

## GENERALIZED BINOMIAL LAW AND REGULARLY VARYING MOMENTS

Slavko Simić

**Abstract.** In this paper we demonstrate a method for estimating asymptotic behavior of the regularly varying moments  $E(K_\rho(X_n))$ , ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) in the case of generalized Binomial Law. Here  $K_\rho(x)$  is from the class of regularly varying functions in the sense of Karamata. We prove that

$$E(K_\rho(X_n)) \sim K_\rho(E(X_n)), \quad \rho > 0, \quad E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e., that the asymptotics of the first moment determines the behavior of all other moments.

### 1. Introduction

**1.1.** We shall consider a polynomial  $P_n(c) := \sum_{k \leq n} p_{nk} c^k$  with non-positive zeros and a random variable  $X_n$  defined as follows:

$$P\{X_n = k\} = \frac{p_{nk} c^k}{P_n(c)}, \quad k \leq n; \quad k, n \in N \cup \{0\}.$$

We call this a generalized Binomial Law with parameter  $c > 0$ , since for

$$P_n(c) = (1 + c)^n, \quad c/(1 + c) := p; \quad 1/(1 + c) := q,$$

we obtain the well-known Binomial Law.

Define also, in the usual way, the first moment  $E(X_n)$  and variance  $D^2(X_n)$ :

$$E(X_n) := \frac{1}{P_n(c)} \sum_{k \leq n} k p_{nk} c^k; \quad D^2(X_n) := \frac{1}{P_n(c)} \sum_{k \leq n} (k - E(X_n))^2 p_{nk} c^k.$$

The aim of this paper is to determine the asymptotic behavior of the moments generalized in the following way.

Let  $K_\rho(x) := x^\rho \ell(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ ;  $K_\rho(0) := 0$  be a regularly varying function of index  $\rho \in R$  in the sense of Karamata. Then

$$E(K_\rho(X_n)) := \frac{1}{P_n(c)} \sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho \ell(k) p_{nk} c^k, \quad \rho \in R.$$

---

AMS Subject Classification: 26 A 12

We shall prove the following

**THEOREM A.** *For the generalized Binomial Law, defined above, we have*

$$E(K_\rho(X_n)) \sim K_\rho(E(X_n)), \quad E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

for each  $\rho \in R^+$ .

Therefore, for this class of distributions, it is particularly simple to determine the asymptotic behavior of its moments.

**1.2.** Karamata's class  $K_\rho$  plays here an important role. We say that  $c \in K_\rho$  if it can be represented in the form  $c(x) := x^\rho \ell(x)$ ,  $x > 0$ ,  $\rho \in R$ , where  $\rho$  is the index of regular variation and  $\ell(x) \in K_0$  is a slowly varying function, i.e., positive, measurable and satisfying  $\ell(tx) \sim \ell(x)$ ,  $\forall t > 0$  ( $x \rightarrow \infty$ ). Some examples of  $\ell(x)$  are:

$$1, \log^a x, \log^b(\log x), \exp\left(\frac{\log x}{\log \log x}\right), \exp(\log^c x), \quad a, b \in R; \quad 0 < c < 1.$$

According to [2], a sequence  $(c_n)$ ,  $c_0 = 0$  is regularly varying with index  $\rho \in R$  if it has the form  $c_n := n^\rho \ell_n$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $\ell_n = \ell(n)$  for some continuous  $\ell \in K_0$ . Then we also say that  $c_n \in K_\rho$ .

The theory of regular variation is well-developed and for more details see [1] and [4].

## 2. Proofs

We prove Theorem A in three steps.

First, we suppose that  $\rho \in N$ ,  $\ell(\cdot) := 1$ , and prove the next proposition.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *If  $E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then*

$$E(X_n^m) := \frac{1}{P_n(c)} \sum_{k \leq n} k^m p_{nk} c^k \sim (E(X_n))^m \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

for each  $m \in N$ .

Denote by  $A$  the set of all polynomials with non-positive zeros.

To prove the last assertion, we need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $P_n(c) \in A$  and  $E(X_n)$ ,  $D^2(X_n)$  are defined as above, then*

$$0 \leq \frac{D^2(X_n)}{E(X_n)} < 1 \text{ for each } c \in R^+, n \in N.$$

*Proof.* Since  $P_n(c) \in A$ , it can be represented in the form

$$P_n(c) = p_{nn} \prod_{k \leq n} (c + a_{nk}), \quad a_{nk} \geq 0.$$

Hence

$$E(X_n) = c \frac{d}{dc} (\log P_n(c)) = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{c}{c + a_{nk}};$$

$$D^2(X_n) = E(X_n^2) - E^2(X_n) = c \frac{d}{dc} (E(X_n)) = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{ca_{nk}}{(c + a_{nk})^2}.$$

Therefore,

$$D^2(X_n) = \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{c}{c + a_{nk}} \cdot \frac{a_{nk}}{c + a_{nk}} < \sum_{k \leq n} \frac{c}{c + a_{nk}} = E(X_n),$$

i.e., Lemma 1 is proved. ■

Consider now a sequence of polynomials  $\{Q_m(c)\}$  generated from  $P_n(c)$  by the recurrence relation

$$Q_m(c) := cQ'_{m-1}(c); \quad Q_0(c) := P_n(c), \quad m \in N.$$

It is easy to see that

$$Q_m(c) = \sum_{k \leq n} k^m p_{nk} c^k = E(X_n^m) P_n(c) \quad m \in N.$$

Since  $P_n(c) \in A$ , by the classical result, its zeros are separated by the zeros of  $P'_n(c)$ . Hence, zeros of  $Q_1(c) := cP'_n(c)$  are also non-positive.

By induction we obtain  $Q_m(c) \in A$ ,  $m \in N$ . Therefore, we can apply Lemma 1 to the polynomial  $Q = Q_m(c) \in A$  and obtain

$$0 \leq T_m := \frac{D_Q^2(X_n)}{E_Q(X_n)} < 1, \quad m \in N.$$

But  $T_m = E(X_n^{m+1})/E(X_n^m) - E(X_n^m)/E(X_n^{m-1})$ ,  $m \in N$ ; hence

$$\frac{E(X_n^m)}{E(X_n^{m-1})} = E(X_n) + \sum_{k \leq m} T_{k-1} = E(X_n) + O(m).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n^m) &= \prod_{k \leq m} E(X_n^k)/E(X_n^{k-1}) \\ &= \prod_{k \leq m} (E(X_n) + O(k)) = E(X_n)^m + O(m^2)E(X_n)^{m-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $m \in N$  is fixed and  $E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), Proposition 1 is proved. ■

In the next step, we shall prove our assertion for real positive exponents i.e.,

PROPOSITION 2. *If  $E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) then*

$$E(X_n^\rho) \sim (E(X_n))^\rho \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

for each  $\rho \in R^+$ .

*Proof.* For this we need the well-known Lyapunov's moments inequality

LEMMA 2. For real  $r > s > t$  we have

$$(E(X_n^s))^{r-t} \leq (E(X_n^r))^{s-t} \cdot (E(X_n^t))^{r-s}.$$

Let  $m < \rho < m-1$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Applying Lemma 2 and Proposition 1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n^\rho) &\leq (E(X_n^m))^{\rho-m+1} \cdot (E(X_n^{m-1}))^{m-\rho} \\ &= (E(X_n))^{m(\rho-m+1)+(m-1)(m-\rho)}(1+o(1)) = (E(X_n))^\rho(1+o(1)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n^\rho)/(E(X_n))^\rho \leq 1$ .

Putting now in Lyapunov's inequality  $r := m+1$ ;  $s := m$ ;  $t := \rho$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} E(X_n^\rho) &\geq (E(X_n^m))^{m+1-\rho} / (E(X_n^{m+1}))^{m-\rho} \\ &= (E(X_n))^{m(m+1-\rho)-(m+1)(m-\rho)}(1+o(1)) = (E(X_n))^\rho(1+o(1)), \end{aligned}$$

i.e.,  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(X_n^\rho)/(E(X_n))^\rho \geq 1$ .

Therefore, Proposition 2 is proved. ■

Now we are able to prove Theorem A. For this, we just need the following assertion which is fundamental in the Theory of Regular Variation ([1], [4]).

LEMMA 3. For any slowly varying  $\ell(\cdot)$ , some  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and  $y \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$(i) \quad \sup_{x < y} (x^\mu \ell(x)) \sim y^\mu \ell(y); \quad (ii) \quad \sup_{x > y} x^{-\mu} \ell(x) \sim y^{-\mu} \ell(y).$$

We shall estimate the expression  $T$ ,

$$T := \frac{E(K_\rho(X_n))}{E(X_n^\rho)\ell(E(X_n))} - 1 = \frac{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} (\ell(k)/\ell(E(X_n)) - 1) c^k}{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} c^k}.$$

Now, for some  $\sigma$ ,  $0 < \sigma < 1$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} |T| &\leq \frac{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} |\ell(k)/\ell(E(X_n)) - 1| c^k}{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} c^k} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} c^k} \left( \sum_{k < \sigma E(X_n)} + \sum_{\sigma E(X_n) \leq k \leq E(X_n)/\sigma} + \sum_{k > E(X_n)/\sigma} \right) \\ &= T_1 + T_2 + T_3. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 3 (part (i)) and Proposition 2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \frac{1}{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} c^k} \sum_{k < \sigma E(X_n)} k^{\rho/2} p_{nk} |k^{\rho/2} \ell(k)/\ell(E(X_n)) - k^{\rho/2}| c^k \\ &\leq \sup_{k \leq \sigma E(X_n)} (k^{\rho/2} \ell(k)/\ell(E(X_n)) + k^{\rho/2}) \frac{E(X_n^{\rho/2})}{E(X_n^\rho)} \\ &\sim 2(\sigma E(X_n))^{\rho/2} \cdot (E(X_n))^{-\rho/2} \ll \sigma^{\rho/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously, using (ii) of Lemma 3,

$$\begin{aligned} T_3 &\leq \sup_{k > E(X_n)/\sigma} (k^{-\rho/2} \ell(k) / \ell(E(X_n)) + k^{-\rho/2}) \frac{E(X_n^{3\rho/2})}{E(X_n^\rho)} \\ &\sim 2(E(X_n)/\sigma)^{-\rho/2} \cdot (E(X_n))^{\rho/2} \ll \sigma^{\rho/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &= \frac{\sum_{\sigma E(X_n) \leq k \leq E(X_n)/\sigma} k^\rho p_{nk} |\ell(k) / \ell(E(X_n)) - 1| c^k}{\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho p_{nk} c^k} \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma E(X_n) \leq k \leq E(X_n)/\sigma} |\ell(k) / \ell(E(X_n)) - 1| = o(1) \quad (E(X_n) \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

by the Uniform Convergence Theorem ([1], pp. 6–11).

Therefore,

$$T \leq T_1 + T_2 + T_3 = O(\sigma^{\rho/2}) + o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since  $\rho > 0$  and  $\sigma$  can be taken arbitrarily small, we deduce that

$$E(K_\rho(E(X_n))) \sim E(X_n^\rho) \ell(X_n) \sim (E(X_n))^\rho \ell(E(x_n)) = K_\rho(E(X_n)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

i.e., operators  $E$  and  $K_\rho$  are asymptotically commutative, which was the content of Theorem A. Hence, the proof is done. ■

REMARK 1. In the previous proof, the sum  $T_3$  may be empty. But then

$$\begin{aligned} T_2 &\leq \sup_{\sigma E(X_n) \leq k \leq n} |\ell(k) / \ell(E(X_n)) - 1| \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma E(X_n) \leq k \leq E(X_n)/\sigma} |\ell(k) / \ell(E(X_n)) - 1| = o(1) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

by Uniform Convergence Theorem again.

Finally, we give some applications of Theorem A.

EXAMPLE 1. Taking  $P_n(c) := (1+c)^n$ ;  $E(X_n) = \frac{c}{1+c}n$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and putting  $\frac{c}{1+c} := p$ ;  $\frac{1}{1+c} := q$  we obtain an asymptotic formula for regularly varying moments of the Binomial Law:

$$\sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho \ell_k \binom{n}{k} p^k q^{n-k} \sim p^\rho n^\rho \ell_n, \quad \rho \in R^+ \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

EXAMPLE 2. Laguerre polynomials  $L_n^{(a)}(c)$  of index  $a > -1$  have all zeros real and positive. Hence  $L_n^{(a)}(-c)$ ,  $c > 0$ , satisfy the condition of Theorem A. Using Perron's formula (cf. [3], p.197) we obtain  $E(X_n) \sim \sqrt{cn}$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{L_n^{(a)}(-c)} \sum_{k \leq n} k^\rho \ell_k \binom{n+a}{n-k} \frac{c^k}{k!} \sim c^{\rho/2} n^{\rho/2} \ell(\sqrt{cn}), \quad c > 0, \rho \in R^+ \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).$$

REMARK 2. Further considerations can show that Theorem A is also valid for negative values of exponent  $\rho$  (see [5]).

## REFERENCES

- [1] N.H. Bingham et al., *Regular Variation*, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [2] R. Bojanic, E. Seneta, *A unified theory of regularly varying sequences*, Math. Zeitsch. **134** (1973), 91–106.
- [3] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1959.
- [4] E. Seneta, *Regularly Varying Functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1976.
- [5] S. Simić, *Asymptotic behavior of some complex sequences*, Publ. Inst. Math. **39(53)** (1986), 119–128.

(received 10.01.2002)

Matematički institut SANU, Kneza Mihaila 35/I, Beograd, Serbia & Montenegro  
*E-mail*: ssimic@turing.mi.sanu.ac.yu