

CONVERGENCE OF THE ISHIKAWA ITERATES  
FOR MULTI-VALUED MAPPINGS IN METRIC  
SPACES OF HYPERBOLIC TYPE

Ljubomir B. Ćirić and Nebojša T. Nikolić

**Abstract.** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric spaces of hyperbolic type,  $C$  be a closed and of hyperbolic type subset of  $X$  and let  $B(C)$  be the family of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $C$ . In this paper some results on the convergence of the Ishikawa iterates associated with a pair of multi-valued mappings  $S, T : C \rightarrow B(C)$  which satisfy the condition (2.1) below, are obtained.

1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $C$  a closed convex subset of  $X$  and  $T$  a self-mapping on  $X$ . In [2] Ćirić considered a wide class of self-mappings on  $C$  which satisfy the following condition:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq q \max\{ad(x, y), d(x, Tx) + d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $0 < q < 1$  and  $a$  is an arbitrary positive number. Ćirić proved that if the sequence of Mann iterates [10] with constant coefficients converges, then it converges strongly to a fixed point of  $T$ . This result for single-valued mappings satisfying (1.1) have been extended and generalized by Ghosh [5], Naimpally and Singh [11], Rashwan [12], [13], Ray [14] and Rhoades [15].

Recently Kubiacyk and Ali [9], Hu et al. [16] have studied the convergence of the sequence of Ishikawa iterates associated with a pair of multi-valued mappings which map a closed convex subset  $C$  of a Banach space into a family  $CB(C)$  of all nonempty closed and bounded subsets of  $C$  and satisfy the following condition

$$H(Sx, Ty) \leq q \max\{ad(x, y), D(x, Sx) + D(y, Ty), D(x, Ty) + D(y, Sx)\}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $H$  denotes the Hausdorff metric on  $CB(C)$  induced by  $d$ .

In [3] Ćirić and Ume have studied more general class of multi-valued mappings and generalized the result of Kubiacyk and Ali [9], Hu et al. [16]. Throughout our consideration we suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space which contains a family  $L$  of metric segments (isometric images of real line segments) such that

(a) each two points  $x, y$  in  $X$  are endpoints of exactly one member  $seg[x, y]$  of  $L$ , and

(b) if  $u, x, y \in X$  and if  $z \in seg[x, y]$  satisfies  $d(x, z) = \lambda d(x, y)$  for  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$d(u, z) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(u, x) + \lambda d(u, y).$$

A space of this type is said to be a *metric space of hyperbolic type* (Takahashi [17] has used the term *convex metric space*). This class includes all normed linear spaces, as well as all spaces with a hyperbolic metric (see [8] for a discussion).

Clearly a Banach space, or any convex subset of it, is a metric space of hyperbolic type with  $W(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ . More generally, if  $X$  is a linear space with a translation invariant metric satisfying

$$d(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, 0) \leq \lambda d(x, 0) + (1 - \lambda)d(y, 0),$$

then  $X$  is a metric space of hyperbolic type. There are many other examples but we consider these as paradigmatic.

**DEFINITION 1.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. An element  $x \in X$  is said to be a fixed point of a multi-valued mapping  $T: X \rightarrow 2^X$  if  $x \in T(x)$ . If  $Tx = \{x\}$ , then  $x$  is called a stationary point (or a strict fixed point) of  $F$ .

Many authors have considered fixed points in metric spaces of hyperbolic type (see [1–5], [7], [9], [11–16] and references there in).

The purpose of this paper is to continue investigation of the convergence of the Ishikawa iterates associated with a pair of multi-valued mappings which map a closed and of hyperbolic type subset  $C$  of a metric space of hyperbolic type  $X$  into a family  $B(C)$  of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $C$  and satisfy the condition (2.1) below, slightly different than the condition (1.2). Our main result is a modified generalization of the corresponding results of Ćirić and Ume [3], Ghosh [5], Kubiacyk and Ali [9], Naimpally and Singh [11], Ray [14] and Rhoades [15].

## 2. Main results

Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $B(X)$  be the family of all nonempty bounded subsets of  $X$ . Denote

$$\delta(A, B) = \sup\{d(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad A, B \in B(X).$$

Now we prove our main result.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space of hyperbolic type and let  $C$  be a closed and of hyperbolic type subset  $C$  of  $X$ . Let  $S, T: C \rightarrow B(C)$  be mappings satisfying the following condition:*

$$\delta(Sx_n, Ty_n) \leq \varphi(\max\{ad(x, y), d(x, y) + \delta(x, Ty) + \delta(y, Sx), \delta(x, Ty) + \delta(y, Sx) + \delta(y, Ty)\}) \quad (2.1)$$

for all  $x, y$  in  $K$ , where  $a > 1$  is an arbitrary positive real number and  $\varphi: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is a nondecreasing function such that  $t - \varphi(t) > 0$  for each  $t > 0$  and

$$t - \varphi(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{implies} \quad t \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.2)$$

Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence of Ishikawa iterates associated with a pair  $\{S, T\}$ , defined as follows:

$$y_n \in W(x_n^*, x_n, \beta_n), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.3)$$

$$x_{n+1} = W(y_n^*, x_n, \alpha_n), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (2.4)$$

where  $0 \leq \alpha_n < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta_n < 1$ ,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = \alpha > 0, \quad (2.5)$$

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < \frac{1}{a} \quad (2.6)$$

and for each  $n \geq 0$ ,  $x_n^* \in Sx_n$  and  $y_n^* \in Ty_n$  are such that

$$\delta(y_n, Sx_n) \leq d(y_n, x_n^*) + \epsilon_n; \quad \delta(y_n, Ty_n) \leq d(y_n, y_n^*) + \epsilon_n, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\epsilon_n > 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ . If  $\{x_n\}$  converges, then its limit point, say  $z \in C$ , is a stationary point of  $S$ , and if  $T$  is a closed mapping, then  $z$  is a common fixed point of  $S$  and  $T$ , that is,  $Sz = \{z\} \in Tz$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that

$$d(x, y) \leq d(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) + d(W(x, y, \lambda), y) \leq (1 - \lambda)d(x, y) + \lambda d(x, y) = d(x, y)$$

implies the following:

$$d(x, W(x, y, \lambda)) = (1 - \lambda)d(x, y), \quad d(y, W(x, y, \lambda)) = \lambda d(x, y).$$

From (2.4) we have, as  $y_n^* \in Ty_n$ ,

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(x_n, W(y_n^*, x_n, \alpha_n)) = \alpha_n d(x_n, y_n^*) \leq \alpha_n \delta(x_n, Ty_n). \quad (2.8)$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$ , then  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow 0$ . Thus from (2.8) and (2.5),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta(x_n, Ty_n) = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

From (2.7) and (2.1) with  $x = x_n$  and  $y = y_n$ , and using that  $\varphi$  is a nondecreasing function, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(Sx_n, Ty_n) &\leq \varphi(\max\{ad(x_n, y_n), d(x_n, y_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \delta(y_n, Sx_n), \\ &\quad \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \delta(y_n, Sx_n) + \delta(y_n, Ty_n)\}) \\ &\leq \varphi(\max\{ad(x_n, y_n), d(x_n, y_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + d(x_n^*, y_n) + \epsilon_n, \\ &\quad \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + d(x_n^*, y_n) + d(y_n, y_n^*) + 2\epsilon_n\}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

From (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, y_n) &= d(x_n, W(x_n^*, x_n, \beta_n)) = \beta_n d(x_n^*, x_n), \\ d(x_n^*, y_n) &= d(x_n^*, W(x_n^*, x_n, \beta_n)) = (1 - \beta_n) d(x_n^*, x_n) \\ d(y_n, y_n^*) &\leq d(y_n, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n^*) \leq \beta_n d(x_n^*, x_n) + d(x_n, y_n^*) \\ &\leq \beta_n d(x_n^*, x_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n). \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, y_n) + d(x_n^*, y_n) &= d(x_n, x_n^*); \\ d(x_n^*, y_n) + d(y_n, y_n^*) &\leq d(x_n, x_n^*) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Thus from (2.10) and (2.6) we get

$$\delta(Sx_n, Ty_n) \leq \varphi(d(x_n, x_n^*) + 2(\delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \epsilon_n)). \quad (2.12)$$

Since  $y_n^* \in Ty_n$ , by the triangle inequality we have

$$d(x_n, x_n^*) \leq d(x_n, y_n^*) + d(x_n^*, y_n^*) \leq \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + d(x_n^*, y_n^*). \quad (2.13)$$

Because  $d(x_n^*, y_n^*) \leq \delta(Sx_n, Ty_n)$ , by (2.13),

$$d(x_n^*, y_n^*) \leq \varphi(d(x_n, x_n^*) + 2(\delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \epsilon_n)).$$

Inserting this in (2.13) we obtain

$$d(x_n, x_n^*) \leq \varphi(d(x_n, x_n^*) + 2(\delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \epsilon_n)) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n). \quad (2.14)$$

Set

$$d(x_n, x_n^*) + 2(\delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \epsilon_n) = w_n. \quad (2.15)$$

Then from (2.14),  $w_n \leq \varphi(w_n) + 3\delta(x_n, Ty_n) + 2\epsilon_n$ . Hence

$$w_n - \varphi(w_n) \leq 3\delta(x_n, Ty_n) + 2\epsilon_n.$$

Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and using (2.9), we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (w_n - \varphi(w_n)) = 0$ . Hence, by (2.2),  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_n = 0$ . Thus from (2.15) and (2.9),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_n^*) = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

Since  $x_n \rightarrow z$ , from (2.16)  $x_n^* \rightarrow z$ .

From (2.16), (2.9) and (2.11) we get  $d(x_n^*, y_n) \rightarrow 0$ ,  $d(y_n, y_n^*) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Thus

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n^* = z. \quad (2.17)$$

Now we show that  $z$  is a stationary point of  $S$ . Since  $y_n^* \in Ty_n$ , from the triangle inequality and (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(z, Sz) &\leq d(z, x_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \delta(Sz, Ty_n) \\ &\leq d(z, x_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \varphi(\max\{ad(z, y_n), \\ &\quad d(z, y_n) + \delta(z, Ty_n) + \delta(y_n, Sz), \delta(z, Ty_n) + \delta(y_n, Sz) + \delta(y_n, Ty_n)\}) \\ &\leq d(z, x_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n) \\ &\quad + \varphi((2 + a)d(z, y_n) + d(z, x_n) + d(z, x_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n) + \delta(z, Sz)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$v_n - \varphi(v_n) \leq (2 + a)d(z, y_n) + d(z, x_n) + 2d(z, x_n) + 2\delta(x_n, Ty_n), \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$v_n = \delta(z, Sz) + (2 + a)d(z, y_n) + d(z, x_n) + d(z, x_n) + \delta(x_n, Ty_n). \quad (2.19)$$

Taking the limit as  $n$  tends to infinity we get, by (2.18) and (2.2),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = 0.$$

Then from (2.19),  $\delta(z, Sz) = 0$ . Hence  $Sz = \{z\}$ .

Assume now that  $T$  is a closed mapping (recall that a  $T : D \subset X \rightarrow 2^X$  is called a closed mapping if for  $\{x_n\} \subset D$  the conditions  $x_n \rightarrow z$ ,  $y_n \in Tx_n$  and  $y_n \rightarrow w$  imply  $w \in Tz$ ). Since  $\{y_n\} \subset C$ ,  $y_n \rightarrow z$ ,  $y_n^* \in Ty_n$ ,  $y_n^* \rightarrow z$  and  $T$  is a closed mapping, it follows that  $z \in Tz$ . Thus we have

$$Sz = \{z\} \in Tz. \quad \blacksquare$$

REMARK. Theorem 1 is a modified and generalized version of Theorem 2.2 of Ćirić and Ume [3] in Banach spaces and also a modified and generalized version of the main theorems of Kubiacyk and Ali [9] and Hu et al. [16], where they consider a pair of mapping in Banach spaces satisfying (1.1) and for  $\{\beta_n\}$  they assumed the condition that  $\beta_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This condition implies that the Ishikawa iterates became the Mann iterates.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] I. Beg and A. Azam, *On the iteration methods for multi-valued mappings*, Demonstratio Math. **27** (1994), 493–499.
- [2] Lj. B. Ćirić, *Quasi-contractions in Banach spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd), **21** (35) (1977), 41–48.
- [3] Lj. B. Ćirić and J. S. Ume, *Common fixed points of nonlinear contractions*, Acta Math. Hungar. **80** (1998), 31–38.
- [4] L. B. Ćirić and J. S. Ume, *Iterative approximation of a common fixed point of multi-valued mappings*, Italian J. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (2005), 217–222.
- [5] K. M. Ghosh, *A note on a theorem of Rhoades*, Mat. Sem. Notes, Kobe Univ. **8** (1980), 505–507.
- [6] S. Ishikawa, *Fixed points by a new iteration method*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **44** (1974), 147–150.
- [7] M. S. Khan, H. K. Pathak and M. D. Khan, *Some fixed point theorems in metrically convex spaces*, Georgian Math. J. **7** (2000), 523–530.
- [8] W. A. Kirk, *Krasnoselskii's iteration process in hyperbolic spaces*, Numer. Func. Anal. Optim. **4** (1982), 371–381.
- [9] I. Kubiacyk and M. Ali, *On the convergence of the Ishikawa iterates to a common fixed point for a pair of multi-valued mappings*, Acta Math. Hungar. **75** (1997), 253–257.
- [10] W. R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 506–510.
- [11] S. A. Naimpally and K. L. Singh, *Extensions of some fixed point theorems of Rhoades*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **96** (1983), 437–446.

- [12] R. A. Rashwan, *On the convergence of Mann iterates to a common fixed point for a pair of mappings*, Demonstratio Math., **23** (1990), 709–712.
- [13] R. A. Rashwan, *On the convergence of the Ishikawa iterates to a common fixed point for a pair of mappings*, Demonstratio Math. **28** (1995), 271–274.
- [14] B. K. Ray, *On an extension of a theorem of Ljubomir Ćirić*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. **10** (1979), 145–146.
- [15] B. E. Rhoades, *Extensions of some fixed point theorems of Ćirić, Maiti and Pal*, Math. Seminar. Notes, Kobe Univ. **6** (1978), 41–46.
- [16] T. Hu, J. C. Huang and B. E. Rhoades, *A general principle for Ishikawa iterations for multi-valued mappings*, Indian J. pure appl. Math. **28** (8) (1997), 1091–1098.
- [17] W. Takahashi, *A convexity in metric spaces and nonexpansive mappings*, Math. Sem. Rep. **22** (1970), 142–149.

(received 10.08.2007)

Ljubomir B. Ćirić, Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Kraljice Marije 16, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia  
*E-mail*: lciric@afrodita.rcub.bg.ac.yu

Nebojša T. Nikolić, Faculty of Organizational Science, Jove Ilica 154, 11 000 Belgrade, Serbia  
*E-mail*: sigma@fon.bg.ac.yu