

Some Results for Fuzzy Maps Under Nonexpansive Type Condition

SWEETEE MISHRA, R.K. NAMDEO AND BRIAN FISHER

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we have proved some results for fuzzy maps satisfying non-expansive type condition.

1. INTRODUCTION

A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is called non-expansive if its Lipschitz constant $k(T)$ does not exceed 1. Thus, this class of mappings includes the contraction and strictly contractive mappings; moreover it contains all isometries (including the identity).

A map $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be non-expansive if

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq d(x, y), \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Ćirić studied the following non-expansive type condition in his paper [1] and [2] for a self map, T of X :

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq a \max\{d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \\ &\quad + c[d(x, Ty) + d(y, Tx)] \end{aligned}$$

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq ad(x, y) + b \max\{d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} + c[d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)]$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where $a, b, c \geq 0$ such that $a + b + 2c = 1$.

The fuzzy set was introduced by L. Zadeh [9] in 1965. In this paper we shall use the terminology and notation of Heilpern [3]. Heilpern gave some fundamental results related to fuzzy map. Since that time a substantial literature has developed on this subject. In some earlier work Rhoades and Bruce Watson [7,8] proved several fixed point theorems involving a very general contractive condition, for fuzzy maps on complete linear metric space.

Definition 1. A fuzzy set A in complete metric space X is a function from X into $[0, 1]$. If $x \in X$, the function value $A(x)$ is called the grade of member

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary:

Key words and phrases. Fuzzy maps, Common fixed point, Non-expansive map.

of x in A . The α -level set of A , denoted by

$$A_\alpha = \{x : A(x) \geq \alpha\} \quad \text{if } \alpha \in (0, 1],$$

$$A_0 = \{x : A(x) > 0\}.$$

Definition 2. A fuzzy set A is said to be an approximate quantity iff A_α is compact and convex for each $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and $\sup_{x \in X} A(x) = 1$.

When A is an approximate quantity and $A(x_0) = 1$ for some $x_0 \in X$, A is identified with an approximation of x_0 .

The collection of all fuzzy sets in X is denoted by $F(X)$ and $W(X)$ is the sub-collection of all approximate quantities.

Definition 3. Let $A, B \in W(X)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$D_\alpha(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A_\alpha, y \in B_\alpha} d(x, y),$$

$$D(A, B) = \sup_\alpha D_\alpha(A, B),$$

$$H_\alpha(A, B) = \text{dist}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha),$$

where “dist” is the Hausdorff distance.

Definition 4. Let $A, B \in W(X)$, then A is said to be more accurate than B , denoted by $A \subset B$ iff $A(x) \leq B(x)$ for each $x \in X$.

The relation “ \subset ” induces a partial ordering on the family $W(X)$.

Definition 5. Let X and Y be two complete linear metric spaces. F is called a fuzzy mapping if and only if F is a mapping from the set X into $W(Y)$.

A fuzzy mapping F is a fuzzy subset of $X \times Y$ with membership function $F(x, y)$. The function value $F(x, y)$ is the grade of membership of y in $F(x)$. Each fuzzy mapping is a set valued mapping.

Lee [4] proved the following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete linear metric space, F is a fuzzy map from X into $W(X)$ and $x_0 \in X$ then there exists an $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset F(x_0)$.*

The following two lemmas are due to Heilpern [3].

Lemma 2. *Let $A, B \in W(X)$, $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, and $D_\alpha(A, B) = \inf_{x \in A_\alpha, y \in B_\alpha} d(x, y)$, where $A_\alpha = \{x : A(x) \geq \alpha\}$, then $D_\alpha(x, A) \leq d(x, y) + D_\alpha(y, A)$ for each $x, y \in X$.*

Lemma 3. *Let $H_\alpha(A, B) = \text{dist}(A_\alpha, B_\alpha)$ where “dist” is the Hausdorff distance. If $\{x_0\} \subset A$ then $D_\alpha(x_0, B) \leq H_\alpha(A, B)$ for each $B \in W(X)$.*

Rhoades [5] proved the following common fixed point theorem involving a very general contractive condition, for fuzzy maps on complete linear metric space.

Theorem A. *Let (X, d) be complete linear metric space and let F, G be fuzzy mappings from X into $W(X)$ satisfying*

$$H(Fx, Gy) \leq Q(m(x, y)) \quad \text{of all } x, y \text{ in } X,$$

where

$$(1) \quad m(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), D_\alpha(x, Fx), D_\alpha(y, Gy), \frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha(x, Gy) + D_\alpha(y, Fx)] \right\},$$

Q is a real-valued function defined on D , the closure of the range of d , satisfying the following three conditions:

- (a) $0 < Q(s) < s$ for each $s \in D \setminus \{0\}$ and $Q(0) = 0$,
- (b) Q is non-decreasing on D , and
- (c) $g(s) = s/s - Q(s)$ is non-increasing on $D \setminus \{0\}$.

Then there exists a point z in X , such that $\{z\} \subset Fz \cap Gz$.

We have proved the following common fixed point theorem satisfying non-expansive condition, for fuzzy maps on complete linear metric space.

Theorem 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete linear metric space. F, G are fuzzy mappings from X into $W(X)$, T is a self-map of X , satisfying*

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} H(Fx, Gy) \leq & a \max \{ d(Tx, Ty), D_\alpha(Tx, Fx), D_\alpha(Ty, Gy), \\ & \frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha(Tx, Gy) + D_\alpha(Ty, Fx)] \} \\ & + b \max \{ D_\alpha(Tx, Fx), D_\alpha(Ty, Gy) \} \\ & + c[D_\alpha(Tx, Gy) + D_\alpha(Ty, Fx)], \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c are non-negative real numbers such that $a + b + 2c = 1$.

If T is continuous, T weakly commutes with S and T and there exist a sequence which is asymptotically F -regular and G -regular with respect to T , then there exists a point z in X , which is a common fixed point of maps F, G, T .

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$, then by Lemma 1, we can choose $Tx_1 \in X$ such that $\{Tx_1\} \subset Fx_0$. Choose x_2 such that $d(Tx_1, Tx_2) \leq H(Fx_0, Gx_1)$, continuing the process we obtain a sequence $\{Tx_n\}$ such that $\{Tx_{2n+1}\} \subset Fx_{2n}$, $\{Tx_{2n+2}\} \subset Gx_{2n+1}$ and $d(Tx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+2}) \leq H(Fx_{2n}, Gx_{2n+1})$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Applying (2) and using triangle inequality, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) &\leq H(Fx_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n}) \\
&\leq a \max\{d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}), D_\alpha(Tx_{2n-1}, Fx_{2n-1}), \\
&\quad D_\alpha(Tx_{2n}, Gx_{2n}), \frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha(Tx_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n}) + D_\alpha(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n-1})]\} \\
&+ b \max\{D_\alpha(Tx_{2n-1}, Fx_{2n-1}), D_\alpha(Tx_{2n}, Gx_{2n})\} \\
&+ c[D_\alpha(Tx_{2n-1}, Gx_{2n}) + D_\alpha(Tx_{2n}, Fx_{2n-1})] \\
&\leq a \max\{d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}), d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}), \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})]\} \\
&+ b \max\{d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\} \\
&+ c[d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})] \\
&\leq (a + b) \max\{d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}), d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})\} \\
&+ c[d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n}) + d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1})].
\end{aligned}$$

If $d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) > d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n})$ for some n , then we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) &\leq (a + b + 2c)d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \\
&= d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus $d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq d(Tx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n})$.

Hence, for all positive integers n ,

$$(3) \quad d(Tx_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}) \leq d(Tx_0, Tx_1).$$

Again applying (2) and using (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(Tx_2, Tx_3) &\leq a \max\{d(Tx_1, Tx_2), d(Tx_2, Tx_3), d(Tx_1, Tx_2), \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(Tx_2, Tx_2) + d(Tx_1, Tx_3)]\} \\
(4) \quad &+ b \max\{d(Tx_1, Tx_2), d(Tx_2, Tx_3)\} \\
&+ c[d(Tx_1, Tx_3) + d(Tx_2, Tx_2)] \\
&\leq a \max\{d(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(Tx_0, Tx_1), \frac{1}{2}d(Tx_1, Tx_3)\} \\
&+ b \max\{d(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(Tx_0, Tx_1)\} + cd(Tx_1, Tx_3)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying (2) again and using (3) we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} d(Tx_1, Tx_3) &\leq a \max\{d(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(Tx_2, Tx_3), d(Tx_0, Tx_2), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(Tx_0, Tx_3) + d(Tx_2, Tx_1)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{d(Tx_0, Tx_1), d(Tx_2, Tx_3)\} \\ &\quad + c[d(Tx_0, Tx_3) + d(Tx_2, Tx_1)] \\ &\leq (2 - b)d(Tx_0, Tx_1). \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and (5), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx_2, Tx_3) &\leq ad(Tx_0, Tx_1) + bd(Tx_0, Tx_1) + (2c - bc)d(Tx_0, Tx_1) \\ &\leq (1 - bc)d(Tx_0, Tx_1). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that

$$d(Tx_{n+1}, Tx_n) \leq (1 - bc)^{[n/2]}d(Tx_0, Tx_1),$$

where $[n/2]$ means the greatest integer not exceeding $n/2$.

We conclude that $\{Tx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Since X is complete, $\{Tx_n\}$ is convergent to the point z (say).

Since $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ then using Lemmas 2, 3 and (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_\alpha(Tz, Fz) &\leq d(Tz, GTx_n) + D_\alpha(GTx_n, Fz) \\ &\leq d(Tz, GTx_n) + H_\alpha(Fz, GTx_n) \\ &\leq d(Tz, GTx_n) + H(Fz, GTx_n). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the limit n tends to infinity we get

$$(6) \quad D_\alpha(Tz, Fz) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Fz, GTx_n) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Fz, GTx_n)$$

Again using (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(Fz, GTx_n) &\leq a \max\{d(Tz, TTx_n), D_\alpha(Tz, FTx_n), D_\alpha(TTx_n, GTx_n), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha(Tz, GTx_n) + D_\alpha(TTx_n, Fz)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{D_\alpha(Tz, Fz), D_\alpha(TTx_n, GTx_n)\} \\ &\quad + c[D_\alpha(Tz, GTx_n) + D_\alpha(TTx_n, Fz)]. \end{aligned}$$

Letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Fz, GTx_n) &\leq a \max\{d(Tz, Tz), d(Tz, Fz), D_\alpha(Tz, Gz), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(Tz, Gz) + d(Tz, Fz)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{d(Tz, Fz), d(Tz, Gz)\} \\ &\quad + c[d(Tz, Gz) + d(Tz, Fz)] \end{aligned}$$

$$(7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Fz, GTx_n) \leq (a + b + 2c) \max\{d(Tz, Fz), d(Tz, Gz)\} \\ = d(Tz, Fz).$$

Using (6) and (7) we have

$$D_\alpha(Tz, Fz) \leq d(Tz, Fz),$$

a contradiction. Hence we must have $D_\alpha(Tz, Fz) = 0$. Since α is arbitrary number in $[0, 1]$. It follows that $D(Tz, Fz) = 0$, which implies that $Tz = Fz$. Similarly it can be shown that $Tz = Gz$.

$$H(Fx_n, GTx_n) \leq a \max\{d(Tx_n, TTx_n), D_\alpha(Tx_n, Fx_n), D_\alpha(TTx_n, GTx_n), \\ \frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha(Tx_n, GTx_n) + D_\alpha(TTx_n, Fx_n)]\} \\ + b \max\{D_\alpha(Tx_n, Fx_n), D_\alpha(TTx_n, GTx_n)\} \\ + c[D_\alpha(Tx_n, GTx_n) + D_\alpha(TTx_n, Fx_n)]$$

Letting n tend to infinity and supposing T is continuous, T weakly commutes with S and T and there exist a sequence which is asymptotically F -regular and G -regular with respect to T , than we have

$$\leq ad(z, Tz) + 2cd(z, Tz) \\ d(z, Tz) \leq (1 - b)d(z, Tz),$$

which implies $z = Tz$.

Hence z is a common fixed point of maps G, F, T . □

Corollary 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete linear metric space. F, G are fuzzy mappings from X into $W(X)$ satisfying*

$$H(Fx, Gy) \leq a \max\{d(x, y), D_\alpha(x, Fx), D_\alpha(y, Gy), \\ \frac{1}{2}[D_\alpha(x, Gy) + D_\alpha(y, Fx)]\} \\ b \max\{D_\alpha(x, Fx), D_\alpha(y, Gy)\} \\ + c[D_\alpha(x, Gy) + D_\alpha(y, Fx)]$$

where a, b, c are non-negative real numbers such that $a + b + 2c = 1$.

Then there exists a point z in X , which is a common fixed point of maps F and G , i.e., $\{z\} \subset Fz \cap Gz$.

Proof. Taking T is identity map of X in Theorem 1. □

Rhoades [6], generalized the result of Theorem A for sequence of fuzzy maps on complete linear metric space. He proved the following theorem.

Theorem B. *Let g be a non-expansive self mapping of a complete linear metric space (X, d) . Let $\{F_i\}$ be a sequence of fuzzy mappings from X into $W(X)$. For each pair of fuzzy mappings F_i, F_j and for any $x \in X$, $\{u_x\} \subset$*

$F_i(x)$, there exists a $\{v_y\} \subset F_j(y)$ for all $y \in X$ such that $D(\{u_x\}, \{v_y\}) \leq Q(m(x, y))$, where

$$(8) \quad m(x, y) = \max\{d(g(x), g(u_x)), d(g(y), g(v_y)), d(g(x), g(y)), \frac{1}{2}[d(g(x), g(v_y)) + d(g(y), g(u_x))]\}$$

where Q satisfying the conditions (a)-(c) of Theorem A. Then there exists $\{p\} \subset \bigcap_{i \in N} F_i(p)$.

We prove the result of above for common fixed point for sequence of fuzzy mappings of non-expansive condition.

Theorem 2. Let g be a non-expansive self mapping of a complete linear metric space (X, d) . Let $\{F_i\}$ be a sequence of fuzzy mappings from X into $W(X)$. For each pair of fuzzy mapping F_i, F_j and for any $x \in X$, $\{u_x\} \subset F_i(x)$, there exists a $\{v_y\} \subset F_j(y)$ for all $y \in X$ such that

$$(9) \quad D(\{u_x\}, \{v_y\}) \leq a \max\{d(g(x), g(u_x)), d(g(y), g(v_y)), d(g(x), g(y)), \frac{1}{2}[d(g(x), g(v_y)) + d(g(y), g(u_x))]\} \\ + b \max\{d(g(x), g(u_x)), d(g(y), g(v_y))\} \\ + c[d(g(x), g(v_y)) + d(g(y), g(u_x))]$$

where a, b, c are non-negative real numbers such that $a + b + 2c = 1$.

Then there exists $\{p\} \subset \bigcap_{i \in N} F_i(p)$, i.e., p is a common fixed point of sequence of fuzzy mappings.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$, then by Lemma 1, we can choose $x_1 \in X$ such that $\{x_1\} \subset F(x_0)$. Similarly for $x_1 \in X$ we can choose $x_2 \in X$ such that $\{x_2\} \subset F_2(x_1)$. In general, $\{x_{n+1}\} \subset F_{n+1}(x_n)$.

Applying (9) and using triangle inequality we have

$$d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = D(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) \\ \leq a \max\{d(g(x_{n-1}), g(x_n)), d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1})), d(g(x_{n-1}), g(x_n)), \frac{1}{2}[d(g(x_n), g(x_n)) + d(g(x_{n-1}), g(x_{n+1}))]\} \\ + b \max\{d(g(x_{n-1}), g(x_n)), d(g(x_n), g(x_{n+1}))\} \\ + c[d(g(x_n), g(x_n)) + d(g(x_{n-1}), g(x_{n+1}))].$$

Since g is non-expansive and $D(\{x_n\}, \{x_{n+1}\}) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq a \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})]\} \\
&\quad + b \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\quad + c[d(x_n, x_n) + d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1})] \\
&\leq a \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]\} \\
&\quad + b \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\quad + c[d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})].
\end{aligned}$$

If $d(x_{n-1}, x_n) < d(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for some n , then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_n, x_{n+1}) &< a \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})]\} \\
&\quad + b \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\
&\quad + c[d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1})] \\
&= (a + b + 2c)d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\
&= d(x_n, x_{n+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Thus $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$.

Hence, for all positive integers n

$$(10) \quad d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_0, x_1).$$

Again applying (9) and using (10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
d(x_2, x_3) = D(x_2, x_3) &\leq a \max\{d(g(x_1), g(x_2)), d(g(x_2), g(x_3)), d(g(x_1), g(x_2)), \\
&\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(g(x_1), g(x_3)) + d(g(x_2), g(x_2))]\} \\
&\quad + b \max\{d(g(x_1), g(x_2)), d(g(x_2), g(x_3))\} \\
&\quad + c[d(g(x_1), g(x_3)) + d(g(x_2), g(x_2))].
\end{aligned}$$

Since g is non-expansive, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_2, x_3) &\leq a \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_3), d(x_1, x_2), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(x_1, x_3) + d(x_2, x_2)]\} \\
 &\quad + b \max\{d(x_1, x_2), d(x_2, x_3)\} + c[d(x_1, x_3) + d(x_2, x_2)] \\
 (11) \quad &\leq a \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1), \frac{1}{2}d(x_1, x_3)\} \\
 &\quad + b \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_0, x_1)\} + cd(x_1, x_3) \\
 &\leq a \max\{d(x_0, x_1), \frac{1}{2}d(x_1, x_3)\} \\
 &\quad + bd(x_0, x_1) + cd(x_1, x_3).
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying (9) again and using (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_1, x_3) &= D(\{x_1\}, \{x_3\}) \\
 &\leq a \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), d(g(x_2), g(x_3)), d(g(x_0), g(x_2)), \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(g(x_0), g(x_3)) + d(g(x_2), g(x_1))]\} \\
 &\quad + b \max\{d(g(x_0), g(x_1)), d(g(x_2), g(x_3))\} \\
 &\quad + c[d(g(x_0), g(x_3)) + d(g(x_2), g(x_1))] \\
 (12) \quad &\leq a \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_2, x_3), [d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)], \\
 &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_2, x_1)]\} \\
 &\quad + b \max\{d(x_0, x_1), d(x_2, x_3)\} \\
 &\quad + c[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_2, x_1)] \\
 &\leq (2a + b + 4c)d(x_0, x_1) \\
 &= (2 - b)d(x_0, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (11) and (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_2, x_3) &\leq a \max\{d(x_0, x_1), \frac{1}{2}[(2 - b)d(x_0, x_1)]\} \\
 &\quad + bd(x_0, x_1) + c(2 - b)d(x_0, x_1) \\
 &\leq (1 - bc)d(x_0, x_1).
 \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to show that,

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_n) \leq (1 - bc)^{[n/2]}d(x_0, x_1),$$

where $[n/2]$ means the greatest integer not exceeding $n/2$. Since $bc < 1$, $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence and hence the sequence $\{x_n\}$ converges to the limit p (say).

Let F_m be an arbitrary member of $\{F_i\}$. Since $\{x_n\} \subset F_m(x_{n-1})$, by Lemma 1, there exists a $v_n \in X$ such that $\{v_n\} \subset F_m(p)$ for all n .

Applying (9) again and using (10) we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, v_n) = D(\{x_n\}, \{v_n\}) &\leq a \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(p, v_n), d(x_{n-1}, p) \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(x_{n-1}, v_n) + d(x_p, x_n)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(p, v_n)\} \\ &\quad + c[d(x_{n-1}, v_n) + d(x_p, x_n)] \end{aligned}$$

If $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n \neq p$, then letting n tend to infinity, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(p, v_n) &\leq \text{taking a max}\{d(p, p), d(p, v_n), d(p, p), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}[d(p, v_n) + d(p, p)]\} \\ &\quad + b \max\{d(p, p), d(p, v_n)\} \\ &\quad + c[d(p, v_n) + d(p, p)] \\ &\leq (a + b + c)d(p, v_n) \\ &< d(p, v_n), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $\lim v_n = p$.

Since F_m is arbitrary, then $\{p\} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n F_i(p)$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] Lj.B. Ćirić, *On some non-expansive type mapping and fixed points*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **24(3)** (1993), 145-149.
- [2] Lj.B. Ćirić, *Coincidences and fixed points of non-expansive type multi-valued and single-valued maps*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **26(5)** (1995), 393-401.
- [3] S. Heilpern, *Fuzzy maps and fixed theorem*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **83** (1981), 566-569.
- [4] B.S. Lee, *Generalized common fixed point theorems for a sequence of fuzzy mappings*, Internat. J. Math. & Math. Sci., **17** (1994), 437-440.
- [5] B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed points of some Fuzzy mappings*, Soochow J. Math., **22** (1996), 111-115.
- [6] B.E. Rhoades, *A common fixed point theorem for a sequence of fuzzy mappings*, Internat. J. Math. and Math. Sci., **3** (1995), 447-450.
- [7] B. Watson and B.E. Rhoades, *Generalized contraction and fixed points in metric space*, Math. Japonica, **34** (1989), 975-982.
- [8] B. Watson and B.E. Rhoades, *Fixed point for set valued mappings in metric space*, Math. Japonica, **35** (1990), 735-743.
- [9] L.A. Zadeh, *Fuzzy sets Inform and Control*, **8** (1965), 338-353.

SWEETEE MISHRA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

DR. H.S. GOUR VISHWAVIDYALAYA (CENTRAL UNIVERSITY)

SAGAR (M.P.)

INDIA

E-mail address: sweetee_mishra@rediffmail.com**R.K. NAMDEO**

H.O.D. OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS

DR. H.S. GOUR VISHWAVIDYALAYA (CENTRAL UNIVERSITY)

SAGAR (M.P.)

INDIA

BRIAN FISHER

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS

UNIVERSITY OF LEICESTER

LEICESTER, LE1 7RH

U.K.

E-mail address: fbr@le.ac.uk