

## MEASURES OF NON-STRICT-SINGULARITY AND NON-STRICT-COSINGULARITY

Snežana Živković

**Abstract.** In this paper we investigate a new measure of non-strict-singularity and a new measure of non-strict-cosingularity. Measures of non-strict-singularity and of non-strict-cosingularity have been investigated in [11], [8], [12], [7], [9], [15].

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $Z$  are complex Banach spaces,  $B(X, Y)$  ( $K(X, Y)$ ) the set of all bounded (compact) linear operators from  $X$  into  $Y$ . We shall write  $B(X)$  ( $K(X)$ ) instead of  $B(X, X)$  ( $K(X, X)$ ).

An operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is in  $\Phi_+(X, Y)$  ( $\Phi_-(X, Y)$ ) if the range  $R(T)$  is closed in  $Y$  and the dimension  $\alpha(T)$  of the null space  $N(T)$  of  $T$  is finite (the codimension  $\beta(T)$  of  $R(T)$  in  $Y$  is finite). Operators in  $\Phi_+(X, Y) \cup \Phi_-(X, Y)$  are called semi-Fredholm operators. We set  $\Phi(X, Y) = \Phi_+(X, Y) \cap \Phi_-(X, Y)$ . The operators in  $\Phi(X, Y)$  are called Fredholm operators. We shall write  $\Phi_+(X)$  (resp.  $\Phi_-(X)$ ,  $\Phi(X)$ ) instead of  $\Phi_+(X, X)$  (resp.  $\Phi_-(X, X)$ ,  $\Phi(X)$ ).

Let  $B_X$  denote the closed unit ball of  $X$ . Let  $T \in B(X, Y)$  and

$$m(T) = \inf \{ \|Tx\| : \|x\| = 1 \}$$

be the *minimum modulus* of  $T$ , and let

$$q(T) = \sup \{ \varepsilon \geq 0 : \varepsilon B_Y \subset TB_X \}$$

be the *surjection modulus* of  $T$ .

If  $M$  is a subspace of  $X$ , then  $J_M$  will denote the embedding map of  $M$  into  $X$ , and if  $V$  is a subspace of  $Y$ , then  $Q_V$  will denote the canonical map of  $Y$  onto the quotient space  $Y/V$ .

An operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is *strictly singular* ( $T \in S(X, Y)$ ) if, for every infinite dimensional (closed) subspace  $M$  of  $X$ , the restriction of  $T$  to  $M$ ,  $T|_M$ , is not a

---

*AMS Subject Classification:* 47 A 10, 47 A 53

*Keywords and phrases:* Strictly (co)singular operators, measures of non-strict-(co)singularity, semi-Fredholm operators.

homeomorphism, i.e.,  $m(T|_M) = 0$ . An operator  $T \in B(X, Y)$  is *strictly cosingular* ( $T \in SC(X, Y)$ ) if, for every infinite codimensional closed subspace  $V$  of  $Y$  the composition  $Q_V T$  is not surjective. It is well known that

$$K(X, Y) \subset S(X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad K(X, Y) \subset SC(X, Y). \quad (1.1)$$

If  $\Omega$  is a non-empty bounded subset of  $X$ , then the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of  $\Omega$  is denoted by  $\chi(\Omega)$ , and defined as follows

$$\chi(\Omega) = \inf \{ \varepsilon > 0 : \Omega \text{ has a finite } \varepsilon\text{-net in } X \}.$$

For  $A \in B(X, Y)$  the Hausdorff measure of noncompactness of  $A$ , denoted by  $\|A\|_\chi$ , is defined by

$$\|A\|_\chi = \inf \{ k \geq 0 : \chi_Y(A\Omega) \leq k_{\chi_X}(\Omega), \Omega \subset X \text{ is bounded} \}.$$

Recall that ([2])

$$\|A\|_\chi = \inf \{ \|Q_V A\| : V \text{ is a subspace of } Y, \dim V < \infty \}.$$

For  $A \in B(X, Y)$ , set (see [6])

$$\|A\|_\mu = \inf \{ \|AJ_L\| : L \text{ closed subspace of } X, \text{codim } L < \infty \}.$$

Recall that

$$\|A\|_\chi = 0 \iff \|A\|_\mu = 0 \iff A \in K(X, Y). \quad (1.2)$$

For  $A \in B(X, Y)$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} G_M(A) &= \inf_{N \subset M} \|AJ_N\|, & G(A) &= G_X(A), \\ \Delta_M(A) &= \sup_{N \subset M} G_N(A), & \Delta(A) &= \Delta_X(A), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M, N$  denote closed infinite dimensional subspaces of  $X$  (see [11]).  $\Delta$  is a measure of non-strict-singularity of operators, i.e.,

$$\Delta(A) = 0 \iff A \in S(X, Y). \quad (1.3)$$

Weis [8] introduced for  $A \in B(X, Y)$  the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} K_V(A) &= \inf_{W \supset V} \|Q_W A\|, & K(A) &= K_{\{0\}}(A), \\ \nabla_V(A) &= \sup_{W \supset V} K_W(A), & \nabla(A) &= \nabla_{\{0\}}(A), \end{aligned}$$

where  $V, W$  denote closed infinite codimensional subspaces of  $Y$ .

$\nabla$  is a measure of non-strict-cosingularity, i.e.,

$$\nabla(A) = 0 \iff A \in SC(X, Y). \quad (1.4)$$

Recall that

$$\nabla(A + T) = \nabla(A) \quad \text{for all } T \in SC(X, Y), \quad (1.5)$$

and

$$K_V(A) = \inf_{W \supset V} \|Q_W A\|_\chi, \quad (1.6)$$

where  $V, W$  denote closed infinite codimensional subspaces of  $Y$  (see [12, Summary and discussion, Remark 2] or [7, Example 5.3] or [15, Lemma 2.21]).

Recall that ([11], [13])

$$\begin{aligned} G(A) > 0 &\iff A \in \Phi_+(X, Y), \\ K(A) > 0 &\iff A \in \Phi_-(X, Y). \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

## 2. Results

Schechter [11] proved the next theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.**  *$A \in \Phi_+(X, Y)$  if and only if for each Banach space  $Z$  there is a constant  $c$ ,  $0 < c < \infty$ , such that*

$$\Delta(T) \leq c\Delta(AT), \quad T \in B(Z, X).$$

We can prove the dual theorem.

**THEOREM 2.2.**  *$A \in \Phi_-(X, Y)$  if and only if for each Banach space  $Z$  there is a constant  $c$ ,  $0 < c < \infty$ , such that*

$$\nabla(T) \leq c\nabla(TA), \quad T \in B(Y, Z). \quad (2.2.1)$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in \Phi_-(X, Y)$ . By [6, Theorem 5.5 and Theorem 3.1] it follows that there is a constant  $c$ ,  $0 < c < \infty$ , such that for each Banach space  $Z$

$$\|T\|_\chi \leq c\|TA\|_\chi, \quad T \in B(Y, Z). \quad (2.2.2)$$

Let  $V$  be a closed subspace of  $Z$  with  $\text{codim } V = \infty$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . From (1.6) it follows that there is a closed subspace  $W$  of  $Z$  such that  $W \supset V$ ,  $\text{codim } W = \infty$  and

$$\|Q_W TA\|_\chi < K_V(TA) + \varepsilon. \quad (2.2.3)$$

From (1.6), (2.2.2) and (2.2.3) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K_V(T) &\leq \|Q_W T\|_\chi \leq c\|Q_W TA\|_\chi \leq c(K_V(TA) + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq c(\nabla(TA) + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\nabla(T) \leq c(\nabla(TA) + \varepsilon)$ .

Assume  $A \notin \Phi_-(X, Y)$ . By [1, Theorem 4.4.10] it follows that there is an operator  $C \in K(X, Y)$  such that  $\text{codim } \overline{R(A - C)} = \infty$ . Let  $V = \overline{R(A - C)}$ . Since  $Q_V(A - C) = 0$ , from (1.1) and (1.5) we get  $\nabla(Q_V A) = \nabla(Q_V(A - C)) = 0$ . Let  $M$

and  $N$  be closed subspaces of  $Y/V$  with  $\text{codim } M = \infty$ ,  $N \supset M$  and  $\text{codim } N = \infty$ . Since  $\|Q_N Q_V\| = 1$  we get

$$\nabla(Q_V) = \sup_{\substack{M \subset Y/V \\ \text{codim } M = \infty}} \inf_{\substack{N \supset M \\ \text{codim } N = \infty}} \|Q_N Q_V\| = 1.$$

Thus, there is no constant  $c$ ,  $0 < c < \infty$ , such that (2.2.1) holds. ■

Let  $S$  be a subset of a Banach space  $A$ . The perturbation class associated with  $S$  is denoted by  $P(S)$  and

$$P(S) = \{a \in A : a + s \in S \text{ for all } s \in S\}.$$

The perturbation class associated with  $\Phi_+(X, Y)$  ( $\Phi_-(X, Y)$ ) is denoted by  $P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$  ( $P(\Phi_-(X, Y))$ ).

For  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , set (see [10], [14])

$$n_{P\Phi_+} = \|T\|_{P\Phi_+} = \inf\{\|T - P\| : P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y))\},$$

$$n_{P\Phi_-} = \|T\|_{P\Phi_-} = \inf\{\|T - P\| : P \in P(\Phi_-(X, Y))\},$$

The next theorem is inspired by [3, Example 1].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $T \in B(X, Y)$ . Then*

$$m(T) \leq \|T\|_{P\Phi_+} \leq \|T\|, \quad (2.3.1)$$

$$q(T) \leq \|T\|_{P\Phi_-} \leq \|T\|. \quad (2.3.2)$$

*Proof.* (2.3.1) Assume  $P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$ . It implies  $P \notin \Phi_+(X, Y)$ . By [1, Theorem 4.4.7] it follows that there is  $K \in K(X, Y)$  such that  $\dim N(P - K) = \infty$ . Set  $M = N(P - K)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By (1.2) we get  $\|PJ_M\|_\mu = \|KJ_M\|_\mu = 0$ . Hence there is a closed subspace  $V \subset M$  such that  $\dim M/V < \infty$  and  $\|PJ_V\| < \varepsilon$ . For  $x \in V$  we have

$$\|Tx - Px\| \geq \|Tx\| - \|Px\| \geq m(T)\|x\| - \varepsilon\|x\|.$$

It implies  $\|T - P\| \geq \|(T - P)J_V\| \geq m(T) - \varepsilon$ . Hence  $\|T - P\| \geq m(T)$ . Thus  $\|T\|_{P\Phi_+} \geq m(T)$ .

(2.3.2) Let  $P \in P(\Phi_-(X, Y))$ . Then  $P \notin \Phi_-(X, Y)$ . From [1, Theorem 4.4.10] it follows that there is  $K \in K(X, Y)$  such that  $\text{codim } \overline{R(P - K)} = \infty$ . Set  $U = \overline{R(P - K)}$ . From  $Q_U(P - K) = 0$  and (1.2) it follows  $\|Q_U P\|_\chi = \|Q_U K\|_\chi = 0$ . Hence for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a finite dimensional subspace  $W \subset Y/U$  such that  $\|Q_W Q_U P\| < \varepsilon$ . There is a closed subspace  $V \subset Y$  such that  $V \supset U$  and  $W = V/U$ . It is not difficult to see that the operator  $A: (Y/U)/(V/U) \rightarrow Y/V$  defined by

$$A((y + U) + V/U) = y + V, \quad y \in Y,$$

is an isometric isomorphism and  $AQ_{V/U}Q_U = Q_V$ . Hence  $\|Q_V P\| = \|Q_{V/U}Q_U P\|$ . It follows that  $\|Q_V P\| < \varepsilon$ . Hence

$$\|T - P\| \geq \|Q_V(T - P)\| \geq \|Q_V T\| - \|Q_V P\| \geq q(Q_V T) - \varepsilon \geq q(T) - \varepsilon.$$

Thus  $\|T - P\| \geq q(T)$ , and  $\|T\|_{P\Phi_-} \geq q(T)$ . ■

Now we use the notation of [7]: let, for  $T \in B(X, Y)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sn}_{P\Phi_+}(T) &= \sup_M n_{P\Phi_+}(TJ_M), \\ \text{isn}_{P\Phi_+}(T) &= \inf_M \text{sn}_{P\Phi_+}(TJ_M), \end{aligned}$$

where  $M$  denotes a closed infinite dimensional subspace of  $X$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sn}'_{P\Phi_-}(T) &= \sup_U n_{P\Phi_-}(Q_U T), \\ \text{isn}'_{P\Phi_-}(T) &= \inf_U \text{sn}'_{P\Phi_-}(Q_U T), \end{aligned}$$

where  $U$  denotes a closed infinite codimensional subspace of  $Y$ .

Zemánek [13] considered the following functions

$$\begin{aligned} u(A) &= \sup\{m(AJ_W) : W \text{ is a closed subspace of } X \text{ with } \dim W = \infty\}, \\ v(A) &= \sup\{q(Q_V A) : V \text{ is a closed subspace of } Y \text{ with } \text{codim } V = \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

From the definition of the strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators it is obvious that

$$\begin{aligned} u(A) = 0 &\iff A \in S(X, Y), \\ v(A) = 0 &\iff A \in SC(X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

For  $A \in B(X, Y)$  set (see [4], [5])

$$\begin{aligned} G_u(A) &= \inf\{u(AJ_M) : M \text{ is a closed subspace of } X, \dim M = \infty\}, \\ K_v(A) &= \inf\{v(Q_U A) : U \text{ is a closed subspace of } Y, \text{codim } U = \infty\}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\begin{aligned} G_u(A) > 0 &\iff A \in \Phi_+(X, Y), \\ K_v(A) > 0 &\iff A \in \Phi_-(X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.3.1) and (2.3.2) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} G_u(T) &\leq \text{isn}_{P\Phi_+}(T) \leq G(T), \\ K_v(T) &\leq \text{isn}'_{P\Phi_-}(T) \leq K(T). \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

By (2.6), (1.7) and (2.5) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isn}_{P\Phi_+}(T) > 0 &\iff T \in \Phi_+(X, Y), \\ \text{isn}'_{P\Phi_-}(T) > 0 &\iff T \in \Phi_-(X, Y). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

(2.7) follows also from [7, Theorem 2.3(2) and Theorem 3.3(2)].

For  $T \in B(X, Y)$ , set

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{P\Phi_+}(T) &= \sup_M \inf_{N \subset M} \|TJ_N\|_{P\Phi_+}, \\ \nabla_{P\Phi_-}(T) &= \sup_V \inf_{W \supset V} \|Q_W T\|_{P\Phi_-}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $M, N$  denote closed infinite dimensional subspaces of  $X$  and  $V, W$  denote closed infinite codimensional subspaces of  $Y$ .

Analogously as in [11] it can be proved that  $\Delta_{P\Phi_+}$  ( $\nabla_{P\Phi_-}$ ) is a seminorm.

From (2.3.1) and (2.3.2) it follows

$$\begin{aligned} u &\leq \Delta_{P\Phi_+} \leq \Delta, \\ v &\leq \nabla_{P\Phi_-} \leq \nabla. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By (2.8), (1.3), (1.4) and (2.4) we get that  $\Delta_{P\Phi_+}$  is a measure of non-strict-singularity and  $\nabla_{P\Phi_-}$  is a measure of non-strict-cosingularity, i.e.,

$$\Delta_{P\Phi_+}(T) = 0 \iff T \in S(X, Y), \quad (2.9)$$

$$\nabla_{P\Phi_-}(T) = 0 \iff T \in SC(X, Y). \quad (2.10)$$

(2.9) and (2.10) follow also from [7, Theorem 2.4(2) and Theorem 3.3(2)].

It is well known that

$$S(X, Y) \subset P(\Phi_+(X, Y)) \quad \text{and} \quad SC(X, Y) \subset P(\Phi_-(X, Y)).$$

**THEOREM 2.4.** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. Then:*

(2.11.1)  *$S(X, Y) = P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$  if and only if from  $P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$  it follows  $PJ_M \in P(\Phi_+(M, Y))$  for each closed infinite dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $X$ ;*

(2.11.2)  *$SC(X, Y) = P(\Phi_-(X, Y))$  if and only if from  $P \in P(\Phi_-(X, Y))$  it follows  $Q_V P \in P(\Phi_-(X, Y/V))$  for each closed infinite codimensional subspace  $V$  of  $Y$ .*

*Proof.* (2.11.1). Let  $S(X, Y) = P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$ . Suppose  $M$  is a closed infinite dimensional subspace of  $X$  and  $P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$ . Then  $P \in S(X, Y)$ . It implies  $PJ_M \in S(M, Y) \subset P(\Phi_+(M, Y))$ .

Assume that for each closed infinite dimensional subspace  $M$  of  $X$  from  $P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$  it follows  $PJ_M \in P(\Phi_+(M, Y))$ . Hence for  $T \in B(X, Y)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|TJ_M\|_{P\Phi_+} &= \inf \{ \|TJ_M - P_1\| : P_1 \in P(\Phi_+(M, Y)) \} \\ &\leq \inf \{ \|TJ_M - PJ_M\| : P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y)) \} \\ &\leq \inf \{ \|T - P\| : P \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y)) \} \leq \|T\|_{P\Phi_+}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\Delta_{P\Phi_+}(T) \leq \|T\|_{P\Phi_+}. \quad (2.11.3)$$

If  $T \in P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$ , then  $\|T\|_{P\Phi_+} = 0$ . By (2.11.3) it follows that  $\Delta_{P\Phi_+}(T) = 0$ . From (2.9) we get  $T \in S(X, Y)$ . Thus  $S(X, Y) = P(\Phi_+(X, Y))$ .

(2.11.2). Analogously to (2.11.1). ■

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENT.** I am grateful to Dragan Đorđević for helpful conversations.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S.R. Caradus, W.E. Pfaffenberger and B. Yood, *Calkin Algebras and Algebras of Operators on Banach Spaces*, Marcel Dekker, 1974.
- [2] A.S. Fainstein, *On measures of noncompactness of linear operators and an analog of the minimum modulus for semi-Fredholm operators*, Spektral'naya Teoriya i Prilozh., Baku **6** (1985), 182–195 (in Russian).
- [3] F. Galaz-Fontes, *Measures of noncompactness and upper semi-Fredholm perturbation theorems*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1993), 891–897.
- [4] M. Gonzáles and A. Martínón, *Fredholm theory and space ideals*, Boll. U. M. I. **7B** (1993), 473–488.
- [5] M. Gonzáles and A. Martínón, *Operational quantities characterizing semi-Fredholm operators*, Studia Math. **114** (1995), 13–27.
- [6] A. Lebow and M. Schechter, *Semigroups of operators and measures of noncompactness*, J. Funct. Anal. **7** (1971), 1–26.
- [7] A. Martínón, *Operational quantities*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae **38**, 3 (1997), 471–484.
- [8] L. Weis, *Über strikt singuläre und strikt cosinguläre Operatoren in Banachräumen*, Dissertation, Bonn, 1974.
- [9] V. Rakočević, *Measures of non-strict-singularity of operators*, Mat. Vesnik **35** (1983), 79–82.
- [10] V. Rakočević, *Semi-Browder operators and perturbations*, Studia Math. **122** (1997), 131–137.
- [11] M. Schechter, *Quantities related to strictly singular operators*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **21** (1972), 1061–1071.
- [12] H.-O. Tylli, *On semi-Fredholm operators, Calkin algebras and some related quantities*, Dissertation, Helsinki, 1986.
- [13] J. Zemánek, *Geometric characteristics of semi-Fredholm operators and their asymptotic behaviour*, Studia Math. **80** (1984), 219–234.
- [14] S. Živković, *Semi-Fredholm operators and perturbations*, Publ. Inst. Math. Beograd **61** (1997), 73–89.
- [15] S. Živković, *Semi-Fredholm operators and perturbation functions*, Filomat **11** (1997), 77–88.

(received 03.09.1998, in revised form 15.09.2000)

University of Niš, Faculty of Sciences, Department of Mathematics, Višegradska 33, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia

E-mail: zana@pmf.pmf.ni.ac.yu