

## AN ESTIMATION OF APPROXIMATION FOR THE SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

Tomica R. Divnić

**Abstract.** In this paper we give an estimation of the method for finding approximative solution of ordinary differential equations of the first order and these equations systems by use of operators which satisfy condition

$$|(U(\psi_1))(x) - (U(\psi_2))(x)| \leq A_0 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_C$$

Let  $P_{h,a} = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : x_1 \in [x_0, x_0 + h], x_j \in [x_{j_0} - a, x_{j_0} + a], x_0, x_{j_0} \in \mathbb{R}, j = 2, 3, \dots, k, h, a > 0\}$ . Denote by  $AN^1$  the class of functions  $f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$  which continuous on  $P_{h,a}$  and which satisfy Lipschitz's condition of the first order with constant  $A$  for variable  $x_s, s \geq 2$ :

$$(9) \quad |f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}, x_s'', x_{s+1}, \dots, x_k) - f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1}, x_s', x_{s+1}, \dots, x_k)| \leq A|x_s'' - x_s'|.$$

Let  $(U_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  be the sequence of operators  $U_n : C_{[x_0, x_0+h]}^\infty \rightarrow C_{[x_0, x_0+h]}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  which satisfy condition:

$$(10) \quad (\exists A_0 > 0) (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}) (\forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in C_{[x_0, x_0+h]}^\infty) (\forall x \in [x_0, x_0 + h]) \\ (U_n(\psi_1))(x) - (U_n(\psi_2))(x) \leq A_0 \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_C.$$

The base of the method of approximative solution of differential equations by use of linear operators was introduced by V.K.DZIADIK (see [1], [2]). In this paper the linear condition of operator  $U_n$  is changed by the condition (10). In this respect estimations of approximations for the solutions of differential equations of the first order and their systems are obtained in a simpler form.

---

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification 1991. Primary: 34E05, 34A45.

**Key words and phrases:** ordinary differential equations, approximative solution, operationale equation, system of ordinary differential equations.

**Theorem 1.** *Let the differential equation be of the form*

$$(11) \quad y' = f(x, y), \quad y(x_0) = y_0,$$

where  $f(x, y) \in AN^1$ , and operator  $U_n$ , which satisfies the condition (10), and let the operational equation

$$(12) \quad \tilde{y}_n(x) = (U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]dt))(x)$$

has the solution on  $[x_0, x_0 + h]$ . Then the function  $\tilde{y}_n(x)$ , which is the solution of that equation, approximates on the segment  $[x_0, x_0 + h]$  the solution  $y(x)$  of the equation (11) and the inequality

$$\|y(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\|_C \leq (1 + \alpha) \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\|_C$$

is valid, where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta} & , \eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_0 Ah < 1 \\ \infty & , \eta \geq 1. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

**Proof :**

$$\begin{aligned} |y(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)| &= |y(x) - (U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]dt))(x)| \\ &= |[y(x) - (U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, y(t)]dt))(x)] \\ &\quad + [(U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, y(t)]dt))(x) - (U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]dt))(x)]| \\ &\leq |y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)| + |(U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, y(t)]dt))(x) \\ &\quad - (U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]dt))(x)| \\ &\leq \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\| + A_0 \left\| \int_{x_0}^x f[t, y(t)]dt - \int_{x_0}^x f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]dt \right\| \\ &= \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\| + A_0 \left\| \int_{x_0}^x [f[t, y(t)] - f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]] dt \right\| \\ &\leq \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\| + A_0 \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \|f[t, y(t)] - f[t, \tilde{y}_n(t)]\| dt \\ &\leq \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\| + A_0 A \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \|y(t) - \tilde{y}_n(t)\| dt \\ &= \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\| + A_0 Ah \|y(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

The previous performances are valid for  $\forall x \in [x_0, x_0 + h]$  and therefore we also get that:

$$\|y(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\| \leq \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\| + A_0 Ah \|y(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\|,$$

that is

$$\|y(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - A_0 Ah} \|y(x) - (U_n(y))(x)\|.$$

This proves the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

In the previous theorem there is still the question of the existence of the solution of the operational equation (12). The answer to this question is given in the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.** (About the existence of the solution) *If in the conditions of the Theorem 1 the number  $h$  satisfies inequality*

$$h < \frac{1}{A_0 A}$$

*than the operational equation (12) is solvable for every  $x \in [x_0, x_0 + h]$ , where the solution  $\tilde{y}_n(x)$  is unique and we get it by using successive approximations. We also get that for  $\forall j \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\|\tilde{y}_n^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\|_C \leq \frac{q^j}{1 - q} \|\tilde{y}_n^{(1)} - \tilde{y}_n^{(0)}\|_C,$$

where  $\tilde{y}_n^{(0)}(x)$  - is an arbitrary beginning approximation of  $\tilde{y}_n(x)$  (with value in  $[y_0 - a, y_0 + a]$ ) and for  $\tilde{y}_n^{(j)}(x)$  -  $j$  the approximation of  $\tilde{y}_n(x)$  obtained from:

$$\tilde{y}_n^{(j)}(x) = \left( U_n \left( y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, \tilde{y}_n^{(j-1)}(t)] dt \right) \right) (x) \quad , \quad j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

and

$$q = A_0 Ah. \quad \square$$

**Proof:** By the operational equation (12) we introduce operator

$$(\mathcal{A}(y)) = \left( U_n \left( y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, y(t)] dt \right) \right) (x)$$

and the class of continuous functions on the segment  $[x_0, x_0 + h]$ . Show that  $\mathcal{A}$  is the operator of contraction.

Namely, for arbitrary continuous functions  $y(x), \tilde{y}(x)$  on  $[x_0, x_0 + h]$  (with values in  $[y_0 - a, y_0 + a]$ ) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathcal{A}(y))(x) - (\mathcal{A}(\tilde{y}))(x)\| &= \left\| \left( U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, y(t)]dt) \right)(x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( U_n(y_0 + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f[t, \tilde{y}(t)]dt) \right)(x) \right\| \\ &\leq A_0 \left\| \int_{x_0}^x f[t, y(t)]dt - \int_{x_0}^x f[t, \tilde{y}(t)]dt \right\| \\ &\leq A_0 \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \|f[t, y(t)] - f[t, \tilde{y}(t)]\| dt \\ &\leq A_0 Ah \|y(x) - \tilde{y}(x)\|. \end{aligned}$$

For  $A_0 Ah < 1$  operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is the operator of contraction. From the theorem of the fixed point it follows that the operational equation (12) is solvable and that we get the approximate solution by use of successive approximation method. According to the same theorem we easily get that

$$\|\tilde{y}_n^{(j)}(x) - \tilde{y}_n(x)\| \leq \frac{q^j}{1-q} \|\tilde{y}_n^{(1)} - \tilde{y}_n^{(0)}\|, \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N}.$$

This proves the theorem.  $\blacksquare$

We consider now the approximation of the solution of system of ordinary differential equations given in the form

$$(13) \quad y'_i = f_i(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k), \quad y_i(x_0) = y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k$$

and let  $f_i \in AN^1$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We transform system (13) in the integral form

$$(14) \quad y_i(x) = y_i + \int_{x_0}^x f_i[t, y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)]dt.$$

Define functions  $\tilde{y}_i(x)$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$

$$(15) \quad \tilde{y}_i(x) = \left( U_n(y_i + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_k(t)]dt) \right)(x)$$

Now, we can formulate the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *Let system of ordinary differential equations is in the form*

$$y'_i = f_i(x, y_1, y_2, \dots, y_k), \quad y_i(x_0) = y_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k,$$

where  $f_i \in AN^1, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and operator  $U_n$ , which satisfies the condition (10), and let the system of equations (7) has the solution on the segment  $[x_0, x_0 + h]$ . Then the functions  $\tilde{y}_i(x), i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , which are the solutions

of that systems, approximates on  $[x_0, x_0 + h]$  solutions  $y_i(x)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , of the system of equations (14) and the inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)\| \leq (1 + \alpha) \sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y))_i(x)\|$$

is valid, where

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \frac{\eta}{1 - \eta} & , \eta \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A_0 A h < 1 \\ \infty & , \eta \geq 1. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

**Proof:**

$$\begin{aligned} |y_i(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)| &= |y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x) + (U_n(y_i))(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)| \\ &\leq |y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)| + |(U_n(y_i))(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)| \\ &= |y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)| + \left| \left( U_n(y_i + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f_i[t, y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] dt \right) (x) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \left( U_n(y_i + \int_{x_0}^{\xi} f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_k(t)] dt \right) (x) \right| \\ &\leq \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| \\ &\quad + A_0 \left\| \int_{x_0}^x (f_i[t, y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_k(t)]) dt \right\| \\ &= \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| \\ &\quad + A_0 \left\| \int_{x_0}^x (f_i[t, y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] \right. \\ &\quad + f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), y_3(t) \dots, y_k(t)] \\ &\quad + f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), y_3(t) \dots, y_k(t)] - \dots - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_{k-1}(t), y_k(t)] \\ &\quad \left. + f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_{k-1}(t), y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_k(t)] \right) dt \right\| \\ &\leq \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| \\ &\quad + A_0 \left\| \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \left( |f_i[t, y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] \right. \right. \\ &\quad + |f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), y_3(t) \dots, y_k(t)] \\ &\quad + |f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), y_3(t) \dots, y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \tilde{y}_3(t) \dots, y_k(t)] + \dots \\ &\quad \left. \left. + |f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_{k-1}(t), y_k(t)] - f_i[t, \tilde{y}_1(t), \tilde{y}_2(t), \dots, \tilde{y}_k(t)] \right| \right) dt \right\| \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| + A_0 A \int_{x_0}^{x_0+h} \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j(t) - \tilde{y}_j(t)\| dt \\ &= \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| + A_0 A h \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j(x) - \tilde{y}_j(x)\| \end{aligned}$$

Since the deducing is valid for any  $x \in [x_0, x_0 + h]$  it follows that

$$\|y_i(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)\| \leq \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| + A_0 A h \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j(x) - \tilde{y}_j(x)\| dt$$

Summing these inequalities for  $i = 1, \dots, k$  we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\| + k A_0 A h \sum_{j=1}^k \|y_j(x) - \tilde{y}_j(x)\| dt$$

hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i(x) - \tilde{y}_i(x)\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - k A_0 A h} \sum_{i=1}^k \|y_i(x) - (U_n(y_i))(x)\|. \quad \blacksquare$$

## 1. References

- [1] В.К.Дзядик 827–848 *О применении линейных методов к приближению полиномами решению обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнению и интегральных уравнения Гаммерштеяна*, Изв. АН СССР т.34, N<sup>0</sup>4 (1970), 827–848.
- [2] В.К.Дзядик 61–96 *О применении линейных операторов к приближенному решению обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнению* Изд. Инст. мат. АН УССР, 61–96.
- [3] В.К.Дзядик 937–967 *Аппроксимационный метод приближения алгебраическими многочленами решению линейных дифференциальных уравнению* т.38, N<sup>0</sup>4 (1970) Изв. АН СССР
- [4] В.В.Крочук *О полиномиальном приближении решению обыкновенных дифференциальных уравнению*, Тр. семинара по дифф. и интегр. уравнениям ИМ АН УССР, выш. I, К. (1969)

Faculty of Science,  
Department of Mathematics and Informatics,  
P.O. Box 60, 34000 Kragujevac,  
Yugoslavia