

## On Separation Axioms and Sequences

ERDAL EKICI AND TAKASHI NOIRI

ABSTRACT. In 2003, Noiri has introduced the notion of  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets. By using these sets, the aim of this paper is to investigate the relationships between separation axioms and sequences.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Noiri [10] has introduced the notion of  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets in topological spaces in 2003. Noiri has shown that  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets are weaker form of  $\beta$ -regular sets and stronger form of  $\beta$ -open sets. Separation axioms and sequences are two main topics of general topology. In literature, many papers have been studied on these subjects [4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12, etc.]. In this paper, we investigate the relationships between separation axioms and sequences by using  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets.

### 2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the present paper, spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  mean topological spaces. Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . The closure of  $A$  and the interior of  $A$  are denoted by  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$ , respectively.

A subset  $A$  is said to be  $\beta$ -open [1] or semi-preopen [3] (resp.  $\alpha$ -open [8]) if  $A \subset cl(int(cl(A)))$  (resp.  $A \subset int(cl(int(A)))$ ). The complement of a  $\beta$ -open set is said to be  $\beta$ -closed [2] or semi-preclosed [3]. The intersection of all  $\beta$ -closed sets of  $X$  containing  $A$  is called the semi-preclosure [3] or  $\beta$ -closure [2] of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\beta-cl(A)$ . The union of all  $\beta$ -open sets of  $X$  contained in  $A$  is called the semi-preinterior or  $\beta$ -interior of  $A$  and is denoted by  $\beta-int(A)$ . A subset  $A$  is said to be  $\beta$ -regular if it is  $\beta$ -open and  $\beta$ -closed.

The family of all  $\beta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ -regular) sets of  $X$  containing a point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\beta O(X, x)$  (resp.  $\beta R(X, x)$ ). The family of all  $\beta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ -closed,  $\beta$ -regular) sets in  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta O(X)$  (resp.  $\beta C(X)$ ,  $\beta R(X)$ ).

A topological space  $X$  is called  $\beta$ - $T_0$  [7] if for any distinct pair of points in  $X$ , there exists a  $\beta$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other. A space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $T_1$  [7] if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$ ,  $y \in V$ . A space  $X$

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 54A05, 54D10.

*Key words and phrases*. separation axioms, sequences,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets.

is said to be  $\beta$ - $T_2$  [7] if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $\beta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** ([10]) *Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $X$ . Then*

- (1)  $A \in \beta O(X)$  if and only if  $\beta\text{-cl}(A) \in \beta R(X)$ ,
- (2)  $A \in \beta C(X)$  if and only if  $\beta\text{-int}(A) \in \beta R(X)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** ([10]) *Let  $X$  be a topological space. A point  $x$  of  $X$  is called a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -cluster point of  $S$  if  $\beta\text{-cl}(U) \cap S \neq \emptyset$  for every  $U \in \beta O(X, x)$ . The set of all  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -cluster points of  $S$  is called the  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closure of  $S$  and is denoted by  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(S)$ . A subset  $S$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed if  $S = \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(S)$ . The complement of a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open.*

**Theorem 2.2.** ([10]) *For any subset  $A$  of a topological space  $X$ , the following hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A) &= \cap\{V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \text{ is } \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-closed}\} \\ &= \cap\{V : A \subset V \text{ and } V \in \beta R(X)\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 2.3.** ([10]) *Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any subsets of a topological space  $X$ . Then the following properties hold:*

- (1)  $x \in \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A)$  if and only if  $V \cap A \neq \emptyset$  for each  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$ ,
- (2) if  $A \subset B$ , then  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A) \subset \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(B)$ ,
- (3)  $\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(\beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A)) = \beta\text{-}\theta\text{-cl}(A)$ ,
- (4) if  $A_\alpha$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in  $X$  for each  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , then  $\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} A_\alpha$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in  $X$ .

The family of all  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) sets of  $X$  containing a point  $x \in X$  is denoted by  $\beta\theta O(X, x)$  (resp.  $\beta\theta C(X, x)$ ). The family of all  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open (resp.  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed) sets in  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta\theta O(X)$  (resp.  $\beta\theta C(X)$ ).

### 3. SEPARATION AXIOMS AND SEQUENCES

In this section, we introduce and study  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -separation axioms,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -convergences and some functions. Also, we investigate the relationships among  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -separation axioms,  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -convergences and some functions.

**Definition 3.1.** *A topological space  $X$  is called  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$  if for any distinct pair of points in  $X$ , there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing one of the points but not the other.*

**Definition 3.2.** *A space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$  if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \notin U$  and  $x \notin V$ ,  $y \in V$ .*

**Definition 3.3.** *A space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  if for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .*

**Theorem 3.1.** *For a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ , the following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$ ,
- (2) for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $\beta$ -regular sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ ,
- (3)  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $T_2$ ,
- (4) for each pair of distinct points  $x$  and  $y$  of  $X$ , there exist  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ .

*Proof.* (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2) It is obvious since  $A$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open in  $X$  if and only if for each  $x \in A$  there exists  $V \in \beta R(X, x)$  such that  $x \in V \subset A$ .

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (3) It is obvious that  $A \in \beta O(X)$  if and only if  $\beta\text{-cl}(A) \in \beta R(X)$  and  $A \in \beta C(X)$  if and only if  $\beta\text{-int}(A) \in \beta R(X)$ .

(2) $\Leftrightarrow$ (4) It is obvious that  $A \in \beta R(X)$  if and only if  $A$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. □

**Remark 3.1.** *Every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$  space is  $\beta$ - $T_0$  and every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$  space is  $\beta$ - $T_1$ .*

**Example 3.1.** *Let  $X = \{a, b, c\}$  and  $\tau = \{\emptyset, X, \{a\}\}$ . Then  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\beta$ - $T_0$  but not  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$ .*

**Question** *Does there exist a space which is  $\beta$ - $T_1$  and it is not  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$ ?*

**Definition 3.4.** *A topological space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  if for  $x, y \in X$  with  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{y\})$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{y\}) \subset V$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$  if and only if the singletons are  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed sets.*

**Definition 3.5.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $S \subset X$ . The  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -kernel of  $S$ , denoted by  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(S)$ , is defined to be the set  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(S) = \bigcap \{U \in \beta\theta O(X) : S \subset U\}$ .*

**Theorem 3.3.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  if and only if there exist disjoint  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{y\}) \subset V$  whenever  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(\{y\})$  for  $x, y \in X$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *A topological space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  if and only if it is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$ .*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ): Let  $X$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  space. Then  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$  and then  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$ , by the Theorem 3.2,  $\{x\} = \beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \neq \beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{y\}) = \{y\}$  for  $x, y \in X$ , there exist disjoint  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{x\}) \subset U$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{cl}(\{y\}) \subset V$ . Thus,  $X$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  space.

( $\Leftarrow$ ): Let  $X$  be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$ . Let  $x, y$  be any two distinct points of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_0$ , then there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $U$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$  or there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $V$  such that  $y \in V$  and  $x \notin V$ . Let  $x \in U$  and  $y \notin U$ . Then  $y \notin \beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(\{x\})$  and then  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(\{x\}) \neq \beta$ - $\theta$ - $\text{ker}(\{y\})$ . Since  $X$

is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$ , by Theorem 3.3 there exist disjoint  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $x \in \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl(\{x\}) \subset U$  and  $y \in \beta$ - $\theta$ - $cl(\{y\}) \subset V$ . Thus,  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.** ([1]) *Let  $A$  and  $Y$  be subsets of a space  $X$ . If  $A \in \beta O(X)$  and  $Y$  is  $\alpha$ -open in  $X$ , then  $A \cap Y \in \beta O(Y)$ .*

**Theorem 3.5.** *If  $X_0$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set and  $A$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set in  $X$ , then  $X_0 \cap A \in \beta \theta O(X_0)$ .*

**Definition 3.6.** *A sequence  $(x_n)$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -convergent to a point  $x$  of  $X$ , denoted by  $(x_n) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} x$ , if  $(x_n)$  is eventually in every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing  $x$ .*

**Definition 3.7.** *A space  $X$  is said to be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US if every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -convergent sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to a unique point.*

**Definition 3.8.** *A set  $F$  of a space  $X$  is said to be sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed if every sequence in  $F$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to a point in  $F$ .*

**Definition 3.9.** *A subset  $G$  of a space  $X$  is said to be sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact if every sequence in  $G$  has a subsequence which  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to a point in  $G$ .*

**Theorem 3.6.** *Every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  space is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  space and  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$ . Suppose that  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to two distinct points  $x$  and  $y$ . That is,  $(x_n)$  is eventually in every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing  $x$  and also in every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set containing  $y$ . This is contradiction since  $X$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  space. Hence, the space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** *Every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space. Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two distinct points of  $X$ . Consider the sequence  $(x_n)$  where  $x_n = x$  for every  $n$ . Clearly,  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x$ . Also, since  $x \neq y$  and  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US,  $(x_n)$  cannot  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converge to  $y$ , i.e, there exists a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $V$  containing  $y$  but not  $x$ . Similarly, if we consider the sequence  $(y_n)$  where  $y_n = y$  for all  $n$ , and proceeding as above we get a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $U$  containing  $x$  but not  $y$ . Thus, the space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.8.** *A space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US if and only if the set  $A = \{(x, x) : x \in X\}$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed subset of  $X \times X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US. Let  $(x_n, x_n)$  be a sequence in  $A$ . Then  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$ . As  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US,  $(x_n) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} x$  for a unique  $x \in X$ . i.e.,  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converge to  $x$  and  $y$ . Thus,  $x = y$ . Hence,  $A$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set.

Conversely, let  $A$  be sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. Let a sequence  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converge to  $x$  and  $y$ . Hence, sequence  $(x_n, x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $(x, y)$ . Since  $A$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed,  $(x, y) \in A$  which means that  $x = y$  implies space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.9.** *A space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US if and only if every sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set of  $X$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.*

*Proof.* ( $\Rightarrow$ ) : Let  $X$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space. Let  $Y$  be a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact subset of  $X$ . Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . Suppose that  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to a point  $x$  in  $X \setminus Y$ . Let  $(x_{n_k})$  be a subsequence of  $(x_n)$  which  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to a point  $y \in Y$  since  $Y$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact. Also, a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x \in X \setminus Y$ . Since  $(x_{n_k})$  is a sequence in the  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space  $X$ ,  $x = y$ . Thus,  $Y$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set.

( $\Leftarrow$ ) : Suppose that  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to distinct points  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $K_x = \{x_n : n \in N\} \cup \{x\}$ . Then  $K_x$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set and it is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed. Since  $y \notin K_x$ , there exists  $U \in \beta R(X, x)$  such that  $U \cap K_x = \emptyset$ . This contradicts that  $(x_n)$  is eventually in  $U$ . Therefore,  $x = y$  and  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Every  $\alpha$ -open set of a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space and  $Y \subset X$  be an  $\alpha$ -open set. Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $Y$ . Suppose that  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x$  and  $y$  in  $Y$ . We shall prove that  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x$  and  $y$  in  $X$ . Let  $U$  be any  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open subset of  $X$  containing  $x$  and  $V$  be any  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set of  $X$  containing  $y$ . Then,  $U \cap Y$  and  $V \cap Y$  are  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open sets in  $Y$ . Therefore,  $(x_n)$  is eventually in  $U \cap Y$  and  $V \cap Y$  and so in  $U$  and  $V$ . Since  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US, this implies that  $x = y$ . Hence the subspace  $Y$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.11.** *A space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  if and only if it is both  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.*

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$  space. Then  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  by Theorem 3.4 and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US by Theorem 3.6.

Conversely, let  $X$  be both  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space. By Theorem 3.7 we obtain that every  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US space is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$  and  $X$  is both  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_1$  and  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $R_1$  and, it follows from Theorem 3.4 that the space  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ - $T_2$ .  $\square$

Next, we prove the product theorem for  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US spaces.

**Theorem 3.12.** *If  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  are  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US spaces, then  $X_1 \times X_2$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.*

*Proof.* Let  $X = X_1 \times X_2$  where  $X_i$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US and  $I = \{1, 2\}$ . Let a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converge to  $x = (x_i)_{i \in I}$  and  $y = (y_i)_{i \in I}$ . Then the sequence  $(x_{n_i})$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Suppose that for  $2 \in I$ ,  $(x_{n_2})$  does not  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x_2$ . Then there exists  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open set  $U_2$  containing  $x_2$  such that  $(x_{n_2})$  is not eventually in  $U_2$ . Consider the set,  $U = X_1 \times U_2$ . Then  $U$  is a  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -open subset of  $X$  and  $x \in U$ . Also,  $(x_n)$  is not eventually in  $U$  which contradicts the fact that  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x$ . Thus we get  $(x_{n_i})$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Since  $X_i$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US for each  $i \in I$ , we obtain  $x = y$ . Hence,  $X$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US.  $\square$

Now we define the notion of sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions in the following:

**Definition 3.10.** *A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be*

- (1) sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous at  $x \in X$  if  $f(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $f(x)$  whenever  $(x_n)$  is a sequence  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $x$ ,
- (2) sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if  $f$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous at all  $x \in X$ .

**Definition 3.11.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be sequentially nearly  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if for each point  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $x$ , there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $f(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} f(x)$ .

**Theorem 3.13.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be two sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions. If  $Y$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US, then the set  $A = \{x : f(x) = g(x)\}$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.

*Proof.* Let  $Y$  be  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US and suppose that there exists a sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $A$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $x \in X$ . Since  $f$  and  $g$  are sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions,  $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} f(x)$  and  $g(x_n) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} g(x)$ . Hence  $f(x) = g(x)$  and  $x \in A$ . Therefore,  $A$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.14.** Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function. If  $Y$  is  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -US, then the set  $E = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : f(x) = f(y)\}$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed in  $X \times X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that there exists a sequence  $(x_n, y_n)$  in  $E$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $(x, y) \in X \times X$ . Since  $f$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous functions,  $f(x_n) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} f(x)$  and  $f(y_n) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} f(y)$ . Hence  $f(x) = f(y)$  and  $(x, y) \in E$ . Hence,  $E$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed.  $\square$

**Definition 3.12.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if for each point  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $x$ , there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  and a point  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} y$ .

**Definition 3.13.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is said to be sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving if the image  $f(K)$  of every sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set  $K$  of  $X$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact in  $Y$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** Every function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous if  $Y$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact.

*Proof.* Let  $(x_n)$  be a sequence in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to a point  $x$  of  $X$ . Then  $(f(x_n))$  is a sequence in  $Y$  and as  $Y$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact, there exists a subsequence  $(f(x_{n_k}))$  of  $(f(x_n))$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to a point  $y \in Y$ . Hence,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.16.** A function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving if and only if  $f \upharpoonright M : M \rightarrow f(M)$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous for each sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact subset  $M$  of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving function. Then  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set in  $Y$  for each sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set  $M$  of  $X$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.15,  $f \upharpoonright M : M \rightarrow f(M)$  is a sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function.

Conversely, let  $M$  be any sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set of  $X$ . We shall show that  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set in  $Y$ . Let  $(y_n)$  be any sequence in  $f(M)$ . Then for each positive integer  $n$ , there exists a point  $x_n \in M$  such that  $f(x_n) = y_n$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in the sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set  $M$ , there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to a point  $x \in M$ . Since  $f \upharpoonright M : M \rightarrow f(M)$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, then there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  such that  $f(x_{n_k}) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} y$  and  $y \in f(M)$ . This implies that  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set in  $Y$ . Thus,  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving function.  $\square$

The following theorem gives a sufficient condition for a sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function to be sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving.

**Theorem 3.17.** *If a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous and  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set in  $Y$  for each sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set  $M$  of  $X$ , then  $f$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving function.*

*Proof.* We use the previous theorem. It suffices to prove that  $f \upharpoonright M : M \rightarrow f(M)$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous for each sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact subset  $M$  of  $X$ . Let  $(x_n)$  be any sequence in  $M$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to a point  $x \in M$ . Then since  $f$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, there exist a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$  and a point  $y \in Y$  such that  $f(x_{n_k})$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converges to  $y$ . Since  $f(x_{n_k})$  is a sequence in the sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -closed set  $f(M)$  of  $Y$ , we obtain  $y \in f(M)$ . This implies that  $f \upharpoonright M : M \rightarrow f(M)$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.18.** *Every sequentially nearly  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a sequentially nearly  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous function and let  $M$  be any sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact subset of  $X$ . We shall show that  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set of  $Y$ . Let  $(y_n)$  be any sequence in  $f(M)$ . Then for each positive integer  $n$ , there exists a point  $x_n \in M$  such that  $f(x_n) = y_n$ . Since  $(x_n)$  is a sequence in the sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set  $M$ , there exists a subsequence  $(x_{n_k})$  of  $(x_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to a point  $x \in M$ . Since  $f$  is sequentially nearly  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous, then there exists a subsequence  $(x_j)$  of  $(x_{n_k})$  such that  $f(x_j) \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} f(x)$ . Thus, there exists a subsequence  $(y_j)$  of  $(y_n)$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $f(x) \in f(M)$ . This shows that  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set in  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.19.** *Every sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving function is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving function. Let  $x$  be any point of  $X$  and  $(x_n)$  any sequence in  $X$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to  $x$ . We

shall denote the set  $\{x_n : n = 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  by  $N$  and  $M = N \cup \{x\}$ . Then  $M$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact since  $x_n \xrightarrow{\beta\theta} x$ . Since  $f$  is sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact preserving, it follows that  $f(M)$  is a sequentially  $\beta$ - $\theta$ -compact set of  $Y$ . Since  $(f(x_n))$  is a sequence in  $f(M)$ , there exists a subsequence  $(f(x_{n_k}))$  of  $(f(x_n))$   $\beta$ - $\theta$ -converging to a point  $y \in f(M)$ . This implies that  $f$  is sequentially sub- $\beta$ - $\theta$ -continuous.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, S.N. El-Deeb and R.A. Mahmoud,  $\beta$ -open sets and  $\beta$ -continuous mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., **12** (1983), 77–90.
- [2] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, R.A. Mahmoud and E.R. Lashin,  $\beta$ -closure and  $\beta$ -interior, J. Fac. Ed. Ain Shams Univ., **10** (1986), 235–245.
- [3] D. Andrijević, *Semi-preopen sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **38** (1996), 24–32.
- [4] C.E. Aull, *Sequences in topological spaces*, Comm. Math. (1968), 329–36.
- [5] H.F. Cullen, *Unique sequential limits*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., **20** (1965), 123–124.
- [6] A. Kar and P. Bhattacharyya, *Some weak separation axioms*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **82** (1990), 415–422.
- [7] R.A. Mahmoud and M.E. El-Monsef,  $\beta$ -irresolute and  $\beta$ -topological invariant, Proc. Pakistan Acad. Sci., **27** (1990), 285–296.
- [8] O. Njåstad, *On some classes of nearly open sets*, Pacific J. Math., **15** (1965), 961–970.
- [9] T. Noiri, *Sequentially subcontinuous functions*, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8), **58** (1975), 370–373.
- [10] T. Noiri, *Weak and strong forms of  $\beta$ -irresolute functions*, Acta Math. Hungar., **99** (4) (2003), 315–328.
- [11] T. Noiri and E. Hatir,  $\wedge_{sp}$ -sets and some weak separation axioms, Acta Math. Hungar., **103** (3) (2004), 225–232.
- [12] A. Wilansky, *Between  $T_1$  and  $T_2$* , Amer. Math. Monthly, **74** (1967), 261–266.

ERDAL EKICI  
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
 CANAKKALE ONSEKIZ MART UNIVERSITY  
 TERZIOGLU CAMPUS  
 17020 CANAKKALE  
 TURKEY

*E-mail address:* eekici@comu.edu.tr

TAKASHI NOIRI  
 2979-1 SHIOKITA-CHO, HINAGU  
 YATSUSHIRO-SHI, KUMAMOTO-KEN 869-5142  
 JAPAN

*E-mail address:* t.noiri@nifty.com