МАТЕМАТИЧКИ ВЕСНИК
50 (1998), 17–22

UDK 515.124.2
оригинални научни рад
research paper

THE COMPATIBILITY OF THE TANGENCY RELATIONS OF SETS IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

Tadeusz Konik

Abstract. In this paper the problem of the compatibility of the tangency relations $T_{l_i}(a_i, b_i, k, p)$ $(i = 1, 2)$ of sets of the classes $\tilde{M}_{p,k}$ and $A^*_{p,k}$ in a generalized metric space is considered. Some sufficient conditions for the compatibility of these relations of sets of the above classes are given here.

Introduction

Let E be an arbitrary non-empty set and let l be a non-negative real function defined on the Cartesian product $E_0 \times E_0$ of the family E_0 of all non-empty subsets of the set E. Let l_0 be the function defined by the formula

$$l_0(x, y) = l(\{x\}, \{y\}) \qquad \text{for } x, y \in E. \tag{1}$$

If we put some conditions on the function l, then the function l_0 defined by (1) will be a metric on the set E. By this reason the pair (E, l) can be treated as a certain generalization of a metric space and we shall call it (see [9]) a generalized metric space. Using (1) we may define in the space (E, l), similarly as in a metric space, the following notions: the sphere $S_l(p, r)$ and the ball $K_l(p, r)$ with the centre at the point p and the radius r.

Let $S_l(p, r)_u$ denote the so-called u-neighbourhood of the sphere $S_l(p, r)$ in the space (E, l) defined by the formula

$$S_l(p, r)_u = \begin{cases} \bigcup_{q \in S_l(p,r)} K_l(q, u), & \text{for } u > 0, \\ S_l(p, r), & \text{for } u = 0. \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Let a, b be arbitrary non-negative real functions defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 such that

$$a(r) \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad b(r) \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0. \tag{3}$$

We say that the pair (A, B) of sets A, B of the family E_0 is (a, b)-clustered at the point p of the space (E, l), if 0 is the cluster point of the set of all real numbers $r > 0$ such that the sets of the form $A \cap S_l(p, r)_{a(r)}$ and $B \cap S_l(p, r)_{b(r)}$ are non-empty.

AMS Subject Classification: 53 A 99
Keywords and phrases: generalized metric space, compatibility of the tangency of sets

Let (see [9])

$$T_l(a,b,k,p) = \Big\{ (A,B) : A,B \in E_0, \text{ the pair } (A,B) \text{ is } (a,b)\text{-clustered at the point } p \text{ of the space } (E,l) \text{ and } \frac{1}{r^k} l(A \cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} 0 \Big\}. \tag{4}$$

If $(A,B) \in T_l(a,b,k,p)$ then we say that the set $A \in E_0$ is (a,b)-tangent of order k ($k > 0$) to the set $B \in E_0$ at the point p of the space (E,l).

We shall call $T_l(a,b,k,p)$ defined by (4) the relation of (a,b)-tangency of order k at the point p, or shortly: the tangency relation of sets in the generalized metric space (E,l).

Two relations of the tangency $T_{l_1}(a_1,b_1,k,p)$ and $T_{l_2}(a_2,b_2,k,p)$ are called compatible if $(A,B) \in T_{l_1}(a_1,b_1,k,p)$ if and only if $(A,B) \in T_{l_2}(a_2,b_2,k,p)$ for $A,B \in E_0$.

We say that the set $A \in E_0$ has the Darboux property at the point p of the space (E,l), which we write: $A \in D_p(E,l)$ (see [3]), if there exists a number $\tau > 0$ such that the set $A \cap S_l(p,r)$ is non-empty for $r \in (0,\tau)$.

Let ρ be a metric on the set E and let A, B be arbitrary sets of the family E_0. Let us denote

$$\rho(A,B) = \inf\{\, \rho(x,y) : x \in A, y \in B \,\}, \quad d_\rho A = \sup\{\, \rho(x,y) : x,y \in A \,\}. \tag{5}$$

Let f be a subadditive increasing real function defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 such that $f(0) = 0$. By $\overline{F}_f$ we shall denote the class of all functions l fulfilling the conditions:

1° $l\colon E_0 \times E_0 \to \langle 0,\infty)$,

2° $f(\rho(A,B)) \leqslant l(A,B) \leqslant f(d_\rho(A \cup B))$ for $A,B \in E_0$.

Since

$$f(\rho(x,y)) = f(\rho(\{x\},\{y\})) \leqslant l(\{x\},\{y\}) \leqslant f(d_\rho(\{x\} \cup \{y\})) = f(\rho(x,y)),$$

then from here and from (1) it follows that

$$l_0(x,y) = l(\{x\},\{y\}) = f(\rho(x,y)) \quad \text{for } l \in \overline{F}_f \text{ and } x,y \in E. \tag{6}$$

It is easy to prove that the function l_0 defined by (6) is a metric on the set E.

In the present paper the problem of the compatibility of the tangency relations of sets of the classes $\tilde{M}_{p,k}$ and $A^*_{p,k}$ having the Darboux property at the point p of the space (E,l), for the functions l belonging to the class $\overline{F}_f$, is considered.

1. On the compatibility of the tangency relations of sets of the classes $\tilde{M}_{p,k}$

By A' we shall denote the set of all cluster points of the set $A \in E_0$. Let k be any fixed positive real number and let

$$\rho(x,A) = \inf\{\, \rho(x,y) : y \in A \,\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Let us put by definition (see [4])

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{M}_{p,k} = \{\, & A \in E_0 : p \in A' \text{ and there exists } \mu > 0 \text{ scuh that for an arbitrary } \varepsilon > 0 \\ & \text{there exists } \delta > 0 \text{ such that for every pair of points } (x,y) \in [A,p;\mu,k] \\ & \text{if } \rho(x,y) < \delta \text{ and } \frac{\rho(x,A)}{\rho^k(x,p)} < \delta \text{ then } \frac{\rho(x,y)}{\rho^k(x,p)} < \varepsilon \,\},\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where

$$[A,p;\mu,k] = \{\, (x,y) : x \in E, y \in A \text{ and } \mu\rho(x,A) < \rho^k(x,p) = \rho^k(y,p) \,\} \tag{1.3}$$

In the paper [4] the following lemma was proved.

Lemma 1.1. *If*

$$\frac{a(r)}{r^{k+1}} \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} \alpha, \tag{1.4}$$

where $\alpha < \infty$, then for an arbitrary set $A \in \tilde{M}_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,\rho)$

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p,r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} 0. \tag{1.5}$$

From this lemma and from the fact that every function $l \in \overline{F}_f$ generates on the set E the metric defined by the formula (6) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_l(A \cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} 0, \tag{1.6}$$

for $l \in \overline{F}_f$ and $A \in \tilde{M}_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$, when the function a fulfills the condition (1.4).

Theorem 1.1. *If $l_i \in \overline{F}_f$ $(i = 1,2)$,*

$$\frac{a(r)}{r^{k+1}} \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} \alpha \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{b(r)}{r^{k+1}} \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} \beta, \tag{1.7}$$

where $\alpha, \beta < \infty$, then for arbitrary sets of the classes $\tilde{M}_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$ the tangency relations $T_{l_1}(a,b,k,p)$ and $T_{l_2}(a,b,k,p)$ are compatible.

Proof. Let us assume that $(A,B) \in T_{l_1}(a,b,k,p)$ for $A, B \in \tilde{M}_{p,k}$. Hence, from (2) and from the fact that (see (6))

$$l_1(\{x\},\{y\}) = l_2(\{x\},\{y\}) = l_0(x,y) \quad \text{for } x,y \in E, \tag{1.8}$$

it follows that the pair of sets (A,B) is (a,b)-clustered at the point p of the space (E,l_1) and

$$\frac{1}{r^k} l_1(A \cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}, B \cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)}) \xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{} 0. \tag{1.9}$$

From the inequality

$$d_\rho(A \cup B) \leqslant d_\rho A + d_\rho B + \rho(A,B) \quad \text{for } A,B \in E_0, \tag{1.10}$$

from the properties of the function f and from the fact that $l_1,\ l_2 \in \overline{F}_f$ we get

$$\left|\frac{1}{r^k}l_2(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)},B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)})-\frac{1}{r^k}l_1(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)},B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)})\right| \leqslant$$

$$\frac{1}{r^k}f(d_\rho((A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)})\cup(B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)})))-\frac{1}{r^k}f(\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)},B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)}))$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{r^k}f(d_\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)})+d_\rho(B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)})+\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)},B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)}))-$$
$$-\frac{1}{r^k}f(\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)},B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)}))$$
$$\leqslant \frac{1}{r^k}f(d_\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}))+\frac{1}{r^k}f(d_\rho(B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)})). \quad (1.11)$$

From the fact that f is an increasing function we obtain

$$f(d_\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}))=f(\sup\{\,\rho(x,y):x,y\in(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)})\,\})$$
$$=\sup\{\,f(\rho(x,y)):x,y\in(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)})\,\}$$
$$=\sup\{\,l_0(x,y):x,y\in(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)})\,\}=d_l(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}). \quad (1.12)$$

Hence and from (1.6) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r^k}f(d_\rho(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}))\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}0. \quad (1.13)$$

Analogously

$$\frac{1}{r^k}f(d_\rho(B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)}))\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}0. \quad (1.14)$$

From (1.9), (1.13), (1.14) and from the inequality (1.11) we get

$$\frac{1}{r^k}l_2(A\cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)},B\cap S_l(p,r)_{b(r)})\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}0. \quad (1.15)$$

Since the functions $l_1,l_2\in\overline{F}_f$ generate on the set E the same metric l_0 (see (6)), from the fact that the pair of sets (A,B) is (a,b)-clustered at the point p of the space (E,l_1), it follows that it is (a,b)-clustered at the point p of the space (E,l_2). Hence and from (1.15) it results that $(A,B)\in T_{l_2}(a,b,k,p)$.

If $(A,B)\in T_{l_2}(a,b,k,p)$, then similarly we prove that $(A,B)\in T_{l_1}(a,b,k,p)$. Hence it follows that the tangency relations $T_{l_1}(a,b,k,p)$ and $T_{l_2}(a,b,k,p)$ are compatible in the classes of sets $\tilde{M}_{p,k}\cap D_p(E,l)$. ■

Let $a_i,\ b_i$ $(i=1,2)$ be non-negative real functions defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 and fulfilling the condition

$$a_i(r)\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}0 \quad\text{and}\quad b_i(r)\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}0. \quad (1.16)$$

In the paper [7] the following theorem was proved.

THEOREM 1.2. *If $l\in\overline{F}_f$ and*

$$\frac{a_i(r)}{r^{k+1}}\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}\alpha_i,\quad \frac{b_i(r)}{r^{k+1}}\xrightarrow[r\to 0+]{}\beta_i, \quad (1.17)$$

where $\alpha_i, \beta_i < \infty$ *for* $i = 1, 2$, *then for arbitrary sets of the classes* $\tilde{M}_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$ *the tangency relations* $T_l(a_1, b_1, k, p)$ *and* $T_l(a_2, b_2, k, p)$ *are compatible.*

From the Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 it follows

COROLLARY 1.1. *If* $l_i \in \overline{F}_f$ *and the functions* a_i, b_i $(i = 1, 2)$ *fulfil the condition* (1.17), *then the tangency relations* $T_{l_1}(a_1, b_1, k, p)$ *and* $T_{l_2}(a_2, b_2, k, p)$ *are compatible in the classes of sets* $\tilde{M}_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$.

2. On the compatibility of the tangency relations of sets of the classes $A^*_{p,k}$

Let (E, ρ) be a metric space. Let us put by definition (see [3])

$$A^*_{p,k} = \Big\{ A \in E_0 : p \in A' \text{ and there exists a number } \lambda > 0 \text{ such that } \limsup_{[A,p;k] \ni (x,y) \to (p,p)} \frac{\rho(x,y) - \lambda\rho(x,A)}{\rho^k(x,p)} \leqslant 0 \Big\}, \tag{2.1}$$

where

$$[A, p; k] = \{ (x, y) : x \in E, y \in A \text{ and } \rho(x, A) < \rho^k(x,p) = \rho^k(y,p) \}. \tag{2.2}$$

In the paper [4] it was proved that $A^*_{p,k} \subset \tilde{M}_{p,k}$ for any $k > 0$ and $p \in E$. With this connection the Theorems 1.1, 1.2 mentioned in Section 1 of this paper are fulfilled in the classes of sets $A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$. It appears that these theorems will be true for sets of the classes $A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$ at slightly weaker conditions concerning the functions a, b, a_i, b_i $(i = 1, 2)$ appearing in the assumptions of the above theorems.

In the paper [3] the following lemma was proved:

LEMMA 2.1. *If*

$$\frac{a(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0, \tag{2.3}$$

then for an arbitrary set $A \in A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,\rho)$

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_\rho(A \cap S_\rho(p,r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0. \tag{2.4}$$

From this lemma and from (6) it follows that

$$\frac{1}{r^k} d_l(A \cap S_l(p,r)_{a(r)}) \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0, \tag{2.5}$$

for $l \in \overline{F}_f$ and $A \in A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$, when the function a fulfils the condition (2.3).

Similarly as in the case of the classes of sets $\tilde{M}_{p,k}$, using (2.5) we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2.1. *If* $l_i \in \overline{F}_f$ $(i = 1, 2)$,

$$\frac{a(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0, \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{b(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0, \tag{2.6}$$

then for arbitrary sets of the classes $A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$ *the tangency relations* $T_{l_1}(a,b,k,p)$ *and* $T_{l_2}(a,b,k,p)$ *are compatible.*

Let a_i, b_i $(i = 1,2)$ be non-negative real functions defined in a certain right-hand side neighbourhood of 0 and fulfilling the condition (1.16). In the paper [8] the following theorem was proved.

THEOREM 2.2 *If* $l \in \overline{F}_f$ *and*

$$\frac{a_i(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b_i(r)}{r^k} \xrightarrow[r \to 0+]{} 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1,2, \tag{2.7}$$

then for arbitrary sets of the classes $A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$ *the tangency relations* $T_l(a_1,b_1,k,p)$ *and* $T_l(a_2,b_2,k,p)$ *are compatible.*

From the Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 the following corollary results.

COROLLARY 2.1. *If the functions* a_i, b_i $(i = 1,2)$ *fulfil the condition* (2.7) *and* $l_i \in \overline{F}_f$, *then the tangency relations* $T_{l_1}(a_1,b_1,k,p)$ *and* $T_{l_2}(a_2,b_2,k,p)$ *are compatible in the classes of sets* $A^*_{p,k} \cap D_p(E,l)$.

Let id denotes the identity function defined in a right-hand side neighbourhood of 0. If we put $f = id$, then the class $\overline{F}_{id}$ of the function l is equal to the class F^*_ρ (see [3], [4]). From here it results that all theorems about the problem of the compatibility of the tangency relations of sets for the functions of the class F^*_ρ given in the papers [3] and [4] follow from the theorems of this paper.

REFERENCES

[**1**] A. Chądzyńska, *On some classes of sets related to the symmetry of the tangency relation in a metric space*, Ann. Soc. Math. Polon., Comm. Math. **16** (1972), 219–228.

[**2**] S. Gołąb et Z. Moszner, *Sur le contact des courbes dans les espaces metriques generaux*, Colloq. Math. **10** (1963), 105–311.

[**3**] T. Konik, *On the compatibility of the tangency relations of sets of the classes* $A^*_{p,k}$ *in generalized metric spaces*, Demonstratio Math. **19** (1986), 203–220.

[**4**] T. Konik, *On the tangency of sets of some class in generalized metric spaces*, Demonstratio Math. **22** (1989), 1093–1107.

[**5**] T. Konik, *On the tangency of sets in generalized metric spaces for certain functions of the class* F^*_ρ, Mat. vesnik **43** (1991), 1–10.

[**6**] T. Konik, *On the tangency of sets of the class* $\tilde{M}_{p,k}$, Publ. Math. Debrecen, **43**/3–4 (1993), 329–336.

[**7**] T. Konik, *Tangency relations for sets in some classes in generalized metric spaces*, Math. Slowaca (in print).

[**8**] T. Konik, *On the compatibility and the equivalence of the tangency relations of sets of the classes* $A^*_{p,k}$, Journal of Geometry (Basel) (in print).

[**9**] W. Waliszewski, *On the tangency of sets in generalized metric spaces*, Ann. Polon. Math. **28** (1973), 275–284.

(received 10.09.1997.)

Institute of Mathematics & Computer Science, Technical University, Dąbrowskiego 73, 42-200 Częstochowa, Poland