

## ON $P_{\Sigma}$ AND WEAKLY- $P_{\Sigma}$ SPACES

M. Khan, T. Noiri and B. Ahmad

**Abstract.** In this paper, we point out that properties  $P_{\Sigma}$  due to Wang [18] and strongly  $s$ -regular due to Ganster [5] are equivalent to each other. We further study these spaces and weakly- $P_{\Sigma}$  spaces defined by the second author [15].

### 1. Introduction

In 1981, Wang [18] defined a weak form of regularity called  $P_{\Sigma}$ . In 1984, the second author [13] defined the notion of weakly- $P_{\Sigma}$  spaces which is weaker than that of  $P_{\Sigma}$  spaces. Recently, Ganster [5] has introduced the class of strongly  $s$ -regular spaces which lies strictly between the class of regular spaces and the class of  $s$ -regular spaces in the sense of Maheshwari and Prasad [9]. In this paper, we point out that  $P_{\Sigma}$  and strongly  $s$ -regular are equivalent to each other. And we further investigate the properties of  $P_{\Sigma}$  and weakly- $P_{\Sigma}$  spaces. Pre-almost open, pre-almost closed and regular-open functions are also defined and studied to obtain some preservation theorems of  $P_{\Sigma}$  and weakly- $P_{\Sigma}$  spaces.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, spaces always mean topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless explicitly stated. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  in  $X$  are denoted by  $\text{Cl}(A)$  and  $\text{Int}(A)$ , respectively. A subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be *semi-open* [8] if there exists an open subset  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $U \subset A \subset \text{Cl}(U)$ . The complement of a semi-open set is said to be *semi-closed*. The *semi-closure* of  $A$  is defined as the intersection of all semi-closed sets containing  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{sCl}(A)$ . The *semi-interior* of  $A$  is defined as the union of all semi-open sets contained in  $A$  and is denoted by  $\text{sInt}(A)$ . A subset  $A$  is said to be *semi-regular* [3] if it is semi-open and semi-closed. The family of all semi-open (resp. semi-regular) subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $SO(X)$  (resp.  $SR(X)$ ). A subset  $A$  is said to be *preopen*

---

*AMS Subject Classification:* 54D10, 54B05, 54C08

*Keywords and phrases:*  $P_{\Sigma}$ , weakly- $P_{\Sigma}$ , strongly  $s$ -regular,  $s^*$ -regular,  $s$ -regular, almost-regular, regular closed, semi-open, almost-continuous, almost-open

[10] if  $A \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$ . A subset  $A$  is said to be *regular open* (resp. *regular closed*) if  $A = \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$  (resp.  $A = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ ). The family of all regular open (resp. regular closed) subsets of  $X$  is denoted by  $RO(X)$  (resp.  $RC(X)$ ). A point  $x$  of  $X$  is said to be in the  $\theta$ -*semiclosure* [6] (resp.  $\delta$ -*closure* [17]) of  $A$ , denoted by  $\theta\text{-sCl}(A)$  (resp.  $\text{Cl}_\delta(A)$ ), if  $A \cap \text{Cl}(U) \neq \emptyset$  (resp.  $A \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ), for every  $U \in SO(X)$  (resp.  $U \in RO(X)$ ) containing  $x$ . A subset  $A$  is said to be  $\theta$ -*semiclosed* [6] (resp.  $\delta$ -*closed* [17]) if  $\theta\text{-sCl}(A) = A$  (resp.  $\text{Cl}_\delta(A) = A$ ).

DEFINITION 1. A topological space  $X$  is said to be

- (a)  $P_\Sigma$  [18] if every open subset of  $X$  is the union of regular closed sets;
- (b) *weakly- $P_\Sigma$*  [13] if every regular open subset of  $X$  is the union of regular closed sets;
- (c)  $s^*$ -*regular* [7] if for any semi-regular set  $A$  and any point  $x \in X - A$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subset U$  and  $x \in V$ ;
- (d)  $s$ -*regular* [9] (resp. *semi-regular* [4]) if for each closed (resp. semi-closed) set  $A$  and any point  $x \in X - A$ , there exist disjoint semi-open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subset U$  and  $x \in V$ ;
- (e) *extremally disconnected* (briefly E.D.) if  $\text{Cl}(U)$  is open in  $X$ , for every open set  $U$  in  $X$ ;
- (f) *almost regular* [15] if for any regular closed set  $A$  and any point  $x \in X - A$ , there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $A \subset U$  and  $x \in V$ ;
- (g) *strongly  $s$ -regular* [5] if for each closed set  $A$  and any point  $x \in X - A$ , there exists an  $F \in RC(X)$  such that  $x \in F$  and  $F \cap A = \emptyset$ .

### 3. $P_\Sigma$ and weakly $P_\Sigma$ spaces

First of all, we point out that  $P_\Sigma$  and strongly  $s$ -regular are equivalent to each other.

THEOREM 1. (Ganster [5]) *The following are equivalent for a topological space  $X$ :*

- (a)  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$ .
- (b) For any open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and any point  $x \in U$  there exists an  $F \in RC(X)$  such that  $x \in F \subset U$ .
- (c)  $X$  is strongly  $s$ -regular.

THEOREM 2. *The following are equivalent for a topological space  $X$ :*

- (a)  $X$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ .
- (b) For any regular open subset  $U$  of  $X$  and any point  $x \in U$ , there exists an  $F \in RC(X)$  such that  $x \in F \subset U$ .
- (c) Every regular closed set in  $X$  is the intersection of regular open sets.
- (d)  $\theta\text{-sCl}(A) \subset \text{Cl}_\delta(A)$  for every subset  $A$  of  $X$ .
- (e) Every  $\delta$ -closed set of  $X$  is  $\theta$ -semiclosed in  $X$ .

*Proof.* The proof is quite similar to that of [5, Theorem 1] and is thus omitted. ■

In [5, Theorem 2], Ganster showed that strong  $s$ -regularity is open hereditary. We shall improve this result in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $X$  is a  $P_\Sigma$  spaces and  $Y$  is preopen in  $X$ , then the subspace  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an arbitrary open subset of  $Y$ . Then there exists an open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $U = V \cap Y$ . Since  $X$  is a  $P_\Sigma$  space, we have  $V = \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $V_\alpha \in RC(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . It is easily checked that a subset is regular closed in  $X$  if and only if it is closed and semi-open in  $X$ . Therefore,  $V_\alpha \cap Y$  is closed in  $Y$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . By [14, Lemma 2.2], we obtain  $V_\alpha \cap Y \in SO(Y)$  and hence  $V_\alpha \cap Y \in RC(Y)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and  $U = \bigcup \{V_\alpha \cap Y : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . This shows that the subspace  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$ . ■

**COROLLARY 1.** (Ganster [5]) *Strong  $s$ -regularity is open hereditary.*

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $X$  is a weakly- $P_\Sigma$  space and  $Y$  is open in  $X$ , then the subspace  $Y$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an arbitrary regular open subset of  $Y$ . It is shown in [11, Lemma 3] that  $\text{Int}_Y(\text{Cl}_Y(A)) = Y \cap \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A))$  for any open subset  $Y$  of  $X$  and any subset  $A$  of  $Y$ . Therefore, there exists a regular open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $U = Y \cap V$ . Since  $X$  is a weakly- $P_\Sigma$  space, we have  $V = \bigcup \{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $V_\alpha \in RC(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Similarly to the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain  $V_\alpha \cap Y$  is regular closed in  $Y$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and  $U = \bigcup \{V_\alpha \cap Y : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . This shows that the subspace  $Y$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ . ■

**THEOREM 5.** *If a space  $X$  is  $s$ -regular and  $s^*$ -regular, then it is regular.*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be any open subset of  $X$  and  $x \in U$ . Since  $X$  is  $s$ -regular, there exists  $G \in SO(X)$  such that  $x \in G \subset s\text{Cl}(G) \subset U$  [9, Theorem 2]. It follows from Proposition 2.2 of [3] that  $s\text{Cl}(G) \in SR(X)$ . Since  $X$  is  $s^*$ -regular, there exists an open subset  $O$  of  $X$  such that  $x \in O \subset \text{Cl}(O) \subset s\text{Cl}(G)$  [7, Theorem 1]; hence  $x \in O \subset \text{Cl}(O) \subset U$ . This shows that  $X$  is regular. ■

Since  $RC(X) \subset SR(X)$ , every  $s^*$ -regular space is almost regular. Ganster showed that there exists a Hausdorff strongly  $s$ -regular space which is not almost regular [5, Example 4]. By these results and Example 1 (stated below), we obtain the following property.

**REMARK 1.**  $s^*$ -regularity is independent of strong  $s$ -regularity and also  $s$ -regularity.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is an  $s^*$ -regular space. And it is not  $s$ -regular since a subset  $\{a, b\}$  is closed and not semi-open in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $s^*$ -regular if and only if it is E.D.*

*Proof. Necessity.* Let  $X$  be  $s^*$ -regular and  $V$  a nonempty open set in  $X$ . Then we have  $\text{Cl}(V) \in RC(X)$  and  $RC(X) \subset SR(X)$ . For each  $x \in \text{Cl}(V)$ , there exists an open set  $U_x$ , such that  $x \in U_x \subset \text{Cl}(U_x) \subset \text{Cl}(V)$ . Therefore,  $\text{Cl}(V) = \bigcup \{U_x : x \in \text{Cl}(V)\}$  is open in  $X$ . This shows that  $X$  is E.D.


*Sufficiency.* Let  $X$  be E.D. and  $A$  be any semi-regular set in  $X$ . Since  $A$  is semi-open, by [3, Proposition 2.4] we have  $s\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(A)$  and hence  $A = s\text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(A) = \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ . This shows that  $A$  is open and closed in  $X$ . Therefore,  $X$  is  $s^*$ -regular. ■

COROLLARY 2. (Ganster [5]) *The following are equivalent for an E.D. space  $X$ :*

- (a)  $X$  is regular.
- (b)  $X$  is strongly  $s$ -regular.
- (c)  $X$  is  $s$ -regular.

*Proof.* The proof follows immediately from Theorems 5 and 6. ■

We have the following diagram related to separation axioms defined in §2.



REMARK 2. None of implications in the above diagram is reversible as shown by the following:

(a) Dorsett [4] pointed out that semi-regularity is independent of regularity and is strictly stronger than  $s$ -regularity.

(b) In Examples 1 and 2 of [5], Ganster showed that strong  $s$ -regularity lies strictly between regularity and  $s$ -regularity. We should note that the term “semi-regular” in [5, Example 2] is different from “semi-regular” in the sense of Dorsett [4].

(c) By [5, Example 4] and Example 1, the both notions of almost regular and strongly  $s$ -regular are strictly stronger than that of weakly- $P_\Sigma$ .

(d) The real numbers with the usual topology is a regular space which is not E.D. Therefore, by Example 1 “E.D.” and “regular” are independent of each other. And also almost regularity does not always imply  $s^*$ -regularity.

In [5, Theorem 3], Ganster showed that strong  $s$ -regularity is productive. We obtain the similar result about weakly- $P_\Sigma$  spaces.

THEOREM 7. *If  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$  is a weakly- $P_\Sigma$  space for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ , then the product space  $(X, \tau) = \prod \{(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $W$  be an arbitrary regular open set in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $x \in W$ . Then we have  $x \in \prod \{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset W$ , where  $U_\alpha$  is open in  $(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$  and there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $U_\alpha = X_\alpha$  whenever  $\alpha \in \nabla - \nabla_0$ .

Therefore, we have  $x \in \prod\{\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset W$ . For each  $\alpha \in \nabla_0$ , we have  $x_\alpha \in \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U_\alpha))$  and hence  $x_\alpha \in F_\alpha \subset \text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U_\alpha))$  for some  $F_\alpha \in RC(X_\alpha, \tau_\alpha)$ . Now, let  $F = \prod\{F_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla_0\} \times \prod\{X_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla - \nabla_0\}$ . Then, we obtain  $F \in RC(X, \tau)$  and  $x \in F \subset \prod\{\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(U_\alpha)) : \alpha \in \nabla\} \subset W$ . This shows that  $(X, \tau)$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ . ■

#### 4. Preservation theorems

We shall recall definitions of some functions used in the sequel to obtain several preservation theorems.

DEFINITION 2. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be:

- (a) *almost-continuous* [16] if  $f^1(V)$  is open in  $X$  for every  $V \in RO(Y)$ ;
- (b) *completely-continuous* [1] (resp. *R-map* [2]) if  $f^1(V) \in RO(X)$  for every open subset  $V$  of  $Y$  (resp.  $V \in RO(Y)$ );
- (c) *almost-open* [16] if  $f(U)$  is open in  $Y$  for every  $U \in RO(X)$ .

DEFINITION 3. A function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be:

- (a) *pre-almost open* (resp. *regular open*) if  $f(U) \in RO(Y)$  for every  $U \in RO(X)$  (resp. open set  $U$  in  $X$ );
- (b) *pre-almost closed* if  $f(U) \in RC(Y)$  for every  $U \in RC(X)$ .

LEMMA 1. (Noiri [12]) *Every almost-continuous almost-open function is an R-map.*

THEOREM 8. *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an almost-continuous and open (resp. almost-open) injection and  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$ , then  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an arbitrary open (resp. regular open) subset of  $X$ . Then  $f(U)$  is open in  $Y$  and  $f(U) = \bigcup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $V_\alpha \in RC(Y)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Since  $f$  is injective, we have  $U = \bigcup\{f^1(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . By Lemma 1,  $f$  is an  $R$ -map and hence  $f^1(V_\alpha) \in RC(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Therefore,  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ). ■

THEOREM 9. *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an almost-continuous and pre-almost open (resp. regular open) injection and  $Y$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ , then  $X$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$  (resp.  $P_\Sigma$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be an arbitrary regular open (resp. open) set in  $X$ . Then  $f(U)$  is regular open in  $Y$  and  $f(U) = \bigcup\{V_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $V_\alpha \in RC(Y)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Since  $f$  is injective, we have  $U = \bigcup\{f^1(V_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Every regular open function is pre-almost open and every pre-almost open function is almost open. Therefore, by Lemma 1,  $f$  is an  $R$ -map and hence  $f^1(V_\alpha) \in RC(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . This shows that  $X$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$  (resp.  $P_\Sigma$ ). ■

THEOREM 10. *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a continuous (resp. completely continuous) and pre-almost closed surjection and  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ), then  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an arbitrary open subset of  $Y$ . Then  $f^1(V)$  is open (resp. regular open) in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ),  $f^1(V) = \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $U_\alpha \in RC(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Since  $f$  is surjective, we have  $V = \bigcup\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ . Since  $f$  is pre-almost closed,  $f(U_\alpha) \in RC(Y)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . This shows that  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$ . ■

**THEOREM 11.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is an  $R$ -map (resp. almost-continuous) and pre-almost closed surjection and  $X$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$  (resp.  $P_\Sigma$ ), then  $Y$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an arbitrary regular open set in  $Y$ . Then  $f^1(V)$  is regular open (resp. open) in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$  (resp.  $P_\Sigma$ ),  $f^1(V) = \bigcup\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$ , where  $U_\alpha \in RC(X)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . Since  $f$  is a pre-almost closed surjection, we have  $V = \bigcup\{f(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  and  $f(U_\alpha) \in RC(Y)$  for each  $\alpha \in \nabla$ . This shows that  $Y$  is weakly- $P_\Sigma$ . ■

**LEMMA 2.** *If  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a pre-almost open function, then for any point  $y$  of  $Y$  and any  $A \in RC(X)$  containing  $f^1(y)$ , there exists a  $B \in RC(Y)$  containing  $y$  such that  $f^1(B) \subset A$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $B = Y - f(X - A)$ . Then, since  $f^1(y) \subset A$ , it follows that  $y \in B$  and  $B \in RC(Y)$  because  $f$  is pre-almost open. By a straightforward calculation, we have  $f^1(B) \subset A$ . ■

A subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is said to be  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$  [13] if for every cover  $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in \nabla\}$  of  $S$  by semi-open sets of  $X$  there exists a finite subset  $\nabla_0$  of  $\nabla$  such that  $S \subset \bigcup\{Cl(U_\alpha) : \alpha \in \nabla_0\}$ . It is obvious that a subset  $S$  of a space  $X$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$  if and only if every cover of  $S$  by regular closed sets in  $X$  has a finite subcover.

**THEOREM 12.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a continuous and pre-almost open surjection such that  $f^1(y)$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$  for each point  $y$  of  $Y$ . If  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ), then  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $V$  be an arbitrary open (resp. regular open) set in  $Y$  and  $y \in V$ . Then, since pre-almost open sets are almost open, by Lemma 1  $f^1(V)$  is open (resp. regular open) in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ) and  $f^1(y) \subset f^1(V)$ , for each  $x \in f^1(y)$ , there exists  $R(x) \in RC(X)$  such that  $x \in R(x) \subset f^1(V)$ . Since the family  $\{R(x) : x \in f^1(y)\}$  is a regular closed cover of  $f^1(y)$  and  $f^1(y)$  is  $S$ -closed relative to  $X$ , there exists a finite number of points, say  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , such that  $f^1(y) \subset \bigcup\{R(x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ . The finite union of regular closed sets is regular closed. Therefore, by Lemma 2 there exists  $R \in RC(Y)$  containing  $y$  such that  $f^1(R) \subset \bigcup\{R(x_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ , where each  $R(x_i)$  is contained in  $f^1(V)$ . Therefore, we obtain that  $y \in R \subset V$  and  $Y$  is  $P_\Sigma$  (resp. weakly- $P_\Sigma$ ). ■

#### REFERENCES

- [1] S. P. Arya and R. Gupta, *On strongly continuous mappings*, Kyungpook Math. J. **14** (1974), 131-143
- [2] D. A. Carnahan, *Some Properties Related to Compactness in Topological Spaces*, Ph. D. Thesis, Univ. Arkansas, 1973

- [3] G. Di Maio and T. Noiri, *On  $s$ -closed spaces*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1987), 226–233
- [4] C. Dorsett, *Semi-regular spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **8** (1982), 45–53
- [5] M. Ganster, *On strongly  $s$ -regular spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **25(45)** (1990), 195–201
- [6] J. E. Joseph and M. H. Kwack, *On  $S$ -closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **80** (1980), 341–348
- [7] M. Khan, T. Noiri and B. Ahmad, *On  $s^*$ -regular and extremally disconnected spaces* (submitted)
- [8] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70** (1963), 36–41
- [9] S. N. Maheshwari and R. Prasad, *On  $s$ -regular spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **10(30)** (1975), 347–350
- [10] A. S. Mashour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and S. N. El-Deeb, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt **53** (1982), 47–53
- [11] T. Noiri, *On almost-open mappings*, Mem. Miyakonojo Tech. Coll. **7** (1972), 167–171
- [12] T. Noiri, *Almost-continuity and some separation axioms*, Glasnik Mat. **9(29)** (1974), 131–135
- [13] T. Noiri, *A note on  $S$ -closed spaces*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **12** (1984), 229–235
- [14] T. Noiri and B. Ahmad, *A note on semi-open functions*, Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. **10** (1982), 437–441
- [15] M. K. Singal and S. P. Arya, *On almost-regular spaces*, Glasnik Mat. **4(24)** (1969), 89–99
- [16] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, *Almost-continuous mappings*, Yokohama Math. J. **16** (1968), 63–73
- [17] N. V. Veličko,  *$H$ -closed topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) **78** (1968), 103–118
- [18] G. J. Wang, *On  $S$ -closed spaces*, Acta Math. Sinica **24** (1981), 55–63

(received 26.04.1996.)

Department of Mathematics, Government College, Rajanpur, Pakistan

Department of Mathematics, Yatsushiro College of Technology, Yatsushiro, Kumamoto, 866 Japan

Department of Mathematics, Centre of Advanced Studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan, Pakistan