MATEMATIČKI VESNIK MATEMATИЧКИ ВЕСНИК 71, 3 (2019), 250–262 September 2019

research paper оригинални научни рад

n-ARY 2-ABSORBING AND 2-ABSORBING PRIMARY HYPERIDEALS IN KRASNER (m, n)-HYPERRINGS

M. Anbarloei

Abstract. Let R be a commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperring with the scalar identity 1_R . In this paper, we introduce and study the concept of n-ary 2-absorbing and 2-absorbing primary hyperideals of R. These concepts are a generalisation of n-ary prime and primary hyperideals.

1. Introduction

The theory of hyperstructures is a well established branch in classical algebraic theory. Since 1934, when Marty [14] introduced for the first time the notion of a hypergroup, the Hyperstructure Theory has had applications to several domains, for instance graphs and hypergraphs, non-Euclidean geometry, lattices, binary relations, cryptography, automata, artificial intelligence, codes, probabilities etc (see [5-7, 18]). Recently, Davvaz and Vougiouklis have introduced and studied a nice generalization of a hypergroup which is called an *n*-hypergroup [8].

n-ary semigroups and *n*-ary groups are algebras with one *n*-ary operation which is associative and invertible in a generalized sense. The investigations of *n*-ary algebras go back to Krasner's lecture [11] at the 53rd annual meeting of the American Association of the Advancement of Science in 1904. But the first paper concerning the theory of *n*-ary groups was written by Dörente in 1928 [9]. Afterward, the (m, n)-rings and their quotient structure were introduced by Crombez and Timm in [3,4]. The concept of an *n*-ary hypergroup was defined by Davvaz and Vougiouklis in [8], which is a generalization of the concept of a hypergroup in the sense of Marty and a generalization of an *n*-ary group, too. The notation of (m, n)-hyperrings was defined by Mirvakili and Davvaz [15] and they obtained (m, n)-rings from (m, n)-hyperrings by using fundamental relations. For more study on *n*-ary structures and *n*-ary hyperstructures refer to [12, 13, 16].

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification:\ 16Y99$

Keywords and phrases: n-ary prime hyperideal; n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal; n-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideal; Krasner (m, n)-hyperring.

The concept of 2-absorbing ideals, in ordinary algebra, was introduced by A. Badawi, in [2]. In 2017, Davvaz et al. introduced the concept of 2-absorbing fuzzy ideals and 2-absorbing primary fuzzy ideals in commutative rings [17]. In [10], the notion of (k, n)-absorbing hyperideals was studied in Krasner (m, n)-hyperirings by Hila et al.

In this paper, we aim to introduce and study the notion of n-ary 2-absorbing and n-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideals in Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings. The concept is a generalisation of n-ary prime and primary hyperideals which were studied by R. Ameri in [1].

Among the results in this paper, it is shown (Theorem 3.6) that there are at most two *n*-ary prime hyperideals of (R, f, g) that are minimal over an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal I of R. It is shown (Theorem 3.8) that if I is an *n*-ary primary hyperideal of a commutative Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R such that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$ for some *n*-ary prime hyperideal P of R, then I is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R if and only if $g(P^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$. In Section 4, we investigate the stability of *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideals in some hyperring-theoretic constructions. In Section 5, we introduce and study the concept of *n*-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideals.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we recall some definitions and results concerning n-ary hyperstructures which we will use later.

A mapping $f: H^n \longrightarrow P^*(H)$ is called an *n*-ary hyperoperation, where $P^*(H)$ is the set of all the non-empty subsets of H. An algebraic system (H, f), where f is an *n*-ary hyperoperation defined on H, is called an *n*-ary hypergroupoid.

We shall use the following abbreviated notation: The sequence $x_i, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_j$ will be denoted by x_i^j . For $j \prec i$, x_i^j is the empty symbol. With this convention $f(x_1, \ldots, x_i, y_{i+1}, \ldots, y_j, z_{j+1}, \ldots, z_n)$ will be written as $f(x_1^i, y_{i+1}^j, z_{j+1}^n)$. In the case when $y_{i+1} = \ldots = y_j = y$ the last expression will be written in the form $f(x_1^i, y_{j-i}^{(j-i)}, z_{j+1}^n)$.

For non-empty subsets A_1, \ldots, A_n of H we define $f(A_1^n) = f(A_1, \ldots, A_n) = \bigcup\{f(x_1^n) \mid x_i \in A_i, i = 1, \ldots, n\}$. An *n*-ary hyperoperation f is called *associative* if $f(x_1^{i-1}, f(x_i^{n+i-1}), x_{n+i}^{2n-1}) = f(x_1^{j-1}, f(x_j^{n+j-1}), x_{n+j}^{2n-1})$ holds for every $1 \le i < j \le n$ and all $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{2n-1} \in H$. An *n*-ary hypergroupoid with the associative *n*-ary hyperoperation is called an *n*-ary semihypergroup.

An *n*-ary hypergroupoid (H, f) in which the equation $b \in f(a_1^{i-1}, x_i, a_{i+1}^n)$ has a solution $x_i \in H$ for every $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, b \in H$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$ is called an *n*-ary quasihypergroup. When (H, f) is an *n*-ary semihypergroup, (H, f) is called an *n*-ary hypergroup.

An *n*-ary hypergroupoid (H, f) is *commutative* if for all $\sigma \in S_n$, the group of all permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, ..., n\}$, and for every $a_1^n \in H$ we have $f(a_1, ..., a_n) =$

 $f(a_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,a_{\sigma(n)})$. If an $a_1^n \in H$ we denote $a_{\sigma(1)}^{\sigma(n)}$ as the $(a_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,a_{\sigma(n)})$. We assume throughout this paper that all Krasner (m,n)-hyperrings are commutative.

If f is an n-ary hyperoperation and t = l(n-1) + 1, then t-ary hyperoperation $f_{(l)}$ is given by $f_{(l)}(x_1^{l(n-1)+1}) = f(f(\dots, f(f(x_1^n), x_{n+1}^{2n-1}), \dots), x_{(l-1)(n-1)+1}^{l(n-1)+1}).$

DEFINITION 2.1 ([15]). Let (H, f) be an *n*-ary hypergroup and *B* be a non-empty subset of *H*. *B* is called an *n*-ary subhypergroup of (H, f) if $f(x_1^n) \subseteq B$ for $x_1^n \in B$, and the equation $b \in f(b_1^{i-1}, x_i, b_{i+1}^n)$ has a solution $x_i \in B$ for every $b_1^{i-1}, b_{i+1}^n, b \in$ *B* and $1 \leq i \leq n$. An element $e \in H$ is called a scalar neutral element if $x = f(e^{(i-1)}, x, e^{(n-i)})$, for every $1 \leq i \leq n$ and for every $x \in H$.

An element 0 of an *n*-ary semihypergroup (H,g) is called a *zero element* if for every $x_2^n \in H$ we have $g(0, x_2^n) = g(x_2, 0, x_3^n) = \ldots = g(x_2^n, 0) = 0$. If 0 and 0' are two zero elements, then $0 = g(0', 0^{(n-1)}) = 0'$ and so the zero element is unique.

DEFINITION 2.2 ([12]). Let (H, f) be a *n*-ary hypergroup. (H, f) is called a *canonical n*-ary hypergroup if

(i) there exists a unique $e \in H$, such that for every $x \in H$, $f(x, e^{(n-1)}) = x$;

(ii) for all $x \in H$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in H$, such that $e \in f(x, x^{-1}, e^{(n-2)})$;

(iii) if $x \in f(x_1^n)$, then for all *i*, we have $x_i \in f(x, x^{-1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}^{-1}, x_{i+1}^{-1}, \ldots, x_n^{-1})$. We say that *e* is the scalar identity of (H, f) and x^{-1} is the inverse of *x*. Notice that the inverse of *e* is *e*.

DEFINITION 2.3 ([15]). A Krasner (m, n)-hyperring is an algebraic hyperstructure (R, f, g) which satisfies the following axioms:

(i) (R, f) is a canonical *m*-ary hypergroup;

(ii) (R, g) is a *n*-ary semigroup;

(iii) the *n*-ary operation *g* is distributive with respect to the *m*-ary hyperoperation *f*, i.e., for every $a_1^{i-1}, a_{i+1}^n, x_1^m \in R$, and $1 \le i \le n$, $g(a_1^{i-1}, f(x_1^m), a_{i+1}^n) = f(g(a_1^{i-1}, x_1, a_{i+1}^n), \dots, g(a_1^{i-1}, x_m, a_{i+1}^n));$

(iv) 0 is a zero element (absorbing element) of the *n*-ary operation g, i.e., for every $x_2^n \in R$ we have $g(0, x_2^n) = g(x_2, 0, x_3^n) = \ldots = g(x_2^n, 0) = 0$.

A non-empty subset S of R is called a subhyperring of R if (S, f, g) is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring. Let I be a non-empty subset of R, we say that I is a hyperideal of (R, f, g) if (I, f) is an m-ary subhypergroup of (R, f) and $g(x_1^{i-1}, I, x_{i+1}^n) \subseteq I$, for every $x_1^n \in R$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$.

DEFINITION 2.4 ([1]). A hyperideal P of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g), such that $P \neq R$, is called an *n*-ary prime hyperideal if for hyperideals U_1, \ldots, U_n of R, $g(U_1^n) \subseteq P$ implies that $U_1 \subseteq P$ or $U_2 \subseteq P$ or \ldots or $U_n \subseteq P$.

LEMMA 2.5 ([1, Lemma 4.5]). Let $P \neq R$ be a hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g). Then P is an n-ary prime hyperideal if for all $x_1^n \in R$, $g(x_1^n) \in P \Longrightarrow x_1 \in P$ or ... or $x_n \in P$.

DEFINITION 2.6 ([1]). Let I be a hyperideal in a (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with scalar identity. The radical (or nilradical) of I, denoted by $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is the hyperideal $\bigcap P$, where the intersection is taken over all *n*-ary prime hyperideals P which contain I. If the set of all *n*-ary hyperideals containing I is empty, then $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is defined to be R.

Ameri and Norouzi [1] showed that if $x \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, or $g_{(l)}(x^{(t)}) \in I$ for t = l(n-1) + 1.

DEFINITION 2.7 ([1]). A hyperideal $Q \neq R$ in a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R is said to be *n*-ary primary if $g(x_1^n) \in Q$ and $x_i \notin Q$ implies that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_R, x_{i+1}^n) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$.

If Q is an n-ary primary hyperideal in a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R , then $\sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ is n-ary prime. (see [1, Theorem 4.28])

DEFINITION 2.8 ([1]). Let S be a hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g). Then the set $R/S = \{f(x_1^{i-1}, S, x_{i+1}^m) \mid x_1^{i-1}, x_{i+1}^m \in R\}$ endowed with *m*-ary hyperoperation f such that for all $x_{11}^{1m}, \ldots, x_{m1}^{mm} \in R$,

$$f(f(x_{11}^{1(i-1)}, S, x_{1(i+1)}^{1m}), \dots, f(x_{m1}^{m(i-1)}, S, x_{m(i+1)}^{mm}))$$

= $f(f(x_{11}^{m1}), \dots, f(x_{1(i-1)}^{m(i-1)}), S, f(x_{1(i+1)}^{m(i+1)}), \dots, f(x_{1m}^{mm}))$

and with *n*-ary hyperoperation g such that for all $x_{11}^{1m}, \ldots, x_{n1}^{nm} \in R$,

$$g(f(x_{11}^{1(i-1)}, S, x_{1(i+1)}^{1m}), \dots, f(x_{n1}^{n(i-1)}, S, x_{n(i+1)}^{nm}))$$

= $f(g(x_{11}^{n1}), \dots, g(x_{1(i-1)}^{n(i-1)}), S, g(x_{1(i+1)}^{n(i+1)}), \dots, f(x_{1m}^{nm}))$

construct a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring, and (R/S, f, g) is called the quotient Krasner (m, n)-hyperring of R by S.

DEFINITION 2.9 ([15]). Let (R_1, f_1, g_1) and (R_2, f_2, g_2) be two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings. A mapping $\phi : R_1 \longrightarrow R_2$ is called a homomorphism if for all $x_1^m \in R_1$ and $y_1^n \in R_1$ we have $\phi(f_1(x_1, \ldots, x_m)) = f_2(\phi(x_1), \ldots, \phi(x_m)) \phi(g_1(y_1, \ldots, y_n)) = g_2(\phi(y_1), \ldots, \phi(y_n))$.

3. n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideals in a Krasner (m,n)-hyperring

DEFINITION 3.1. A nonzero proper hyperideal I of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R is said to be *n*-ary 2-absorbing if for $x_1^n \in R$, $g(x_1^n) \in I$ implies that $g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ for some $1 \leq i \prec j \leq n$.

EXAMPLE 3.2. Let (R, +, .) be a Krasner hyperring in which the operation "." is the ordinary multiplication and let R be a hyperintegral domain (for more details refer to [19]). Then R endowed with the following m-ary hyperoperation f and n-ary

operation g is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring: $f(x_1^m) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i$ and $g(x_1^n) = x_1 \dots x_n$. In the Krasner (m, n)-hyperring, the hyperideal $\{0\}$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal.

By [1, Example 4.2], the Krasner (m, n)-hyperring R is an n-ary hyperintegral domain. Thus if $g(x_1^n) \in \{0\}$ for some $x_1^n \in R$, then there exist $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_i = 0$. Hence for all $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $i \neq j$, we have $g(0, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) = 0$. Therefore $\{0\}$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal.

THEOREM 3.3. Let P_1 and P_2 be two n-ary prime hyperideals of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R . Then $P_1 \cap P_2$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R.

Proof. Assume that $x_1^n \in R$ such that $g(x_1^n) \in P_1 \cap P_2$. If $x_i \in P_1 \cap P_2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in P_1 \cap P_2$ for every $j, 1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $i \neq j$. Thus we are done. Since P_1 is an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of R and $g(x_1^n) \in P_1$, we conclude that $x_1 \in P_1$ or \ldots or $x_n \in P_1$. Without losing the generality, we may assume that $x_i \in P_1$ and $x_i \notin P_2$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since P_2 is an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of R, we have $x_1 \in P_2$ or \ldots or $x_{i-1} \in P_2$ or $x_{i-1} \in P_2$ or \ldots or $x_n \in P_2$. Without losing the generality, we may assume that $x_j \in P_1 \cap P_2$. Hence $P_1 \cap P_2$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R. \Box

THEOREM 3.4. Suppose that I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R . Then $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of (R, f, g) and $g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ for every $x \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$.

Proof. Let I be an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of (R, f, g) and $x \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$. Then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, or $g_{(l)}(x^{(t)}) \in I$ for t = l(n-1) + 1. If $g(x^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, then

$$\begin{split} g(g(x^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}), 1_R^{(n-1)}) &\in I \\ \Rightarrow g(x^{(2)}, g(x^{(t-2)}, 1_R^{(n-t+2)}), 1_R^{(n-3)}) &\in I \quad (\text{associativity}) \\ \Rightarrow g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) &\in I \text{ or } g(x, g(x^{(t-2)}, 1_R^{(n-t+2)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) &\in I \quad (I \text{ n-ary 2-absorbing}) \\ \Rightarrow g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) &\in I \text{ or } g(x^{(2)}, g(x^{(t-3)}, 1_R^{(n-t+3)}), 1_R^{(n-3)}) &\in I \\ \Rightarrow g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) &\in I \text{ or } g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) &\in I \text{ or } g(x, g(x^{(t-3)}, 1_R^{(n-t+3)}), 1_R^{(n-t+3)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) &\in I \\ \vdots \end{split}$$

⇒ $g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ or $g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ or ... or $g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$. If $g_{(l)}(x^{(t)}) \in I$ for t = l(n-1)+1, then the claim follows by using a similar argument

to the previous part and [1, Lemma 4.26]. \Box

LEMMA 3.5. Let $I \subseteq P$ be a hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R , where P is an n-ary prime hyperideal. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) P is a minimal n-ary prime hyperideal of I.

(ii) For each $x \in P$, there is a $y \in R \setminus P$ and a nonnegative integer t such that $g(x^{(t)}, y, 1_R^{(n-t-1)}) \in I$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose that $x \in P$ and $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P \cap (\bigcap_{Q_j \in Min(I)} Q_j)$. If $x \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$, then there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, or $g_{(l)}(x^{(t)}) \in I$ for t = l(n-1) + 1. If we choose $y = 1_R$, then the claim follows.

Now let $x \in P \setminus \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$. We may assume that $x \in P \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{s} Q_i)$ but $x \notin \bigcup_{j \geq s+1} Q_j$. Let $w \in \bigcap_{j \geq s+1} Q_j \setminus P$, then $g(x, w, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in P \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{s} Q_j) \cap (\bigcap_{j \geq s+1} Q_j)$ $= \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$. Hence there exists $t \in N$ such that $g(g(x, w, 1_R^{(n-2)})^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, or $g_{(l)}(g(x, w, 1_R^{(n-2)})^{(t)}) \in I$ for t = l(n-1) + 1. If $g(g(x, w, 1_R^{(n-2)})^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, then $g(x^{(t)}, g(w, 1_R^{(n-1)})^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-2t)}) \in I$ and so

$$g(x^{(t)}, g(g(w, 1_R^{(n-1)})^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}), 1_R^{(n-t-1)}) \in I.$$

We may assume $g(g(w, 1_R^{(n-1)})^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) = y$. Thus $g(x^{(t)}, y, 1_R^{(n-t-1)}) \in I$. If $g_{(l)}(g(x, w, 1_R^{(n-2)})^{(t)}) \in I$ for t = l(n-1) + 1, and the claim follows by using a similar argument to the previous part and [1, Lemma 4.26].

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let P is not a minimal n-ary prime hyperideal of I. Then there exists a minimal n-ary prime hyperideal Q of I such that $I \subseteq Q \subsetneq P$. We choose $x \in P \setminus Q$. Hence there exist $y \in R \setminus P$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(x^{(t)}, y, 1_R^{(n-t-1)}) \in I \subseteq Q$. Since Q is an n-ary prime hyperideal, then $x \in Q$ or $y \in Q$ which is a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.6. Suppose that I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R . Then there are at most two n-ary prime hyperideals of R that are minimal over I.

Proof. Suppose that $S = \{P_i \mid P_i \text{ is an } n\text{-ary prime hyperideal of } R \text{ that is minimal over } I\}$ and suppose that S has at least three elements. Let $P_1, P_2 \in S$ be two distinct n-ary prime hyperideals. Then there is an $x_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, and there is an $x_2 \in P_2 \setminus P_1$. First we show that $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$. By Lemma 3.5, there are $y_2 \notin P_1$ and $y_1 \notin P_2$ such that $g(x_1^{t_1}, y_2, 1_R^{(n-t_1-1)}) \in I$ and $g(x_2^{t_2}, y_1, 1_R^{(n-t_2-1)} \in I$ for some $t_1, t_2 \ge 1$. Since $x_1, x_2 \notin P_1 \cap P_2$ and I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R, we have $g(x_1, y_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ and $g(x_2, y_1, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ and $g(x_1, y_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}), g(x_2, y_1, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I \subseteq P_1 \cap P_2$, we have $y_2 \in P_2 \setminus P_1$ and $y_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, and hence $y_1, y_2 \notin P_1 \cap P_2$. Since $g(x_1, y_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ and $g(x_2, y_1, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$, we have

$$\begin{split} g(x_1, x_2, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{n-3}) &= f(g(x_1, x_2, y_2, 1_R^{(n-3)}), g(x_1, x_2, y_1, 1_R^{(n-3)}), 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq I \\ \text{It is clear that } f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}) \notin P_1 \text{ and } f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}) \notin P_2. \\ \text{Since } g(x_1, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(m-2)}) \notin P_2 \text{ and } g(x_2, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) \notin P_1, \text{ we} \end{split}$$

Since $g(x_1, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq P_2$ and $g(x_2, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq P_1$, we have $g(x_1, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) \not\subseteq I$ and $g(x_2, f(y_1, y_2, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(m-2)}) \not\subseteq I$ and hence $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(m-2)}) \in I$.

Now assume there is a $P_3 \in S$ such that $P_3 \neq P_1$ and $P_3 \neq P_2$. Then we can choose $z_1 \in P_1 \setminus (P_2 \cup P_3)$, $z_2 \in P_2 \setminus (P_1 \cup P_3)$, and $z_3 \in P_3 \setminus (P_1 \cup P_2)$. By the previous argument $g(z_1, z_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$. Since $I \subseteq P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3$ and $g(z_1, z_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$, we conclude that either $z_1 \in P_3$ or $z_2 \in P_3$ which is a contradiction. Thus S has at most two elements.

THEOREM 3.7. Suppose that I be an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R . Then one of the following statements must hold:

(i) $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$ is an n-ary prime hyperideal of R such that $g(P^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$.

(ii) $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap P_2$, $g(P_1, P_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$ and $g((\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)})^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$ where P_1, P_2 are the only distinct n-ary prime hyperideals of R that are minimal over I.

Proof. By Theorem 3.6, we have hat either $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$ is an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of R or $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are the only distinct *n*-ary prime hyperideals of R that are minimal over I. First assume that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$ is an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of R. Let $x, y \in P$. By Theorem 3.4, we conclude that $g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), g(y^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$. Thus

 $g(x, f(x, 0^{(m-2)}, y), y, 1_R^{(n-3)}) = f(g(x^{(2)}, y, 1_R^{(n-3)}), g(x, y^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-3)}), 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq I$ Since I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal, we have

$$\begin{split} g(x, f(x, 0^{(m-2)}, y), 1_R^{(n-2)}) &= f(g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq I \\ \Longrightarrow g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in f(-g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-1)}) = -f(g(x^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-1)}) \subseteq I \\ \text{or} \qquad g(f(x, 0^{(m-2)}, y), y, 1_R^{(n-2)}) = f(g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}), g(y^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)}) \subseteq I \\ \implies g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in f(-g(y^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-1)}) = -f(g(y^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-1)}) \subseteq I \\ \text{or} \qquad g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I \\ \end{split}$$

or $g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$. Hence $g(R^{(n-2)}, 1^{(n-2)}) \subset I$

Hence $g(P^{(n-2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$.

Now assume that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap P_2$ where P_1, P_2 are the only distinct *n*-ary prime hyperideals of *R* that are minimal over *I*. Let $x, y \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$. Then $g(x, y, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ by the same argument given above, and so $g((\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)})^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$. Let $a_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$ and $a_2 \in P_2 \setminus P_1$. Then $g(a_1, a_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ by the proof of Theorem 3.6. Let $c_1 \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ and $c_2 \in P_2 \setminus P_1$. Choose $b_1 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. Then $g(b_1, c_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ by the proof of Theorem 3.6 and $f(c_1, b_1, 0^{(m-2)}) \in P_1 \setminus P_2$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f(g(c_1, c_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}), g(b_1, c_2, 1^{(n-2)}), 0_R^{(m-2)}) &= g(c_2, f(c_1, b_1, 0_R^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I \\ &\implies g(c_1, c_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in f(-g(b_1, c_2, 1^{(n-2)}), 0_R^{(m-1)}) = -f(g(b_1, c_2, 1^{(n-2)}), 0_R^{(m-1)}) \subseteq I \end{aligned}$$

By using a similar argument, we can show that if $c_1 \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ and $c_2 \in P_1 \setminus P_2$, then $g(c_1, c_2, 1^{(n-2)}) \in I$. Therefore $g(P_1, P_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$.

THEOREM 3.8. Suppose that I is an n-ary primary hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R such that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$ for some n-ary prime hyperideal P of R. Then I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R if and only if $g(P^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Assume that I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g). Then $g(P^{(2)}, 1^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.7 (i).

(\Leftarrow) Assume that $g(P^{(2)}, 1^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$ and $g(x_1^n) \in I$ for some $x_1^n \in R$. If either $x_1 \in I$ or $g(x_2^n, 1_R) \in I$ for some $x_2^n \in R$, then there is nothing to prove. Hence suppose that $x_1 \notin I$ and $g(x_2^n, 1_R) \notin I$. Since I is an n-ary primary hyperideal of R and $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$, we conclude that $x_1 \in P$ and $g(x_2^n, 1_R) \in P$. Thus $x_1 \in P$ and there exists $2 \leq i \leq n$ such that $x_i \in P$. Since $g(P^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$, we have $g(x_1, x_i, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$. Thus I is an n-ary primary hyperideal of R.

Recall that an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R is called a divided prime if $P \subset \prec x \succ$ for every $x \in R \setminus P$. (Recall that $\prec x \succ = g(R, x, 1_R^{(n-2)}) = \{g(r, x, 1_R^{(n-2)} \mid r \in R\}.)$

THEOREM 3.9. Let P be an n-ary nonzero divided prime hyperideal of a Krasner (m,n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R and I be an hyperideal of R such that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$. Then the following statements are equivalent: (i) I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R;

(ii) I is an n-ary primary hyperideal of R such that $g(P^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii) Assume that *I* is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of *R*. Since $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ = *P* is an *n*-ary nonzero prime hyperideal of *R*, $g(P^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$ by Theorem 3.7 (i). Now let $g(x_1^n) \in I$ for some $x_1^n \in R$ and assume that $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_R, x_{i+1}^n) \notin P$. Since $x_i \in P$ and *P* is a divided hyperideal of *R*, we have $x_i = g(r, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_R, x_{i+1}^n), 1_R^{(n-2)})$ for some $r \in R$. Thus $g(x_1^n) = g(x_1^{i-1}, g(r, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_R, x_{i+1}^n), 1_R^{(n-2)}), x_{i+1}^n) \in I$. Since *I* is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of *R*, we have $g(x_i, g(r, g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_R, x_{i+1}^n), 1_R^{(n-2)}), 1_R^{(n-2)})$

$$=g(x_j,r,g(g(x_1^{i-1},1_R,x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(n-1)}),1_R^{(n-3)})=g(x_j,r,g(x_1^{i-1},1_R,x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(n-3)})\in I$$

for some $1 \le j \le i-1$ or $i+1 \le j \le n$. Since $g(x_j,g(x_1^{i-1},1_R,x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(n-2)})\notin I$,
we conclude that $g(r,g(x_1^{i-1},1_R,x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(n-2)})=x_i\in I$ or $g(x_j,r,1_R^{(n-2)})\in I$. The
second possibility implies that

$$\begin{split} g(x_j,r,g(x_1^{j-1},x_{j+1}^{i-1},x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(2)}),1_R^{(n-3)}) &= g(r,g(x_1^{i-1},1_R,x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(n-2)}) = x_i \in I \\ \text{or} \quad g(x_j,r,g(x_1^{i-1},x_{i+1}^{j-1},x_{j+1}^n),1_R^{(2)}),1_R^{(n-3)}) &= g(r,g(x_1^{i-1},1_R,x_{i+1}^n),1_R^{(n-2)}) = x_i \in I. \\ \text{Hence } I \text{ is an } n\text{-ary primary hyperideal of } R. \end{split}$$

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ This follows directly from Theorem 3.8.

4. Extensions of n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideals

In this section, we investigate the stability of n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideals in some hyperring-theoretic constructions. We start with an example.

EXAMPLE 4.1. Consider the Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (\bar{R}, f, g) constructed in [1, Example 2.4]. The hyperideal of \bar{R} is of the form \bar{I} such that $G \subset \bar{I} \triangleleft \bar{R}$. If I is a prime ideal of R such that $G \subset I$, then the *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideals of \bar{R} are of the form \bar{I} .

Let for $\bar{x}_1^n \in \bar{R}$, $g(\bar{x}_1, \ldots, \bar{x}_1) \in \bar{I}$. Then we have $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I$. Since I is a 2-absorbing ideal, we conclude that $x_i x_j \in I$ for some $1 \leq \prec j \leq n$. Therefore we have $x_i x_j (1_R)^{(n-2)} \in I$ and so $g(x_i, x_j, 1_{\bar{R}}^{n-2}) \in \bar{I}$. Hence \bar{I} is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of (\bar{R}, f, g) .

THEOREM 4.2. Let (R_1, f_1, g_1) and (R_2, f_2, g_2) be two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings and $\phi : R_1 \longrightarrow R_2$ be a homomorphism. Then the following statements hold: (i) If I_2 is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_2 , then $\phi^{-1}(I_2)$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 .

(ii) If ϕ is an epimorphism and I_1 is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 containing $Ker(\phi)$, then $\phi(I_1)$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_2 .

Proof. (i) Let $g_1(x_1^n) \in \phi^{-1}(I_2)$ for some $x_1^n \in R_1$. Then $\phi(g_1(x_1^n)) = g_2(\phi(x_1^n)) \in I_2$. Since I_2 is a 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_2 , there exist $i, j, 1 \leq i \prec j \leq n$ such that $g_2(\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j), 1_{R_2}^{(n-2)}) \in I_2$. Thus $\phi^{-1}(g_2(\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j), 1_{R_2}^{(n-2)})) = g_1(x_i, x_j, 1_{R_1}^{(n-2)}) \in \phi^{-1}(I_2)$. Hence $\phi^{-1}(I_2)$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 .

(ii) Let $g_2(y_1^n) \in \phi(I_1)$ for some $y_1^n \in R_2$. Since ϕ is an epimorphism, then there exists $x_1^n \in R_1$ such that $\phi(x_t) = y_t$ for all $t, 1 \leq t \leq n$ and $\phi(g_1(x_1^n)) = g_2(\phi(x_1^n)) = g_2(y_1^n) \subseteq \phi(I_1)$. Since $Ker \ \phi \subseteq I_1$, we have $g_1(x_1^n) \in I_1$. Since I_1 is a 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 , then there exist $i, j, 1 \leq i \prec j \leq n$ such that $g_1(x_i, x_j, 1_{R_1}^{(n-2)}) \in I_1$. This implies that $\phi(g_1(x_i, x_j, 1_{R_1}^{(n-2)})) = g_2(\phi(x_i), \phi(x_j), 1_{R_2}^{n-2}) = g_2(y_i, y_j, 1_{R_2}^{(n-2)}) \in \phi(I_1)$. Thus $\phi(I_1)$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_2 .

THEOREM 4.3. Let I be an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R . If J is a hyperideal of R such that $J \subseteq I$, then I/J is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R/J.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textit{Proof. Suppose } g(f(x_{11}^{1(i-1)},J,x_{1(i+1)}^{1m}),\ldots,f(x_{n1}^{n(i-1)},J,x_{n(i+1)}^{nm})) \in I/J \text{ for some } \\ x_{11}^{1m},\ldots,x_{m1}^{mm} \ \in \ R/J. \quad \text{Thus } f(g(x_{11}^{n1}),\ldots,g(x_{1(i-1)}^{n(i-1)}),J,g(x_{1(i+1)}^{n(i+1)}),\ldots,g(x_{1m}^{nm})) \ \in I/J. \text{ Hence } \end{array}$

$$f(g(x_{11}^{n1}), \dots, g(x_{1(i-1)}^{n(i-1)}), 0, g(x_{1(i+1)}^{n(i+1)}), \dots, g(x_{1m}^{nm})) \subseteq I$$
$$\implies g(f(x_{11}^{1(i-1)}, 0, x_{1(i+1)}^{1m}), \dots, f(x_{n1}^{n(i-1)}, 0, x_{n(i+1)}^{nm}) \subseteq I.$$

Since I is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal, then there exist $1 \le s \prec t \le n$ such that $g(f(x_{s1}^{s(i-1)}, 0, x_{s(i+1)}^{sm}), f(x_{t1}^{t(i-1)}, 0, x_{t(i+1)}^{tm}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq I$. Therefore $f(g(f(x_{s1}^{s(i-1)}, 0, x_{s(i+1)}^{sm}), f(x_{t1}^{t(i-1)}, 0, x_{t(i+1)}^{tm}), 1_R^{(n-2)}), J, 0^{(m-2)}) \in I/J$

$$\implies g(f(x_{s1}^{s(i-1)}, J, x_{s(i+1)}^{sm}), f(x_{t1}^{t(i-1)}, J, x_{t(i+1)}^{tm}), 1_{R/J}^{(n-2)}) \in I/J.$$

Thus I/J is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R/J.

Let (R_1, f_1, g_1) and (R_2, f_2, g_2) be two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings such that 1_{R_1} and 1_{R_2} be scalar identities of R_1, R_2 , respectively. Then the (m, n)-hyperring $(R_1 \times R_2, f_1 \times f_2, g_1 \times g_2)$ is defined by m-ary hyperoperation $f_1 \times f_2$ and n-ary hyperoperation $g_1 \times g_2$, as follows:

$$f_1 \times f_2((a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_m, b_m)) = \{(a, b) \mid a \in f_1(a_1^m), b \in f_2(b_1^m)\}$$

$$g_1 \times g_2((x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)) = (g_1(x_1^n), g_2(y_1^n)),$$

for all $a_1^m, x_1^n \in R_1$ and $b_1^m, y_1^n \in R_2$.

THEOREM 4.4. Let (R_1, f_1, g_1) and (R_2, f_2, g_2) be two Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings such that 1_{R_1} and 1_{R_2} be scalar identities of R_1, R_2 , respectively. Then the following statements hold:

(i) I_1 is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 if and only if $I_1 \times R_2$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.

(ii) I_2 is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_2 if and only if $R_1 \times I_2$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.

Proof. (i) (\Rightarrow) Assume that I_1 is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 . Let $g_1 \times g_2((x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_n, y_n)) \in I_1 \times R_2$, with $x_1^n \in R_1$ and $y_1^n \in R_2$. Then we have $g_1(x_1^n) \in I_1$. Since I_1 is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 , we conclude that there exist $i, j, 1 \leq i \prec j \leq n$ such that $g(x_i, x_j, 1_{R_1}^{(n-2)}) \in I_1$. This implies that $g_1 \times g_2((x_i, y_i), (x_j, y_j), (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2})^{(n-2)}) \in I_1 \times R_2$. Thus $I_1 \times R_2$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $R_1 \times R_2$.

(\Leftarrow) Suppose that $I_1 \times R_2$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $R_1 \times R_2$. Let $g(x_1^n) \in I_1$ with $x_1^n \in R_1$. Then $g_1 \times g_2((x_1, 1_{R_2}), \dots, (x_n, 1_{R_2})) \in I_1 \times R_2$. Since $I_1 \times R_2$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $R_1 \times R_2$, we conclude that there exist $i, j, 1 \leq i \prec j \leq n$ such that $g_1 \times g_2((x_i, 1_{R_2}), (x_j, 1_{R_2}), (1_{R_1}, 1_{R_2})^{(n-2)}) \in I_1 \times R_2$, which means that $g_1(x_i, x_j, 1_{R_1}^{(n-2)}) \in I_1$. Thus I_1 is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R_1 .

(ii) The proof is similar to (i).

Let I be a normal hyperideal of Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g). Then the set of all equivalence classes $[R : I^*] = \{I^*[x] \mid x \in R\}$ is a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring with the *m*-ary hyperoperation f/I and the *n*-ary operation g/I, defined as follows:

$$f/I(I^*[x_1], \dots, I^*[x_m]) = \{I^*[z] \mid z \in f(I^*[x_1], \dots, I^*[x_m])\}, \quad \forall x_1^m \in R$$

$$g/I(I^*[x_1], \dots, I^*[x_n]) = I^*[g(x_1^n)], \quad \forall x_1^n \in R$$

(for more details refer to [15]).

 \square

THEOREM 4.5. Let I be a normal hyperideal and J be an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal, respectively, of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R such that $I \subseteq J$. Then $[J: I^*]$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $[R: I^*]$.

Proof. Suppose that $g/f(I^*[x_1], \ldots, I^*[x_n]) \in [J : I^*]$ for some $x_1^n \in R$. Thus $g/f(I^*[x_1], \ldots, I^*[x_n]) = I^*[g(x_1^n)] \in [J : I^*]$. This means $I^*[g(x_1^n)] \subseteq J$. Therefore $I^*[g(x_1^n)] = f(I, g(x_1^n), 0^{(m-2)}) = f(I, g(x_1^n), g(0^{(n)})^{(m-2)})$

$$= g(f(I, x_1, 0^{(m-2)}), \dots, f(I, x_n, 0^{(m-2)})) \subseteq J$$

Since J is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R, then we conclude that

$$g(f(I, x_i, 0^{(m-2)}), f(I, x_j, 0^{(m-2)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) \subseteq J \text{ for some } 1 \le i \prec j \le n.$$

Hence

$$g(f(I, x_i, 0^{(m-2)}), f(I, x_j, 0^{(m-2)}), f(I, 1_R, 0^{(m-2)})^{(n-2)})$$

= $f(I, g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}), g(0^{(n)})^{(m-2)}) = f(I, g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}), 0^{(m-2)})$
= $I^*[g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)})] = g/I(I^*[x_i], I^*[x_j], I^*[1_R]^{(n-2)}) \in [J : I].$

Thus $[J: I^*]$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of $[R: I^*]$.

5. n-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideals in a Krasner (m,n)-hyperring

DEFINITION 5.1. A nonzero proper hyperideal I of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R is said to be *n*-ary 2-absorbing primary if for $x_1^n \in R$, $g(x_1^n) \in I$ implies that $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in I$ or $g(x_t, x_i, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ or $g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ for $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and some $i, j, 3 \leq i \prec j \leq n$.

EXAMPLE 5.2. Let R be a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R . Then every *n*-ary primary hyperideal of R is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideal.

Let Q be an n-ary primary hyperideal of R and $g(x_1^n) \in Q$. Then either $x_i \in Q$ or $g(x_1^{i-1}, 1_R, x_{i+1}^n) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$. We may assume that $x_1 \in Q$ or $g(1_R, x_2^n) \in \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$. Since $\sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)} = P$ is an n-ary prime hyperideal of R by Theorem 4.28 in [1], then we get $x_1 \in Q$ or $x_2 \in P$ or ... or $x_n \in P$. Since Q and P are hyperideals of R, we get $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in Q$ or $g(x_t, x_i, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in P = \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ or $g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in P = \sqrt{Q}^{(m,n)}$ for $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and some $3 \leq i \prec j \leq n$.

THEOREM 5.3. If I is an n-ary 2-absorbing ideal of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R , then $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of R.

Proof. Let $g(x_1^n) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ for some $x_1^n \in R$ such that neither $g(x_t, x_i, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ nor $g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ for $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $i, j, 3 \leq i \prec j \leq n$. Then

there exists $t \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g(g(x_1^n)^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, or $g_{(l)}(g(x_1^n)^{(t)}) \in I$ for $t \succ n$, t = l(n-1) + 1. If $g(g(x_1^n)^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$ for $t \leq n$, then we have $g(g(x_1^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}), \dots, g(x_n^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$. Since I is an n-ary 2-absorbing primary of R, we obtain that $g(g(x_1^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}), g(x_2^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}), 1_R^{(n-2)}) = g(g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)})^{(t)}, 1_R^{(n-t)}) \in I$. This means that $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$. Similarly for the other case. There-

fore $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of *R*. \square

THEOREM 5.4. If I is an n-ary 2-absorbing primary of a Krasner (m, n)-hyperring (R, f, g) with the scalar identity 1_R , then either $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P$ such that P is an n-ary prime hyperideal of R or $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap P_2$ such that P_1, P_2 are the only distinct n-ary prime hyperideals of R that are minimal over I.

Proof. This follows from Theorems 5.3 and 3.7.

THEOREM 5.5. Let I_1 and I_2 be n-ary P_1 -primary and P_2 -primary hyperideals of (R, f, g) respectively such that P_1 and P_2 are two n-ary prime hyperideals of (R, f, g). Then $I = I_1 \cap I_2$ is an n-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideals.

Proof. It is clear that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = \sqrt{I_1}^{(m,n)} \cap \sqrt{I_2}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap P_2$. Assume that $g(x_1^n) \in I$ for some $x_1^n \in R$ such that neither $g(x_t, x_i, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ nor $g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ for $t \in \{1, 2\}$ and all $i, j, 3 \leq i \prec j \leq n$. Then we have $x_1^n \notin \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap (p_1, p_2)$ P_2 . Since $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is an *n*-ary 2-absorbing hyperideal of *R*, we obtain $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \mathbb{R}$ $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} = P_1 \cap P_2$. It implies that $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in P_1$. Since P_1 is an *n*-ary prime hyperideal of R, then $x_1 \in P_1$ or $x_2 \in P_1$. We may suppose that $x_1 \in P_1$. Also, $g(x_1, x_2, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in P_2$ which implies that $x_2 \in P_2$ but $x_1 \notin P_2$. Since $x_2 \in P_2$, $x_2 \notin \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$, then we have $x_2 \notin P_1$. If $x_1 \in I_1$ and $x_2 \in I_2$, then we are done. Hence we suppose that $x_1 \notin I_1$. Then we have $g(1_R, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n) \in P_1$, because I_1 is an *n*-ary primary hyperideal of R. Since $x_2 \in P_2$ and $g(1_R, x_2, x_3, \ldots, x_n) \in P_1$, we get $g(1_R, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_n) \in P_1 \cap P_2 = \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$. This is a contradiction. Thus $x_1 \in I_1$. By using a similar argument, we have $x_2 \in I_2$. Thus $g(x_1, x_2, 1^{(n-2)}) \in I = I_1 \cap I_2$.

THEOREM 5.6. Let I be a hyperideal of R such that $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is an n-ary prime hyperideal of (R, f, g). Then I is an n-ary 2-absorbing primary hyperideal of R.

Proof. Let $g(x_1^n) \in I$ for some $x_1^n \in R$ such that $g(x_1, x_2, 1^{(n-2)}) \notin I$. Thus we have $g(g(x_1^n), g(1_R^{(2)}, x_3^n)^{(2)}, 1_R^{(n-3)}) \in I \subseteq \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}, \text{ which implies } g(g(x_1, 1_R, x_3^n), g(1_R, x_2^n), x_3^n)$ $= \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}. \text{ Since } \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} \text{ is an } n\text{-ary prime hyperideal of } R, \text{ then we obtain } g(x_1, 1_R, x_3^n) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} \text{ or } g(1_R, x_2^n) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} \text{ or } x_3 \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} \text{ or } \dots \text{ or } x_n \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}. \text{ It means that } g(x_t, x_i, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} \text{ or } g(x_i, x_j, 1_R^{(n-2)}) \in \sqrt{I}^{(m,n)} \text{ for } t \in \{1, 2\} \text{ and some }$ $3 \le i \prec j \le n$, because $\sqrt{I}^{(m,n)}$ is a hyperideal of R.

References

- R. Ameri, M. Norouzi, Prime and primary hyperideals in Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, Eur. J. Combin. (2013), 379–390.
- [2] A. Badawi, On 2-absorbing ideals of commutative rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 75 (2007), 417–429.
- [3] G. Crombez, On (m, n)- rings, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg, 37 (1972), 180–199.
- [4] G. Crombez, J. Timm, On (m, n)-quotient rings, Abh. Math. Semin. Univ. Hamburg, 37 (1972), 200–203.
- [5] S. Corsini, Prolegomena of Hypergroup Theory, Second edition, Aviani editor, Italy, 1993.
- [6] S. Corsini, V. Leoreanu, Applications of hyperstructure theory, Adv. Math., 5, Kluwer Academic Publishers, 2003.
- [7] B. Davvaz, V. Leoreanu-Fotea, *Hyperring Theory and Applications*, International Academic Press, Palm Harbor, USA, 2007.
- [8] B. Davvaz, T. Vougiouklis, *n-ary hypergroups*, Iran. J. Sci. Technol., **30** (A2) (2006), 165–174.
- [9] W. Dorente, Untersuchungen über einen verallgemeinerten Gruppenbegriff, Math. Z., 29 (1928), 1–19.
- [10] K. Hila, K. Naka, B. Davvaz, On (k,n)-absorbing hyperideals in Krasner (m,n)-hyperrings, Q. J. Math., 69 (2018), 1035–1046.
- [11] E. Krasner, An extension of the group concept (reported by L.G. Weld), Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 10 (1904), 290–291.
- [12] V. Leoreanu, Canonical n-ary hypergroups, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 24 (2008).
- [13] V. Leoreanu-Fotea, B. Davvaz, n-hypergroups and binary relations, European J. Combin., 29 (2008), 1027–1218.
- [14] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de groupe, 8th Congress Math. Scandenaves, Stockholm, (1934), 45–49.
- [15] S. Mirvakili, B. Davvaz, Relations on Krasner (m, n)-hyperrings, Eur. J. Combin., 31 (2010), 790–802.
- [16] S. Ostadhadi-Dehkordi, B. Davvaz, A Note on isomorphism theorems of Krasner (m,n)hyperrings, Arab. J. Math., 5 (2016), 103–115.
- [17] D. Sonmez, G. Yesilot, S. Onar, B. Ali Ersoy, B. Davvaz, On 2-absorbing primary fuzzy ideals of commutative rings, Math. Probl. Eng., 2017, Article ID 5485839, 7 pages.
- [18] T. Vougiouklis, Hyperstructures and Their Representations, Hadronic Press Inc., Florida, 1994.
- [19] M.M. Zahedi, R. Ameri, On the prime, primary and maximal subhypermodules, Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math., 5 (1999), 61–80.

(received 21.05.2018; in revised form 29.09.2018; available online 09.12.2018)

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences, Imam Khomeini International University, Qazvin, Iran

E-mail: m.anbarloei@sci.ikiu.ac.ir