

## BILINEAR EXPANSIONS OF THE KERNELS OF SOME NONSELFADJOINT INTEGRAL OPERATORS

Milutin Dostanić

**Abstract.** Let  $H$  and  $S$  be integral operators on  $L^2(0, 1)$  with continuous kernels. Suppose that  $H > 0$  and let  $A = H(I + S)$ . It is shown that if the (nonselfadjoint) operator  $S$  is small in a certain sense with respect to  $H$ , then the corresponding Fourier series of functions from  $R(A)$  (or  $R(A^*)$ ) converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $H$  and  $S$  be integral operators on  $L^2(0, 1)$  (with inner product  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(x)\overline{g(x)}dx$ ) with continuous kernels  $\mathcal{H}(x, y)$  and  $\mathcal{S}(x, y)$  on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ . Suppose that  $H > 0$  and let

$$A = H(I + S). \quad (1)$$

Classical theorems (case  $S = 0$ , see [5]) state that the kernel  $\mathcal{H}$  can be expanded into a uniformly convergent (on  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ ) bilinear series.

A consequence of this is that every function  $f \in R(H)$  has the uniformly convergent Fourier series with respect to the system of eigenfunctions of  $H$ . ( $R(H)$  denotes the image of  $H$  in  $L^2(0, 1)$ ).

Similar results hold in some cases when  $S \neq 0$ . Namely, if  $S = S^*$ , it was proved in [1] and [2] that the corresponding variant of Mercer's theorem holds. The proof was based on the spectral theorem for an operator on  $L^2(0, 1)$  with the definite or indefinite inner product generated by the formula

$$[f, g] = \langle (I + S)f, g \rangle.$$

In [4], a series of nice results was obtained which were related to bilinear expansions of smooth Carleman's kernels of Mercer type.

A natural question is about bilinear expansions when  $S \neq S^*$ . We shall show that if the operator  $S$  is small in a certain sense with respect to  $H$ , then the corresponding Fourier series of functions from  $R(A)$  (or  $R(A^*)$ ) converges uniformly

---

*AMS Subject Classification:* 47G10, 45P05

*Keywords and phrases:* Nonselfadjoint integral operators, bilinear expansion.

on  $[0, 1]$ . (Note that, because of the continuity of the kernel of  $A$ , we have  $R(A) \subset C[0, 1]$ ).

In the sequel,  $\mathcal{A}(\cdot, \cdot)$  will denote the kernel of the operator  $A$  (defined by (1)).

## 2. The results

**THEOREM.** *Let, for the operators  $H$  and  $S$  from (1), there exists  $\omega > 0$  such that  $S^*S \leq \omega H^2$ . If  $s_k$  are singular values of  $A$  and  $f_k$  the normalized eigenvectors of  $A^*A$  (i.e.,  $A^*Af_k = s_k^2 f_k$ ) and  $g_k = (s_k)^{-1}Af_k$ , then the series  $\sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \overline{f_k(y)} g_k(x)$  is absolutely convergent on  $[0, 1]^2$  and uniformly convergent on  $[0, 1]$  with respect to arbitrary variable and its sum is equal to  $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ . Also, for every  $f \in R(A)$  (resp.  $g \in R(A^*)$ ) the series  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \langle f, g_k \rangle g_k$  (resp.  $\sum_{k \geq 1} \langle g, f_k \rangle f_k$ ) converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$  to  $f$  (resp.  $g$ ).*

In the proof of this assertions we need the following two Lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** [5] *If  $T: L^2(0, 1) \rightarrow L^2(0, 1)$  is the linear operator defined by  $Tf(x) = \int_0^1 M(x, y)f(y)dy$  and if  $M \in C([0, 1]^2)$  and  $\langle Tf, f \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $f \in L^2(0, 1)$ , then  $M(x, x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ .*

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $A = H(I + S)$ ,  $H > 0$ ,  $S^*S \leq \omega H^2$ , then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that*

$$\sqrt{A^*A} \leq cH, \quad \sqrt{AA^*} \leq cH.$$

*Proof.* Since

$$A^*A = H^2 + S^*H^2 + H^2S + S^*H^2S \quad (2)$$

we have to estimate  $\langle S^*H^2f, f \rangle$  and  $\langle H^2Sf, f \rangle$ .

The operator  $H^2$  is positive and thus, by the Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle S^*H^2f, f \rangle|^2 &= |\langle H^2f, Sf \rangle|^2 \leq \langle H^2f, f \rangle \langle H^2Sf, Sf \rangle \\ &= \|Hf\|^2 \|HSf\|^2 \leq \|Hf\|^2 \|H\|^2 \|Sf\|^2 \\ &= \|Hf\|^2 \|H\|^2 \langle S^*Sf, f \rangle \leq \|Hf\|^2 \|H\|^2 \omega \langle H^2f, f \rangle \\ &= \omega \|H\|^2 \|Hf\|^4. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$|\langle S^*H^2f, f \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\omega} \|H\| \langle H^2f, f \rangle \quad (3)$$

and hence we get

$$|\langle H^2Sf, f \rangle| \leq \sqrt{\omega} \|H\| \langle H^2f, f \rangle. \quad (4)$$

Since

$$\langle S^*H^2Sf, f \rangle = \|HSf\|^2 \leq \|H\|^2 \|Sf\|^2 = \|H\|^2 \langle S^*Sf, f \rangle \leq \omega \|H\|^2 \langle H^2f, f \rangle,$$

from (2), (3), (4) it follows that  $\langle A^*Af, f \rangle \leq (1 + \sqrt{\omega} \|H\|)^2 \langle H^2f, f \rangle$ , i.e.  $A^*A \leq (1 + \sqrt{\omega} \|H\|)^2 H^2$ .

Having in mind that the function  $\lambda \mapsto \sqrt{\lambda}$  is operator monotone, we get

$$\sqrt{A^*A} \leq (1 + \sqrt{\omega} \|H\|)H. \quad (5)$$

From the equality  $A^* = (I + S^*)H$  we get  $\|A^*f\| \leq \|I + S^*\| \cdot \|Hf\|$  ( $f \in L^2(0,1)$ ), i.e.

$$\sqrt{AA^*} \leq \|I + S^*\| \cdot H. \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6) we obtain the assertion of the Lemma, with

$$c = \max\{1 + \sqrt{\omega} \|H\|, \|I + S^*\|\}. \quad \blacksquare$$

*Proof of the Theorem.* From  $A^*Af_k = s_k^2 f_k$  and  $Af_k = s_k g_k$  it follows that  $f_k, g_k \in C[0,1]$  (because  $A$  has the continuous kernel) and  $A$  has the following singular (see [3]) expansion

$$A = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle g_k.$$

Also, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{A^*A} f &= \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle f, f_k \rangle g_k \\ \sqrt{AA^*} f &= \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \langle f, g_k \rangle g_k, \end{aligned} \quad f \in L^2(0,1). \quad (7)$$

The series on the right-hand side of the previous equalities converge in the norm of  $L^2(0,1)$ .

Consider the operators (on  $L^2(0,1)$ )  $S'_n, S''_n$  defined in the following way:  $S'_n = cH - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \cdot, f_k \rangle f_k$ ,  $S''_n = cH - \sum_{k=1}^n \langle \cdot, g_k \rangle g_k$ . Since, by (7) and Lemma 2,  $\langle S'_n f, f \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $\langle S''_n f, f \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $f \in L^2(0,1)$  and since the operators  $S'_n, S''_n$  have continuous kernels, we get from Lemma 1

$$c\mathcal{H}(x, x) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n s_k |f_k(x)|^2, \quad c\mathcal{H}(x, x) \geq \sum_{k=1}^n s_k |g_k(x)|^2, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{H} \in C([0,1]^2)$ , there exists  $M_0 < +\infty$  such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n s_k |f_k(x)|^2 \leq M_0, \quad \sum_{k=1}^n s_k |g_k(x)|^2 \leq M_0. \quad (8)$$

From (8) it follows that the series

$$\sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \overline{f_k(y)} g_k(x)$$

is absolutely convergent for all  $x, y \in [0,1]$ . Let  $S(x, y)$  denote its sum. Observe that from (8) it follows that the partial sums of the previous series are bounded by  $M_0$ .

Fix  $x \in [0,1]$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=p}^q s_k \overline{f_k(y)} g_k(x) \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{k=p}^q s_k |f_k(y)|^2 \sum_{k=p}^q s_k |g_k(x)|^2 \\ &\leq M_0 \sum_{k=p}^q s_k |g_k(x)|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (p, q \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

and the series  $\sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \overline{f_k(y)} g_k(x)$  converges uniformly with respect to  $y$  on  $[0, 1]$ , for every fixed  $x$  and hence its sum is a continuous function with respect to  $y$ .

Let  $f \in C[0, 1]$  be a fixed function. Then (because of the uniform convergence with respect to  $y$ )

$$\int_0^1 S(x, y) f(y) dy = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k g_k(x) \int_0^1 f(y) \overline{f_k(y)} dy = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k g_k(x) \langle f, f_k \rangle. \quad (9)$$

(The series on the right-hand side of (9) converges not only for every  $x$  but also uniformly with respect to  $x$  because

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=p}^q s_k g_k(x) \langle f, f_k \rangle \right|^2 &\leq \sum_{k=p}^q s_k |g_k(x)|^2 \sum_{k=p}^q s_k |\langle f, f_k \rangle|^2 \\ &\leq M_0 s_p \|f\|^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (p, q \rightarrow \infty) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from the singular expansion of  $A$  we get

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{A}(x, y) f(y) dy = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k g_k(x) \langle f, f_k \rangle \quad (10)$$

(the series converges in the norm of  $L^2(0, 1)$ ).

Thus, from (9), (10) it follows that for every  $f \in C[0, 1]$  we have

$$\int_0^1 (\mathcal{A}(x, y) - S(x, y)) f(y) dy = 0.$$

Putting  $f(y) = \overline{S(x, y)} - \overline{\mathcal{A}(x, y)}$  ( $\in C[0, 1]$ ) we get

$$\int_0^1 |\mathcal{A}(x, y) - S(x, y)|^2 dy = 0$$

and hence  $\mathcal{A}(x, y) = S(x, y)$  for every  $y \in [0, 1]$ . Since  $x \in [0, 1]$  was arbitrary, we have  $\mathcal{A}(x, y) = S(x, y)$ ,  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . So

$$\mathcal{A}(x, y) = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k \overline{f_k(y)} g_k(x)$$

for every  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ .

Let now  $f \in R(A)$ . Then

$$f(x) = \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}(x, y) \varphi(y) dy, \quad \varphi \in L^2(0, 1)$$

and thus, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, we have ( $\mathcal{A}_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^n s_k \overline{f_k(y)} g_k(x)$ )

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \int_0^1 \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_n(x, y) \varphi(y) dy = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \mathcal{A}_n(x, y) \varphi(y) dy \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^n s_k g_k(x) \langle \varphi, f_k \rangle = \sum_{k \geq 1} s_k g_k(x) \langle \varphi, f_k \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the preceding series converges uniformly with the respect to  $x \in [0, 1]$  and since  $\{g_k\}$  is an orthonormal system in  $L^2(0, 1)$  (see [3]), we have  $s_k \langle \varphi, f_k \rangle = \langle f, g_k \rangle$  and, finally, we get

$$f(x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \langle f, g_k \rangle g_k(x)$$

(the series converges uniformly on  $[0, 1]$ ).

The assertion for the function  $g \in R(A^*)$  can be proved in a similar way. ■

REMARK. The second part of the Theorem was proved in [5] in a different way. The proof presented here is a consequence of the previously established bilinear expansion of the function  $\mathcal{A}(x, y)$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. R. Dostanić, *Generalizations of Mercer's theorem for a class of nonselfadjoint operators*, Mat. Vesnik **41** (1989), 22–29.
- [2] M. R. Dostanić, *Generalizations of the Mercer theorem*, Publ. de l'Inst. Math., Nouv. serie, **54(68)** (1993), 63–70.
- [3] I. C. Gohberg and M. G. Krein, *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Translation of the Math. Monographs, **18**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [4] I. M. Novitskii, *Integral representations of linear operators by smooth Carleman kernels of Mercer type*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **68** (1994), 161–177.
- [5] F. Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy, *Leçons d'analyse fonctionnelle*, Akademiai Kiado, Budapest, 1972.

(received 05.05.2001, in revised form 10.04.2002)

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Belgrade, Studentski trg 16, 11000 Beograd, Yugoslavia  
*E-mail*: domi@matf.bg.ac.yu