

## A NOTE ON $\alpha$ -EQUIVALENT TOPOLOGIES

D. Andrijević

**Abstract.** This paper responds to the question of when are two topologies  $\alpha$ -equivalent by using some recently introduced classes of sets as well as the classes of regular open sets, nowhere dense sets and dense sets.

### 1. Introduction

In [10] Njåstad gave a characterization of  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies on a given set by means of semi-open sets. It is natural to ask whether  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies can be characterized by means of some other classes of subsets which are shared by  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies, that is by means of classes of regular open, preopen, semi-preopen, nowhere dense and dense sets. We answer that question in the affirmative and show that two topologies have the same collection of  $\alpha$ -sets if and only if they share both the semi-regularisation topology and the  $\gamma$ -topology.

We first recall some definitions. Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $(X, T)$ . The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  with respect to  $T$  are denoted by  $\text{cl} A$  and  $\text{int} A$ , respectively.

DEFINITION. A subset  $A$  of  $(X, T)$  is called

- (i) an  $\alpha$ -set if  $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl}(\text{int} A))$ ,
- (ii) a *semi-open set* if  $A \subset \text{cl}(\text{int} A)$ ,
- (iii) a *preopen set* if  $A \subset \text{int}(\text{cl} A)$ ,
- (iv) a *semi-preopen set* if  $A \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}(\text{cl} A))$ .

The first three notions were introduced by Njåstad [10], Levine [8] and Mashhour et al. [9], respectively. The fourth concept was introduced by Abd El-Monsef et al. [1] under the name of  $\beta$ -open set and it was called semi-preopen set in [3]. The classes of these sets in a space  $(X, T)$  are denoted by  $T_\alpha$ ,  $\mathbf{SO}(T)$ ,  $\mathbf{PO}(T)$  and  $\mathbf{SPO}(T)$ , respectively. All of these are larger than  $T$  and are closed under arbitrary unions. Njåstad [10] showed that  $T_\alpha$  is a topology on  $X$ .

For a space  $(X, T)$  the family  $\{A \subset X \mid A \cap B \in \mathbf{PO}(T) \text{ whenever } B \in \mathbf{PO}(T)\}$  will be denoted by  $T_\gamma$ . It was shown in [4] that  $T_\gamma$  is a topology on  $X$  larger than  $T_\alpha$ . It will be called the  $\gamma$ -topology of  $T$ .

The classes of regular open sets, nowhere dense sets and dense sets in  $(X, T)$  will be denoted by  $\mathbf{RO}(T)$ ,  $\mathbf{N}(T)$  and  $\mathbf{D}(T)$  respectively. The complement of a regular open set is called regular closed, and the complement of a dense set is called *codense*.

The following results will be needed in the sequel.

PROPOSITION 1. ([10], [2] and [3]) *Let  $(X, T)$  be a space. Then:*

- (i)  $T_\alpha = T_{\alpha\alpha}$ , (ii)  $\mathbf{SO}(T) = \mathbf{SO}(T_\alpha)$ , (iii)  $\mathbf{PO}(T) = \mathbf{PO}(T_\alpha)$ ,  
 (iv)  $\mathbf{SPO}(T) = \mathbf{SPO}(T_\alpha)$ , (v)  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(T_\alpha)$ , (vi)  $\mathbf{D}(T) = \mathbf{D}(T_\alpha)$ ,  
 (vii)  $\mathbf{N}(T) = \mathbf{N}(T_\alpha)$ . ■

PROPOSITION 2. ([3]) *A subset of a space  $(X, T)$  is semi-preopen if and only if  $\text{cl}A$  is regular closed.* ■

PROPOSITION 3. ([3]) *In a space  $(X, T)$ , the intersection of every open and each semi-preopen set is semi-preopen.* ■

PROPOSITION 4. ([7]) *In a space  $(X, T)$ , a subset  $A$  is semi-open if and only if it is semi-preopen and  $\text{int}(\text{cl}A) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int}A)$ .* ■

PROPOSITION 5. ([7]) *If the topologies  $T$  and  $U$  on a set  $X$  have the same  $\gamma$ -topology, then they have the same class of nowhere dense sets.* ■

PROPOSITION 6. ([7]) *Two topologies on a set  $X$  have the same class of semi-preopen sets if and only if their  $\gamma$ -topologies are the same.* ■

## 2. $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies

DEFINITION. ([10]) Two topologies on a set  $X$  are called  $\alpha$ -equivalent if their  $\alpha$ -topologies are the same.

In the same paper Njåstad obtained the following result on  $\alpha$ -equivalence in terms of semi-open sets.

PROPOSITION 7. *Two topologies on a set  $X$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent if and only if they have the same class of semi-open sets.* ■

We consider the same question in relation to the other classes of subsets which are shared by  $(X, T)$  and  $(X, T_\alpha)$  mentioned in Proposition 1, to obtain analogous characterizations of  $\alpha$ -equivalence. We begin with a consequence of Propositions 7 and 1.

PROPOSITION 8. *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be two  $\alpha$ -equivalent topologies. Then:*

- (i)  $\mathbf{PO}(T) = \mathbf{PO}(U)$ , (ii)  $\mathbf{SPO}(T) = \mathbf{SPO}(U)$ , (iii)  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(U)$ ,  
 (iv)  $\mathbf{D}(T) = \mathbf{D}(U)$ , (v)  $\mathbf{N}(T) = \mathbf{N}(U)$ . ■

It should be noted that none of these five conditions is equivalent to the condition  $\mathbf{SO}(T) = \mathbf{SO}(U)$ . One can easily find the examples. But it is a natural question whether there are two conditions among these five which together imply  $\mathbf{SO}(T) = \mathbf{SO}(U)$ . We prove that any pair of independent conditions does so. From Propositions 5 and 6 it follows immediately that for the statements in Proposition

8, (i)  $\implies$  (ii)  $\implies$  (v) hold in general. The following result shows that (iv)  $\implies$  (v) is also true. The closure and the interior of a set  $A$  in  $(X, U)$  will be denoted by  $\text{cl}_U A$  and  $\text{int}_U A$  respectively.

**PROPOSITION 9.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be topologies on  $X$  having the same class of dense sets. Then their classes of nowhere dense sets coincide.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A \in \mathbf{N}(T) - \mathbf{N}(U)$ . Then  $G = \text{int}(\text{cl}_U A) \neq \emptyset$  because  $(X, T)$  and  $(X, U)$  have the same class of codense sets. Define  $W = \text{int}_U(G - \text{cl} A)$ . Since  $A$  is  $T$ -nowhere dense,  $G - \text{cl} A$  is  $T$ -open and non-empty. Hence  $W \subset \text{cl}_U A - A$  and  $W \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $\mathbf{N}(T) \subset \mathbf{N}(U)$ . The reverse inclusion is shown in an analogous way. ■

In order to prove our main result we first establish two lemmas.

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $T$  and  $U$  are topologies on  $X$  sharing the classes of semi-preopen sets and regular open sets, then they have the same class of dense sets.*

*Proof.* Assume that  $\text{cl} A = X$ . Then  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(T) = \mathbf{SPO}(U)$  and so  $\text{cl}_U A$  is  $U$ -regular closed by Proposition 2. Hence  $\text{cl}_U A$  is  $T$ -regular closed and thus  $\text{cl}_U A = X$ , i.e.  $A \in \mathbf{D}(U)$ . The reverse inclusion is obtained analogously. ■

**LEMMA 2.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be topologies on  $X$  having the same class of dense sets, and let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $\text{int}(\text{cl} A) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int} A)$  if and only if  $\text{int}_U \text{cl}_U A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int}_U A$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{int}(\text{cl} A) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int} A)$  and let  $W = \text{int}_U(\text{cl}_U A - \text{int}_U A)$  be non-empty. Then  $G = \text{int} W \neq \emptyset$  because  $(X, T)$  and  $(X, U)$  share the class of codense sets. Put  $G_1 = G - \text{cl} A$  and  $G_2 = G \cap \text{int} A$  and let  $W_1 = \text{int}_U G_1$  and  $W_2 = \text{int}_U G_2$ . We observe that  $W_1 \subset \text{cl}_U A - \text{cl} A$  which implies  $W_1 = \emptyset$  and so  $\text{int} G_1 = G_1 = \emptyset$ . On the other hand,  $W_2 \subset A$  and  $W_2 \cap \text{int}_U A \subset W \cap \text{int}_U A = \emptyset$ . Hence  $W_2 = \emptyset$  and thus  $\text{int} G_2 = G_2 = \emptyset$ . Hence both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are empty,  $G \subset \text{cl} A - \text{int} A$  and so  $G \subset \text{int}(\text{cl} A) - \text{cl}(\text{int} A) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $W = \emptyset$ , that is  $\text{int}_U \text{cl}_U A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int}_U A$ . ■

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be topologies on a set  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a)  $T$  and  $U$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent,
- (b)  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{PO}(T) = \mathbf{PO}(U)$ .
- (c)  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{SPO}(T) = \mathbf{SPO}(U)$ .
- (d)  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{N}(T) = \mathbf{N}(U)$ .
- (e)  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{D}(T) = \mathbf{D}(U)$ .
- (f)  $\mathbf{PO}(T) = \mathbf{PO}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{D}(T) = \mathbf{D}(U)$ .
- (g)  $\mathbf{SPO}(T) = \mathbf{SPO}(U)$  and  $\mathbf{D}(T) = \mathbf{D}(U)$ .

*Proof.* (a)  $\implies$  (b), (b)  $\implies$  (c) and (c)  $\implies$  (d) follow from Propositions 8, 5 and 6. Also, (a)  $\implies$  (e) and (a)  $\implies$  (f) follow from Proposition 8, (e)  $\implies$  (d) from Proposition 9 and (f)  $\implies$  (g) from Proposition 6.

(d)  $\implies$  (c): Suppose  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(T)$  and put  $B = A - \text{cl}_U \text{int}_U \text{cl}_U A$ . Then  $B \in \mathbf{N}(U) = \mathbf{N}(T)$  by (d). On the other hand,  $\text{cl}_U \text{int}_U \text{cl}_U A$  is  $U$ -regular closed

and so  $T$ -regular closed. Hence  $B \in \mathbf{SPO}(T)$  by Proposition 3 and thus  $B = \emptyset$ , that is  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(U)$ .

(c)  $\implies$  (a): Suppose that  $A \in \mathbf{SO}(U)$ . According to Lemma 1,  $(X, T)$  and  $(X, U)$  share the class of codense sets and so  $\text{int}_U(A - \text{cl}(\text{int } A)) = \emptyset$ , that is  $\text{int}_U A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$ . Therefore  $A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int}_U A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$ . On the other hand,  $\text{cl}(\text{int } A)$  is  $T$ -regular closed and so  $U$ -regular closed by (c). Hence  $A \subset \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$ , that is  $A \in \mathbf{SO}(T)$ . The reverse inclusion is obtained analogously.

(g)  $\implies$  (a): Suppose that  $A \in \mathbf{SO}(T)$ . Then  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(T)$  and  $\text{int}(\text{cl } A) \subset \text{cl}(\text{int } A)$  by Proposition 4. According to Lemma 2 and (g) we have that  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(U)$  and  $\text{int}_U \text{cl}_U A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int}_U A$  and thus  $A \in \mathbf{SO}(U)$  again by Proposition 4. The reverse inclusion is obtained analogously. ■

For a space  $(X, T)$  the topology  $T_s$  on  $X$  which has as a base the class  $\mathbf{RO}(T)$  is called the semiregularisation topology of  $(X, T)$ . Recall that  $T_{ss} = T_s$  and  $\mathbf{RO}(T) = \mathbf{RO}(U)$  if and only if  $T_s = U_s$  [6]. Theorem 1 and Proposition 6 give the following characterization.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be topologies on a set  $X$ . Then  $T_\alpha = U_\alpha$  if and only if  $T_\gamma = U_\gamma$  and  $T_s = U_s$ . ■*

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $(X, T)$  be a space. Then  $T_{s\alpha} = T_\alpha$  if and only if  $T_{s\gamma} = T_\gamma$ . ■*

It was shown in [5] that the topologies  $T$  and  $T_\gamma$  on a set  $X$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent if and only if they share the class of dense sets. Our Theorem 3 will be a slight improvement of this result. For convenience we first establish two simple lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.** ([4]) *Let  $(X, T)$  be a space. Then:*  
 (i)  $\text{cl}_{T_\gamma} G = \text{cl } G$  for any  $T$ -open set  $G$ ,  
 (ii)  $\text{int}_{T_\gamma} F = \text{int } F$  for any  $T$ -closed set  $F$ . ■

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be topologies on  $X$  such that  $U \subset T_\gamma$ . Then  $\text{cl}(\text{int } A) \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int } A$  for any subset  $A$ .*

*Proof.* By the assumption and Lemma 3 we have that  $\text{cl}(\text{int } A) = \text{cl}_{T_\gamma} \text{int } A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int } A$ . ■

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $T$  and  $U$  be topologies on a set  $X$  satisfying  $T \subset U_\gamma$  and  $U \subset T_\gamma$ . Then  $T$  and  $U$  are  $\alpha$ -equivalent if and only if they have the same class of dense sets.*

*Proof.* Assume  $\mathbf{D}(T) = \mathbf{D}(U)$  and let  $A \in \mathbf{SO}(T)$ . According to Proposition 4 and Lemma 2 it suffices to show that  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(U)$ . By Lemma 4 we have  $\text{cl}_U A \subset \text{cl}_U \text{cl}(\text{int } A) \subset \text{cl}_U \text{int } A$  and so  $\text{cl}_U A = \text{cl}_U \text{int } A$ . Since  $\text{int } A \in T \subset U_\gamma \subset \mathbf{PO}(U)$  we have by Proposition 2 that  $\text{cl}_U A$  is  $U$ -regular closed and hence  $A \in \mathbf{SPO}(U)$ . ■

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, R. A. Mahmoud,  *$\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings*, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ. **12** (1), 1983, 77–90
- [2] D. Andrijević, *Some properties of the topology of  $\alpha$ -sets*, Mat. Vesnik **36**, 1984, 1–10
- [3] D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik **38**, 1986, 24–32
- [4] D. Andrijević, *On the topology generated by preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik **39**, 1987, 367–376
- [5] D. Andrijević, M. Ganster, *A note on the topology generated by preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik **39**, 1987, 115–119
- [6] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology, Part I*, Addison Wesley, Reading, Massachusetts 1966
- [7] M. Ganster, D. Andrijević, *On some questions concerning semi-preopen sets*, Jour. Inst. Math. and Comp. Sci. (Math. Ser.) **1** (2), 1988, 65–75
- [8] N. Levine, *Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces*, Amer. Math. Monthly **70**, 1963, 36–41
- [9] A. S. Mashhour, M. E. Abd El-Monsef, S. N. El-Deeb, *On precontinuous and weak precontinuous mappings*, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt **53**, 1982, 47–53
- [10] O. Njåstad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math. **15**, 1965, 961–970

(received 29 10 1993)

University of Belgrade, Poljoprivredni Fakultet, Nemanjina 6, P.O.Box 127, YU-11081 Beograd-Zemun, Yugoslavia