

ON ALGEBROID FUNCTIONS WITH UNIFORM SCHWARZIAN DERIVATIVE

Arturo Fernández Arias

Abstract. The question of determining under which conditions the Schwarzian derivative of an algebroid function turns out to be a uniform meromorphic function in the plane is considered. In order to do this the behaviour of the Schwarzian derivative of an algebroid function $w(z)$ around a ramification point is analyzed. It is concluded that in case of a uniform Schwarzian derivative $S_w(z)$, this meromorphic function presents a pole of order two at the projection of the ramification point, with a rational coefficient γ_{-2} , where $0 < \gamma_{-2} < 1$. A class of analytic algebroid functions with uniform Schwarzian derivative is presented and the question arises whether it contains all analytic algebroid functions with this property.

1. Introduction

Given a meromorphic function $f(z)$ in a domain Ω of the complex plane \mathbb{C} , the Schwarzian derivative $Sf(z)$ is defined by

$$Sf = \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{f''}{f'} \right)^2.$$

In the case that $f(z)$ is locally injective then $Sf(z)$ is analytic.

Here we shall consider the wider setting than meromorphic functions formed by the algebroid functions. An algebroid function $w(z)$ of order k is a k -valued function $w(z)$ in the entire complex plane \mathbb{C} , or more generally in a finite disc $D(0, R)$, determined by an equation of the form

$$F(z, w) = A_k(z)w^k + A_{k-1}(z)w^{k-1} + \dots + A_0(z) = 0,$$

where $A_0(z), A_1(z), \dots, A_k(z)$ are uniform meromorphic functions either in \mathbb{C} or more generally in $D(0, R)$. By a uniform function we mean an 1-valued function.

The multivalued function $w = w(z)$ can be considered as a uniform function on the associated Riemann surface X_F defined by

$$X_F = \{(z, w) \mid F(z, w) = 0\}.$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30B40, 30F99.

Keywords and phrases: Schwarzian derivative; algebroid function; Möbius transformation; ramification point.

The multivalued function $w(z)$ has, for each z , different branches $w_i(z)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$, which can coincide in a discrete set of points called ramification points. The surface X_F can be described in terms of the branches by

$$X_F = \{(z, w_j(z)) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \dots, k\},$$

in such a way that X_F becomes an n -sheeted covering of the complex plane by the canonical projection

$$P: X_F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad (z, w_j) \mapsto z,$$

and the algebroid function $w(z)$ becomes uniform on X_F through

$$w: X_F \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \quad (z, w_j(z)) \mapsto w_j(z).$$

Now, given a point $p = (z, w_i(z)) \in X_F \setminus R_F$, $R_F = \{p \in X_F \mid p \text{ ramification point of } X_F\}$, we define the Schwarzian derivative S_w of $w(z)$ at p by

$$S_w(p) = \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)^2.$$

It is clear that S_w is a well-defined uniform meromorphic function considered on $X_F \setminus P(R_F)$ as a function of the local parameter z but in general it is not uniform considered as a function on \mathbb{C} .

2. A theorem on differential equations

We shall restrict ourselves to analytic algebroid functions, that is, the algebroid functions $w(z)$ that have no poles, or equivalently, all the coefficients $A_j(z)$ of $F(z, w)$ are analytic functions in \mathbb{C} . We present the following theorem which is an extension of a well-known theorem on differential equations in the plane, see I. Laine [6].

THEOREM 2.1. *Let $A(z)$ be analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$, where $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ is a discrete set. Then for any two linearly independent local solutions v_1, v_2 of the second order differential equation*

$$v'' + A(z)v = 0, \tag{1}$$

in a disc $D(z_0, \epsilon)$ such that $D(z_0, \epsilon) \cap S = \emptyset$, their quotient $w = v_1/v_2$ is a locally injective analytic function which satisfies

$$S_w(z) = \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)^2 = 2A(z). \tag{2}$$

Conversely, if a locally injective analytic function element $(w(z), D(z_0, \epsilon))$ is given, with $A(z)$ defined by (2), then $A(z)$ is analytic and we can find two linearly independent local solutions v_1, v_2 of (1) such that $w = v_1/v_2$.

The function element $(w(z), D(z_0, \epsilon))$ can be continued analytically without restriction to $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ so that we get in general a complete analytic multivalent function $w(z)$ with locally injective analytic branches $w_i(z)$ such that given two different branches $w_i(z), w_j(z)$ in a small neighbourhood $D(z_1, \epsilon)$, $D(z_1, \epsilon) \cap S = \emptyset$ there exists

a Möbius transformation T such that $w_j(z) = T \circ w_i(z)$ for z in $D(z_1, \epsilon)$. The set of Möbius transformations obtained in this way is a group G of Möbius transformations and when it turns out to be finite then the obtained complete multivalued function $w(z)$ is an algebroid function of order equal to $\text{ord}(G)$.

Conversely, if we start from an analytic algebroid function $w(z)$ of order k for which all the branches $w_i(z)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$ are related through the Möbius transformation T of a finite group of order k , then the Schwarzian derivative S_w is a uniform function $2A(z)$ analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ where S is the set of the projections of the ramification points of $w(z)$.

Proof. Given two linearly independent local analytic solutions $v_1(z), v_2(z)$ of (1), they can be continued without restriction in $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ (see Herold [5, page 33]). Therefore, there exist multivalued extensions to $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ of $v_1(z), v_2(z)$, linearly independent local solutions of (1), and therefore their quotient $w(z)$ can be extended to a multiple valued solution of (2) in $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$. See I. Laine [6, Theorem 6.1].

The fact that the quotient $w = v_1/v_2$ satisfies (2) is obtained by calculation.

Given two branches w_i, w_j in a disc $D(z_1, \epsilon)$ outside S , we must have $S_{w_i} = S_{w_j} = 2A(z)$, and again by [6, Remark next to Theorem 6.1], w_i, w_j can be written as quotients of local linearly independent solutions of (1)

$$w_i = \frac{v_{1i}}{v_{2i}}, w_j = \frac{v_{1j}}{v_{2j}},$$

so that for some constants $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \beta_1, \beta_2$ it holds

$$w_j = \frac{v_{1j}}{v_{2j}} = \frac{\alpha_1 v_{1i} + \alpha_2 v_{2i}}{\beta_1 v_{1i} + \beta_2 v_{2i}} = T \circ w_i,$$

where $T(z)$ is the Möbius transformation

$$T(z) = \frac{\alpha_1 z + \alpha_2}{\beta_1 z + \beta_2}.$$

Now, if we consider the set of all the transformations obtained in this way then we get a group G . In the case that G is finite, the number of different branches at p must be finite and independent of p , and precisely equal to $\text{ord}(G)$. In fact, the composition of two Möbius transformations T, T_1 obtained in this way should lead to a new branch $w_k = (T_1 \circ T) \circ w_i$ of w solution of (2) obtained by analytic continuation of w_i . The order of the algebroid function obtained is equal to the number of different branches at a certain point p and this is clearly equal to the number of different Möbius transformations of G , that is $\text{ord}(G)$.

The converse statement also follows from [6, Remark next to Theorem 6.1]. \square

3. An example

EXAMPLE 3.1. Let $S = \{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of distinct points tending to infinity and ordered in such a way that $|a_n| \leq |a_{n+1}|$, that is, ordered according to increasing moduli.

We can form, by the Weierstrass Product Theorem, a function $L(z)$ with zeros at the points $\{a_n\}$ and with no other zeros. This function is given in the form

$$L(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right),$$

where $E_p \left(\frac{z}{a} \right)$ denotes an elementary Weierstrass product. We consider the algebraic function $w(z)$ of order k determined by the equation $F(z, w) = w^k - L(z) = 0$.

The associated Riemann surface X_F is a covering of \mathbb{C} with ramifications over the points $\{a_n\}$, and given a disc $D(a_i, \epsilon)$ excluding the a_n ' with $n \neq i$, the different branches w_l , $l = 1, 2, \dots, k$ at a point $z \in D(a_i, \epsilon)$ are obtained as

$$w_l(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi li}{k}} \cdot \left(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}} \right). \quad (3)$$

Here, we have fixed inside the parenthesis a particular branch of

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

in such a way that when we consider a function element

$$(w_l(z), D(z_i, \rho_i)),$$

where

$$D(z_i, \rho_i) \subset D(a_i, \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad a_i \notin D(z_i, \rho_i),$$

and where the function $w_l(z) = L(z)^{1/k}$ assumes the values in (3) for $z \in D(z_i, \rho_i)$, and we continue this element analytically along a circle $C(a_i, |z_i - a_i|)$, we get a function element $(w_{l-1}(z), D(z_i, \rho_i))$, where w_{l-1} assumes the value $w_{l-1}(z)$ in (3), since the factor $\left(1 - \frac{z}{a_i}\right)^{1/k}$ suffers in $L(z)$ an argument variation equal to $-\frac{2\pi}{k}$ leaving the rest of the product invariant.

We conclude that the function element $(w_l(z), D(z_i, \rho_i))$ gives rise by analytic continuation around the ramification point a_i to the function element

$$(w_{l-1}(z) = T \circ w_l(z), D(z_i, \rho_i)),$$

where $T(z) = e^{-2\pi i} z$.

We remark that the Möbius transformation T associated to a_i is the same for any other a_j .

We conclude that the associated group G is in this case the finite cyclic group generated by T : $G = \{I, T, T^2, \dots, T^{k-1}\}$.

PROBLEM 3.1. Are all the analytic algebraic functions of order k with uniform Schwarzian derivative of the type described in Example 3.1?

4. The associated group G

Let $w(z)$ be an algebraic function with uniform Schwarzian derivative $S_w(p) = 2A(z)$ for every $p \in X_F$, such that $P(z) = z$, where $A(z)$ is an analytic function in $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ with

$S \subset \mathbb{C}$ a discrete set. The group G associated to $w(z)$ is generated in the following way.

Let us enumerate the points $a_n \in S$, assuming that we do this according to increasing moduli and in case of equality of moduli according to increasing arguments. For each a_n we consider a circle $C(a_n, \epsilon_n)$ of radius sufficiently small so that they are mutually disjoint. Inside $C(a_n, \epsilon_n)$ we fix a disc $D(z_n, \rho_n)$ with $\rho_n < \epsilon_n - d(z_n, a_n)$, so that $a_n \notin D(z_n, \rho_n)$. By analytic continuation of a finite function element $(w(z), D(z_n, \rho_n))$ around a circle $C(a_n, |z_n - a_n|)$ we get a new function element $(w_1(z), D(z_n, \rho_n))$ in such a way that there exists a Möbius transformation $T_{n,i}$ for which

$$w_1 = T_{n,i} \circ w, \quad (4)$$

where $T_{n,i}$ is associated to a ramification point $p_{n,i}$ over a_n . That is, we denote by $p_{n,1}, p_{n,2}, \dots, p_{n,k_n}$ the ramification points over a_n , i.e. $P(p_{n,i}) = a_n$, $i = 1, \dots, k_n$.

Then we can continue analytically both functions elements w, w_1 over $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ and all the pairs of function elements obtained in this way by analytic continuation will always satisfy the relation (4).

Now we proceed in the same way for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and obtain a sequence of $\{T_{n,i}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, i=1, \dots, k_n}$ of Möbius transformations.

THEOREM 4.1. *The group associated to the algebroid function $w(z)$ is the group generated by the described sequence of Möbius transformations $\{T_{n,i} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \dots, k_n\}$.*

Proof. Let T be a Möbius transformation for which $T \circ w(z)$ is a function element of the algebroid function starting from a given function element $(w(z), (D(z_0, \epsilon)))$.

The function element $T \circ w(z)$ must be obtained by analytic continuation from $w(z)$ along a closed path $\gamma \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus S$.

Since γ is compact, it is contained in a disc $D(0, R)$ and $a_n \notin D(0, R)$ for $n > N$ with N sufficiently large. Then we consider paths $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_N$ in $D(0, R) \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ such that $\gamma_n = \beta_n \sim C(a_n, \epsilon_n)$, where the β_n 's are mutually disjoint Jordan arcs joining z_0 and $C(a_n, \epsilon_n)$. We know from basic Algebraic Topology that the γ_n , $n = 1, \dots, N$ form a set of generators of the fundamental group π_1 of $D \setminus \{a_1, \dots, a_N\}$ so that the path γ is homotopic to a path of the form $l_1 \sim l_2 \sim \dots \sim l_T$ where each path l_j is one of the paths γ_n or γ_n^{-1} and the paths l_j, l_k can coincide for $j \neq k$.

If we continue analytically the original function element $(w, D(z_0, \epsilon))$ along one of the γ_n , we arrive to the function element $(T_{n,i} \circ w, D(z_0, \epsilon))$ and similarly if we continue the function element $(w, D(z_0, \epsilon))$ along the path γ_i^{-1} we get to the function element $(T_{n,i}^{-1} \circ w, D(z_0, \epsilon))$. Therefore the analytic continuation of $(w, D(z_0, \epsilon))$ along the path $l_1 \sim l_2 \sim \dots \sim l_T$, will yield a function element $(w_1, D(z_0, \epsilon))$ where $w_1 = T_{l_1, \dots, l_T} \circ w$, and T_{l_1, \dots, l_T} is obtained as a product of $T_{n,i}$'s and $T_{n,i}$'s with $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k_n$.

By the Monodromy Theorem, since γ and $l_1 \sim l_2 \sim \dots \sim l_T$ are homotopic, the following function elements are equal: $(T \circ w, D(z_0, \epsilon)) = (T_{l_1, \dots, l_T} \circ w, D(z_0, \epsilon))$, that is T is in the group generated by $\{T_{n,i}\}$. \square

5. The singularities of $A(z)$ at S

Let $w = w(z)$ be an algebraoid function of order n with ramifications over a discrete set of points $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ and assume that its Schwarzian derivative $S_w(p)$ at a point $p \in X_F$ satisfies

$$S_w(p) = \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)' - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)^2 = \frac{w'''(z)}{w'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{w''(z)}{w'(z)} \right)^2 = 2A(z),$$

where $z = P(p)$, that is, the value of the Schwarzian derivative is the same for all the branches w_1, \dots, w_n and it only depends on $P(p)$.

In this section we shall study the behaviour of $A(z)$ at a point $a \in S$, that is, at a point which is the projection of some ramification point $p \in R_F$ of X_F . At such a point the branches group in cycles, the branches corresponding to such a cycle have power series expansions of the form (see K. Hensel und Landsberg [4, Kap.5, page 77])

$$w_i(z) = c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k} + c_{-(l-1)}(z-a)^{-(l-1)/k} + \dots + c_0 + c_1(z-a)^{1/k} + \dots$$

where $l < k$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_i'(z) &= -\frac{l}{k}c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-1} - \frac{(l-1)}{k}(z-a)^{-(l-1)/k-1} + \dots, \\ w_i''(z) &= \frac{l}{k}\left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right)c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-2} + \frac{(l-1)}{k}\left(\frac{l-1}{k}+1\right)(z-a)^{-(l-1)/k-2} + \dots, \\ w_i'''(z) &= -\frac{l}{k}\left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right)\left(\frac{l}{k}+2\right)c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-3} \\ &\quad - \frac{(l-1)}{k}\left(\frac{l-1}{k}+1\right)\left(\frac{l-1}{k}+2\right)(z-a)^{-(l-1)/k-3} + \dots, \end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that, for p in a neighbourhood of this branch point, it holds

$$\begin{aligned} S_w(p) &= \frac{w_i'''(z)}{w_i'(z)} - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{w_i''(z)}{w_i'(z)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{-\frac{l}{k}\left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right)\left(\frac{l}{k}+2\right)c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-3} \cdot \left[1 + O(z-a)^{1/k}\right]}{-\frac{l}{k}c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-1} \cdot \left[1 + O(z-a)^{1/k}\right]} \\ &\quad - \frac{3}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{-\frac{l}{k}\left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right)c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-2} \cdot \left[1 + O(z-a)^{1/k}\right]}{-\frac{l}{k}c_{-l}(z-a)^{-l/k-1} \cdot \left[1 + O(z-a)^{1/k}\right]} \right)^2 \\ &= \left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right)\left(\frac{l}{k}+2\right)(z-a)^{-2}(1+o(1)) - \frac{3}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right)^2 (z-a)^{-2}(1+o(1)) \\ &= \left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right) \left[\left(\frac{l}{k}+2\right) - \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right) \right] (z-a)^{-2} + o(z-a)^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

That is, at a point $a \in S$, since $\left(\frac{l}{k}+2\right) - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{l}{k}+1\right) = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{l}{k}-1\right)$, we obtain the

coefficient of $(z - a)^{-2}$ at the Laurent expansion, namely $\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{l}{k}\right)^2\right)$, and therefore that of $A(z)$ will be $\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{l}{k}\right)^2\right)$, where $\frac{l}{k} \neq \pm 1$, since we are assuming p to be a ramification point.

We conclude that the singularity of the Schwarzian derivative of an algebraic function $w = w(z)$ at a point $a \in \mathbb{C}$, which is the projection of a ramification point of X_F , should be a pole of order 2 and the coefficient γ_{-2} of the term $(z - a)^{-2}$ should be of the particular form $\gamma_{-2} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{l}{k}\right)^2\right)$, $l, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $l < k$, what implies $\gamma_{-2} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $0 < \gamma_{-2} < 1$.

REMARK 5.1. It follows that for an algebraic function with uniform Schwarzian derivative, the cycles associated to all the ramification points with the same projection should have the same length l .

EXAMPLE 5.2. The multivalued function $w(z) = z^{i\sqrt{3}} = e^{i\sqrt{3}\ln z}$ has the successive derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} w'(z) &= i\sqrt{3} \cdot z^{i\sqrt{3}-1}, \quad w''(z) = i\sqrt{3} \cdot (i\sqrt{3} - 1) \cdot z^{i\sqrt{3}-2}, \\ w'''(z) &= i\sqrt{3} \cdot (i\sqrt{3} - 1) \cdot (i\sqrt{3} - 2) \cdot z^{i\sqrt{3}-3}, \end{aligned}$$

so that we obtain for the Schwarzian derivative

$$\begin{aligned} S_w(z) &= (i\sqrt{3} - 1) \cdot (i\sqrt{3} - 2) \cdot z^{-2} - \frac{3}{2} (i\sqrt{3} - 1)^2 \cdot z^{-2} \\ &= (i\sqrt{3} - 1) \left[i\sqrt{3} - 2 - \frac{3}{2} (i\sqrt{3} - 1) \right] \cdot z^{-2} = (i\sqrt{3} - 1) \left(-\frac{i\sqrt{3}}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \cdot z^{-2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (i\sqrt{3} - 1) (i\sqrt{3} + 1) \cdot z^{-2} - \frac{1}{2} (-3 - 1) = 2z^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

In this case $\gamma_{-2} = 2$ and the Schwarzian derivative comes from the infinitely many valued $z^{i\sqrt{3}}$ which is clearly not an algebraic.

EXAMPLE 5.3. One further example is yielded by the algebraic equation $w^6 = z^2(z-1)^3$. In this case the corresponding algebraic function $w = w(z)$ gives rise to a uniform Schwarzian derivative $S_w(z) = 2A(z)$ with two poles at $z = 0$ and $z = 1$ and whose respective developments around these points have the corresponding terms $\frac{\gamma_{-2}}{z^2} = \frac{1/3}{z^2}$, $\frac{\gamma_{-2}}{z^2} = \frac{1/2}{z^2}$, that is $\gamma_{-2} = \frac{1}{3}$, $\gamma_{-2} = \frac{1}{2}$ at the points $z = 0$, $z = 1$ respectively.

6. The reciprocal question

In Section 5 we have shown that given an algebraic function $w(z)$ for which the Schwarzian derivative $S_w(p)$ at a given point $p \in X_F$ depends only on the projection $z = P(p)$, say $S_w(p) = 2A(z)$, the projection $a = P(p)$ of a branch point $p \in X_F$,

the function $A(z)$, has a pole of order 2 with Laurent expansion of the form

$$A(z) = \frac{\frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{l}{k}\right)^2\right)}{(z-a)^2} + \frac{b_1}{z-a} + b_2 + b_3(z-a) + \dots \quad (5)$$

Now we consider the *reciprocal* question—given a meromorphic function $A(z)$ with Laurent expansion of type (5) at its poles, can we find an algebroid function $w(z)$ with Schwarzian derivative $S_w(p)$ satisfying

$$S_w(p) = 2A(z), \quad (6)$$

where $z = P(p)$?

The question can be solved locally making use of the following lemma which is an adaptation to our situation of [6, Lemma 6.6] and bearing in mind Theorem 2.1.

LEMMA 6.1. *Suppose that $h(z)$ is analytic in*

$$B(z_0, R) = \{z \mid |z - z_0| < R\},$$

where $R > 0$ and consider the differential equation

$$f'' + \frac{h(z)}{(z - z_0)^2} \cdot f = 0, \quad (7)$$

in $B(z_0, R)$. Let ρ_1, ρ_2 be the roots of $\rho(\rho - 1) + h(z_0) = 0$, assuming that $\rho_1 > \rho_2$, $\rho_1 - \rho_2 < 1$. Then (7) admits in some disc $B(z_0, r)$, $r \leq R$ two linearly independent solutions f_1, f_2 of the form

$$\begin{cases} f_1(z) = (z - z_0)^{\rho_1} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^1 (z - z_0)^i, & c_0^1 \neq 0 \\ f_2(z) = (z - z_0)^{\rho_2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^2 (z - z_0)^i, & c_0^2 \neq 0. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Proof. It is clear that two solutions as in (8) should be linearly independent.

First of all we remark that the functions $f_1(z)$ and $f_2(z)$ will be multivalued so as their quotient is $\frac{f_1(z)}{f_2(z)} = (z - z_0)^{\rho_1 - \rho_2} \cdot g(z)$, $g(z)$ analytic.

The idea of the proof is in [6], we shall proceed in the same way for both values ρ_1, ρ_2 and obtain the corresponding coefficients c_i^1, c_i^2 in a recursive way. Let ρ be one of the values and c_i the corresponding coefficients.

That is, we are looking for a function with power series expansion of the form

$$f(z) = (z - z_0)^\rho \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i (z - z_0)^i. \quad (9)$$

We assume the Taylor expansion of $h(z)$ to be

$$h(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i (z - z_0)^i. \quad (10)$$

Substituting (9) and (10) into (7) we obtain

$$(\rho + n)(\rho + n - 1) + \sum_{i=0}^n b_i c_{n-i} = 0, \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, \dots \quad (11)$$

We introduce the notation

$$\begin{cases} \varphi_0(\rho) = \rho(\rho - 1) + b_0 = \rho(\rho - 1) + h(z_0), \\ \varphi_i(\rho) = b_i, \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

From (11), making use of the notation (12), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} c_0\varphi_0(\rho) = 0 \\ c_1\varphi_0(\rho + 1) + c_0\varphi_1(\rho) = 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ c_n\varphi_0(\rho + n) + c_{n-1}(\rho + n - 1) + \dots + c_1\varphi_{n-1}(\rho + 1) + c_0\varphi_n(\rho) = 0. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Once we have fixed a value $c_0 \neq 0$, the first equality gives the indicial equation which has the roots ρ_1, ρ_2 which by our hypotheses satisfy $\rho_1 - \rho_2 < 1$ and therefore $\varphi_0(\rho_1 + n) \neq 0, \varphi_0(\rho_2 + n) \neq 0$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ and therefore (13) determines recursively the coefficients c_i .

The convergence of the series obtained in this way is proved in I. Laine [6]. \square

In our case the indicial equation is

$$\rho(\rho - 1) + \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \left(\frac{l}{k} \right)^2 \right) = 0, \quad \text{that is } \rho(\rho - 1) + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{l}{k} \right)^2,$$

which can be written as

$$\left[\left(\rho - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \right] \cdot \left[\left(\rho - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \right] + \frac{1}{4} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{l}{k} \right)^2,$$

whence
$$\left(\rho - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{l}{k} \right)^2,$$

that is
$$\rho_1 = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{l}{k}, \quad \rho_2 = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{l}{k},$$

so that
$$\rho_1 - \rho_2 = \frac{l}{k} < 1,$$

and hence we get that the hypotheses of Lemma 6.1 are satisfied. Finally, we obtain a local solution of (6) of the form $w(z) = (z - z_0)^{l/k} \cdot g(z)$, where $g(z)$ is analytic and $g(z_0) \neq 0$.

7. A partial answer to the problem in Section 3

The following theorem yields a partial answer to the problem in Section 3. More precisely, it describes the analytic algebroid functions of a given order k with uniform Schwarzian derivative under the additional assumption of the existence of a ramification point of maximal order k .

Example 5.3 shows that this additional hypothesis is not satisfied by every algebroid function with uniform Schwarzian derivative.

THEOREM 7.1. *Let $w = w(z)$ be an algebroid function of order k with a uniform Schwarzian derivative $2A(z)$, that is*

$$S_w(p) = 2A(z), \quad (14)$$

for $p \in X$, X —the associated Riemann surface, with $z = P(p)$, and such that $w(z)$ has a ramification point a of maximal order k . Then the algebroid function $w(z)$ is of the form

$$w(z) = T \circ (e(z) \cdot w_L(z)), \quad (15)$$

where $e(z)$ is an entire function, T is a Möbius transformation and $w_L(z)$ will be an algebroid function as described in Example 3.1, i.e. $w_L(z)$ is defined by an equation of the form

$$w^k - L(z) = 0, \text{ where } L(z) = \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} E_{p_i} \left(\frac{z}{a_i} \right).$$

Proof. Let $D(a, r_a)$ be a disc centered at a , where a is a ramification point of order k of $w(z)$ and therefore a pole of order 2 of $2A(z)$ with coefficient γ_{-2} of $(z-a)^{-2}$ in its Laurent expansion around a equal to $\frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)^2\right)$. Let us assume that $D(a, r_a)$ does not contain any other ramification point of $w(z)$, that is, it does not contain any other pole of $A(z)$. By the results in Section 6 and as a consequence of Lemma 6.1 there exists a solution $w_a(z)$ of (14), which we can assume to be defined in $D(a, r_a)$, of the form $w_a(z) = (z-a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot g_a(z)$, where $g_a(z)$ is a uniform analytic function with $g_a(a) \neq 0$.

We can assume that $w(a) = 0$ just by application of a Möbius transformation and then since both functions $w(z)$ and $w_a(z)$ have at a a ramification point of order k , the function $w \circ w_a^{-1}(z)$ is a uniform analytic function $T(z)$ in $D(a, r_a)$. It should be a Möbius transformation since both functions have the same Schwarzian derivative. Therefore, by application again of a Möbius transformation, we can assume that

$$w(z) = (z-a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot g_a(z) \quad (16)$$

in $D(a, r_a)$, where $g_a(z)$ is a uniform analytic function with $g_a(a) \neq 0$.

Let us enumerate the rest of ramification points a_n according to increasing moduli and in case of equality according to increasing argument. For each a_n there exists a function element $(w_{a_n}(z), D(a_n, r_{a_n}))$ solution of (14) and such that

$$w_{a_n}(z) = (z-a_n)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_n}(z), \quad (17)$$

where $g_{a_n}(z)$ is a uniform analytic function with $g_{a_n}(a_n) \neq 0$.

We take now a non-selfintersecting path γ_1 outside the set of projections of ramification points of $w(z)$, that is, outside the set of poles of $A(z)$, joining a point $\zeta \in D^*(a, r_a)$, the punctured disc $D(a, r_a) \setminus \{a\}$, where $\zeta \neq a$, and a point $\zeta_1 \in D^*(a_1, r_{a_1}) = D^*(a_1, r_{a_1}) \setminus \{a_1\}$, $\zeta_1 \neq a_1$. Let $\Delta(\zeta, r_\zeta) \subset D^*(a, r_a)$ be a disc centered at ζ and let $\Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1}) \subset D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$ be a disc centered at ζ_1 . In $\Delta(\zeta, r_\zeta)$, we can consider the function element $(w(z), \Delta(\zeta, r_\zeta))$ and we can continue it analytically along γ_1 up to ζ_1 and obtain clearly the function element $(w(z), \Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1}))$. We can further continue this function element inside $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$. On the other hand, a k/l_1 -valued

function $w_{a_1}(z)$ is defined in $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$ by (17), that is $w_{a_1}(z) = (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)$.

Since the function element $(w(z), \Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1}))$ and the function element $(w_{a_1}(z), \Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1}))$ have the same Schwarzian derivative, they are related by a Möbius transformation, say $T_{a_1}(z)$, in such a way that

$$w(z) = T_{a_1} \circ w_{a_1}(z), \quad (18)$$

in $\Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1})$.

By analytic continuation of $w_{a_1}(z)$ to the whole $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$ we deduce that the relation (18) is valid in the whole $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$. Both functions $w(z)$ and $w_{a_1}(z)$ are k/l_1 -valued functions there, and the relation $w(a_1) = T_{a_1}(0)$ holds.

Let $T_{a_1}(z) = \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \lambda}$, so that

$$w(z) = \frac{\alpha (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \beta}{\gamma (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda}, \quad (19)$$

for any $z \in D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$, assuming the values

$$w(z) = \frac{\alpha \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \beta}{\gamma \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda}, \quad s = l_1, 2l_1, \dots, k - l_1. \quad (20)$$

In particular, this will happen for any $z \in \Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1})$ so that each of these values should be the analytic continuation along γ_1 of different branches of $w(z)$ in $\Delta(\zeta, r_\zeta)$; but all these branches differ by a k -root of unity, so that the corresponding continuations (20) should also differ by a k -root of unity.

We conclude that a relation of the type

$$e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot w(z) = \frac{\alpha \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \beta}{\gamma \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda}, \quad (21)$$

should also hold for $z \in \Delta(\zeta_1, r_{\zeta_1})$ and we obtain from (19) and (21)

$$e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \frac{\alpha (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \beta}{\gamma (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda} = \frac{\alpha \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \beta}{\gamma \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda}, \quad (22)$$

and working out this equality,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \alpha (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \beta \right) \cdot \left(\gamma \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda \right) \\ &= \left(\gamma (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \lambda \right) \cdot \left(\alpha \cdot e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + \beta \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

From (23) we obtain $e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \beta \cdot \lambda = \beta \cdot \lambda$, and since $e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \neq 1$, we conclude that either $\beta = 0$ or $\lambda = 0$.

Let us assume first $\lambda = 0$; then we obtain from (22)

$$e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\gamma} (z - a_1)^{-\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)^{-1} + e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \cdot e^{-\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \frac{\beta}{\gamma} (z - a_1)^{-\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)^{-1} + \frac{\alpha}{\gamma},$$

whence we conclude $e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = \frac{\alpha}{\gamma}$, and arguing as above we obtain $\frac{\alpha}{\gamma} = 0$ and also

$\alpha = 0$. In this case we should have $T_{a_1}(z) = \frac{\beta}{\gamma z}$, so that for $z \in D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$

$$w(z) = T_{a_1} \circ \left((z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) \right) = \frac{\beta}{\gamma} \cdot (z - a_1)^{-\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)^{-1}. \quad (24)$$

If we assume $\beta = 0$ we obtain from (23)

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{2\pi(t+s)}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{2l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)^2 + e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) \\ &= e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{2l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)^2 + e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z), \end{aligned}$$

and cancelling the factor $(z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)$ we come to

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{\frac{2\pi(t+s)}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda \\ &= e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) + e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda, \end{aligned}$$

whence, on one hand we should have $e^{\frac{2\pi t}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda = e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \lambda$, so that $t = s$. On the other hand, $e^{\frac{2\pi(t+s)}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) = e^{\frac{2\pi s}{k}i} \cdot \alpha \cdot \gamma \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z)$, whence it must follow $\alpha \cdot \gamma = 0$ and it is clear that from $\beta = 0$, it cannot happen $\alpha = 0$ so that we conclude $\gamma = 0$.

We should have in this case $T_{a_1}(z) = \frac{\alpha z}{\lambda}$, so that for $z \in D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$,

$$w(z) = T_{a_1} \circ \left((z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z) \right) = \frac{\alpha}{\lambda} \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot g_{a_1}(z). \quad (25)$$

The possibility (24) should be excluded since we are assuming that $w(z)$ has no poles and therefore in $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$, (25) must be the right relation.

We conclude from this fact and (16) that the analytic continuation of $w(z) = (z - a_1)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot g_a(z)$ should also vanish at a_1 , that is, the analytic continuation of $g_a(z)$ to $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$ vanishes at a_1 and can be factorized as

$$g_a(z) = (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot e_{a_1}(z), \quad (26)$$

where $e_{a_1}(z)$ is a uniform analytic function in $D(a_1, r_{a_1})$ with $e_{a_1}(a_1) \neq 0$.

We have obtained a cycle of k/l_1 branches of $w(z)$ at $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$ as analytic continuations of corresponding k/l_1 branches of $w(z)$ at $D^*(a, r_a)$. If we now start with one of the remaining branches of $w(z)$ in $\Delta(\zeta, r_\zeta)$, that is, one of the branches not corresponding with any of the branches of the obtained cycle in $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$, and proceed again by analytic continuation along γ_1 , we should obtain a new and disjoint cycle of k/l_1 branches at $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$ of $w(z)$. And proceeding in this way until we have all the branches of $w(z)$ in $D^*(a, r_a)$, we should finally have the k -branches of $w(z)$ in $D^*(a, r_a)$, corresponding by analytic continuation along γ_1 with the k branches of $w(z)$ in $D^*(a_1, r_{a_1})$, grouped in cycles of k/l_1 branches each.

By the representation (26) and by (16), $w(z) = (z - a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot e_{a_1}(z)$, will be valid in every simply connected domain excluding the remaining ramification points, that is, a_n for $n \geq 2$ $A(\gamma_1, a, a_1)$ and such that

$$A(\gamma_1, a, a_1) \supset \gamma_1^* \cup D^*(a, r_a) \cup D^*(a_1, r_{a_1}).$$

Now, we may start at any point $z \in A(\gamma_1, a, a_1)$, considering a particular branch of $w(z)$, and proceed by analytic continuation along a non-selfintersecting arc γ_2 joining

z and a point $\zeta_2 \in D^*(a_2, r_{a_2})$. Arguing with γ_2 , $w(z)$ and $w_{a_2}(z)$ as we did before with γ_1 , $w(z)$ and $w_{a_1}(z)$, we conclude that $w(z)$ can be represented in every simply connected $A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, a, a_1, a_2)$ excluding the remaining ramification points, that is, a_n for $n \geq 3$ and such that

$$A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, a, a_1, a_2) \supset \gamma_2^* \cup D^*(a_2, r_{a_2}) \cup A(\gamma_1, a, a_1),$$

in the form $w(z) = (z - a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_2)^{\frac{l_2}{k}} \cdot e_{1,2}(z)$, where $e_{1,2}(z)$ is a uniform analytic function in $A(\gamma_1, \gamma_2, a, a_1, a_2)$.

We have ordered the a_n 's according to increasing moduli and now proceeding inductively we obtain for a given $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ a representation of $w(z)$ of the form

$$w(z) = (z - a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_2)^{\frac{l_2}{k}} \cdots (z - a_{n_0})^{\frac{l_{n_0}}{k}} \cdot e_{1,2,\dots,n_0}(z), \quad (27)$$

in every simply connected domain containing the points a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n_0} and excluding a_n for $n > n_0$ and where $e_{1,2,\dots,n_0}(z)$ is a uniform analytic function in that domain.

Now let $r > 0$, such that $r > |a|$ and $r \neq |a_n|$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n_0} be all the a_n 's with $|a_n| < r$. Then the representation (27) is also valid in $D(0, r)$.

Finally, if we consider an algebroid equation of the form

$$w^k = L(z) = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{p_n} \left(\frac{z}{a_n} \right),$$

where the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is formed by the a_n 's but each a_n repeated l_n times, we obtain an algebroid function $w_L(z)$ of order k as that in the example. If we consider the restriction of this algebroid function to $D(0, r)$, we obtain a function of the form

$$w_L(z) = (z - a)^{\frac{1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_1)^{\frac{l_1}{k}} \cdot (z - a_2)^{\frac{l_2}{k}} \cdots (z - a_{n_0})^{\frac{l_{n_0}}{k}} \cdot g(z),$$

where $g(z)$ is a uniform non-vanishing analytic function in $D(0, r)$. Therefore, we obtain

$$\frac{w(z)}{w_L(z)} = \frac{e_{1,2,\dots,n_0}(z)}{g(z)},$$

that is

$$w(z) = w_L(z) \cdot e(z), \quad (28)$$

where $e(z)$ is a uniform analytic function in $D(0, r)$.

Since $r > 0$ can be taken arbitrarily large, we conclude that the relation (28) is true in the entire plane and $e(z)$ is an entire function.

Since we modified $w(z)$ by a Möbius transformation initially, we conclude the relation $w(z) = T \circ (w_L(z) \cdot e(z))$ holds, which is (15). \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author was partially supported by MICINN SPAIN grant 106870GB-100.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory Vol. I*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York 1976.
- [2] E. Hille, *Analytic Function Theory Vol. II*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York 1987.
- [3] E. Hille, *Ordinary Differential Equations in the Complex Plane*, Wiley, New York-London-Sydney 1976.

- [4] K. Hensel, G. Landsberg, *Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen*, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York 1965.
- [5] H. Herold, *Differentialgleichungen in Komplexen*, Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, Göttingen 1975.
- [6] I.L. Laine, *Nevanlinna Theory and Complex Differential Equations*, De Gruyter studies in Mathematics 15, 1993.
- [7] I.L. Laine, T. Sorvali. *Local solutions of $w'' + A(z)w = 0$ and branched functions*, Resultate Math. **10** (1986), 107–109.
- [8] S. Lang, W. Cherry, *Topics in Nevanlinna Theory*, L.N. in Mathematics **1433**, Springer Verlag. 1990.
- [9] Z. Nehari, *Some function-theoretic aspects of linear second-order differential equations*, J. Analyse Math. **18** (1967), 259–276.
- [10] H.L. Selberg, *Untersuchungen über die Theorie der algebraischen Funktionen und ihre Abelsche Integrale*, Avh. Norske Vid.-Akad. Oslo, Mat.-naturvid. Kl., **8** (1934).

(received 10.07.2019; in revised form 18.01.2021; available online 27.12.2021)

Departamento de Matemáticas Fundamentales, Facultad de Ciencias, UNED, Madrid 28040, Spain

E-mail: afernan@mat.uned.es