family for $\mathcal{F}[X]$.

Next, we prove that

$$\mathfrak{P} = \left\{ [F, \bigcup \mathcal{H}] : F \in \mathcal{F}[X] \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } (\mathcal{P})_F \right\}.$$

It is clear that $\mathfrak{P} \subset \{[F, \bigcup \mathcal{H}] : F \in \mathcal{F}[X] \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } (\mathcal{P})_F\}$. Now, take any $\mathcal{W} \in \{[F, \bigcup \mathcal{H}] : F \in \mathcal{F}[X] \text{ and } \mathcal{H} \text{ is a finite subfamily of } (\mathcal{P})_F\}$. Then there exist $F \in \mathcal{F}[X]$ and a finite subfamily $\mathcal{H} = \{P_i : i \leq s\}$ of $(\mathcal{P})_F$ such that $\mathcal{W} = [F, \bigcup \mathcal{H}]$. Since $\mathcal{P} = \bigcup\{\mathcal{P}_k : k \in \mathbb{N}\}$, there exists $k_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_i \in (\mathcal{P}_{k_i})_F$ for each $i \leq s$. If we put $m = \max\{k_i : i \leq s\}$, then $P_1, \dots, P_s \in (\mathcal{P}_m)_F$. This implies that $\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P}_m \subset \mathfrak{P}$.

Finally, let $F \in \mathcal{F}[X]$ and \mathcal{U} be an open neighborhood of F in $\mathcal{F}[X]$. Then there is an open set V in X such that $F \in [F, V] \subset \mathcal{U}$.

(1) Let \mathcal{P} be a cn -network for X . Put $\mathcal{Q} = \{P \in (\mathcal{P})_F : P \subset V\}$. Then $\bigcup \mathcal{Q}$ is a neighborhood of F in X . This implies that there exists W open in X such that $F \subset W \subset \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$. Thus, $F \in [F, W] \subset [F, \bigcup \mathcal{Q}]$. Moreover, we have

$$[F, \bigcup \mathcal{Q}] \subset \bigcup \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} : F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}\}.$$

Indeed, suppose that $H \in [F, \bigcup \mathcal{Q}]$, then $F \subset H \subset \bigcup \mathcal{Q}$. Since the set H is finite, there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{Q}$ such that $H \subset \bigcup \mathcal{G}$. On the other hand, since $\bigcup \mathcal{G} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{Q} \subset V$, it shows that $[F, \bigcup \mathcal{G}] \subset [F, V] \subset \mathcal{U}$. Furthermore, since $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{Q} \subset (\mathcal{P})_F$, we have $[F, \bigcup \mathcal{G}] \in \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} : F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}\}$. Since $H \in [F, \bigcup \mathcal{G}]$, this implies that $H \in \bigcup \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} : F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}\}$.

Therefore, $F \in [F, W] \subset [F, \bigcup \mathcal{Q}] \subset \bigcup \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} : F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}\}$.

Since the set $[F, W]$ is open in $\mathcal{F}[X]$, we conclude that $\bigcup \{\mathcal{W} \in \mathfrak{P} : F \in \mathcal{W} \subset \mathcal{U}\}$ is a neighborhood of F in $\mathcal{F}[X]$. This shows that \mathfrak{P} is a cn -network for $\mathcal{F}[X]$.

(2) Suppose that \mathcal{P} is a ck -network for X . Then for each $x \in F$, there exists a neighborhood V_x of x such that $V_x \subset V$ and for each compact subset $A_x \subset V_x$, there exists a finite subfamily \mathcal{A}_x of \mathcal{P} satisfying $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{A}_x$ and $A_x \subset \bigcup \mathcal{A}_x \subset V$. For each $x \in F$, since X is regular, there is an open set O_x in X such that $x \in O_x \subset \overline{O_x} \subset V_x$. Put $\mathcal{V}_F = [F, \bigcup_{x \in F} O_x]$, then for each compact subset $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}_F$, we have $\bigcup \mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup_{x \in F} \overline{O_x}$.

Claim $\bigcup \mathcal{K}$ is compact in X .

In fact, take any open cover \mathcal{L} of $\bigcup \mathcal{K}$ in X . Then for each $F \in \mathcal{K}$, we have that $F \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{L}$. Hence, for each $x \in F$, there exists $U_x \in \mathcal{L}$ such that $x \in U_x$. Since $F \in [F, \bigcup_{x \in F} U_x]$ for each $F \in \mathcal{K}$, $\mathfrak{U} = \{[F, \bigcup_{x \in F} U_x] : F \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is an open cover of \mathcal{K} in $\mathcal{F}[X]$. On the other hand, since \mathcal{K} is compact, there exists a finite subfamily \mathfrak{W} of \mathfrak{U} such that $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathfrak{W}$. This implies that there exist $F_1, \dots, F_m \in \mathcal{K}$ such that $\mathfrak{W} = \{[F_1, \bigcup_{x \in F_1} U_x], \dots, [F_m, \bigcup_{x \in F_m} U_x]\}$. Put $\mathcal{V} = \{U_x : x \in F_i, i \leq m\}$. Since each set F_i is finite, \mathcal{V} is a finite subfamily of \mathcal{L} . Thus, we only need to prove that $\bigcup \mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}$. Indeed, let $z \in \bigcup \mathcal{K}$, then $z \in A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{K}$. This implies that there exists $i \leq m$ such that $A \in [F_i, \bigcup_{x \in F_i} U_x]$, hence $A \subset \bigcup_{x \in F_i} U_x$. Therefore, $z \in U_x \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V}$ for some $x \in F_i$.

By **Claim**, $K_x = (\bigcup \mathcal{K}) \cap \overline{O_x}$ is compact in X and $K_x \subset V_x$ for each $x \in F$. This implies that there is a finite subfamily $\mathcal{F}_x \subset \mathcal{P}$ such that $x \in \bigcap \mathcal{F}_x$ and $K_x \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_x \subset V$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{x \in F} \mathcal{F}_x$ and $\mathfrak{F} = \{[F, \bigcup \mathcal{G}] \neq \emptyset : \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{H}\}$. Then $F \in \bigcap \mathfrak{F}$ and $\bigcup \mathfrak{F} \subset [F, V]$. On the other hand, since the set F is finite and $\mathcal{H} \subset (\mathcal{P})_F$, the family \mathfrak{F} is a finite subfamily of \mathfrak{P} . Furthermore, we have $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathfrak{F}$. In fact, take any $H \in \mathcal{K}$, then $H \subset \bigcup \mathcal{K}$. For each $y \in H$, since $\bigcup \mathcal{K} = \bigcup_{x \in F} K_x$, there exists $x_y \in F$ such that $y \in K_{x_y} \subset \bigcup \mathcal{F}_{x_y}$.

Put $\mathcal{G} = \bigcup_{y \in H} \mathcal{F}_{x_y}$. Then since $H \in \mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{V}_F$, $F \subset H$. This implies that $H \in [F, \bigcup \mathcal{G}] \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence, $H \in \bigcup \mathfrak{F}$. It shows that $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathfrak{F}$. Thus, $\mathcal{K} \subset \bigcup \mathfrak{F} \subset [F, V] \subset \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, \mathfrak{P} is a ck -network for $\mathcal{F}[X]$. \square

Question: Let X be a space. If $\mathcal{F}[X]$ has a σ -point-finite cn -network (resp., ck -network), then does X have a σ -point-finite cn -network (resp., ck -network)?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Bella, M. Sakai, *Compactifications of a Pixley-Roy hyperspace*, *Topology Appl.*, **196** (2015), 173–182.
- [2] E. van Douwen, *The Pixley-Roy topology on spaces of subsets*, *Set-theoretic topology*, Academic Press, New York, 1977, 111–134.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [4] S. S. Gabrielyan, J. Kakol, *On \mathfrak{F} -spaces and related concepts*, *Topology Appl.*, **191** (2015), 178–198.
- [5] Lj. D. R. Kočinac, *The Pixley-Roy topology and selection principles*, *Quest. Answers Gen. Topology*, **19** (2001), 219–225.
- [6] Lj. D. R. Kočinac, L. Q. Tuyen, O. V. Tuyen, *Some results on Pixley-Roy hyperspaces*, *J. Math.*, **2022** (2022), 1–8.
- [7] D. J. Lutzer, *Pixley-Roy topology*, *Topology Proc.*, **3** (1978), 139–158.
- [8] C. Pixley, P. Roy, *Uncompletable Moore spaces*, *Proc. Auburn Univ. Conf. (Auburn, Alabama, 1969)*, ed. by W. R. R. Transue, 1969, 75–85.
- [9] M. Sakai, *The Fréchet-Urysohn property of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces*, *Topology Appl.*, **159** (2012), 308–314.
- [10] H. Tanaka, *Metrizability of Pixley-Roy hyperspaces*, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, **7(2)** (1983), 299–315.

(received 20.09.2021; in revised form 09.03.2022; available online 18.01.2023)

Department of Mathematics, Da Nang University of Education, 459 Ton Duc Thang Street, Da Nang City, Vietnam

E-mail: tuyendhdn@gmail.com

Hoa Vang High School, 101 Ong Ich Duong Street, Da Nang City, Vietnam

E-mail: tuyenvan612dn@gmail.com