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Abstract. Warped product skew CR-submanifold of the form $M=M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{\perp}$ of a Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ (throughout the paper), where $M_{1}=M_{T} \times M_{\theta}$ and $M_{T}, M_{\perp}, M_{\theta}$ represents invariant, anti-invariant and proper slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$, studied in [28] and another class of warped product skew CR-submanifold of the form $M=M_{2} \times_{f} M_{T}$ of $\bar{M}$, where $M_{2}=M_{\perp} \times M_{\theta}$ is studied in [19]. Also the warped product submanifold of the form $M=M_{3} \times_{f} M_{\theta}$ of $\bar{M}$, where $M_{3}=M_{T} \times M_{\perp}$ and $M_{T}, M_{\perp}, M_{\theta}$ represents invariant, anti-invariant and proper point wise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$, were studied in [18]. As a generalization of the above mentioned three classes, we consider a class of warped product submanifold of the form $M=M_{4} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $\bar{M}$, where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}$ in which $M_{\theta_{1}}$ and $M_{\theta_{2}}$ are proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ represents a proper pointwise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$. A characterization is given on the existence of such warped product submanifolds which generalizes the characterization of warped product submanifolds of the form $M=M_{1} \times_{f} M_{\perp}$, studied in [28], the characterization of warped product submanifolds of the form $M=M_{2} \times_{f} M_{T}$, studied in [19], the characterization of warped product submanifolds of the form $M=M_{3} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta}$, studied in [18] and also the characterization of warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$, studied in [17]. Since warped product bi-slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ does not exist (Theorem 4.2 of [17]), the Riemannian product $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}$ cannot be a warped product. So, for studying the bi-warped product submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ of the form $M_{\theta_{1}} \times f_{1} M_{\theta_{2}} \times f_{2} M_{\theta_{3}}$, we have taken $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{3}}$ as pointwise slant submanifolds of $M$ of distinct slant functions $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}$ respectively. The existence of such type of bi-warped product submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ is ensured by an example. Finally, a Chen-type inequality on the squared norm of the second fundamental form of such bi-warped product submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ is obtained which also generalizes the inequalities obtained in [33], [18] and [17], respectively.
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## 1. Introduction

The warped product [5] between two Riemannian manifolds ( $N_{1}, g_{1}$ ) and ( $N_{2}, g_{2}$ ) is the Riemannian manifold $N_{1} \times_{f} N_{2}=\left(N_{1} \times N_{2}, g\right)$, where

$$
g=\pi_{1}^{*}\left(g_{1}\right)+\left(f \circ \pi_{1}\right)^{2} \pi_{2}^{*}\left(g_{2}\right),
$$

where $\pi_{1}$ and $\pi_{2}$ are canonical projections of $M_{1} \times M_{2}$ onto $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, respectively and $\pi_{i}^{*}\left(g_{i}\right)$ is the pullback of $g_{i}$ via $\pi_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ and $f: N_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a smooth function.

A warped product manifold $N_{1} \times_{f} N_{2}$ is said to be trivial if $f$ is constant. For $M=N_{1} \times_{f} N_{2}$, we have [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{U} X=\nabla_{X} U=(X \ln f) U \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma\left(T N_{1}\right)$ and $U \in \Gamma\left(T N_{2}\right)$.
The study of warped product submanifold was initiated in [8-10]. Then many authors have studied warped product submanifolds of different ambient manifolds, see [15-17, 20]. In [31], Tanno classified almost contact metric manifolds in three different classes among which the third class was picked up by Kenmotsu in 1972 and he studied its differential geometric properties [21]. This class later named after him by Kenmotsu manifold which is very important class to study. Warped product submanifolds of Kenmotsu manifolds are also studied in ([1-3], [22], [23], [26], [27], [32]-[38]). Multiply warped products (see [11,12,38]) are generalizations of warped product and Riemannian product manifolds and bi-warped products are special classes of multiply warped products. Bi-warped product submanifolds of different ambient manifolds are studied in [33,35]. For the study of slant immersion and slant submanifolds in contact metric manifolds we refer [6, 7, 24]. In [29] Park studied pointwise slant and pointwise semi slant submanifolds of almost contact Riemannian manifolds.

Recently, Roy et al. studied the characterization theorem on warped product submanifold of Sasakian manifolds in [30]. Motivated by the above studies, in this present paper we have studied warped product submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ of the form $M=M_{4} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $M$ such that $\xi \in \Gamma\left(T M_{4}\right)$, where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}$ are proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ and here $M_{\theta_{3}}$ represents a proper pointwise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Next we have studied bi-warped product submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ of the form $M_{\theta_{1}} \times_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{f_{2}}_{\theta_{3}}$, where $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{3}}$ are pointwise slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ of distinct slant functions $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$, respectively.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with some preliminary useful results for construction of the paper, Section 3 is concerned with the study of a class of submanifold $M$ of $\bar{M}$ such that $T M=\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle$, where $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ are slant distributions and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ is pointwise slant distribution. In Section 4, we have studied warped product submanifolds of the form $M=M_{4} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $\bar{M}$ where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}$ such that $\xi$ is orthogonal to $M_{\theta_{3}}$ with an supporting example. In Section 5, a characterization theorem of the mentioned class has been obtained,

Section 6 deals with bi-warped product submanifolds $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $\bar{M}$, where $M_{\theta_{1}},, M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{3}}$ are pointwise slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ and constructed an example. In Section 7, we have obtained a generalized inequality for such class of bi-warped product submanifolds of $\bar{M}$. The last section is the conclusion part of the paper where we have shown how the results of this paper generalizes several results of different works.

## 2. Preliminaries

An odd dimensional smooth manifold $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}$ is said to be an almost contact metric manifold [4] if it admits a $(1,1)$ tensor field $\phi$, a vector field $\xi$, an 1-form $\eta$ and a Riemannian metric $g$ which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi \xi & =0, \quad \eta(\phi X)=0, \quad \phi^{2} X=-X+\eta(X) \xi,  \tag{2.1}\\
g(\phi X, Y) & =-g(X, \phi Y), \quad \eta(X)=g(X, \xi), \quad \eta(\xi)=1,  \tag{2.2}\\
g(\phi X, \phi Y) & =g(X, Y)-\eta(X) \eta(Y) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all vector fields $X, Y$ on $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}$.
An almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}^{2 m+1}(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is said to be Kenmotsu manifold if the following conditions hold [21]:

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} \xi & =X-\eta(X) \xi  \tag{2.4}\\
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} \phi\right)(Y) & =g(\phi X, Y) \xi-\eta(Y) \phi X \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\nabla}$ denotes the Riemannian connection of $g$.
Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$. Throughout the paper we assume that the submanifold $M$ of $\bar{M}$ is tangent to the structure vector field $\xi$.

Let $\nabla$ and $\nabla^{\perp}$ be the induced connections on the tangent bundle $T M$ and the normal bundle $T^{\perp} M$ of $M$ respectively. Then the Gauss and Weingarten formulae are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\nabla}_{X} V=-A_{V} X+\nabla_{X}^{\perp} V \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M\right)$, where $h$ and $A_{V}$ are second fundamental form and the shape operator (corresponding to the normal vector field $V$ ) respectively for the immersion of $M$ into $\bar{M}$. The second fundamental form $h$ and the shape operator $A_{V}$ are related by $g(h(X, Y), V)=g\left(A_{V} X, Y\right)$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M\right)$, where g is the Riemannian metric on $\bar{M}$ as well as on $M$.

The mean curvature $H$ of $M$ is given by $H=\frac{1}{n}$ trace $h$. A submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be totally umbilical if $h(X, Y)=g(X, Y) H$ for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$. If $h(X, Y)=0$ for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$, then $M$ is totally geodesic and if $H=0$, then $M$ is minimal in $\bar{M}$.

Let $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ be an orthonormal basis of the tangent bundle $T M$ and $\left\{e_{n+1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.e_{2 m+1}\right\}$ an orthonormal basis of the normal bundle $T^{\perp} M$. We put

$$
h_{i j}^{r}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), e_{r}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\|h\|^{2}=g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right),
$$

for $r \in\{n+1, \ldots, 2 m+1\}, i, j=1,2, \ldots, n$.
For a differentiable function $f$ on $M$, the gradient $\nabla f$ is defined by

$$
g(\nabla f, X)=X f
$$

for any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$. As a consequence, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} f\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(e_{i}(f)\right)^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(T^{\perp} M\right)$, we can write
(a) $\phi X=P X+Q X$;
(b) $\phi V=b V+c V$,
where $P X, b V$ are the tangential components and $Q X, c V$ are the normal components.
A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be slant if for each non-zero vector $X \in T_{p} M$, the angle $\theta$ between $\phi X$ and $T_{p} M$ is constant, i.e., it does not depend on the choice of $p \in M$.

A submanifold $M$ of an almost contact metric manifold $\bar{M}$ is said to be pointwise slant [13] if for any non-zero vector $X \in T_{p} M$ at $p \in M$, such that $X$ is not proportional to $\xi_{p}$, the angle $\theta(X)$ between $\phi X$ and $T_{p}^{*} M=T_{p} M-\{0\}$ is independent of the choice of non-zero $X \in T_{p}^{*} M$.

For pointwise slant submanifold, $\theta$ is a function on $M$, which is known as slant function of $M$. Invariant and anti-invariant submanifolds are particular cases of pointwise slant submanifolds with slant function $\theta=0$ and $\frac{\pi}{2}$ respectively. Also a pointwise slant submanifold $M$ will be slant if $\theta$ is constant on $M$. Thus a pointwise slant submanifold is proper if neither $\theta=0, \frac{\pi}{2}$ nor constant. It may be noted that [25] $M$ is a pointwise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$ if and only if exists a constant $\lambda \in[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P^{2}=\lambda(-I+\eta \otimes \xi) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, $\lambda=\cos ^{2} \theta$ for slant function $\theta$. If $M$ be a pointwise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$, then we have [34]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
b Q X=\sin ^{2} \theta\{-X+\eta(X) \xi\}, \quad c Q X=-Q P X \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ be Riemannian manifolds and let $M=M_{1} \times_{f_{1}} M_{2} \times_{f_{2}} M_{3}$ be the product manifold of $M_{1}, M_{2}, M_{3}$ such that $f_{1}, f_{2}: M_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$are real valued smooth functions. For each $i$, denote by $\pi_{i}: M \rightarrow M_{i}$ the canonical projection of $M$ onto $M_{i}$, $i=1,2,3$. Then the metric on $M$, called a bi-warped metric is given by

$$
g(X, Y)=g\left(\pi_{1_{*}} X, \pi_{2_{*}} Y\right)+\left(f_{1} \circ \pi_{1}\right)^{2} g\left(\pi_{2_{*}} X, \pi_{2_{*}} Y\right)+\left(f_{2} \circ \pi_{1}\right)^{2} g\left(\pi_{3_{*}} X, \pi_{3_{*}} Y\right)
$$

for any $X, Y \in \Gamma(T M)$ and $*$ denotes the symbol for tangent maps. The manifold $M$ endowed with this product metric is called a bi-warped product manifold. Here $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are non-constant functions, called warping functions on $M$. Clearly, if both $f_{1}, f_{2}$ are constant on $M$, then $M$ is simply a Riemannian product manifold and if anyone of the functions is constant, then $M$ is a single warped product manifold. If neither $f_{1}$ nor $f_{2}$ is constant, then $M$ is a proper bi-warped product manifold.

Let $M=M_{1} \times f_{1} M_{2} \times f_{2} M_{3}$ be a warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Then we have [35]

$$
\nabla_{X} Z=\sum_{i=1}^{2}\left(X\left(\ln f_{i}\right)\right) Z^{i}
$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{D}^{1}$, the tangent space of $M_{1}$ and $Z \in \Gamma(T N)$, where $N={ }_{f_{1}} M_{2} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{3}$ and $Z^{i}$ is $M_{i}$ components of $Z$ for each $i=2,3$ and $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection on $M$.

## 3. Submanifolds of $\bar{M}$

In this section we consider submanifold $M$ of $\bar{M}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T M & =\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle \\
T^{\perp} M & =Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}} \oplus \nu
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nu$ is a $\phi$-invariant normal subbundle of $T^{\perp} M$.
If $M$ is such submanifold of $\bar{M}$, then for any $X \in \Gamma(T M)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=T_{1} X+T_{2} X+T_{3} X \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}, T_{2}$ and $T_{3}$ are the projections from $T M$ onto $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, respectively.
If we put $P_{1}=T_{1} \circ P, P_{2}=T_{2} \circ P$ and $P_{3}=T_{3} \circ P$ then from (3.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi X=P_{1} X+P_{2} X+P_{3} X+Q X \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $X \in \Gamma(T M)$.
From (2.9) and (3.2), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{i}^{2}=\cos ^{2} \theta_{i}(-I+\eta \otimes \xi), \quad \text { for } i=1,2,3 . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for the sake of further study we obtain the following useful results.
Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $T M=\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ and $\xi \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$ then the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3}\right) g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)= & g\left(A_{Q P_{1} Y_{1}} X_{3}-A_{Q Y_{1}} P_{3} X_{3}, X_{1}\right)  \tag{3.4}\\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{3} X_{3}} Y_{1}-A_{Q X_{3}} P_{1} Y_{1}, X_{1}\right), \\
\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{2}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3}\right) g\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} Y_{2}, X_{3}\right)= & g\left(A_{Q P_{2} Y_{2}} X_{3}-A_{Q Y_{2}} P_{3} X_{3}, X_{2}\right)  \tag{3.5}\\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{3} X_{3}} Y_{2}-A_{Q X_{3}} P_{2} Y_{2}, X_{2}\right), \\
\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{2}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3}\right) g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} X_{2}, X_{3}\right)= & g\left(A_{Q P_{2} X_{2}} X_{3}-A_{Q X_{2}} P_{3} X_{3}, X_{1}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{3} X_{3}} X_{2}-A_{Q X_{3}} P_{2} X_{2}, X_{1}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{3}\right) g\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} X_{1}, X_{3}\right)= & g\left(A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} X_{3}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} X_{3}, X_{2}\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{3} X_{3}} X_{1}-A_{Q X_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, X_{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X_{1}, Y_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right), X_{2}, Y_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $X_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$.
Proof. For any $X_{1}, Y_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $X_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$, we have from (2.3), (2.5) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)= & g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} P_{1} Y_{1}, \phi X_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q Y_{1}, \phi X_{3}\right) \\
= & -g\left(\phi \bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} P_{1} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q Y_{1}, P_{3} X_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q Y_{1}, Q X_{3}\right) \\
= & -g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} P_{1}^{2} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q P_{1} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)+g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} \phi\right) P_{1} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right) \\
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q Y_{1}, P_{3} X_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q X_{3}, \phi Y_{1}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q X_{3}, P_{1} Y_{1}\right) \\
= & -g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} P_{1}^{2} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q P_{1} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q Y_{1}, P_{3} X_{3}\right) \\
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} b Q X_{3}, Y_{1}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} c Q X_{3}, Y_{1}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Q X_{3}, P_{1} Y_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.7), (2.10) and (3.3), the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)= & \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)+g\left(A_{Q P_{1} Y_{1}} X_{3}, X_{1}\right)-g\left(A_{Q Y_{1}} P_{3} X_{3}, X_{1}\right) \\
& +\sin ^{2} \theta_{3} g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)+g\left(A_{Q P_{3} X_{3}} Y_{1}, X_{1}\right)-g\left(A_{Q X_{3}} P_{1} Y_{1}, X_{1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

from which the relation (3.4) follows.
The relations (3.5)-(3.7) follow similarly.
Lemma 3.2. Let $M$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}$ where $T M=\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ such that $\xi \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$. Then the following relations hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{3}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{1}\right) g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)= & g\left(A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{1}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, X_{3}\right)  \tag{3.8}\\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\right) \eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), \\
\left(\sin ^{2} \theta_{3}-\sin ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} Y_{3}, X_{2}\right)= & g\left(A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3} X_{2}}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{2} X_{2}, X_{3}\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{2} X_{2}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{2}} P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{3}\right) \\
& +\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \eta\left(X_{2}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for any $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right), X_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$.
Proof. For any $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$, we have from (2.3), (2.5) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)= & g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} P_{3} Y_{3}, \phi X_{1}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q Y_{3}, \phi X_{1}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \\
= & -g\left(\phi \bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q Y_{3}, P_{1} X_{1}\right) \\
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q Y_{3}, Q X_{1}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \\
= & -g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} P_{3}^{2} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)+g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} \phi\right) P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right) \\
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q Y_{3}, P_{1} X_{1}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q X_{1}, \phi Y_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q X_{1}, P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \\
= & \cos ^{2} \theta_{3} g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)-\sin 2 \theta_{3} X_{3}\left(\theta_{3}\right) g\left(Y_{3}, X_{1}\right) \\
& +\cos ^{2} \theta_{3} \eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right) \\
& +g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q Y_{3}, P_{1} X_{1}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} b Q X_{1}, Y_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} c Q X_{1}, Y_{3}\right) \\
& -g\left(\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} \phi\right) Q X_{1}, Y_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q X_{1}, P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2.5), (2.7), (2.10), orthogonality of the distributions and symmetry of the shape operator, the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)= & \cos ^{2} \theta_{3} g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)+\cos ^{2} \theta_{3} \eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \\
& +g\left(A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{1}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, X_{3}\right) \\
& +\sin ^{2} \theta_{1} g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)+g\left(A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{3}, X_{3}\right) \\
& -g\left(A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{3}\right)-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Following the same computational procedure for any $X_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in$ $\Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$ we can establish the relation (3.9). And hence, the lemma is proved.

## 4. Warped Product Submanifolds of Kenmotsu Manifolds

In this section we study warped product submanifolds of the form $M=M_{4} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $\bar{M}$ where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}$ such that $\xi$ is orthogonal to $M_{\theta_{3}}$. Here $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}$ represents proper slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ with slant angles $\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}$, respectively and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ represents pointwise-slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ with slant function $\theta_{3}$.

Now we construct an example of a non-trivial warped product submanifold $M$ of $\bar{M}$ of the form $M_{4} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$.
Example 4.1. Consider the Kenmotsu manifold $M=\mathbb{R} \times_{f} \mathbb{C}^{7}$ with the structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is given by

$$
\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}\right)+Z \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}-Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right),
$$

$\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \eta=d t$ and $g=\eta \otimes \eta+\sum_{i=1}^{7}\left(d x^{i} \otimes d x^{i}+d y^{i} \otimes d y^{i}\right)$. Let $M$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}$ defined by the immersion $\chi$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \chi(u, v, \theta, \phi, r, s, t) \\
= & (u \cos \theta, u \sin \theta, 2 u+3 v, 3 u+2 v, v \cos \phi, v \sin \phi, 3 \theta+5 \phi, 5 \theta+3 \phi, v \cos \theta, v \sin \theta, \\
& u \cos \phi, u \sin \phi, 2 r+5 s, 5 r+2 s, t)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then the local orthonormal frame of $T M$ is spanned by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{1}=\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}+\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}+\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}, \\
& Z_{2}=3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}+\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{3}=-u \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+u \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}}-v \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}+v \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}}, \\
& Z_{4}=-v \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+v \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}}-u \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}+u \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}} \\
& Z_{5}=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}, \quad Z_{6}=5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}} \quad \text { and } \quad Z_{7}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \phi Z_{1}=\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}-\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}-3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}, \\
& \phi Z_{2}=3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}}-\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}, \\
& \phi Z_{3}=-u \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}-u \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}}-5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}-v \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}}-v \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}, \\
& \phi Z_{4}=-v \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}-v \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}}-3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}-u \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}-u \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}, \\
& \phi Z_{5}=2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}-5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}} \text { and } \phi Z_{6}=5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We take, $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right\}, D^{\theta_{2}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{Z_{5}, Z_{6}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{Z_{3}, Z_{4}\right\}$. Then it is clear that $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ are proper slant distributions with slant angles $\cos ^{-1} \frac{1}{3}$ and $\cos ^{-1} \frac{21}{29}$, respectively. Also, $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ is a proper pointwise slant distribution with slant function $\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{16}{u^{2}+v^{2}+34}\right)$.

Clearly, $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ are integrable distributions. Let us say that $M_{4}$ and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ are integral submanifolds of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, respectively. Then the metric tensor $g_{M}$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{M} & =15\left(d u^{2}+d v^{2}\right)+29\left(d r^{2}+d s^{2}\right)+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+34\right)\left(d \theta^{2}+d \phi^{2}\right) \\
& =g_{M_{4}}+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+34\right) g_{M_{\theta_{3}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $M=M_{4} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ is a warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ with the warping function $f=\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}+34}$.

Next we obtain the following useful lemmas.
Lemma 4.1. Let $M=M_{4} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi \in M_{4}$, where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}$ are proper slant submanifolds and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ is a proper pointwise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\xi \ln f & =1  \tag{4.1}\\
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), Q X_{3}\right) & =g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{1}\right),  \tag{4.2}\\
g\left(h\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), Q X_{3}\right) & =g\left(h\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{2}\right),  \tag{4.3}\\
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right) & =g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Q X_{3}\right)=g\left(h\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{1}\right), \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for $X_{1}, Y_{1} \in M_{\theta_{1}}, X_{2}, Y_{2} \in M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$.

Proof. The proof of (4.1) is similar as in [28].
Now, for $X_{1}, Y_{1} \in M_{\theta_{1}}$ and $X_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$, we have from (2.5) and (3.3) that
(4.5) $g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{1}\right)=-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X 1} P_{3} X_{3}, Y_{1}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X 1} Q X_{3}, Y_{1}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} X_{3}, P_{1} Y_{1}\right)$.

Then using (1.1) in (4.5), we get (4.2).
Proceeding the same, for any $X_{2}, Y_{2} \in M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $X_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$, we get (4.2).
Again, for any $X_{1} \in M_{\theta_{1}}, X_{2} \in M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $X_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$ we have from (2.5) and (3.3) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right)=-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q X_{1}, X_{2}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} X_{1}, P_{2} X_{2}\right) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.1) in (4.6), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right)=g\left(h\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{1}\right) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Q X_{3}\right)=-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} P_{2} X_{2}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} P_{2} X_{2}, X_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{1}} X_{2}, P_{3} X_{3}\right) . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (1.1) in (4.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Q X_{3}\right)=g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right) . \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (4.7) and (4.9), we obtain (4.4). This completes the proof.
Lemma 4.2. Let $M=M_{4} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi \in M_{4}$, where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}$ are proper slant submanifolds and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ is a proper pointwise slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(h\left(X_{3}, X_{1}\right), Q Y_{3}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q X_{1}\right)  \tag{4.10}\\
= & \left\{\left(X_{1} \ln f\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right\} g\left(P_{3} X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)-\left(P_{1} X_{1} \ln f\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{3}, X_{2}\right), Q Y_{3}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right)  \tag{4.11}\\
= & \left\{\left(X_{2} \ln f\right)-\eta\left(X_{2}\right)\right\} g\left(P_{3} X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)-\left(P_{2} X_{2} \ln f\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q P_{1} X_{1}\right)-g\left(h\left(P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{1}\right)  \tag{4.12}\\
& +g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-g\left(h\left(P_{1} X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\right)\left[\eta\left(X_{1}\right)-\left(X_{1} \ln f\right)\right] g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q P_{2} X_{2}\right)-g\left(h\left(P_{3} Y_{3}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right)  \tag{4.13}\\
& +g\left(h\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-g\left(h\left(P_{2} X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{3}\right) \\
= & \left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\right)\left[\eta\left(X_{2}\right)-\left(X_{2} \ln f\right)\right] g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for $X_{1} \in M_{\theta_{1}}, X_{2} \in M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$.
Proof. From (2.5) and (3.3), we have for $X_{1} \in M_{\theta_{1}}$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q X_{1}\right)= & -g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} X_{1}, P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} Q Y_{3}, X_{1}\right)  \tag{4.14}\\
& +\eta\left(X_{1}\right) g\left(\phi X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)+g\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, Y_{3}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.7) and (1.1) in (4.14), we get (4.10). Following the same procedure, for any $X_{2} \in M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in M_{\theta_{3}}$ we easily obtain (4.11).

Next, replacing $X_{1}$ by $P_{1} X_{1}$ and $Y_{3}$ by $P_{3} Y_{3}$ in (4.10), respectively and then adding the obtained equations, we get (4.12). Similarly, replacing $X_{2}$ by $P_{2} X_{2}$ and $Y_{3}$ by $P_{3} Y_{3}$ in (4.11), respectively and then adding the obtained equations, we get (4.13).

## 5. Characterization

We prove the following theorem.
Theorem 5.1. Let $M$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $T M=\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ with $\xi$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, then $M$ is locally a warped product submanifold of the form $M=M_{4} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ where $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} Y_{3}+A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{1}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}  \tag{5.1}\\
= & \left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)\left[X_{1} \mu-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right] Y_{3}, \\
& A_{Q P_{2} X_{2}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{2}} P_{3} Y_{3}+A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{2}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{2} X_{2}  \tag{5.2}\\
= & \left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)\left[X_{2} \mu-\eta\left(X_{2}\right)\right] Y_{3}, \\
\xi \mu= & 1, \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right)$, $X_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$, $X_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$ and for some smooth function $\mu$ on $M$ satisfying where $\left(Y_{3} \mu\right)=0$ for any $Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$.

Proof. Let $M=M_{4} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a proper warped product submanifold of $M$ such that $M_{4}=M_{\theta_{1}} \times M_{\theta_{2}}$. Denote the tangent space of $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ by $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ respectively. Then from (4.2) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} Y_{3}+A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{1}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, X_{1}\right)=0 . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, from (4.4) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} Y_{3}+A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{1}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{1} X_{1}, X_{2}\right)=0 . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, from (5.4) and (5.5) we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{3}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{3} Y_{3}+A_{Q P_{3} Y_{3}} X_{1}-A_{Q Y_{3}} P_{1} X_{1} \in \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (4.12) and (5.6), relation (5.1) follows.
In similar way, in view of (4.3), (4.4) and (4.13) we get (5.2). The relation (5.3) is directly obtained from (4.1).

Conversely, let $M$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $T M=\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ with $\xi$ orthogonal to $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ and the conditions (5.1)-(5.3) satisfied. Then from (3.4) and (3.7), in view of (5.1), respectively we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{1}, X_{3}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} X_{1}, X_{3}\right)=0 \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also from (3.5), (3.6) in view of (5.2), respectively we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} Y_{2}, X_{3}\right)=0 \quad \text { and } \quad g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} X_{2}, X_{3}\right)=0 . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, from (5.7), (5.8) and the fact that $\nabla_{X_{3}} \xi=0$ we conclude that $g\left(\nabla_{E} F, X_{3}\right)=0$ for every $E, F \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$. Hence the leaves of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle$ are totally geodesic in $M$.

Now, by virtue of (3.8), (5.1) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left[X_{3}, Y_{3}\right], X_{1}\right)=0 \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by virtue of (3.9), (5.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\left[X_{3}, Y_{3}\right], X_{2}\right)=0 \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (5.9), (5.10) and the fact that $h(A, \xi)=0$, for all $A \in T M$, we conclude that

$$
g\left(\left[X_{3}, Y_{3}\right], E\right)=0, \quad \text { for all } X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)
$$

and $E \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$, consequently $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ is integrable.
Let $h^{\theta_{3}}$ be the second fundamental form of $M_{\theta_{3}}$ in $\bar{M}$. Then for any $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$ and $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right)$, from (3.8), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h^{\theta_{3}}\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), X_{1}\right)=-\left(X_{1} \mu\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for $X_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$, from (3.9) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h^{\theta_{3}}\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), X_{2}\right)=-\left(X_{2} \mu\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again, for any $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$, in view of (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h^{\theta_{3}}\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), \xi\right)=-(\xi \mu) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, from (5.11)-(5.13) we conclude that

$$
g\left(h^{\theta}\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), E\right)=-g(\nabla \mu, E) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)
$$

for every $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$ and $E \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus,\langle\xi\rangle\right)$. Consequently, $M_{\theta_{3}}$ is totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$ with mean curvature vector $H^{\theta_{3}}=-\nabla \mu$.

Finally, we will show that $H^{\theta_{3}}$ is parallel with respect to the normal connection $\nabla^{\perp}$ of $M_{\theta_{3}}$ in $M$. We take $E \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $X_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$, then we have

$$
g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}}^{\perp} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mu, E\right)=g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} \nabla^{\theta_{1}} \mu, X_{1}\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} \nabla^{\theta_{2}} \mu, X_{2}\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X_{3}} \nabla^{\xi} \mu, \xi\right),
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}}, \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\xi}$ are the gradient components of $\mu$ on $M$ along $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\langle\xi\rangle$ respectively. Then by the property of Riemannian metric, the above equation reduces to

$$
\begin{aligned}
g\left(\nabla_{U}^{\perp} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mu, E\right)= & X_{3} g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}} \mu, X_{1}\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}} \mu, \nabla_{X_{3}} X_{1}\right)+X_{3} g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{2}} \mu, X_{2}\right) \\
& -g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{2}} \mu, \nabla_{X_{3}} X_{2}\right)+X_{3} g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\xi} \mu, \xi\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\xi} \mu, \nabla_{X_{3}} \xi\right) \\
= & X_{3}\left(X_{1} \mu\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}} \mu,\left[X_{3}, X_{1}\right]\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}} \mu, \nabla_{X_{1}} X_{3}\right) \\
& +X_{3}\left(X_{2} \mu\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{2}} \mu,\left[X_{3}, X_{2}\right]\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{2}} \mu, \nabla_{X_{2}} X_{3}\right) \\
& +X_{3}(\xi \mu)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\xi} \mu,\left[X_{3}, \xi\right]\right)-g\left(\boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\xi} \mu, \nabla_{\xi} X_{3}\right) \\
= & X_{1}\left(X_{3} \mu\right)+g\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}} \mu, X_{3}\right)+X_{2}\left(X_{3} \mu\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +g\left(\nabla_{X_{2}} \nabla^{\theta_{2}} \mu, X_{3}\right)+\xi\left(X_{3} \mu\right)-g\left(\nabla_{\xi} \nabla^{\xi} \mu, X_{3}\right) \\
= & 0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\left(X_{3} \mu\right)=0$ for every $X_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$ and $\nabla_{X_{1}} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\theta_{1}} \mu+\nabla_{X_{2}} \nabla^{\theta_{2}} \mu+\nabla_{\xi} \boldsymbol{\nabla}^{\xi} \mu=\nabla_{E} \boldsymbol{\nabla} \mu$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ for any $E \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle\right)$ and $\nabla \mu$ is the gradient along $M_{4}$ and $M_{4}$ is totally geodesic in $\bar{M}$. Hence, the mean curvature vector $H^{\theta_{3}}$ of $M_{\theta_{3}}$ is parallel. Thus, $M_{\theta_{3}}$ is an extrinsic sphere in $M$. Hence, by Hiepko's Theorem (see [14]), $M$ is locally a warped product submanifold. Thus, the proof is complete.

## 6. Bi-Warped Product Submanifolds

In this section we have studied bi-warped product submanifolds $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}}$ $M_{\theta_{2}} \times f_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $\bar{M}$, where $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{3}}$ are pointwise slant submanifolds of $\bar{M}$ and an supporting example has been constructed. We denote $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ as the tangent spaces of $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{3}}$, respectively.

Then we write

$$
T M=\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}} \oplus\langle\xi\rangle
$$

and

$$
T^{\perp} M=Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} \oplus Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} \oplus Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}
$$

Example 6.1. Consider the Kenmotsu manifold $M=\mathbb{R} \times_{f} \mathbb{C}^{10}$ with the structure $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is given by

$$
\phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}+Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}\right)+Z \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(X_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{i}}-Y_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}\right),
$$

$\xi=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \eta=d t$ and $g=\eta \otimes \eta+\sum_{i=1}^{10}\left(d x^{i} \otimes d x^{i}+d y^{i} \otimes d y^{i}\right)$. Let $M$ be a submanifold of $\bar{M}$ defined by the immersion $\chi$ as follows:

$$
\chi(u, v, \theta, \phi, r, s, t)
$$

$=(u \cos \theta, u \sin \theta, v \cos \phi, v \sin \phi, 3 \theta+5 \phi, 5 \theta+3 \phi, v \cos \theta, v \sin \theta, u \cos \phi, u \sin \phi, u \cos r$,
$v \cos s, u \sin r, v \sin s, 3 r+2 s, 2 r+3 s, u \cos s, v \cos r, u \sin s, v \sin r, t)$.
Then the local orthonormal frame of $T M$ is spanned by the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{1}= & \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}+\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}} \\
& +\cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}+\sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}}+\cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{9}}+\sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}, \\
Z_{2}= & \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}+\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}} \\
& +\cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}+\sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}+\cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{9}}+\sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{10}}, \\
Z_{3}= & -u \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+u \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}-v \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}+v \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{4}=-v \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+v \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}-u \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}+u \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}} \\
& Z_{5}=-u \sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}+u \cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{8}}-v \sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{9}}+v \cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{10}}, \\
& Z_{6}=V-X v \sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}+v \cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{8}}-u \sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{9}}+u \cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
Z_{7}=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi Z_{1}= & \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}-\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}}-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}} \\
& +\cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}+\sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}+\cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{9}}+\sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{10}}, \\
\phi Z_{2}= & \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}-\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+\cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}}-\sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}} \\
& -\cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}-\sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}}-\cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{9}}-\sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}, \\
\phi Z_{3}= & -u \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{1}}-u \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{1}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}-5 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}-v \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{4}}-v \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{4}}, \\
\phi Z_{4}= & -v \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}-v \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{2}}+5 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{3}}-3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{3}}-u \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{5}}-u \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{5}}, \\
\phi Z_{5}= & -u \sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{6}}+u \cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{7}}+3 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{8}}-2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}}+v \sin r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{9}}-v \cos r \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{10}}, \\
\phi Z_{6}= & v \sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{6}}-v \cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{7}}+2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{8}}-3 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{8}}-u \sin s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{9}}+u \cos s \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{10}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We take $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right\}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{Z_{3}, Z_{4}\right\}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}=\operatorname{Span}\left\{Z_{5}, Z_{6}\right\}$. Then it is clear that $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ are proper pointwise slant distributions with slant functions $\cos ^{-1}\left\{\frac{1}{2} \cos (r-s)\right\}, \cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{16}{u^{2}+v^{2}+34}\right)$ and $\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{5}{u^{2}+v^{2}+13}\right)$, respectively. Clearly, $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ are integrable distributions. Let us say that $M_{\theta_{1}}, M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ are integral submanifolds of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, respectively. Then the metric tensor $g_{M}$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{M} & =4\left(d u^{2}+d v^{2}\right)+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+34\right)\left(d \theta^{2}+d \phi^{2}\right)+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+13\right)\left(d r^{2}+d s^{2}\right) \\
& =g_{M_{\theta_{1}}}+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+34\right) g_{M_{\theta_{2}}}+\left(u^{2}+v^{2}+13\right) g_{M_{\theta_{3}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ is a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ with the warping functions $f_{1}=\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}+34}$ and $f_{2}=\sqrt{u^{2}+v^{2}+13}$.

Proposition 6.1 ([33]). Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Then $M$ is a single warped product if $\xi$ is orthogonal to $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}$.

Proposition 6.2 ([33]). Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ such that $M$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi\left(\ln f_{i}\right)=1, \quad \text { for all } i=1,2 . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 6.1. Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right), Q X_{3}\right) & =g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{1}\right),  \tag{6.2}\\
g\left(h\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), Q X_{3}\right) & =g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{2}\right),  \tag{6.3}\\
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Q X_{3}\right) & =g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right), \tag{6.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for every $X_{1}, Y_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right), X_{2}, Y_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$ and $X_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$.
Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.1.
Lemma 6.2. Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times f_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(h\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), Q X_{1}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Q Y_{2}\right)  \tag{6.5}\\
= & \left(P_{1} X_{1} \ln f_{1}\right) g\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)+\left[X_{1}\left(\ln f_{1}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right] g\left(X_{2}, P_{2} Y_{2}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q X_{1}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{3}\right)  \tag{6.6}\\
= & \left(P_{1} X_{1} \ln f_{2}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)+\left[X_{1}\left(\ln f_{2}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right] g\left(X_{3}, P_{3} Y_{3}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), Q X_{2}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q Y_{3}\right)  \tag{6.7}\\
= & \left(P_{2} X_{2} \ln f_{2}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right)+X_{2}\left(\ln f_{2}\right) g\left(X_{3}, P_{3} Y_{3}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for every $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right)$, $X_{2}, Y_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$.
Proof. Proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 4.2.
Lemma 6.3. Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times f_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g\left(h\left(X_{1}, Y_{2}\right), Q P_{2} X_{2}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{1}, P_{2} X_{2}\right), Q Y_{2}\right)  \tag{6.8}\\
= & 2 \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\left\{\left(X_{1} \ln f_{1}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right\} g\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{3}\right), Q P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{1}, P_{3} X_{3}\right), Q Y_{3}\right)  \tag{6.9}\\
= & 2 \cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\left\{\left(X_{1} \ln f_{2}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right\} g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right), \\
& g\left(h\left(X_{2}, X_{3}\right), Q P_{3} Y_{3}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{2}, P_{3} X_{3}\right), Q Y_{3}\right)  \tag{6.10}\\
= & 2 \cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\left(X_{2} \ln f_{2}\right) g\left(X_{3}, Y_{3}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

for every $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right), X_{2}, Y_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$ and $X_{3}, Y_{3} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$.
Proof. By polarization of (6.5), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(h\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right), Q X_{1}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{1}, Y_{2}\right), Q Z\right)= & \left(P_{1} X_{1} \ln f_{1}\right) g\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right)  \tag{6.11}\\
& +\left[X_{1}\left(\ln f_{1}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right] g\left(X_{2}, Y_{2}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Subtracting (6.11) from (6.4), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(h\left(X_{1}, Y_{2}\right), Q X_{2}\right)-g\left(h\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right), Q Y_{2}\right)=2\left[X_{1}\left(\ln f_{1}\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right] g\left(X_{2}, P_{2} Y_{2}\right) . \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Replacing $X_{2}$ by $P_{2} X_{2}$ in (6.12), we get (6.8). Similarly, (6.9) follows from (6.6) and (6.10) follows from (6.7).

Theorem 6.1. Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times f_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times f_{2} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. Then $M$ can be $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ mixed totally geodesic but cannot be $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ mixed totally geodesic.

Proof. The theorem follows from Lemma 6.3.

## 7. Inequality

In this section, we establish a Chen-type inequality on a bi-warped product submanifold $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times f_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ of $\bar{M}$ of dimension $n$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. We take $\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{1}}=2 p+1$, $\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{2}}=2 q$, $\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{3}}=2 s$ and their corresponding tangent spaces are $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, respectively. Assume that $\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{p}, e_{p+1}=\sec \theta_{1} P_{1} e_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 p}=\sec \theta_{1} P_{1} e_{p}, e_{2 p+1}=\xi\right\},\left\{e_{2 p+2}=\right.$ $\left.e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{2 p+q+1}=e_{q}^{*}, e_{2 p+q+2}=e_{q+1}^{*}=\sec \theta_{2} P_{2} e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, e_{2 p+2 q+1}=e_{2 q}^{*}=\sec \theta_{2} P_{2} e_{q}^{*}\right\}$ and $\left\{e_{2 p+2 q+2}=\hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 p+2 q+s+1}=\hat{e}_{s}, e_{2 p+2 q+s+2}=\hat{e}_{s+1}=\sec \theta_{3} P_{3} \hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, e_{2 p+2 q+2 s+1}=\right.$ $\left.\hat{e}_{2 s}=\sec \theta_{3} P_{3} \hat{e}_{s}\right\}$ are local orthonormal frames of $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, respectively. Then the local orthonormal frames for $Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ and $\nu$ are $\left\{\tilde{e}_{1}=\csc \theta_{1} Q e_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.\tilde{e}_{p}=\csc \theta_{1} Q e_{p}, \tilde{e}_{p+1}=\csc \theta_{1} \sec \theta_{1} Q P_{1} e_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2 p} \csc \theta_{1} \sec \theta_{1} Q P_{1} e_{p}\right\},\left\{\tilde{e}_{2 p+1}=\tilde{e}_{1}^{*}=\right.$ $\csc \theta_{2} Q e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2 p+q}=\tilde{e}_{q}^{*}=\csc \theta_{2} Q e_{q}^{*}, \tilde{e}_{2 p+q+1}=\tilde{e}_{q+1}^{*}=\csc \theta_{2} \sec \theta_{2} Q P_{2} e_{1}^{*}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2 p+2 q}$ $\left.=\tilde{e}_{2 q}^{*}=\csc \theta_{2} \sec \theta_{2} Q P_{2} e_{q}^{*}\right\},\left\{\tilde{e}_{2 p+2 q+1}=\tilde{\hat{e}}_{1}=\csc \theta_{3} Q \hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2 p+2 q+s}=\tilde{\hat{e}}_{s}=\csc \theta_{3} Q \hat{e}_{s}\right.$, $\left.\tilde{e}_{2 p+2 q+s+1}=\tilde{\hat{e}}_{s+1}=\csc \theta_{3} \sec \theta_{3} Q P_{3} \hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2 p+2 q+2 s}=\tilde{\hat{e}}_{2 s}=\csc \theta_{3} \sec \theta_{3} Q P_{3} \hat{e}_{s}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{e}_{2 p+2 q+2 s+1}, \ldots, \tilde{e}_{2 m+1}\right\}$ of dimensions $2 p, 2 q, 2 s$ and $(2 m+1-n-2 p-2 q-2 s)$, respectively.
Theorem 7.1. Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f_{1}} M_{\theta_{2}} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\theta_{3}}$ be both $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ mixed totally geodesic bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$. Then the squared norm of the second fundamental form satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\|h\|^{2} \geq & 2 q \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{1}\right\|^{2}-1\right)  \tag{7.1}\\
& +2 s \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\right)\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{2}\right\|^{2}-1\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $2 q=\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{1}}, 2 s=\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{3}}, \nabla \ln f_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{2}$ are the gradients of warping function $\ln f_{1}$ and $\ln f_{2}$ along $M_{\theta_{1}}$ and $M_{\theta_{2}}$, respectively.

If the equality sign of (7.1) holds, then $M_{\theta_{1}}$ is totally geodesic and $M_{\theta_{2}}, M_{\theta_{3}}$ are totally umbilical submanifolds of $\bar{M}$.

Proof. From the definition of $h$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|h\|^{2}=\sum_{i, j=1}^{2 p+1} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)\right) \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by decomposing (7.2) in our constructed frame fields, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\|h\|^{2}= & \sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 p+1} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p+1} \sum_{j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}  \tag{7.3}\\
& +2 \sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{2 q} \sum_{j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=n+1}^{2 m+1} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

Neglecting the $\nu$ component terms of (7.3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid h \|^{2} \geq & \sum_{r=1}^{2 p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 p+1} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=1}^{2 q} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 p+1} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}  \tag{7.4}\\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{2 s} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 p+1} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i, r=1}^{2 p} \sum_{j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{r, j=1}^{2 q} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{r=1}^{2 s} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \sum_{j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{i, r=1}^{2 p} \sum_{j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{r=1}^{2 q} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} \sum_{j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{r, j=1}^{2 s} \sum_{i=1}^{2 p} g\left(h\left(e_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=1}^{2 p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{i, j, r=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=1}^{2 s} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{r=1}^{2 p} \sum_{i=1}^{2 q} \sum_{j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{i, r=1}^{2 q} \sum_{j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 \sum_{j, r=1}^{2 s} \sum_{i=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{r=1}^{2 p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\sum_{r=1}^{2 q} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2}+\sum_{i, j, r=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), \tilde{e}_{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{align*}
$$

In view of Lemma (6.1), the second, third and thirteenth terms are equal to zero. Using the $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ mixed totally geodesic condition, seventh to thirteenth terms are also equal to zero. Also we can not find any relation for $g\left(h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right), Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right)$, $g\left(h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right), Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right), \quad g\left(h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right), Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right), \quad g\left(h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right), Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right), \quad g\left(h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)\right.$, $\left.Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$ and $g\left(h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right), Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)$, so we neglect first, eleventh, twelfth, fourteenth,
fifteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth terms of (7.4) and obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h\|^{2} \geq & \csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right), Q e_{r}\right)^{2}+\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sec ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q} g\left(h\left(e_{i}^{*}, P_{1} e_{j}^{*}\right), Q P_{1} e_{r}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r,=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right), Q e_{r}\right)^{2}+\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sec ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s} g\left(h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, P_{1} \hat{e}_{j}\right), Q P_{1} e_{r}\right)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By virtue of Lemma 6.2, the above relation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|h\|^{2} \geq & \csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)^{2} g\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q}\left[\left(e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)-\eta\left(e_{r}\right)\right]^{2} g\left(e_{i}^{*}, P_{2} e_{j}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q}\left(e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)^{2} g\left(e_{i}^{*}, e_{j}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r,=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)^{2} g\left(e_{i}^{*}, P_{2} e_{j}^{*}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)^{2} g\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 q}\left[\left(e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)-\eta\left(e_{r}\right)\right]^{2} g\left(\hat{e}_{i}, P_{3} \hat{e}_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s}\left(e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)^{2} g\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& +\csc ^{2} \theta_{1} \sum_{r,=1}^{p} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2 s}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)^{2} g\left(\hat{e}_{i}, P_{3} \hat{e}_{j}\right)^{2} \\
= & 2 q \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(1+\sec ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{p}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 q q^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{p}\left[\left(e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)-\eta\left(e_{r}\right)\right]^{2} \\
& +2 q \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(1+\sec ^{2} \theta_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{p}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)^{2} \\
& +2 q \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\right) \sum_{r=1}^{p}\left[\left(e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)-\eta\left(e_{r}\right)\right]^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\|h\|^{2} \geq & 2 q \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)\left(\sum_{r=1}^{2 p+1}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{1}\right)^{2}-\left(\xi \ln f_{1}\right)^{2}\right)  \tag{7.5}\\
& +2 s \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{3}\right)\left(\sum_{r=1}^{2 p+1}\left(P_{1} e_{r} \ln f_{2}\right)^{2}-\left(\xi \ln f_{2}\right)^{2}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Using (2.8) and Proposition 6.2, in (7.5), we get the inequality (7.1). If equality of (7.1) holds, for omitting $\nu$ components terms of (6.3), we get

$$
h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right) \perp \nu, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right) \perp \nu, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp \nu, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp \nu .
$$

Also, for neglecting terms of (7.4), we obtain $h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$, $h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$, $h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$. Next, since $M$ is both $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}-\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}$ mixed totally geodesic, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)=0, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right)=0 . \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from Lemma 6.1 with (6.6), we get

$$
h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \quad h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right) \perp Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}} .
$$

Thus, we can say that

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right)=0,  \tag{7.7}\\
& h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right) \subset Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}},  \tag{7.8}\\
& h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \subset Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}},  \tag{7.9}\\
& h\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}, \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{3}}\right) \subset Q \mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}} . \tag{7.10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (7.6) and (7.7), $M_{\theta_{1}}$ is totally geodesic in $M$ and hence in $\bar{M}[5,7]$. Again, since $M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ are totally umbilical in $M[5,7]$, with the fact (7.8)-(7.10), we conclude that $M_{\theta_{2}}$ and $M_{\theta_{3}}$ are totally umbilical in $\bar{M}$. Hence, the theorem is proved completely.

## 8. Some Applications

As consequences of Theorem 5.1 we have the following.

1. If we take $\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{2}}=0$ and replace $\theta_{3}$ by $\theta_{2}$, then $M$ changes to a warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifold of the form $M_{\theta_{1}} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{2}}$, studied in [17]. In this case Theorem 5.1 of this paper takes the following form (Theorem 5.1 of [17]).

Let $M$ be a proper pointwise bi-slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right)$, then $M$ is locally a warped product submanifold of the form $M_{\theta_{1}} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta_{2}}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{Q P_{1} X_{1}} Y_{2}-A_{Q X_{1}} P_{2} Y_{2}+A_{Q P_{2} Y_{2}} X_{1}-A_{Q Y_{2}} P_{1} X_{1} \\
= & \left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}\right)\left[\left(X_{1} \mu\right)-\eta\left(X_{1}\right)\right] Y_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{1}}\right), X_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$, for some smooth function $\mu$ on $M$ satisfying $(Y \mu)=0$, for any $W \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta_{2}}\right)$. Thus, Theorem 5.1 of this paper is a generalisation of Theorem 5.1 of [17].
2. If we take $\theta_{1}=0, \theta_{2}=$ constant $=\theta, \theta_{3}=\frac{\pi}{2}$, then $M$ changes to a warped product skew CR-submanifold of the form $M_{1} \times{ }_{f} M_{\perp}$, where $M_{1}=M_{T} \times M_{\theta}$, studied in [28]. In this case Theorem 5.1 of this paper takes the following form (Theorem 5.3 of [28]).

Let $M$ be a proper skew CR-submanifold of $\bar{M}$, then $M$ is locally a $\mathcal{D}^{\theta}-\mathcal{D}^{\perp}$ mixed totally geodesic warped product submanifold of the form $M_{1} \times_{f} M_{\perp}$, where $M_{1}=M_{T} \times M_{\theta}$ if and only if
(i) $A_{\phi Z} X \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$ for any $X \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{T} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta}\right) \oplus\{\xi\}$ and $Z \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$;
(ii) for any $X_{1} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{T}\right), X_{2} \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}\right)$ and $Z \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right), A_{\phi Z} X_{1}=-\left(\phi X_{1} \mu\right)$, $A_{\phi} Z X_{2}=0, A_{Q X_{2} Z}=\left(P_{2} X_{2} \mu\right) Z,(\xi \mu)=1$,
for some smooth function $\mu$ on $M$ satisfying $(V \mu)=0$, for any $V \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$. Thus, Theorem 5.1 of this paper is a generalization of Theorem 5.3 of [28].
3. If we take $\theta_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}, \theta_{2}=$ constant $=\theta, \theta_{3}=0$, then $M$ changes to a warped product skew CR-submanifold of the form $M_{2} \times_{f} M_{T}$, where $M_{2}=M_{\perp} \times M_{\theta}$, studied in [19]. In this case Theorem 5.1 of this paper takes the following form (Theorem 5.1 of [19]).

Let $M$ be a proper skew CR-submanifold of $\bar{M}$, then $M$ is locally a warped product submanifold of the form $M_{2} \times_{f} M_{T}$, where $M_{2}=M_{\perp} \times M_{\theta}$ if and only if
(i) $A_{\phi} Z X=\{\eta(Z)-(Z \mu)\} \phi X$;
(ii) $A_{Q U X}=\{\eta(U)-(U \mu)\} \phi X+\left(P_{2} U \mu\right) X$;
(iii) $(\xi \mu)=1$,
for any $X \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{T}\right), U \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}\right), Z \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$, for some smooth function $\mu$ on $M$ satisfying $(Y \mu)=0$, for any $Y \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{T}\right)$. Thus, Theorem 5.1 of this paper is a generalisation of Theorem 5.1 of [19].
4. If we take $\theta_{1}=0, \theta_{2}=\frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\theta_{3}=\theta$ then $M$ changes to a warped product submanifold of the form $M_{3} \times{ }_{f} M_{\theta}$, where $M_{3}=M_{T} \times M_{\perp}$, studied in [18]. In this case Theorem 5.1 of this paper takes the following form (Theorem 5.1 of [18]).

Let $M$ be a submanifold of a Kenmotsu manifold $\bar{M}$ such that $T M=\mathcal{D}^{T} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\perp} \oplus \mathcal{D}^{\theta}$ with $\xi$ is orthogonal to $M_{\theta}$. Then $M$ is locally a warped product submanifold of the form $M=M_{3} \times_{f} M_{\theta}$, where $M_{3}=M_{T} \times M_{\perp}$, if and only if the following relations hold:
(i) $A_{Q V} \phi X-A_{Q P V} X=\sin ^{2} \theta[(X \mu)-\eta(X)] V$;
(ii) $A_{\phi Z} P V-A_{Q P V} Z=-\cos ^{2} \theta[(Z \mu)-\eta(Z)] V$;
(iii) $(\xi \mu)=1$,
for every $X \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{T}\right), Z \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\perp}\right)$ and $V \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}\right)$ and $(V \mu)=0$ for some function $\mu$ on $M$ satisfying $(W \mu)=0$, for any $W \in \Gamma\left(\mathcal{D}^{\theta}\right)$. Thus, Theorem 5.1 of this paper is a generalisation of Theorem 5.1 of [18].

As consequences of Theorem 7.1, we have the following.

1. If we consider $\theta_{1}=$ constant, $\theta_{2}=0, \theta_{3}=\frac{\pi}{2}$, then the submanifold $M$ changes to bi-warped product submanifold of the form $M_{\theta} \times_{f_{1}} M_{T} \times{ }_{f_{2}} M_{\perp}$, studied in [33]. In this case Theorem 7.1 of this paper takes the following form.

Let $M=M_{\theta} \times_{f_{1}} M_{T} \times_{f_{2}} M_{\perp}$ be a bi-warped product submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta}$, then the squared norm of the second fundamental form satisfies

$$
\|h\|^{2} \geq 2 q \csc ^{2} \theta\left(1+\cos ^{2} \theta\right)\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{1}\right\|^{2}-1\right)+2 s \cot ^{2} \theta\left(\left\|\boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{2}\right\|^{2}-1\right)
$$

where $2 q=\operatorname{dim} M_{T}, 2 s=\operatorname{dim} M_{\perp}, \boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{\nabla} \ln f_{2}$ are the gradients of warping function $\ln f_{1}$ and $\ln f_{2}$ along $M_{T}$ and $M_{\perp}$, respectively.

If the equality sign holds, then $M_{\theta}$ is totally geodesic and $M_{T}, M_{\perp}$ are totally umbilical submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Taking $\operatorname{dim} M_{T}=2 q=m_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} M_{\perp}=2 s=m_{2}$, we see that this statement coincides with the statement of Theorem 6 of [33]. Thus, Theorem 7.1 of this paper is a generalisation of Theorem 6 of [33].
2. If we consider $\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{2}}=0$, then the submanifold $M$ changes into warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifold of the form $M_{\theta_{1}} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{2}}$ studied in [17]. In this case Theorem 7.1 of this paper takes the following form.

Let $M=M_{\theta_{1}} \times_{f} M_{\theta_{2}}$ be a warped product pointwise bi-slant submanifold of $\bar{M}$ such that $\xi$ is tangent to $M_{\theta_{1}}$, then the squared norm of the second fundamental form satisfies

$$
\|h\|^{2} \geq 2 q \csc ^{2} \theta_{1}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta_{1}+\cos ^{2} \theta_{2}\right)\left(\|\nabla \ln f\|^{2}-1\right)
$$

where $2 q=\operatorname{dim} M_{\theta_{2}}, \nabla \ln f$ is the gradient of warping function $\ln f$ along $M_{\theta_{1}}$. If the equality sign holds, then $M_{\theta_{1}}$ is totally geodesic and $M_{\theta_{2}}$ is totally umbilical submanifold of $\bar{M}$. Thus, we see that this statement coincides with the statement of Theorem 6.1 of [19]. Hence Theorem 7.1 of this paper is a generalization of Theorem 6.1 of [17].
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