

CONTINUOUS REPRESENTATION OF INTERVAL ORDERS BY MEANS OF DECREASING SCALES

Gianni Bosi

Abstract. We characterize the representability of an interval order on a topological space through a pair of continuous real-valued functions which in addition represent two total preorders associated to the given interval order. Such a continuous representation is obtained by using the notion of a decreasing scale.

1. Introduction

Interval orders are reflexive and total binary relations which are not transitive in general. Such a model may be viewed as the simplest one fulfilling these requirements, in the sense that interval orders may be fully represented by a pair of real-valued functions. The real representability of interval orders was first deeply studied by Fishburn (see e.g. Fishburn [18,19], and then considered by other authors (see e.g. Bridges [9–11], Bridges and Mehta [13], and Oloriz et al. [22]).

Some authors were concerned with the existence of a (semi)continuous representation of an interval order on a topological space (see e.g. Bridges [12], Candeal et al. [15], Chateauneuf [16], Bosi [3], Bosi and Isler [4], and Bosi et al. [5]). In particular, Chateauneuf [16] provided a characterization of the existence of a pair of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order on a connected topological space. A characterization of the existence of a continuous representation of an interval order on a topological space has been recently obtained by Bosi et al. [6] by using a suitable notion of order separability, called *i.o.separability*.

In this paper we provide a characterization of the existence of a pair (U, V) of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order \preceq on a topological space (X, τ) (in the sense that, for all $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y$ if and only if $U(x) \leq V(y)$). The functions U and V may be chosen so that they represent two total preorders associated to the interval order \preceq . In order to obtain such a characterization, we use the notion of a *decreasing scale* which was first introduced by Burgess and Fitzpatrick [14], and then considered by other authors (see e.g. Herden [20], Alcantud et al. [1], Bosi and Mehta [7] and Bosi and Zuanon [8]).

AMS Subject Classification: 06A06; 91B16.

Keywords and phrases: Interval order; continuous numerical representation; decreasing scale.

2. Notation and preliminaries

An *interval order* \succsim on an arbitrary nonempty set X is a binary relation on X which is *reflexive* and in addition verifies the following condition for all $x, y, z, w \in X$:

$$(x \succsim z) \text{ and } (y \succsim w) \Rightarrow (x \succsim w) \text{ or } (y \succsim z).$$

The *strict part* of a given interval order \succsim will be denoted by \prec (i.e., for all $x, y \in X$, $x \prec y$ if and only if $\text{not}(y \succsim x)$). An interval order \succsim on a set X is necessarily total, in the sense that, for any two elements $x, y \in X$, either $x \succsim y$ or $y \succsim x$ (see Oloriz et al. [22]).

If \succsim is an interval order on a set X , then we may consider the binary relations \succsim^* and \succsim^{**} on X defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} x \succsim^* y &\Leftrightarrow (z \succsim x \Rightarrow z \succsim y \text{ for every } z \in X) & (x, y \in X) \\ x \succsim^{**} y &\Leftrightarrow (y \succsim z \Rightarrow x \succsim z \text{ for every } z \in X) & (x, y \in X) \end{aligned}$$

Fishburn [19] proved that the binary relations \succsim^* and \succsim^{**} associated to any interval order \succsim on a set X are total preorders on X (i.e., they are reflexive, transitive and total). It is clear that, for any two elements $x, y \in X$, if either $x \succsim^* y$ or $x \succsim^{**} y$, then we have that $x \succsim y$.

Obviously every total preorder \succsim on a set X is an interval order on X . In this case, we have that $\succsim = \succsim^* = \succsim^{**}$. The importance of interval orders in economics lies on the fact that they are not transitive in general.

A total preorder \succsim on a set X is representable by means of a real-valued function U on X if, for all $x, y \in X$:

$$x \succsim y \Leftrightarrow U(x) \leq U(y).$$

We also say that U is a *utility function* for the total preorder \succsim on the set X .

An interval order \succsim on a set X is said to be representable through a pair (U, V) of real-valued functions on X if, for all $x, y \in X$:

$$x \succsim y \Leftrightarrow U(x) \leq V(y).$$

If \succsim is an interval order on a set X , then a subset G of X is said to be \succsim -*decreasing* if, for all $x, y \in X$, $x \succsim y$ and $y \in G$ imply $x \in G$.

An interval order \succsim on a topological space (X, τ) is said to be *upper (lower) semicontinuous* if $L_{\prec}(x) = \{y \in X : y \prec x\}$ ($U_{\prec}(x) = \{y \in X : x \prec y\}$) is a τ -open subset of X for every $x \in X$. If \succsim is both upper and lower semicontinuous, then it is said to be *continuous*.

3. Continuous representability

We present a characterization of the existence of a pair of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order on a topological space, where the

two functions are utilities for two total preorders naturally associated with the given interval order.

THEOREM 3.1. *The following conditions are equivalent for an interval order \lesssim on a topological space (X, τ) :*

- i) *The interval order \lesssim is representable through a pair of continuous real-valued functions (U, V) with values in $[0, 1]$, where U is a representation for the total preorder \lesssim^{**} and V is a representation for the total preorder \lesssim^* ;*
- ii) *There exist two families $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]}$ and $\{G_r^{**}\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]}$ of open subsets of (X, τ) with $G_1^* = G_1^{**} = X$ satisfying the following conditions:*
 - (a) *$x \lesssim y$ and $y \in G_r^*$ imply $x \in G_r^{**}$ for every $x, y \in X$ and $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$;*
 - (b) *G_r^* is \lesssim^* -decreasing and G_r^{**} is \lesssim^{**} -decreasing for every $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$;*
 - (c) *$\overline{G_{r_1}^*} \subseteq G_{r_2}^*$ and $\overline{G_{r_1}^{**}} \subseteq G_{r_2}^{**}$ for every $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $r_1 < r_2$;*
 - (d) *for every $x, y \in X$ such that $x \prec y$ there exist $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[$ such that $r_1 < r_2$, $x \in G_{r_1}^*$, $y \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$.*

Proof. *i) \Rightarrow ii).* If (U, V) is a representation of the interval order \lesssim with the indicated properties, then just define $G_r^* = V^{-1}([0, r[)$, $G_r^{**} = U^{-1}([0, r[)$ for every $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[$, and $G_1^* = G_1^{**} = X$ in order to immediately verify that $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]}$ and $\{G_r^{**}\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]}$ are two families of open subsets of (X, τ) satisfying conditions (a) through (d).

ii) \Rightarrow i). Assume that the above condition ii) holds. Define two functions $U, V : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ as follows:

$$U(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\} \quad (x \in X),$$

$$V(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in G_r^*\} \quad (x \in X).$$

We claim that (U, V) is a pair of continuous functions on (X, τ) with values in $[0, 1]$ representing the interval order \lesssim where U is a representation for the total preorder \lesssim^{**} and V is a representation for the total preorder \lesssim^* .

From the definition of the functions U and V , it is clear that they both take values in $[0, 1]$. Let us first show that the pair (U, V) represents the interval order \lesssim . Consider any two elements $x, y \in X$ such that $x \lesssim y$, and observe that, for every $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$, if $y \in G_r^*$ then it must be that $x \in G_r^{**}$ by the above condition (a). Hence it must be that $U(x) \leq V(y)$ from the definition of U and V . Now consider any two elements $x, y \in X$ such that $y \prec x$. Then by condition (d), there exist $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $r_1 < r_2$, $y \in G_{r_1}^*$, $x \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$. Hence we have that $V(y) \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq U(x)$, which obviously implies that $V(y) < U(x)$.

Let us now prove that V is a representation for the total preorder \lesssim^* . From the first part of condition (b) we have that G_r^* is a \lesssim^* decreasing subset of X for every $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$. Hence if x, y are any two elements of X such that $x \lesssim^* y$, then it must be that $V(x) \leq V(y)$ from the definition of V . Now consider any two elements $x, y \in X$ such that $y \prec^* x$. Hence there exists another element $z \in X$ such that $y \prec z \lesssim x$. So, by condition (d), there exist $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $r_1 < r_2$,

$y \in G_{r_1}^*$, $z \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$. By condition (a), we have that $x \notin G_{r_2}^*$ since $z \lesssim x$. Finally, we may guarantee the existence of $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $r_1 < r_2$, $y \in G_{r_1}^*$, $x \notin G_{r_2}^*$. Hence from the definition of V , we have that $V(y) \leq r_1 < r_2 \leq V(x)$ which obviously implies that $V(y) < V(x)$.

Analogously it may be shown that U is a representation for the total preorder \lesssim^{**} .

To conclude the proof, let us show that U and V are both continuous functions by condition (c). We only prove that U is continuous. Then analogous arguments will show that also V is continuous. Let us first prove that U is upper semicontinuous. Consider any $x \in X$, and $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $U(x) < \alpha$. Then from the definition of U , there exists $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $U(x) \leq r < \alpha$, $x \in G_r^{**}$. Observe that $U(z) \geq \alpha$ ($z \in X$) implies that $U(z) > r$ which in turn implies that $z \notin G_r^{**}$. Hence G_r^{**} is an open subset of X containing x such that $U(z) < \alpha$ for every $z \in G_r^{**}$. In order to show that U is lower semicontinuous, let us first prove that

$$U(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} \text{ for every } x \in X.$$

Since $G_r^{**} \subseteq \overline{G_r^{**}}$ for every $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$, it is clear that, for every $x \in X$,

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} \leq \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Now assume that there exists $x \in X$ with

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} < \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Consider $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ such that

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} < r_1 < r_2 < \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Then we have that $x \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$, $x \notin G_{r_2}^{**}$, and this is contradictory, since $\overline{G_{r_1}^{**}} \subseteq G_{r_2}^{**}$. So it must be that, for every $x \in X$,

$$\inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\} = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in G_r^{**}\}.$$

Now consider any $x \in X$, and any $\alpha \in \mathbf{R} \cap]0, 1]$ such that $\alpha < U(x)$. Further let $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]$ be such that $\alpha < r_1 < r_2 < U(x)$. Then we have that $x \notin \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$ because otherwise $x \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$ implies that $x \in G_{r_2}^{**}$ and this contradicts the fact that $U(x) > r_2$. Observe that $U(z) \leq \alpha$ ($z \in X$) implies that $U(z) < r_1$ which in turn implies that $z \in \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$ since $U(x) = \inf\{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1] : x \in \overline{G_r^{**}}\}$ for every $x \in X$. Hence $X \setminus \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$ is an open subset of X containing x such that $\alpha < U(z)$ for every $z \in X \setminus \overline{G_{r_1}^{**}}$. This consideration completes the proof. ■

REMARK 3.2. The family $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1]}$ is a \lesssim^* -decreasing scale according to the definition introduced by Burgess and Fitzpatrick [14].

As an application of the previous characterization, in the following proposition we present a generalization of the Theorem in Chateaufeuf [16]. Chateaufeuf showed that a *strongly separable* interval order \lesssim on a connected topological space

(X, τ) is representable through a pair of continuous real-valued functions (U, V) , where U is a representation for the total preorder \preceq^{**} and V is a representation for the total preorder \preceq^* , provided that the total preorders \preceq^* and \preceq^{**} are both continuous.

We recall that an interval order \preceq on a set X is said to be *strongly separable* if there exists a countable set $D \subseteq X$ such that, for every $x, y \in X$ with $x \prec y$, there exists $d_1, d_2 \in D$ with $x \prec d_1 \preceq d_2 \prec y$. D is said to be an *order dense subset* of X (see Chateaufneuf [16]).

Observe that, in contrast to the *Chateaufneuf Representation Theorem*, ours does not need any connectedness assumption on the topological space. The following proposition was already proved by Bosi [3] by using the proof of the existence of a continuous utility function provided by Jaffray [21].

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Let \preceq be a strongly separable interval order on a topological space (X, τ) , and assume that the total preorders \preceq^* and \preceq^{**} are both continuous. Then the interval order \preceq is representable through a pair of continuous real-valued functions (U, V) with values in $[0, 1]$, where U is a representation for the total preorder \preceq^{**} and V is a representation for the total preorder \preceq^* .*

Proof. Let \preceq be a strongly separable interval order on a topological space (X, τ) , and assume that the associated total preorders \preceq^* and \preceq^{**} are both continuous. Then from the Proposition in Chateaufneuf [16], we have that \preceq is continuous. Further strong separability of \preceq implies *order separability* of \preceq^* and \preceq^{**} . In particular, if D is an order dense subset of X , then for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \prec^{**} y$ there exists $d \in D$ with $x \prec^{**} d \prec^{**} y$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that (D, \preceq^{**}) is actually a totally ordered set (or a *chain*) without extreme points. Therefore by using considerations in Birkhoff [2] and following a construction analogous to Construction 3.3 in Alcantud et al. [1], we may conclude that there exists an order-preserving function $f: (D, \preceq^{**}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[, \leq)$. Further we may assume that the mapping f is onto.

For reader's convenience we recall that any countable chain (C, \preceq) is order isomorphic with a subchain of (\mathbf{Q}, \leq) (see Theorem 22 on page 200 in Birkhoff [2]) and in particular order isomorphic with (\mathbf{Q}, \leq) if (C, \preceq) is *dense in itself* and has neither a minimal nor a maximal element (see Theorem 23 on page 200 in Birkhoff [2]). Therefore we may conclude that any countable chain (C, \preceq) with the above properties is also order isomorphic with $(\mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[, \leq)$.

Let us now go back to our case and consider an order-preserving function $f: (D, \preceq^{**}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[, \leq)$ which is also onto. If $f^{-1}(r) = d$ ($r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[$), then define

$$G_r^* = L_{\prec}(d), \quad G_r^{**} = L_{\prec^{**}}(d) \quad (r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[),$$

and set $G_1^* = G_1^{**} = X$. We claim that $\{G_r^*\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[}$ and $\{G_r^{**}\}_{r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[}$ are two families of subsets of X satisfying condition *ii)* of Theorem 3.1. It is clear that G_r^* is open and \preceq^* -decreasing, and G_r^{**} is open and \preceq^{**} -decreasing for every $r \in \mathbf{Q} \cap]0, 1[$, so that condition *(b)* holds. In order to show that condition *(a)* is verified, just

observe that, for every $d \in D$, if $x \succsim y$ and $y \prec d$, then $x \prec^{**} d$. In order to prove that condition (c) holds, observe that for all $d_1, d_2 \in D$ such that $d_1 \prec^{**} d_2$ there exists $z \in X$ such that $d_1 \succsim z \prec d_2$, and therefore we have that

$$L_{\prec}(d_1) \subseteq \overline{L_{\prec}(d_1)} \subseteq L_{\succ^*}(z) \subseteq L_{\prec}(d_2),$$

$$L_{\prec^{**}}(d_1) \subseteq \overline{L_{\prec^{**}}(d_1)} \subseteq L_{\succ^{**}}(d_1) \subseteq L_{\prec^{**}}(d_2),$$

where $L_{\succ^*}(z) = \{w \in X : w \succsim^* z\}$ and $L_{\succ^{**}}(d_1) = \{w \in X : w \succsim^{**} d_1\}$ are closed subsets of X . Finally, condition (d) of Theorem 3.1 holds, since strong separability of the interval order \succsim implies that for all $x, y \in X$ such that $x \prec y$ there exist $d_1, d_2 \in D$ such that $x \prec d_1 \prec^{**} d_2 \prec^{**} y$, and therefore $x \in L_{\prec}(d_1)$ and $y \notin L_{\prec^{**}}(d_2)$. This consideration completes the proof. ■

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. I wish to thank an anonymous referee for many helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] J.C.R. Alcantud, G. Bosi, M.J. Campión, J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin and C. Rodríguez-Palmero, *Continuous utility functions through scales*, (2006), submitted.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1967.
- [3] G. Bosi, *Continuous representations of interval orders based on induced preorders*, Riv. Mat. Sci. economiche e sociali, XVIII, I (1995), 75–82.
- [4] G. Bosi and R. Isler, *Representing preferences with nontransitive indifference by a single real-valued function*, J. Math. Economics **24** (1995), 621–631.
- [5] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin, E. Oloriz and M. Zudaire, *Numerical representations of interval orders*, Order **18** (2001), 171–190.
- [6] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal and E. Induráin, *Natural topologies for interval-ordered structures and the continuous representation problem*, (2005), preprint.
- [7] G. Bosi and G. B. Mehta, *Existence of a semicontinuous or continuous utility function: a unified approach and an elementary proof*, J. Math. Economics **38** (2002), 311–328.
- [8] G. Bosi and M. Zuanon, *Continuous representability of homothetic preorders by means of sublinear order-preserving functions*, Math. Soc. Sci. **45** (2003), 333–341.
- [9] D.S. Bridges, *A numerical representation of preferences with intransitive indifference*, J. Math. Economics **11** (1983), 25–42.
- [10] D.S. Bridges, *Numerical representation of intransitive preferences on a countable set*, J. Economic Theory **30** (1983), 213–217.
- [11] D.S. Bridges, *Representing interval orders by a single real-valued function*, J. Economic Theory **36** (1985), 149–155.
- [12] D.S. Bridges, *Numerical representation of interval orders on a topological space*, J. Economic Theory **38** (1986), 160–166.
- [13] D.S. Bridges and G.B. Mehta, *Representations of Preference Orderings*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
- [14] D.C.J. Burgess and M. Fitzpatrick, *On separation axioms for certain types of ordered topological space*, Math. Proc. Cam. Phil. Soc. **82** (1977), 59–65.
- [15] J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin and M. Zudaire, *Continuous representability of interval orders*, Applied General Topology **5** (2004), 213–230.
- [16] A. Chateauneuf, *Continuous representation of a preference relation on a connected topological space*, J. Math. Economics **16** (1987), 139–146.
- [17] G. Debreu, *Continuity properties of Paretian utility*, Int. Economic Review **5** (1964), 285–293.

- [18] P.C. Fishburn, *Intransitive indifference with unequal indifference intervals*, J. Math. Psychology **7** (1970), 144–149.
- [19] P.C. Fishburn, *Interval Orders and Interval Graphs*, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [20] G. Herden, *On the existence of utility functions*, Math. Soc. Sciences **17** (1989), 297–313.
- [21] J.Y. Jaffray, *Existence of a continuous utility function: an elementary proof*, Econometrica **43** (1975), 981–983.
- [22] E. Oloriz, J.C. Candeal and E. Induráin, *Representability of interval orders*, J. Economic Theory **78** (1998), 219–227.

(received 14.02.2005, in revised form 05.09.2005)

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata “Bruno de Finetti”, Università di Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

E-mail: giannibo@econ.units.it