

Convolutions Involving the Exponential Function and the Exponential Integral

BRIAN FISHER AND FATMA AL-SIREHY

ABSTRACT. The exponential integral $\text{ei}(\lambda x)$ and its associated functions $\text{ei}_+(\lambda x)$ and $\text{ei}_-(\lambda x)$ are defined as locally summable functions on the real line and their derivatives are found as distributions. The convolutions $x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e_+^x$ and $x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e^x$ are evaluated.

1. INTRODUCTION AND RESULTS

The *exponential integral* $\text{ei}(x)$ is defined for $x > 0$ by

$$(1) \quad \text{ei}(x) = \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} \, d u,$$

see Sneddon [8], the integral diverging for $x \leq 0$. It was pointed out in [1] that equation (1) can be rewritten in the form

$$(2) \quad \text{ei}(x) = \int_x^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-u} - H(1-u)] \, d u - H(1-x) \ln |x|,$$

where H denotes Heaviside's function. The integral in this equation is convergent for all x and so we use equation (2) to define $\text{ei}(x)$ on the real line.

More generally, see [1], if $\lambda \neq 0$, we define $\text{ei}(\lambda x)$ in the obvious way by

$$(3) \quad \text{ei}(\lambda x) = \int_{\lambda x}^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-u} - H(1-u)] \, d u - H(1-\lambda x) \ln |\lambda x|.$$

Further, we define the functions $\text{ei}_+(\lambda x)$ and $\text{ei}_-(\lambda x)$ by

$$\text{ei}_+(\lambda x) = H(x) \text{ei}(\lambda x), \quad \text{ei}_-(\lambda x) = H(-x) \text{ei}(\lambda x)$$

so that

$$(4) \quad \text{ei}(\lambda x) = \text{ei}_+(\lambda x) + \text{ei}_-(\lambda x).$$

In particular, if $\lambda > 0$, we have

$$(5) \quad \text{ei}(\lambda x) = \int_x^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-\lambda u} - H(1-\lambda u)] \, d u - H(1-\lambda x) \ln |\lambda x|,$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 33B10, 46F10.

Key words and phrases. exponential integral, convolution.

$$(6) \quad \text{ei}_+(\lambda x) = \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{-\lambda u} \, du, \quad x > 0,$$

$$(7) \quad \text{ei}_-(\lambda x) = -\gamma(\lambda) + \int_x^0 u^{-1} (e^{-\lambda u} - 1) \, du - \ln x_-, \quad x < 0,$$

where

$$\gamma(\lambda) = \gamma + \ln |\lambda|$$

and

$$\gamma = - \int_0^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-\lambda u} - H(1 - \lambda u)] \, du$$

is Euler's constant.

The derivatives of these functions are given by

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} [\text{ei}(\lambda x)]' &= -e^{-\lambda x} x^{-1}, \\ [\text{ei}_+(\lambda x)]' &= -e^{-\lambda x} x_+^{-1} - \gamma(\lambda) \delta(x), \\ [\text{ei}_-(\lambda x)]' &= e^{-\lambda x} x_-^{-1} + \gamma(\lambda) \delta(x), \end{aligned}$$

for all $\lambda \neq 0$.

In particular, we have

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{ei}(x) &= \int_x^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-u} - H(1 - u)] \, du - H(1 - x) \ln |x|, \\ \text{ei}_+(x) &= \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} \, du, \quad x > 0, \\ \text{ei}_-(x) &= -\gamma + \int_x^0 u^{-1} (e^{-u} - 1) \, du - \ln x_-, \quad x < 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\gamma = - \int_0^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-u} - H(1 - u)] \, du$$

is Euler's constant.

The derivatives of these functions are given by

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} [\text{ei}(x)]' &= -e^{-x} x^{-1}, \\ [\text{ei}_+(x)]' &= -e^{-x} x_+^{-1} - \gamma \delta(x), \\ [\text{ei}_-(x)]' &= e^{-x} x_-^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The classical definition of the convolution of two functions f and g is as follows:

Definition 1. Let f and g be functions. Then the *convolution* $f * g$ is defined by

$$(f * g)(x) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(t)g(x - t) \, dt$$

for all points x for which the integral exist.

It follows easily from the definition that if $f * g$ exists then $g * f$ exists and

$$(11) \quad f * g = g * f$$

and if $(f * g)'$ and $f * g'$ (or $f' * g$) exists, then

$$(12) \quad (f * g)' = f * g' \text{ (or } f' * g \text{)}.$$

Definition 1 can be extended to define the convolution $f * g$ of two distributions f and g in D' with the following definition, see Gel'fand and Shilov [7].

Definition 2. Let f and g be distributions in D' . Then the *convolution* $f * g$ is defined by the equation

$$\langle (f * g)(x), \varphi \rangle = \langle f(y), \langle g(x), \varphi(x + y) \rangle \rangle$$

for arbitrary φ in D , provided f and g satisfy either of the conditions

- (a) either f or g has bounded support,
- (b) the supports of f and g are bounded on the same side.

It follows that if the convolution $f * g$ exists by this definition then equations (10) and (11) are satisfied.

The locally summable functions e_+^x and e_-^x are defined by

$$e_+^x = H(x)e^x \quad e_-^x = H(-x)e^x.$$

In the following we need the following lemma, which is easily proved by induction.

Lemma 1.

$$\int_0^u t^k e^{-t} dt = - \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{k!}{i!} u^i e^{-u} + k!,$$

$$\int_0^u t^k e^{-2t} dt = - \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{k!}{2^{k-i+1} i!} u^i e^{-2u} + \frac{k!}{2^{k+1}},$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *The convolution $x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e_+^x$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e_+^x = \\
 & = \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} \left[\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(i-1)!}{2^{i-j} j!} x^j e_+^{-x} - \frac{(i-1)!}{2^i i!} e_+^x \right] \\
 (13) \quad & + \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} [e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) - e^x \text{ei}_+(x) + \ln 2 e_+^x] \\
 & - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \frac{x^i}{i!} + (1 - e^x) \right] \text{ei}_+(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and r, s not both zero.

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * e_+^x = r! \sum_{i=1}^r \left[\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(i-1)!}{2^{i-j} j!} x^j e_+^{-x} - \frac{(i-1)!}{2^i i!} e_+^x \right] \\
 (14) \quad & + r! [e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) - e^x \text{ei}_+(x) + \ln 2 e_+^x] \\
 & - r! \left[\sum_{i=1}^r \frac{x^i}{i!} + (1 - e^x) \right] \text{ei}_+(x),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$(15) \quad \text{ei}_+(x) * e_+^x = -\text{ei}_+(x) + e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) + \ln 2 e_+^x.$$

Proof. The convolution $x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e_+^x = 0$ if $x < 0$ and so when $x > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e_+^x = \int_0^x t^r (x-t)^s e^{x-t} \int_t^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} du dt \\
 (16) \quad & = \int_0^x u^{-1} e^{x-u} \int_0^u t^r (x-t)^s e^{-t} dt du \\
 & + \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{x-u} \int_0^x t^r (x-t)^s e^{-t} dt du \\
 & = I_1 + I_2,
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^u t^r (x-t)^s e^{-t} dt = \\
 (17) \quad & = \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k x^{s-k} \int_0^u t^{r+k} e^{-t} dt \\
 & = - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \frac{u^i}{i!} e^{-u} + (e^{-u} - 1) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

and in particular when $r = s = 0$,

$$(18) \quad \int_0^u e^{-t} dt = -e^{-u} + 1,$$

on using the lemma.

Hence

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} I_1 &= - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} e^x \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^x \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \frac{u^{i-1}}{i!} e^{-2u} + u^{-1} (e^{-2u} - e^{-u}) \right] du \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^s (r+k)! \sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k x^{s-k} e^x \int_0^x \frac{u^{i-1}}{i!} e^{-2u} du \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} e^x \int_0^x u^{-1} (e^{-2u} - e^{-u}) du. \end{aligned}$$

Further, we have

$$(20) \quad \int_0^x \frac{u^{i-1}}{i!} e^{-2u} du = - \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \frac{(i-1)!}{2^{i-j} i! j!} x^j e^{-2x} + \frac{(i-1)!}{2^i i!},$$

on using the lemma, and

$$(21) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^x u^{-1} [e^{-u} - H(1-u)] du = \\ &= \int_0^\infty u^{-1} [e^{-u} - H(1-u)] du \\ &\quad - \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} du + \int_x^\infty u^{-1} H(1-u) du \\ &= -\gamma - \text{ei}_+(x) + \int_x^\infty u^{-1} H(1-u) du. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$(22) \quad \int_0^x u^{-1} [e^{-2u} - H(1-2u)] du = -\gamma - \text{ei}_+(2x) + \int_x^\infty u^{-1} H[1-2u] du.$$

It follows from equations (21) and (22) that

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_0^x u^{-1} (e^{-u} - e^{-2u}) du = \\ &= \text{ei}_+(2x) - \text{ei}_+(x) + \int_0^\infty u^{-1} [H(1-u) - H(1-2u)] du \\ &= \text{ei}_+(2x) - \text{ei}_+(x) + \ln 2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, when $r = s = 0$, we have

$$(24) \quad I_1 = [\text{ei}_+(2x) - \text{ei}_+(x) + \ln 2]e^x.$$

Next, as in equation (17), we have

$$(25) \quad \int_0^x t^r (x-t)^s e^{-t} dt = \\ = - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \frac{x^i}{i!} e^{-x} + (e^{-x} - 1) \right]$$

and so

$$(26) \quad I_2 = - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \frac{x^i}{i!} + (1 - e^x) \right] \text{ei}_+(x).$$

In particular, when $r = s = 0$, we have

$$(27) \quad I_2 = (e^x - 1) \int_x^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} du = (e^x - 1) \text{ei}_+(x).$$

Equation (13) now follows from equations (20), (21), (22), (25) and (26).

Equation (14) follows on putting $s = 0$ in equation (13) and equation (15) follows on putting $r = 0$ in equation (14). \square

In the corollary, the distribution x_+^{-2} is defined by $x_+^{-2} = (x_+^{-1})'$ and not as in Gel'fand and Shilov.

Corollary 1.1. *The convolutions $(e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * e_+^x$ and $(e^{-x}x_+^{-2}) * e_+^x$ exist and*

$$(28) \quad (e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * e_+^x = -e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) - \gamma(2)e_+^x$$

$$(29) \quad (e^{-x}x_+^{-2}) * e_+^x = 2e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) + 2\gamma(2)e_+^x - e^{-x}x_+^{-1}.$$

Proof. The convolution $(e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * e_+^x$ exists by Definition 2, since $e^{-x}x_+^{-1}$ and e_+^x are both bounded on the left. From equation (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\text{ei}_+(x) * e_+^x]' &= -[e^{-x}x_+^{-1} + \gamma\delta(x)] * e_+^x \\ &= -(e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * e_+^x - \gamma e_+^x \\ &= \text{ei}_+(x) * [e_+^x + \delta(x)] \\ &= e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) + \ln 2 e_+^x \end{aligned}$$

and equation (28) follows.

From equations (12) and (28), we now have

$$\begin{aligned} [(e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * e_+^x]' &= -(e^{-x}x_+^{-1} + e^{-x}x_+^{-2}) * e_+^x \\ &= e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) + \gamma(2)e_+^x - (e^{-x}x_+^{-2}) * e_+^x \\ &= (e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * [e_+^x + \delta(x)] \\ &= -e^x \text{ei}_+(2x) - \gamma(2)e_+^x + e^{-x}x_+^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and equation (29) follows. \square

Theorem 2. *The convolution $x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e^x$ exists and*

$$(30) \quad \begin{aligned} x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e^x = & - \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \binom{s}{k} \frac{(-1)^k (r+k)!}{2^i i!} x^{s-k} e^x \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k \ln 2 (r+k)! x^{s-k} e^x, \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and r, s not both zero.

In particular

$$(31) \quad x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * e^x = - \sum_{i=1}^r \frac{r!}{2^i i!} e^x + \ln 2 r! e^x,$$

for $r = 1, 2, \dots$ and

$$(32) \quad \text{ei}_+(x) * e^x = \ln 2 e^x$$

$$(33) \quad \text{ei}_+(x) * x e^x = \ln 2 x e^x - \ln 2 e^x + \frac{1}{2} e^x.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^r \text{ei}_+(x) * x^s e^x &= \int_0^\infty t^r (x-t)^s e^{x-t} \int_t^\infty u^{-1} e^{-u} \, du \, dt \\ &= \int_0^\infty u^{-1} e^{x-u} \int_0^u t^r (x-t)^s e^{-t} \, dt \, du \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} e^x \sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \int_0^\infty \frac{u^{i-1}}{i!} e^{-2u} \, du \\ &\quad - \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k (r+k)! x^{s-k} e^x \int_0^\infty (u^{-1} e^{-2u} - u^{-1} e^{-u}) \, du \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{i=1}^{r+k} \binom{s}{k} \frac{(-1)^k (r+k)!}{2^i i!} x^{s-k} e^x \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=0}^s \binom{s}{k} (-1)^k \ln 2 (r+k)! x^{s-k} e^x \end{aligned}$$

on making use of equation (17), the lemma and noting that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty u^{-1} (e^{-2u} - e^{-u}) \, du &= \int_0^\infty \ln u (2e^{-2u} - e^{-u}) \, du \\ &= \Gamma'(1) - \ln 2 - \Gamma'(1) = -\ln 2, \end{aligned}$$

proving equation (30).

Equation (31) follows on putting $s = 0$ in equation (30) and equation (32) follows on putting $r = 0$ in equation (31).

Equation (31) follows on putting $r = 0$ and $s = 1$ in equation (30). \square

Corollary 2.1. *The convolution $(e^{-x}x_+^{-n}) * e^x$ exists and*

$$(34) \quad e^{-x}x_+^{-n} * e^x = \frac{(-1)^n 2^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} \gamma(2) e^x$$

for $n = 1, 2, \dots$

In particular,

$$(35) \quad e^{-x}x_+^{-1} * xe^x = -\gamma(2)xe^x - \frac{1}{2}e^x.$$

Proof. Differentiating equation (32), we get

$$[-e^{-x}x_+^{-1} - \gamma\delta(x)] * e^x = -(e^{-x}x_+^{-1}) * e^x - \gamma e^x = \ln 2e^x$$

and we see that equation (34) is true when $n = 1$.

Now assume that equation (34) is true for some n . Then differentiating equation (34), we get

$$(-e^{-x}x_+^{-n} - ne^{-x}x_+^{-n-1}) * e^x = (e^{-x}x_+^{-n}) * e^x.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} ne^{-x}x_+^{-n-1} * e^x &= -2(e^{-x}x_+^{-n}) * e^x \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{n+1} 2^n}{(n-1)!} \gamma(2) e^x \end{aligned}$$

and so equation (33) is true for $n+1$. Equation (34) now follows by induction.

Differentiating equation (33), we get

$$[-e^{-x}x_+^{-1} - \gamma\delta(x)] * xe^x = \ln 2xe^x + \frac{1}{2}e^x$$

and equation (35) follows. \square

For further results involving the exponential integral, see [2, 3, 4, 5] and [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Fisher and J.D. Nicholas, *The exponential integral and the convolution*, *SUT J. Math.*, **33**(2)(1997), 139-148.
- [2] B. Fisher and J.D. Nicholas, *The neutrix convolution product of $\text{ei}(\lambda x)$ and x^r* , *Math. Montisnigri*, **9**(1998), 51-64.
- [3] B. Fisher and J.D. Nicholas, *On the exponential integral*, *Hacet. Bull. Nat. Sci. Eng. Ser. B*, **28**(1999), 55-64.
- [4] B. Fisher and J.D. Nicholas, *The exponential integral and the neutrix convolution product*, *J. Nat. Sci. Math.*, **40**(1,2)(2000), 23-36.
- [5] B. Fisher and J.D. Nicholas, *On the exponential integral and the non-commutative convolution product*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **11**(4)(2001), 353-362.

-
- [6] B. Fisher, E. Özçağ and Ü. Gülen, *The exponential integral and the commutative neutrix convolution product*, J. Analysis, **7**(1999), 7-20.
- [7] I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized functions*, Vol. I, Academic Press (1964).
- [8] I.N. Sneddon, *Special Functions of Mathematical Physics and Chemistry*, Oliver and Boyd, (1961).

BRIAN FISHER

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER
LEICESTER, LE1 7RH
ENGLAND
E-mail address: fbr@le.ac.uk

FATMA AL-SIREHY

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
KING ABDULAZIZ UNIVERSITY
JEDDAH
SAUDI ARABIA
E-mail address: falserehi@kau.edu.sa