Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics Volume 48(3) (2024), Pages 345–364.

# THREE-WEIGHT AND FIVE-WEIGHT LINEAR CODES OVER FINITE FIELDS

### PAVAN KUMAR<sup>1</sup> AND NOOR MOHAMMAD KHAN<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. Recently, linear codes constructed from defining sets have been studied extensively. For an odd prime p, let  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m$  be the trace function from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ , where e is a divisor of m. In this paper, for the defining set  $D = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x) = 0\} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\}$  (say), we define a  $p^e$ -ary linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  by

$$\mathfrak{C}_D = \{c_x = \left(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(xd_1), \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(xd_2), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(xd_n)\right) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}\}$$

and present three-weight and five-weight linear codes with their weight distributions. We show that each nonzero codeword of  $\mathcal{C}_D$  is minimal for  $\frac{m}{e} \geq 5$  and, thus, such codes are applicable in secret sharing schemes.

#### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, let p be an odd prime, and let  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  be the finite field with  $p^m$  elements for any positive integer m. Denote by  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* = \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \setminus \{0\}$  the multiplicative group of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ .

An (n, M) code over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ , where  $e \mid m$  and  $\frac{m}{e} > 2$ , is a subset of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^n$  of size M. Since linear codes are easier to describe, encode and decode than nonlinear codes, they have been an interesting topic in both theory and practice for many years. A linear code  $\mathbb{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$  is a subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^n$ . An [n, k, d] linear code  $\mathbb{C}$  is a k-dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^n$  with minimum Hamming-distance d. The vectors in a linear code  $\mathbb{C}$  are known as codewords. The number of nonzero coordinates in  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  is called the Hamming-weight wt(c) of a codeword c. Let  $A_i$  denote the number of codewords with Hamming weight

Key words and phrases. Linear code, weight distribution, Gauss sum, cyclotomic number, secret sharing.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11T71. Secondary: 94B05.

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2403.345K

Received: March 22, 2020.

Accepted: April 23, 2021.

i in a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length n. The weight enumerator of  $\mathcal{C}$  is defined by

$$1 + A_1 z + A_2 z^2 + \dots + A_n z^n$$
,

where  $(1, A_1, ..., A_n)$  is called the weight distribution of  $\mathcal{C}$ . Throughout the paper,  $\#\{\cdot\}$  denotes the cardinality of the set. If  $\#\{i: A_i \neq 0, 1 \leq i \leq n\} = t$ , then the code  $\mathcal{C}$  is said to be t-weight code. Several classes of linear codes with various weights have been constructed in [3,5,6,8,19], and a lot of literature is present on the weight distributions of some special linear codes [1,2,14,15].

Let  $D = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\} \subseteq F_{p^m}$ . A linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of length n over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  is defined by

$$\mathfrak{C}_D = \{ \left( \operatorname{Tr}_1^m(xd_1), \operatorname{Tr}_1^m(xd_2), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}_1^m(xd_n) \right) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} \},$$

where  $\operatorname{Tr}_1^m$  denotes the absolute trace function from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . The set D is known as the defining set of this code  $\mathcal{C}_D$ . Ding et al. introduced this construction (see [6,7]), and many others used it to obtain linear codes with few weights [8,17]. In [3,6,11,14,17,19], the authors constructed the code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  with few weights by considering certain defining sets with absolute trace function. In particular, the authors, in [11], give linear codes over  $\mathbb{F}_p$  by employing Gauss sums and Pless Power Moments [10, page 260].

In this paper, we use Gauss sums and cyclotomic numbers to find linear codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$  by considering a new defining set obtained by replacing Tr by  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m$  in the defining set D given in [11]. Let m, s and e are positive integers with s > 2 and m = es. Now we define the trace function  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m$  from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$  as follows:

$$\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} x^{p^{ke}}.$$

Now, set

$$D = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x) = 0\} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n\},$$

$$(1.1) \qquad C_D = \{\boldsymbol{c}_x = (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(xd_1), \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(xd_2), \dots, \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(xd_n)) : x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}\}.$$

Then we present the weight distribution of the proposed linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of (1.1) in the Section 4.

## 2. Preliminaries

We begin with some preliminaries by introducing the concept of cyclotomic numbers. Let a be a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  and  $p^m = Nh + 1$  for two positive integers N > 1, h > 1. The cyclotomic classes of order N in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  are the cosets  $\mathcal{C}_i^{(N,p^m)} = a^i \langle a^N \rangle$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, N-1$ , where  $\langle a^N \rangle$  denotes the subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$  generated by  $a^N$ . It is obvious that  $\#\mathcal{C}_i^{(N,p^m)} = h$ . For fixed i and j, we define the cyclotomic number  $(i,j)^{(N,p^m)}$  to be the number of solutions of the equation

$$x_i + 1 = x_j, \quad x_i \in \mathcal{C}_i^{(N,p^m)}, x_j \in \mathcal{C}_j^{(N,p^m)},$$

where  $1 = a^0$  is the multiplicative identity of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . That is,  $(i, j)^{(N, p^m)}$  is the number of ordered pairs (s, t) such that

$$a^{Ns+i} + 1 = a^{Nt+j}, \quad 0 < s, t < h - 1.$$

Now, we present some notions and results about group characters and Gauss sums for later use (see [12] for details).

An additive character  $\chi$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  is a mapping from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  into the multiplicative group of complex numbers of absolute value 1 with  $\chi$   $(g_1 + g_2) = \chi$   $(g_1)\chi(g_2)$  for all  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . By ([12], Theorem 5.7), for any  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ ,

(2.1) 
$$\chi_b(x) = \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_1^m(bx)}, \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m},$$

defines an additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , where  $\zeta_p = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{p}}$ , and every additive character can be obtained in this way. An additive character defined by  $\chi_0(x) = 1$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  is called the trivial character while all other characters are called nontrivial characters. The character  $\chi_1$  in (2.1) is called the canonical additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ .

The orthogonal property of additive characters of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  can be found in ([12], Theorem 5.4) and is given as

(2.2) 
$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{n^m}} \chi(x) = \begin{cases} p^m, & \text{if } \chi \text{ trivial,} \\ 0, & \text{if } \chi \text{ non-trivial.} \end{cases}$$

Characters of the multiplicative group  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$  of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  are called multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ . By [12, Theorem 5.8], for each  $j=0,1,\ldots,p^m-2$ , the function  $\psi_j$  with

$$\psi_j(g^k) = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}jk}{p^m-1}}, \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots, p^m - 2$$

defines a multiplicative character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , where g is a generator of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ . For  $j = \frac{p^m-1}{2}$ , we have the quadratic character  $\eta = \psi_{\frac{p^m-1}{2}}$  defined by

$$\eta(g^k) = \begin{cases} -1, & \text{if } 2 \nmid k, \\ 1, & \text{if } 2 \mid k. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we extend this quadratic character by letting  $\eta(0) = 0$ .

The quadratic Gauss sum 
$$G = G(\eta, \chi_1)$$
 over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  is defined by

$$G(\eta, \chi_1) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{n^m}^*} \eta(x) \chi_1(x).$$

Now, let  $\overline{\eta}$  and  $\overline{\chi}_1$  denote the quadratic and canonical character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$  respectively. Then we define the quadratic Gauss sum  $\overline{G} = G(\overline{\eta}, \overline{\chi}_1)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$  by

$$G(\overline{\eta},\overline{\chi}_1) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(x) \overline{\chi}_1(x).$$

The explicit values of quadratic Gauss sums are given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** ([12, Theorem 5.15]). Let the symbols be the same as before. Then

$$G(\eta,\chi_1)=(-1)^{m-1}\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{(p-1)^2m}{4}}\sqrt{p^m},\quad G(\overline{\eta},\overline{\chi}_1)=(-1)^{e-1}\sqrt{-1}^{\frac{(p-1)^2e}{4}}\sqrt{p^e}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** ([13, Lemma 2]). Let the symbols be the same as before. Then the following hold.

- 1. If  $s \geq 2$  is even, then  $\eta(y) = 1$  for each  $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ ; 2. If s is odd, then  $\eta(y) = \overline{\eta}(y)$  for each  $y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ .

- **Lemma 2.3.** ([16]). When N=2, the cyclotomic numbers are given by 1. h even:  $(0,0)^{(2,p^m)}=\frac{h-2}{2}$ ,  $(0,1)^{(2,p^m)}=(1,0)^{(2,p^m)}=(1,1)^{(2,p^m)}=\frac{h}{2}$ ; 2. h odd:  $(0,0)^{(2,p^m)}=(1,0)^{(2,p^m)}=(1,1)^{(2,p^m)}=\frac{h-1}{2}$ ,  $(0,1)^{(2,p^m)}=\frac{h+1}{2}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** ([12, Theorem 5.33]). Let  $\chi$  be a non-trivial additive character of  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ , and let  $f(x) = a_2 x^2 + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}[x]$  with  $a_2 \neq 0$ . Then

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \chi(f(x)) = \chi(a_0 - a_1^2 (4a_2)^{-1}) \eta(a_2) G(\eta, \chi).$$

**Lemma 2.5.** ([12, Theorem 2.26]). Let  $\operatorname{Tr}_1^m$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_1^e$  be absolute trace functions over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  and  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$  respectively, and let  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m$  be the trace function from  $\mathbb{F}_{p^m}$  onto  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ . Then

$$\operatorname{Tr}_1^m(x) = \operatorname{Tr}_1^e(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x)),$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}$ .

#### 3. Basic Results

In this section, we provide some important results to establish our main results.

**Lemma 3.1.** For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ , set  $S_{\lambda} = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2) = \lambda\}$ . If s is odd, then

$$S_{\lambda} = \begin{cases} p^{m-e} + p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-1)\overline{\eta}(\lambda)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0, \\ p^{m-e}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} S_{\lambda} &= \frac{1}{p^e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_1^e(y(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2) - \lambda))} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{p^e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( 1 + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(yx^2) - \operatorname{Tr}_1^e(\lambda y)} \right) \\ &= p^{m-e} + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{-\operatorname{Tr}_1^e(\lambda y)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \chi_1(yx^2) \\ &= p^{m-e} + G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-\lambda y) \eta(y). \end{split}$$

This completes the proof.

**Lemma 3.2.** For  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ , define

$$N(\lambda,\mu) = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2) = \lambda \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x) = \mu\}.$$

Then the following assertions hold.

1. If  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \mid s$ , then

$$N(\lambda,\mu) = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

2. If  $2 \mid s \text{ and } p \nmid s$ , then

$$N(\lambda, \mu) = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu^2 - s\lambda = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}(\mu^2 - s\lambda)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu^2 - s\lambda \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

3. If  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \mid s$ , then

$$N(\lambda,\mu) = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}(-\lambda)p^{-e}G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

4. If  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ , then

$$N(\lambda,\mu) = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}(-s)p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \mu^2 - s\lambda = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} - \overline{\eta}(-s)p^{-2e}G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \mu^2 - s\lambda \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the properties of additive character and Lemma 2.4, we have

$$N(\lambda, \mu) = p^{-2e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(y(\text{Tr}_e^m(x^2) - \lambda))} \right) \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(z(\text{Tr}_e^m(x) - \mu))} \right)$$

$$= p^{-2e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( 1 + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(y\text{Tr}_e^m(x^2) - y\lambda)} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(z\text{Tr}_e^m(x) - z\mu)} \right)$$

$$= p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e} (S_1 + S_2 + S_3),$$
(3.1)

where

$$S_{1} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(z\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(x) - z\mu)} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-z\mu) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \chi_{1}(zx) = 0,$$

$$S_{2} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(y\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(x^{2}) - y\lambda)} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-y\lambda) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \chi_{1}(yx^{2}),$$

$$S_{3} = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(y\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(x^{2}) - y\lambda)} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(z\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(x) - z\mu)}$$

$$= \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-y\lambda) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-z\mu) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \chi_1(yx^2 + zx).$$

By Lemma 2.4, it is easy to prove that

$$S_2 = \begin{cases} G(p^e - 1), & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } 2 \mid s, \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } 2 \nmid s, \\ -G, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } 2 \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-\lambda)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } 2 \nmid s. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$S_{3} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-y\lambda) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-z\mu) \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \chi_{1}(yx^{2} + zx)$$
$$= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\lambda y) \eta(y) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}\left(-\frac{sz^{2}}{4y} - \mu z\right),$$

and there are the following cases to consider.

Case I. Suppose that  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \mid s$ . Then

$$S_{3} = G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\lambda y) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\mu z) = \begin{cases} G(p^{e} - 1)^{2}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ -G(p^{e} - 1), & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0, \\ -G(p^{e} - 1), & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ G, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Case II. We consider that  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ . Then, from Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{split} S_3 &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-\lambda y) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1 \left( -\frac{sz^2}{4y} - \mu z \right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1 \left( \frac{\mu^2 - s\lambda}{s} y \right) \overline{\eta} \left( -\frac{s}{4y} \right) \overline{G} - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-\lambda y) \\ &= \begin{cases} -G(p^e - 1), & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ G, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0, \\ G, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu^2 - s\lambda = 0, \\ \left( \overline{\eta}(\mu^2 - s\lambda)p^e + 1 \right) G, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu^2 - s\lambda \neq 0. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Case III. Assume that  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \mid s$ . Then

$$S_3 = G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-\lambda y) \overline{\eta}(y) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1(-\mu z) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \lambda = 0, \\ \overline{\eta}(-\lambda)(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ -\overline{\eta}(-\lambda)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Case IV. Suppose that  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ . Then, by Lemma 2.4, we have

$$S_{3} = G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\lambda y)\overline{\eta}(y) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}\left(-\frac{sz^{2}}{4y} - \mu z\right)$$

$$= G \overline{G} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\lambda y)\overline{\eta}(y)\overline{\chi}_{1}\left(\frac{\mu^{2}y}{s}\right)\overline{\eta}\left(-\frac{s}{4y}\right) - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\lambda y)\overline{\eta}(y)$$

$$= \overline{\eta}(-s)G \overline{G} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}\left(\frac{\mu^{2} - s\lambda}{s}y\right) - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \overline{\chi}_{1}(-\lambda y)\overline{\eta}(y)$$

$$= \begin{cases} \overline{\eta}(-s)(p^{e} - 1)G \overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu = 0, \\ -\overline{\eta}(-s)G \overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda = 0 \text{ and } \mu \neq 0, \\ \left((p^{e} - 1)\overline{\eta}(-s) - \overline{\eta}(-\lambda)\right)G \overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu^{2} - s\lambda = 0, \\ -\left(\overline{\eta}(-s) + \overline{\eta}(-\lambda)\right)G \overline{G}, & \text{if } \lambda \neq 0 \text{ and } \mu^{2} - s\lambda \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Combining (3.1) and the values of  $S_1$ ,  $S_2$  and  $S_3$ , we get the complete proof.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let the symbols be the same as before, and let

$$\Omega_1 = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_e^1(y\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x))}.$$

Then

$$\Omega_1 = \begin{cases} (p^e - 1)G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ -G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \nmid s, \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \nmid s. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5, we have

$$\Omega_{1} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \chi_{1}(yx^{2} + yx) = G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \chi_{1}\left(-\frac{y}{4}\right) \eta(y)$$

$$= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \eta(y) \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{m}(-\frac{y}{4})} = G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \eta(y) \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(-\frac{y}{4}\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(1))}$$

$$= \begin{cases}
G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \eta(y), & \text{if } p \mid s, \\
G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \eta(y) \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } p \nmid s.
\end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} 1, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y), & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\nmid s \text{ and } p\nmid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(-\frac{ys}{4})}, & \text{if } 2\mid s \text{ and } p\mid s, \\ G\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta}(y)\zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e$$

as required.

**Lemma 3.4.** For  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$  and  $c \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ , let

$$\Omega_3 = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \chi_1(yx^2 + yx + bzx).$$

Then we have the following statemets.

1. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ , then

$$\Omega_{3} = \begin{cases} \overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}^{2} - G(p^{e} - 1), & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} = s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}), \\ \overline{\eta}(s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) - (\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) + 2c)^{2})G\overline{G}^{2} + G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}), \\ -\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}))G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}))G\overline{G}(p^{e} - 1) - \overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} = s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}), \\ -\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}))G\overline{G} - \overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}). \end{cases}$$

2. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$ , then

$$\Omega_{3} = \begin{cases} -(p^{e} - 1)G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(s \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}))G\overline{G}^{2} + G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \nmid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}))(p^{e} - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ -(\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})) + \overline{\eta}(-s))G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \nmid s. \end{cases}$$

3. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ , then

$$\Omega_3 = \begin{cases} -(p^e - 1)G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \nmid s, \\ 0, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ -\overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \nmid s. \end{cases}$$

4. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$ , then

$$\Omega_{3} = \begin{cases}
(p^{e} - 1)^{2}G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\
-(p^{e} - 1)G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \nmid s, \\
0, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \nmid s, \\
\overline{\eta}(-s)(p^{e} - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \nmid s.
\end{cases}$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\begin{split} &\Omega_3 = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \chi_1(yx^2 + yx + bzx) \\ &= G \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{(y+bz)^2}{4y} \right) \\ &= G \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( \frac{-y^2 - 2byz - b^2z^2}{4y} \right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \chi_1 \left( -\frac{bz}{2} - \frac{b^2z^2}{4y} \right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_1^m(-\frac{bz}{2} - \frac{b^2z^2}{4y})} \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_1^e\left( -\frac{z}{2}\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) - \frac{z^2}{4y}\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \right)}. \end{split}$$

Note that, in the first part,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{split} &\Omega_3 = G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1(-\frac{y}{4}) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1 \left( -\frac{z^2}{4y} \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2) - \frac{z}{2} \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b) \right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \overline{\chi}_1 \left( -\frac{z^2}{4y} \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2) - \frac{z}{2} \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b) \right) - 1 \right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \overline{\chi}_1 \left( -\frac{z^2}{4y} \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2) - \frac{z}{2} \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b) \right) - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \overline{\chi}_1 \left( \frac{y \left( \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b) \right)^2}{4 \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2)} \right) \overline{\eta} \left( -y \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \right) \overline{G} - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \\ &= \overline{\eta} \left( -\mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \right) G \overline{G} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1 \left( \frac{\left( \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b) \right)^2 - s \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2)}{4 \mathrm{Tr}_e^m(b^2)} y \right) \overline{\eta}(y) - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \chi_1 \left( -\frac{y}{4} \right) \end{split}$$

$$= \begin{cases} \overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}^2 - G(p^e - 1), & \text{if } 2 \mid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, \ p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b))^2 = s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2), \\ \overline{\eta}(s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) - (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b))^2)G\overline{G}^2 + G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b))^2 \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2), \\ -\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2))G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s \text{ and } p \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2))G\overline{G}(p^e - 1) - \overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, \ p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b))^2 = s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2), \\ -\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2))G\overline{G} - \overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, p \nmid s \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b))^2 \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2). \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof of the first part.

Following the similar arguments used in the first part, one can easily prove the remaining parts.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 3.5.** For  $\mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ , let  $V = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x) = \mu \text{ and } (\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x))^2 = s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2)\}$ . Then, for  $p \nmid s$ , we have

$$V = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}(-s)p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We can rewrite V as

$$V = \# \left\{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x) = \mu \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2) = \frac{\mu^2}{s} \right\}.$$

Then, by definition, we have

$$V = p^{-2e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e \left( y(\text{Tr}_e^m(x^2) - \frac{\mu^2}{s}) \right)} \right) \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e \left( z(\text{Tr}_e^m(x) - \mu) \right)} \right)$$

$$= p^{-2e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( 1 + \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e (\text{Tr}_e^m(yx^2) - \frac{y\mu^2}{s})} \right) \left( 1 + \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e (\text{Tr}_e^m(zx) - z\mu)} \right)$$

$$= p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e} (N_1 + N_2 + N_3),$$

where

$$N_{1} = \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{m}(zx) - \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}(z\mu)} = 0, \quad N_{2} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{m}(yx^{2}) - \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}\left(\frac{y\mu^{2}}{s}\right)},$$

$$N_{3} = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{e}}^{*}} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{m}}} \zeta_{p}^{\operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{m}(yx^{2} + zx) - \operatorname{Tr}_{1}^{e}\left(\frac{y\mu^{2}}{s} + z\mu\right)}.$$

Now, by Lemma 2.4, we obtain

$$N_2 = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{n^e}^*} \zeta_p^{-\operatorname{Tr}_1^e \left(\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right)} \chi(0) \eta(y) G$$

$$= \begin{cases} G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{-\operatorname{Tr}_1^e \left(\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right)}, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-s) G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\eta} \left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \overline{\chi}_1 \left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right), & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, \end{cases}$$

$$= \begin{cases} -G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-s) G \overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{split} N_3 &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{-\operatorname{Tr}_1^e\left(\frac{y\mu^2}{s} + z\mu\right)} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \chi_1(yx^2 + zx) \\ &= \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{-\operatorname{Tr}_1^e\left(\frac{y\mu^2}{s} + z\mu\right)} \chi_1\left(-\frac{z^2}{4y}\right) \eta(y) G \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{sz^2}{4y} - z\mu\right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{sz^2}{4y} - z\mu\right) - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \\ &= G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \overline{\chi}_1\left(\frac{\mu^2}{s}y\right) \overline{\eta}\left(-\frac{s}{4y}\right) \overline{G} - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \\ &= \overline{\eta}(-s) G \overline{G} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\eta}(y) - G \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \eta(y) \overline{\chi}_1\left(-\frac{y\mu^2}{s}\right) \\ &= \begin{cases} G, & \text{if } 2 \mid s, \\ \overline{\eta}(-s)(p^e - 1) G \overline{G} - \overline{\eta}(-s) G \overline{G}, & \text{if } 2 \nmid s. \end{cases} \end{split}$$

Also

$$V = p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(N_1 + N_2 + N_3).$$

Thus, we get the desired result.

**Lemma 3.6.** Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$ . For  $i \in \{1, -1\}$ , let  $K_i$  denote the number of pairs  $(\lambda, \mu)$  such that  $\overline{\eta}(\mu^2 - s\lambda) = i$ . Then we have

$$K_1 = \frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^e - 3), \quad K_{-1} = \frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)^2.$$

*Proof.* We can rewrite  $\mu^2 - s\lambda \neq 0$  as

$$\frac{s\lambda}{\mu^2 - s\lambda} + 1 = \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2 - s\lambda}.$$

Set  $p^e = 2h + 1$ . Now, for any fixed  $\overline{\mu}^2 - s\overline{\lambda}$  such that  $\overline{\eta}(\overline{\mu}^2 - s\overline{\lambda}) = 1$ , the number of the pairs  $(\lambda, \mu^2)$  satisfying (3.2) is equal to

$$(0,0)^{(2,p^e)} + (1,0)^{(2,p^e)} = h - 1$$
 (by Lemma 2.2).

Similarly, for a fixed  $\overline{\mu}^2 - s\overline{\lambda}$  such that  $\overline{\eta}(\overline{\mu}^2 - s\overline{\lambda}) = -1$ , the number of pairs  $(\lambda, \mu^2)$  satisfying (4.1) is equal to

$$(0,1)^{(2,p^e)} + (1,1)^{(2,p^e)} = h$$
 (from Lemma 2.2).

Consequently, the number of the pairs  $(\lambda, \mu)$  such that  $\overline{\eta}(\overline{\mu}^2 - s\overline{\lambda}) = 1$  (resp.  $\overline{\eta}(\overline{\mu}^2 - s\overline{\lambda}) = -1$ ) is 2(h-1) (resp. 2h). We conclude that  $K_1 = (p^e-1)(h-1)$  (resp.  $K_{-1} = (p^e-1)h$ ), and hence the result follows.

**Lemma 3.7.** Suppose that  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*$  and  $\mu^2 - s\lambda \neq 0$ . For  $i \in \{1, -1\}$ , let  $\psi_i$  denote the number of the pairs  $(\lambda, \mu)$  such that  $\overline{\eta}(-\lambda) = i$ . Then we have

$$\psi_1 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^e - 3), & \text{if } \overline{\eta}(-s) = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)^2, & \text{if } \overline{\eta}(-s) = -1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\psi_{-1} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)^2, & \text{if } \overline{\eta}(-s) = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^e - 3), & \text{if } \overline{\eta}(-s) = -1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma is similar to the proof of Lemma 3.6 and is omitted here.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Main Results

Our task in this section is to prove some lemmas needed to obtain a class of 3-weight and 5-weight linear codes over  $\mathbb{F}_{p^e}$ .

Now, let D be the defining set defined by

$$D = \{ x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^* : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x) = 0 \}.$$

Assume that  $l_0 = |D| + 1$ . Then

$$l_0 = \frac{1}{p^e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(y\text{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x))} = p^{m-e} + \frac{1}{p^e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*} \zeta_p^{\text{Tr}_1^e(y\text{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x))}.$$

Define  $N_b = \#\{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m} : \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(bx) = 0\}$ . Let  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b)$  denote the Hamming-weight of the codeword  $c_b$  of the code  $\mathcal{C}_D$ . It is easy to verify that

$$(4.1) wt(c_b) = l_0 - N_b.$$

For  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ , we have

$$N_b = p^{-2e} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}} \left( \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_1^e(y \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(x^2 + x))} \right) \left( \sum_{z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^e}} \zeta_p^{\operatorname{Tr}_1^e(z \operatorname{Tr}_e^m(bx))} \right)$$

$$\begin{split} &=p^{-2e}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\left(1+\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^e(y\mathrm{Tr}_e^m(x^2+x))}\right)\left(1+\sum_{z\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^e(z\mathrm{Tr}_e^m(bx))}\right)\\ &=p^{m-2e}+p^{-2e}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^e(\mathrm{Tr}_e^m(yx^2+yx))}+p^{-2e}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^e(\mathrm{Tr}_e^m(zbx))}\\ &+p^{-2e}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{z\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^e(\mathrm{Tr}_e^m(yx^2+yx+bzx))}\\ (4.2) &=p^{m-2e}+p^{-2e}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(yx^2+yx)}+p^{-2e}\sum_{y\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{z\in\mathbb{F}_{p^e}^*}\sum_{x\in\mathbb{F}_{p^m}}\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_1^m(yx^2+yx+bzx)}. \end{split}$$

In this section, we calculate  $l_0$ ,  $N_b$  and give the proofs of the main results.

4.1. The first case of three-weight linear codes. In this subsection, we consider that  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \mid s$ . In order to determine the weight distribution of  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of (1.1), we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.1.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$  and the symbols be the same as before. Then

$$N_{b} = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0, \\ & \text{or } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^{e} - 1)G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma directly follows from (4.2), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let s be even and  $p \mid s$ . Then the code  $\mathfrak{C}_D$  of (1.1) is a  $[p^{m-e} - 1 + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G, s]$  linear code with the weight distribution given in Table 1, where  $G = -(-1)^{\frac{m(p-1)^2}{8}} p^{\frac{m}{2}}$ .

Table 1. The weight distribution of the codes in Theorem 4.1

Weight w	Frequency $A_w$
0	1
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$	$p^{m-2e} - 1 + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G$	$2(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 2)G$	$(p^e - 1)^2 p^{m-2e}$

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$l_0 = p^{m-e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G.$$

Combining (4.1) and Lemma 4.1, we have the following distinct cases.

Case I. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)=0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)\neq 0$  or  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)\neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)=0$ , then we obtain

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G.$$

By Lemma 3.2, wt $(c_b) = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G$  occurs  $2(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G$  times.

Case II. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$ , then we have  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$ . By Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $p^{m-2e} - 1 + p^{-e}(p^e - 1)G$ .

Case III. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ , then we have

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}(p^e - 2)G.$$

From Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $(p^e-1)^2p^{m-2e}$ . Hence, the result is established.  $\square$ 

Example 4.1. Let (p, m, s, e) = (3, 12, 6, 2). Then the corresponding code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  has parameters [58400, 6, 51840] and the weight enumerator  $1 + 105624z^{51840} + 419904z^{51921} + 5912z^{52488}$ .

4.2. The second case of three-weight linear codes. In this subsection, suppose  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ . By (4.2), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, it is easy to get the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. Let  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$ . Then

$$N_{b} = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}(-s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}))p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0, \\ p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0 \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + \overline{\eta}\Big((\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)p^{-e}G, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ . Then the code  $\mathfrak{C}_D$  of (1.1) is a  $[p^{m-e} - p^{-e}G - 1, s]$  linear code with the weight distribution given in Table 2, where  $G = -(-1)^{\frac{m(p-1)^2}{8}} p^{\frac{m}{2}}$ .

Table 2. The weight distribution for the codes in Theorem 4.2

Weight w	Frequency $A_w$
0	1
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}G$	$(p^e - 1)(2p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G)$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^{m-e}-G)+p^{m-2e}-1$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - 2p^{-e}G$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^e - 2)(p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G)$

*Proof.* If  $2 \mid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ , then by Lemma 3.3, we have

$$l_0 = p^{m-e} - p^{-e}G.$$

By (4.2) and Lemma 4.2, we have following distinct cases to consider.

Case I. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$  or  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$  and  $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)\right)^2 = s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)$ , then we can acquire

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}G.$$

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5, the frequency is  $(p^{e} - 1)(2p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G)$ .

Case II. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(-s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = 1$  or  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ ,  $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)\right)^2 \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)$  and  $\overline{\eta}\left((\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b))^2 - s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)\right) = 1$ , then we have

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - 2p^{-e}G.$$

From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5, the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^e - 2)(p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G)$ .

Case III. If  $\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0$  and  $\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0$  or  $\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0$ ,  $\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(-s\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})) = -1$  or  $\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0$ ,  $\left(\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b)\right)^{2} \neq s\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})$  and  $\overline{\eta}\left((\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b))^{2} - s\text{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\right) = -1$ , then

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}.$$

It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5 that  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - 2p^{-e}G$  occurs  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^{m-e} - G) + p^{m-2e} - 1$  times. Thus, the proof is completed.

Example 4.2. Let (p, m, s, e) = (3, 8, 4, 2). Then the corresponding code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  has parameters [737, 4, 648] and the weight enumerator  $1 + 3320z^{648} + 1224z^{657} + 2016z^{666}$ .

4.3. The first case of 5-weight linear codes. In this subsection, we assume that  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \mid s$ . By (4.2), Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 3.4, we get the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** For  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ , we have

$$N_{b} = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } \overline{\eta}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\right) = 1, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } \overline{\eta}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\right) = -1, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)(p^{e} - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0 \text{ and } \overline{\eta}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\right) = 1, \\ p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)(p^{e} - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0 \text{ and } \overline{\eta}\left(\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\right) = -1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, if  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$ , then  $N_b = p^{m-2e}$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \mid s$ . Then the linear code  $\mathfrak{C}_D$  of (1.1) has parameters  $[p^{m-e}-1,s]$  and weight distribution in Table 3, where  $G\overline{G}=(-1)^{m+e-2}(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)^2(m+e)}{8}}p^{\frac{(m+e)}{2}}$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \mid s$ . By Lemma 3.3, we have  $l_0 = p^{m-e}$ , which gives the length of the code  $\mathcal{C}_D$ . It follows from (4.1) and Lemma 4.3 that  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b)$  has five distinct values under following cases.

Case I. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$ , then we have  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$ . By Lemma 3.1, the frequency of such codewords is  $p^{m-e} - 1$ .

Case II. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = 1$ , then we can acquire  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}$ .

From Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)^2p^{m-2e}$ .

Case III. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = -1$ , then we can obtain

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 that the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)^2p^{m-2e}$ .

Case IV. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = 1$ , then we can obtain

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^{m-2e}+p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G})$ . Case V. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)\neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)=0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2))=-1$ , then we have

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}.$$

From Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^{m-2e}-p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G})$ . Hence, the result is established. 

Example 4.3. Let (p, m, s, e) = (3, 6, 3, 2). Then the corresponding code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  has parameters [80, 3, 64] and the weight enumerator  $1 + 72z^{64} + 288z^{71} + 80z^{72} + 288z^{73}$ . By Table 3,  $\mathcal{C}_D$  in Theorem 4.3 is a four-weight linear code if and only if p = s = 3.

Table 3. The weight distribution of the codes in Theorem 4.3

Weight w	Frequency $A_w$
0	1
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$	$p^{m-e} - 1$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)^2p^{m-2e}$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)^2p^{m-2e}$
$(p^{e}-1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)(p^{e}-1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^{e}-1)(p^{m-2e}+p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G})$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-1)(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-1)G\overline{G})$

Example 4.4. Let (p, m, s, e) = (5, 10, 5, 2). Then the corresponding code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  has parameters  $[5^8-1, 5, 24 \times 5^6-600]$  and the weight enumerator  $1+A_{w_1}z^{w_1}+A_{w_2}z^{w_2}+$  $A_{w_3}z^{w_3} + A_{w_4}z^{w_4} + A_{w_5}z^{w_5}$ , where the values of  $A_{w_i}$  and  $w_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 5$ , are given in Table 4.

The weight distribution of the code in Theorem 4.3 for (p, m, s, e) = (5, 10, 5, 2)

Weight	Frequency
$w_1 = 24 \times 5^6 - 600$	$A_{w_1} = 12(5^6 + 5^4)$
$w_2 = 24 \times 5^6 - 25$	$A_{w_2} = 12 \times 24 \times 5^6$
$w_3 = 24 \times 5^6$	$A_{w_3} = 5^8 - 1$
$w_4 = 24 \times 5^6 + 25$	$A_{w_4} = 12 \times 24 \times 5^6$
$w_5 = 24 \times 5^6 + 600$	$A_{w_5} = 12(5^6 - 5^4)$

4.4. The second case of five-weight linear codes. In this subsection, suppose  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ . The auxiliary result that we need is the following.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let  $b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^m}^*$  and the symbols be the same as before. Then

$$N_{b} = \begin{cases} p^{m-2e}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) = 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}\Big(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) = 0, \\ p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}(-s)(p^{e} - 1)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } \\ \Big(\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b)\Big)^{2} = s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}), \\ p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}\overline{\eta}\Big(-\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2})\Big)G\overline{G}, & \text{if } \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}) \neq 0, \operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b) \neq 0 \text{ and } \\ \Big(\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b)\Big)^{2} \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_{e}^{m}(b^{2}). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* The proof of the lemma follows from (4.2), Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4. 

**Theorem 4.4.** Let s be odd with  $p \nmid s$ . Then the linear code  $C_D$  of (1.1) has parameters  $[p^{m-e} + p^{-e}\overline{\eta}(-s)G\overline{G} - 1, s]$  and weight distribution in Tables 5 and 6, where  $G\overline{G} = (-1)^{m+e-2}(-1)^{\frac{(p-1)^2(m+e)}{8}}p^{\frac{(m+e)}{2}}$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we assume that  $\overline{\eta}(-s) = 1$ . For  $2 \nmid s$  and  $p \nmid s$ , by Lemma 3.3, we have  $l_0 = p^{m-e} + p^{-e}G\overline{G}.$ 

It follows from (4.1) and Lemma 4.4 that  $wt(c_b)$  has five distinct values under following cases.

Case I. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ , then we have

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G\overline{G}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $(p^e - 1)(p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}G\overline{G})$ . **Case II.** If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$ , then  $\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$ . From Lemma 3.2, the frequency is  $p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G} - 1$ .

Case III. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$  and  $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)\right)^2 = s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)$ , then we can obtain

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}G\overline{G}.$$

It follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.5 that the frequency of such codewords is  $(p^e 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)^2 G\overline{G}.$ 

Case IV. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = 1$  or  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ ,  $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)\right)^2 \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)$  and  $\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = 1$ , then we can obtain

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e + 1)G\overline{G}.$$

By Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7, the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^{m-e}-p^{-e}G\overline{G})$ .

Case V. If  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) = 0$  and  $\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = -1$  or  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2) \neq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b) \neq 0$ ,  $\left(\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b)\right)^2 \neq s\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)$  and  $\overline{\eta}(-\operatorname{Tr}_e^m(b^2)) = -1$ , then we have

$$\operatorname{wt}(c_b) = l_0 - N_b = (p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}.$$

From Lemmas 3.2 and 3.7, the frequency is  $\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^e-2)(p^{m-2e}-p^{-2e}G\overline{G})$ , which completes the Table 5. Similarly, we can complete the Table 6 by taking  $\overline{\eta}(-s)=-1$ .

Table 5. The weight distribution of the codes in Theorem 4.4 with  $\overline{\eta}(-s)=1$ 

Weight w	Frequency $A_w$
0	1
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-e}G\overline{G}$	$(p^e - 1)(p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}G\overline{G})$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$	$p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G} - 1$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}G\overline{G}$	$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)^2G\overline{G}$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e + 1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^{m-e} - p^{-e}G\overline{G})$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^e-2)(p^{m-2e}-p^{-2e}G\overline{G})$

Table 6. The weight distribution of the codes in Theorem 4.4 with  $\overline{\eta}(-s)=-1$ 

Weight w	Frequency $A_w$
0	1
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-e}G\overline{G}$	$(p^e - 1)(p^{m-2e} + p^{-2e}G\overline{G})$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}$	$p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G} - 1$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}G\overline{G}$	$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)^2G\overline{G}$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}(p^e - 1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e - 1)(p^{m-e} + p^{-e}G\overline{G})$
$(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - p^{-2e}(p^e + 1)G\overline{G}$	$\frac{1}{2}(p^e-1)(p^e-2)(p^{m-2e}+p^{-2e}G\overline{G})$

Example 4.5. Let (p, m, s, e) = (5, 6, 3, 2). Then the corresponding code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  has parameters [649, 3, 600] and the weight enumerator as  $1 + 48z^{600} + 1176z^{601} + 6624z^{624} + 576z^{625} + 7200z^{626}$ .

### 5. Concluding Remarks

In this paper, we have presented a class of three-weight and five-weight linear codes. A number of three-weight and five-weight codes were discussed in [1,3,4,6,9,14,19,20]. Let  $w_0$  and  $w_\infty$  denote the minimum and maximum non-zero weight of a linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$ , respectively. The linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  with  $\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} > \frac{(p^e-1)}{p^e}$  can be used to construct a secret sharing scheme with interesting access structures (see [18]).

For the linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  in Theorem 4.1, we have

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e} - (p^e - 1)p^{\frac{m - 2e}{2}}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e} + (p^e - 1)p^{\frac{m - 2e}{2}}}.$$

Let  $\frac{m}{e} > 4$ . Then by simple computation, we have

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e-1)p^{m-2e}}{(p^e-1)p^{m-2e} + (p^e-1)p^{\frac{m-2e}{2}}} > \frac{(p^e-1)p^{m-2e} - (p^e-1)p^{\frac{m-2e}{2}}}{(p^e-1)p^{m-2e}} > \frac{(p^e-1)}{p^e}.$$

For the linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e} - 2p^{\frac{m - 2e}{2}}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e} + 2p^{\frac{m - 2e}{2}}}.$$

Then it can easily be checked that

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + 2p^{\frac{m-2e}{2}}} > \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - 2p^{\frac{m-2e}{2}}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}} > \frac{(p^e - 1)}{p^e}, \quad \text{for } \frac{m}{e} > 4.$$

For the linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of Theorem 4.3, we have

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - (p^e - 1)p^{\frac{m-3e}{2}}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + (p^e - 1)p^{\frac{m-3e}{2}}} > \frac{(p^e - 1)}{p^e}, \quad \text{for } \frac{m}{e} \ge 5.$$

For the linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  of Theorem 4.4, we have

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} - (p^e + 1)p^{\frac{m-3e}{2}}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m-2e} + (p^e + 1)p^{\frac{m-3e}{2}}}.$$

Let  $\frac{m}{e} \geq 5$ . Then by simple calculation, we can show that

$$\frac{w_0}{w_\infty} = \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e} + (p^e + 1)p^{\frac{m - 3e}{2}}} > \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e} - (p^e + 1)p^{\frac{m - 3e}{2}}}{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}} > \frac{(p^e - 1)p^{m - 2e}}{p^e}.$$

Consequently, one can easily see that the codewords of the linear code  $\mathcal{C}_D$  are minimal for  $\frac{m}{e} \geq 5$ . These linear codes can be used in secret sharing schemes.

**Acknowledgements.** The present research is supported by University Grants Commission, New Delhi, India, under JRF in Science, Humanities & Social Sciences scheme, with Grant number 11-04-2016-413564.

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. T. Choi, J. S. No and J. Y. Kim, Weight distribution of some cyclic codes, in: 2012 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory Proceedings IEEE, Cambridge, MA, USA, 2012, 2911–2913. https://doi.org/10.1109/ISIT.2012.6284056
- [2] C. Ding, T. Kløve and F. Sica, Two classes of ternary codes and their weight distributions, Discrete Appl. Math.  $\mathbf{111}(1-2)$  (2001), 37–53. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(00)00343-7

- [3] C. Ding, A class of three-weight and four-weight codes, in: Y. M. Chee, C. Li, S. Ling, H. Wang and C. Xing (Eds), Proceedings of Second International Workshop on Coding Theory and Cryptography, Lecture Notes in Computer Science 5557, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2009, 34–42. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-01877-0\_4
- [4] C. Ding, Y. Gao and Z. Zhou, Five families of three-weight ternary cyclic codes and their duals, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **59**(12) (2013), 7940–7946. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2013. 2281205
- [5] C. Ding and J. Yang, *Hamming weights in irreducible cyclic codes*, Discrete Math. **313**(4) (2013), 434–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2012.11.009
- [6] K. Ding and C. Ding, Binary linear codes with three weights, IEEE Communications Letters 18(11) (2014), 1879–1882. https://doi.org/10.1109/LCOMM.2014.2361516
- [7] C. Ding, Linear codes from some 2—designs, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory **61**(6) (2015), 3265–3275. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2015.2420118
- [8] K. Ding and C. Ding, A class of two-weight and three-weight codes and their applications in secret sharing, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 61(11) (2015), 5828-5842. https://doi.org/10.1109/ TIT.2015.2473861
- [9] C. Ding, C. Li, N. Li and Z. Zhou, Three-weight cyclic codes and their weight distributions, Discrete Math. 339(2) (2016), 415–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2015.09.001
- [10] W. C. Huffman and V. Pless, Fundamentals of Error-Correcting Codes, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [11] F. Li, Q. Wang and D. Lin, A class of three-weight and five-weight linear codes, Discrete Appl. Math. 241 (2018), 25–38. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2016.11.005
- [12] R. Lidl. and H. Niederreiter, Finite Fields, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1997.
- [13] Y. Liu and Z. Liu, Complete weight enumerators of a new class of linear codes, Discrete Math. 341(7) (2018), 1959–1972. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2018.03.025
- [14] G. Luo, X. Cao, S. Xu and J. Mi, Binary linear codes with two or three weights from niho exponents, Cryptogr. Commun. 10(2) (2018), 301–318. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12095-017-0220-2
- [15] A. Sharma and G. K. Bakshi, The weight distribution of some irreducible cyclic codes, Finite Fields Appl. 18(1) (2012), 144–159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2011.07.002
- [16] T. Storer, Cyclotomy and Difference Sets, Markham Publishing Company, Markham, Chicago, 1967.
- [17] Q. Wang, K. Ding and R. Xue, Binary linear codes with two-weights, IEEE Communications Letters 19(7) (2015), 1097–1100. https://doi.org/10.1109/LCOMM.2015.2431253
- [18] J. Yuan and C. Ding, Secret sharing schemes from three classes of linear codes, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 52(1) (2006), 206–212. https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2005.860412
- [19] Z. Zhou and C. Ding, A class of three-weight cyclic codes, Finite Fields App. 25 (2014), 79–93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2013.08.005
- [20] Z. Zhou, N. Li, C. Fan and T. Helleseth, Linear codes with two or three weights from quadratic bent functions, Des. Codes Cryptogr. 81(2) (2016), 283-295. https://link.springer.com/ article/10.1007/s10623-015-0144-9

<sup>1</sup>DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH-202002, INDIA *Email address*: pavan4957@gmail.com *Email address*: nm\_khan123@yahoo.co.in