

## ON SOME MULTIVARIATE SUMMATORY FUNCTIONS OF THE EULER PHI-FUNCTION

Khola Algali

**Abstract.** In this note we obtain an asymptotic formula with a power saving error term for the summation function of Euler phi-function evaluated at iterated and generalized least common multiples of four integer variables.

### 1. Introduction

In this paper we denote by  $[n_1, \dots, n_k]$  the least common multiple and by  $(n_1, \dots, n_k)$  the greatest common divisor of positive integers  $n_1, \dots, n_k$ . In [2], Diaconis and Erdős obtained asymptotic formulas for summatory functions

$$\sum_{m,n \leq x} (m, n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{m,n \leq x} [m, n]$$

of the greatest common divisor and the least common multiple. More recently, Hilberdink in [6] investigated in more details the arithmetic function  $\circ : \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ , defined by  $m \circ n := \frac{[m, n]}{(m, n)}$ , which has several very interesting properties. For example, the set of squarefree positive integers is an abelian group with respect to the operation  $\circ$ . Moreover, for any squarefree integer  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $D(k)$  of all divisors of  $k$  is a finite abelian group under the restriction of  $\circ$  on  $D(k)$ . Hilberdink investigated in depth discrete Fourier analysis and multiplicative functions on these finite groups  $D(k)$ . One particularly interesting feature is that the restriction of Möbius function  $\mu$  on  $D(k)$  is one of the characters of this group.

Quotients  $\frac{[m, n]}{(m, n)}$  of the least common multiple and the greatest common divisor of integers  $m$  and  $n$  appear in many papers in linear algebra (dealing with “arithmetical matrices”) and in number theory, see for example [3–5, 7]. Recently, T. Hilberdink

---

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 11A25, 11N37, 11N60, 11A05

*Keywords and phrases:* Euler phi-function; multiplicative functions; least common multiple; greatest common divisor; asymptotic formula.

and L. Tóth in [8] considered the problem of establishing an asymptotic formula for the summation function of  $\frac{[m,n]}{(m,n)}$  and obtained the formula

$$\sum_{m,n \leq x} \frac{[m,n]}{(m,n)} = \frac{\pi^2}{60} x^4 + O(x^3 \log x).$$

Moreover, the authors in [8] derived more general asymptotic formulas, where the analogous summation is taken over  $k \geq 3$  arguments. For an arithmetic function  $f$  from a suitable class of multiplicative functions, the authors of [8] obtained the asymptotic formulas for

$$\sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \leq x} f([n_1, \dots, n_k]) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n_1, \dots, n_k \leq x} f\left(\frac{[n_1, \dots, n_k]}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)}\right),$$

with the power saving of  $O(x^{1/2-\epsilon})$  in the error terms in both cases.

The author of the present note in [1] considered further summatory function for the following “generalized” least common multiple  $\left[\frac{[n_1, \dots, n_k]^a}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)^c}, \frac{[n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+\ell}]^b}{(n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+\ell})^d}\right]$ , for integers  $a \geq c \geq 1$  and  $b \geq d \geq 0$ , which is a multiplicative function of  $k + \ell$  variables. Our goal in this note is to give similar generalization for the summation of Euler phi-function  $\varphi$ , where for simplicity of notation, we restrict ourselves to the case  $k = \ell = 2$ .

**THEOREM 1.1.** *For integers  $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ ,  $a, b \geq 1$ ,  $a \geq c$ ,  $b \geq d$  and for any  $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  we have*

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leq x} \varphi\left(\left[\frac{[n_1, n_2]^a}{(n_1, n_2)^c}, \frac{[n_3, n_4]^b}{(n_3, n_4)^d}\right]\right) = \frac{C_{a,c;b,d}}{(a+1)^2(b+1)^2} x^{2a+2b+4} + O_\epsilon\left(x^{2a+2b+\frac{7}{2}+\epsilon}\right),$$

where the implied constant depends only on  $\epsilon$  and the constant  $C_{a,c;b,d}$  is given by the Euler product

$$\prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)^4 \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(p^{\max\{(a \max - c \min)\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}, (b \max - d \min)\{\nu_3, \nu_4\}\}}\right)}{p^{(a+1)(\nu_1+\nu_2)+(b+1)(\nu_3+\nu_4)}}.$$

Here and through the paper,  $(a \max - c \min)\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$  denotes  $a \cdot \max\{\nu_1, \nu_2\} - c \cdot \min\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}$ . We recall that  $\varphi$  is a multiplicative function which is on prime powers given by  $\varphi(p^a) = p^a - p^{a-1}$ . Because of multiplicativity of  $\varphi$ , the function  $(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \mapsto \varphi\left(\left[\frac{[n_1, n_2]^a}{(n_1, n_2)^c}, \frac{[n_3, n_4]^b}{(n_3, n_4)^d}\right]\right)$  will be a multiplicative function of 4 variables, enabling us to adapt the method from [8]. We recall that a function  $f : \mathbb{N}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is multiplicative if it satisfies

$$f(m_1 n_1, m_2 n_2, m_3 n_3, m_4 n_4) = f(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4) f(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$$

whenever  $(m_1 m_2 m_3 m_4, n_1 n_2 n_3 n_4) = 1$ .

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

To prove this theorem we need the following lemma:

LEMMA 2.1. For integers  $a, b, c, d \geq 0$ ,  $a, b \geq 1$ ,  $a \geq c$ ,  $b \geq d$  and complex numbers  $z_j, 1 \leq j \leq 4$  such that

$$\Re z_1, \Re z_2 > a + \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Re z_3, \Re z_4 > b + \frac{1}{2} \tag{1}$$

we have

$$L(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) := \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(\left[\frac{[n_1, n_2]^a}{(n_1, n_2)^c}, \frac{[n_3, n_4]^b}{(n_3, n_4)^d}\right]\right)}{n_1^{z_1} n_2^{z_2} n_3^{z_3} n_4^{z_4}} = \zeta(z_1 - a)\zeta(z_2 - a)\zeta(z_3 - b)\zeta(z_4 - b)H(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4), \tag{2}$$

where  $H(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  is a certain multiple Dirichlet series defined in the proof and absolutely convergent in the region (1).

*Proof.* Because of the multiplicativity of the function

$$(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4) \mapsto \varphi\left(\left[\frac{[n_1, n_2]^a}{(n_1, n_2)^c}, \frac{[n_3, n_4]^b}{(n_3, n_4)^d}\right]\right),$$

by [9, Proposition 11] the multiple Dirichlet series  $L(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  has the following Euler product expansion:

$$L(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \prod_p \sum_{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi\left(p^{\max\{(a \max - c \min)\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}, (b \max - d \min)\{\nu_3, \nu_4\}\}}\right)}{p^{\nu_1 z_1 + \nu_2 z_2 + \nu_3 z_3 + \nu_4 z_4}}.$$

In each Euler's factor corresponding to a prime  $p$ , we single out the contribution of the terms for which  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 \leq 1$ :

$$L(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \prod_p \left( 1 + \frac{p^a - p^{a-1}}{p^{z_1}} + \frac{p^a - p^{a-1}}{p^{z_2}} + \frac{p^b - p^{b-1}}{p^{z_3}} + \frac{p^b - p^{b-1}}{p^{z_4}} + \sum_{\substack{\nu_1, \nu_2, \nu_3, \nu_4 \geq 0 \\ \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 \geq 2}} \frac{\varphi\left(p^{\max\{(a \max - c \min)\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}, (b \max - d \min)\{\nu_3, \nu_4\}\}}\right)}{p^{\nu_1 z_1 + \nu_2 z_2 + \nu_3 z_3 + \nu_4 z_4}} \right). \tag{3}$$

Next, for fixed  $\delta_1 > a$  and  $\delta_2 > b$ , in the region  $\Re z_1, \Re z_2 \geq \delta_1 > a$  and  $\Re z_3, \Re z_4 \geq \delta_2 > b$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\varphi\left(p^{\max\{(a \max - c \min)\{\nu_1, \nu_2\}, (b \max - d \min)\{\nu_3, \nu_4\}\}}\right)}{p^{\nu_1 z_1 + \nu_2 z_2 + \nu_3 z_3 + \nu_4 z_4}} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{p^{a(\nu_1 + \nu_2) + b(\nu_3 + \nu_4)}}{p^{\delta_1(\nu_1 + \nu_2) + \delta_2(\nu_3 + \nu_4)}} = \frac{1}{p^{(\delta_1 - a)(\nu_1 + \nu_2) + (\delta_2 - b)(\nu_3 + \nu_4)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the number of solutions of  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 = m$  in nonnegative integers  $\nu_1, \nu_2$  is  $m + 1$ , the sum over  $\nu_1 + \nu_2 + \nu_3 + \nu_4 \geq 2$  in equation (3) is bounded by

$$\sum_{m+n \geq 2} \frac{(m+1)(n+1)}{p^{(\delta_1 - a)m + (\delta_2 - b)n}} = O\left(\frac{1}{p^{2(\delta_1 - a)}} + \frac{1}{p^{2(\delta_2 - b)}}\right).$$

Now, in the region  $\Re z_1, \Re z_2 > \max\{\delta_1, a + 1\}$  and  $\Re z_3, \Re z_4 > \max\{\delta_2, b + 1\}$  we can

define the function

$$H(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) := \frac{L(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)}{\zeta(z_1 - a)\zeta(z_2 - a)\zeta(z_3 - b)\zeta(z_4 - b)},$$

which in this region has the following Euler product decomposition:

$$\begin{aligned} H(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) &= \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{z_1-a}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{z_2-a}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{z_3-b}}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p^{z_4-b}}\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^{z_1-a}} - \frac{1}{p^{z_1-a+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{z_2-a}} - \frac{1}{p^{z_2-a+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{z_3-b}} - \frac{1}{p^{z_3-b+1}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{p^{z_4-b}} - \frac{1}{p^{z_4-b+1}} + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{2(\delta_1-a)}} + \frac{1}{p^{2(\delta_2-b)}}\right)\right) \\ &= \prod_p \left(1 + O\left(\frac{1}{p^{\delta_1-a+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{2(\delta_1-a)}} + \frac{1}{p^{\delta_2-b+1}} + \frac{1}{p^{2(\delta_2-b)}}\right)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

since the terms  $\pm \frac{1}{p^{z_j-a}}$  and  $\pm \frac{1}{p^{z_j-b}}$  cancel out. On the other hand, the Euler's product in (4) converges absolutely for any  $\delta_1 > a + \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\delta_2 > b + \frac{1}{2}$ . Therefore, the identity (2) holds in the wider region (1).  $\square$

Now we write the multiple Dirichlet series expansion of the function  $H(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  from Lemma 2.1:

$$H(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)}{n_1^{z_1} n_2^{z_2} n_3^{z_3} n_4^{z_4}}.$$

The function  $h(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$  defined in this way is also a multiplicative function of 4 variables. From the identity (2) we infer the following convolution identity between the corresponding multivariate arithmetic functions:

$$\varphi\left(\left[\frac{[n_1, n_2]^a}{(n_1, n_2)^c}, \frac{[n_3, n_4]^b}{(n_3, n_4)^d}\right]\right) = \sum_{j_1 d_1 = n_1, \dots, j_4 d_4 = n_4} j_1^a j_2^a j_3^b j_4^b h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4), \tag{5}$$

where the sum runs over all 4-tuples  $(j_1, j_2, j_3, j_4)$  in which  $j_i$  is a positive divisor of  $n_i$ , for all  $1 \leq i \leq 4$ .

*Proof. (of Theorem 1.1)* We start by employing the identity (5) in our summation function:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4 \leq x} \varphi\left(\left[\frac{[n_1, n_2]^a}{(n_1, n_2)^c}, \frac{[n_3, n_4]^b}{(n_3, n_4)^d}\right]\right) &= \sum_{j_1 d_1 \leq x, \dots, j_4 d_4 \leq x} j_1^a j_2^a j_3^b j_4^b h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) \\ &= \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) \sum_{j_1 \leq \frac{x}{d_1}} j_1^a \sum_{j_2 \leq \frac{x}{d_2}} j_2^a \sum_{j_3 \leq \frac{x}{d_3}} j_3^b \sum_{j_4 \leq \frac{x}{d_4}} j_4^b \\ &= \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4) \left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{(a+1)d_1^{a+1}} + O\left(\frac{x^a}{d_1^a}\right)\right) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{x^{a+1}}{(a+1)d_2^{a+1}} + O\left(\frac{x^a}{d_2^a}\right)\right) \left(\frac{x^{b+1}}{(b+1)d_3^{b+1}} + O\left(\frac{x^b}{d_3^b}\right)\right) \left(\frac{x^{b+1}}{(b+1)d_4^{b+1}} + O\left(\frac{x^b}{d_4^b}\right)\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{x^{2a+2b+4}}{(a+1)^2(b+1)^2} \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} \frac{h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)}{d_1^{a+1} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}} + R(x). \tag{6}$$

Here,  $R(x)$  is the remainder term, which is bounded by

$$R(x) \ll \sum_{\substack{u_1, u_2 \in \{a, a+1\} \\ v_1, v_2 \in \{b, b+1\} \\ (u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) \neq \\ (a+1, a+1, b+1, b+1)}} x^{u_1+u_2+v_1+v_2} \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)|}{d_1^{u_1} d_2^{u_2} d_3^{v_1} d_4^{v_2}}, \tag{7}$$

where in the first summation at least one  $u_i = a$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , or at least one  $v_j = b$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2\}$ . For one such 4-tuple, for example for  $(u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2) = (a, a+1, b+1, b+1)$ , the corresponding contribution on the right hand side of (7) is bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} &\ll x^{2a+2b+3} \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)|}{d_1^a d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}} = x^{2a+2b+3} \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)| d_1^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{d_1^{a+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}} \\ &\leq x^{2a+2b+\frac{7}{2}+\epsilon} \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)|}{d_1^{a+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

for any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Here the 4-tuple of exponents  $(a + \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon, a + 1, b + 1, b + 1)$  belongs to the region of absolute convergence (1). Therefore, by Lemma 2.1 the multiple Dirichlet series (8) converges to a constant and hence we obtain the bound  $O(x^{2a+2b+\frac{7}{2}+\epsilon})$ . We can bound all the other terms in (7) similarly and we get

$$R(x) \ll x^{2a+2b+\frac{7}{2}+\epsilon}. \tag{9}$$

Finally, we return to the main term in (6). We have:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4 \leq x} \frac{h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)}{d_1^{a+1} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}} = \\ &\sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4=1}^{\infty} \frac{h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)}{d_1^{a+1} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}} - \sum_{\substack{I \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \\ I \neq \emptyset}} \sum_{\substack{d_i > x, i \in I \\ d_j \leq x, j \notin I}} \frac{h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)}{d_1^{a+1} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

The complete multiple Dirichlet series in (10) converges by Lemma 2.1 and its sum is equal  $H(a+1, a+1, b+1, b+1)$ . All 15 terms for subsets  $I \neq \emptyset$  can be bounded similarly. For illustration, we bound the contribution in (10) corresponding to  $I = \{1, 3\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{d_1, d_3 > x \\ d_2, d_4 \leq x}} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)|}{d_1^{a+1} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+1} d_4^{b+1}} = \sum_{\substack{d_1, d_3 > x \\ d_2, d_4 \leq x}} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)| d_1^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_3^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}}{d_1^{a+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_4^{b+1}} \\ &\leq x^{-1+2\epsilon} \sum_{d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4=1}^{\infty} \frac{|h(d_1, d_2, d_3, d_4)|}{d_1^{a+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_2^{a+1} d_3^{b+\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} d_4^{b+1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here again the multiple Dirichlet series converges to a constant by Lemma 2.1, and we get the bound  $O(x^{-1+2\epsilon})$ . In general we get that the contribution of the terms corresponding to a subset  $I \subseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ ,  $I \neq \emptyset$  is bounded by  $O(x^{(-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon)|I|})$ , where

$|I|$  denotes the cardinality of the subset  $I$ . Therefore the total error obtained by completing the main term in (6) is  $O(x^{2a+2b+\frac{7}{2}+\epsilon})$ , i.e. it is the same as in (9). This finishes the proof of the required asymptotic formula with the constant  $C_{a,c;b,d} = H(a+1, a+1, b+1, b+1)$ .  $\square$

REMARK 2.2. Theorem 1.1 can be generalized by similar methods to other situations, for example for summation functions of arithmetic functions of the form

$$(n_1, \dots, n_{k+\ell+m}) \mapsto f \left( \left[ \frac{[n_1, \dots, n_k]^A}{(n_1, \dots, n_k)^a}, \frac{[n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+\ell}]^B}{(n_{k+1}, \dots, n_{k+\ell})^b}, \frac{[n_{k+\ell+1}, \dots, n_{k+\ell+m}]^C}{(n_{k+\ell+1}, \dots, n_{k+\ell+m})^c} \right] \right)$$

for non-negative integers  $A \geq a, B \geq b, C \geq c$  and for any complex valued multiplicative arithmetic functions  $f$  which for some real  $r > 0$  satisfy  $|f(p) - p^r| = O(p^{r-\frac{1}{2}})$  for all primes  $p$  and  $|f(p^\nu)| = O(p^{\nu r})$  for all  $p$  and all  $\nu \geq 2$ . Examples of such functions are  $n \mapsto n^r$ , the sum-of-divisors function  $\sigma_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^r$  or the generalized Euler function  $\varphi_r(n) = \sum_{d|n} \mu\left(\frac{n}{d}\right) d^r$ .

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express her sincere thanks to the anonymous referees for a careful reading and valuable comments.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Algali, *On some multivariate LCM and GCD sums*, Turk. J. Math., to appear, DOI: 10.3906/mat-1706-68
- [2] P. Diaconis, P. Erdős, *On the distribution of the greatest common divisor*, Technical Report No.12, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, 1977; reprinted in: A Festschrift for Herman Rubin, in: IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser., vol. **45**, Inst. Math. Statist., (2004), 56–61.
- [3] T. Dyer, G. Harman, *Sums involving common divisors*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **34** (1986), 1–11.
- [4] I.S. Gál, *A theorem concerning Diophantine approximations*, Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. **23** (1949), 13–38
- [5] P. Haukkanen, P. Ilmonen, A. Nalli, J. Sillanpää, *On unitary analogs of GCD reciprocal LCM matrices*, Linear Multilinear A., **58** (2010), 599–616.
- [6] T. Hilberdink, *The group of squarefree integers*, Linear Algebra Appl., **457** (2014), 383–399.
- [7] T. Hilberdink, *An optimization problem concerning multiplicative functions*, Linear Algebra Appl., **485** (2015), 289–304.
- [8] T. Hilberdink, L. Tóth, *On the average value of the least common multiple of  $k$  positive integers*, J. Number Theory, **169** (2016), 327–341
- [9] L. Tóth, *Multiplicative Arithmetic Functions of Several Variables: A Survey*, in Mathematics Without Boundaries, Surveys in Pure Mathematics, T. M. Rassias, P. M. Pardalos (eds.), Springer, 2014, 483–514

(received 20.11.2017; in revised form 10.05.2018; available online 27.07.2018)

University of Belgrade, Faculty of Mathematics, Studentski Trg 16, p.p. 550, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

*E-mail:* kholaalgale@yahoo.com