KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS VOLUME 47(3) (2023), PAGES 417–429.

CHARACTERIZATION OF ORDERED SEMIHYPERGROUPS BY COVERED HYPERIDEALS

MD FIROJ ALI¹ AND NOOR MOHAMMAD KHAN²

ABSTRACT. After introducing the notions of the Green's relation \mathcal{J} , hyper \mathcal{J} -class and covered hyperideal in an ordered semihypergroup, some important properties of the hyper \mathcal{J} -class and covered hyperideals are studied. Then maximal and minimal hyperideals of an ordered semihypergroup are defined and some vital results have been proved. We also define a hyperbase of an ordered semihypergroup and prove the existence of a hyperbase under certain conditions in an ordered semihypergroup. In an ordered semihypergroup, after defining the greatest covered hyperideal and the greatest hyperideal, some results about these hyperideals are proved. Finally, in a regular ordered semihypergroup, we show that, under some conditions, each hyperideal is also a covered hyperideal.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELINIMARIES

In 1934, Marty [16] introduced the concept of a hyperstructure, in particular, the hypergroup theory in the 8th Congress of Scandinavian Mathematicians. The beauty of hyperstructure is that in hyperstructures, composition of two elements is a set. Thus the notion of algebraic hyperstructures is a generalization of classical notion of algebraic structures. The concept of ordered semihypergroup is a generalization of the concept of ordered semigroup and was introduced by Heidari and Davvaz in [11]. Thereafter it was studied by several authors. Davvaz et al. [1, 2, 11, 17] studied some properties of hyperideals, bi-hyperideals and quasi-hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups. In [7,9], Fabrici introduced the notion of a covered ideal and, in

Key words and phrases. Ordered semihypergroup, hyperideal, hyper \mathcal{J} -class, covered hyperideal, maximal hyperideal, minimal hyperideal, greatest covered hyperideal, greatest hyperideal and hyperbase.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 20N20, 06F05..

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2303.417A

Received: March 06, 2020.

Accepted: September 08, 2020.

[20], Xie generalized the notion of a covered ideal for ordered semigroups. Thereafter, Thawhat Changphas and Pisan Summaprab [4] discussed the structure of an ordered semigroup containing covered ideals. Later on Saber Omidi and Bijan Davvaz [18] discussed the notion of a covered γ - hyperideal in an ordered γ -semihypergroup.

A hyperoperation on a set $S \neq \emptyset$ is a map $\circ : S \times S \to \mathcal{P}^{\star}(S)$, where $\mathcal{P}^{\star}(S)$ denotes the power set of S except $\{\emptyset\}$. Then (S, \circ) is a hypergroupoid. The image of the pair (a, b) in $S \times S$ is denoted by $a \circ b$.

A hypergroupoid (S, \circ) is called a semihypergroup if for all $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in S$

$$(x_1 \circ x_2) \circ x_3 = x_1 \circ (x_2 \circ x_3).$$

It means that $\bigcup_{t \in x_1 \circ x_2} t \circ x_3 = \bigcup_{r \in x_2 \circ x_3} x_1 \circ r.$ For any $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{P}^{\star}(S)$, we denote

$$T_1 \circ T_2 = \bigcup_{t \in T_1, t' \in T_2} t \circ t'.$$

Instead of $\{x_1\} \circ T_1$ and $T_2 \circ \{x_1\}$ we shall write, in whatever follows, $x_1 \circ T_1$ and $T_2 \circ x_1$, respectively. We shall write A^n for $A \circ A \circ A \circ \cdots \circ A$ (*n*-copies of A) in the sequel without further mention.

Definition 1.1. Let \leq be an ordered relation on a set $S \neq \emptyset$. The triplet (S, \circ, \leq) is called an ordered semihypergroup if (S, \circ) is a semihypergroup and (S, \leq) is a partially ordered set such that: for all $t_1, t_2, t \in S$, $t_1 \leq t_2$ implies $t_1 \circ t \leq t_2 \circ t$ and $t \circ t_1 \leq t \circ t_2$. Here $t_1 \circ t \leq t_2 \circ t$ means that for any $w \in t_1 \circ t$ there exists $w' \in t_2 \circ t$ such that $w \leq w'$.

A subset $H \neq \emptyset$ of an ordered semihypergroup S is called a subsemihypergroup of S if $H \circ H \subseteq H$. We note that for every $x, y, z, u, v, w \in S$ such that $x \circ y \leq z \circ w$ and $u \leq v$, we obtain $x \circ y \circ u \leq z \circ w \circ v$.

For $L \subseteq S$, let $(L] = \{t \in S \mid t \leq h \text{ for some } h \in L\}$. Throughout this paper S denotes an ordered semihypergroup until or unless it is mentioned.

Definition 1.2. A subset $W \neq \emptyset$ of S is called a right (resp. left) hyperideal of S if

- (a) $W \circ S \subseteq W$ (resp. $S \circ W \subseteq W$);
- (b) $(W] \subseteq W$.

W becomes a hyperideal if it is both a right hyperideal and a left hyperideal of S. The set of all hyperideals of S shall be denoted, in whatever follows, by I^* .

Definition 1.3. A proper hyperideal W of S is called minimal if W does not contain any hyperideal of S. Equivalently, if for any $U \in I^*$ such that $U \subseteq W$, we have U = W. The proper hyperideal W of S is called maximal if for any $V \in I^*$ such that $W \subset V$, we have V = S. Equivalently, if for any $V \in I^*$ such that $W \subseteq V$, we have V = W. Finally, S is called simple if S has no proper hyperideals. The ordered semihypergroup S is called regular if for any $a_1 \in S$ there exists $t \in S$ such that $a_1 \in (a_1 \circ t \circ a_1]$. Equivalently, $W \subseteq (W \circ S \circ W]$ for every $W \subseteq S$. For an ordered semihypergroup S, the hyperideal J(a) generated by the element a of S is equal to $(a \cup S \circ a \cup a \circ S \cup S \circ a \circ S]$.

In Section 2 of the paper, after defining the notions of Green's relation \mathcal{I} and covered hyperideal, some important properties of Green's relation \mathcal{I} and covered hyperideals of an ordered semihypergroup are obtained as the main results while Section 3 deals with the structural properties of ordered semihypergroups containing covered hyperideals.

2. Basic Properties of Covered Hyperideals

The Green's relation \mathcal{I} on S, an ordered semihypergroup, is defined by, for $t, t' \in S$,

 $t \ \mathfrak{I} t'$ if and only if J(t) = J(t').

For any $t \in S$, T_t is J-hyperclass of t. Let D be the collection of all J-hyperclasses of S. Define an order ' \preccurlyeq ' on D by: for any $t, t' \in S$,

 $T_t \preccurlyeq T'_t$ if and only if $J(t) \subseteq J(t')$.

Then it is easy to verify that (D, \preccurlyeq) is a quasi-ordered set.

The following result easily follows.

Lemma 2.1. Let t be any element of S such that $T(t) \nsubseteq K$ for any principal hyperideal K of S. Then the J-hyperclass T_t is maximal.

Lemma 2.2. Let K be any subset of S. Then K is a maximal J-hyperclass of S if and only if $S \setminus K$ is a maximal hyperideal of S.

Proof. First we consider that K is a maximal J-hyperclass of S. Then $K = T_t$ for some $t \in S$. We now show that $S \setminus T_t$ is a hyperideal of S. For this let $h \in S$ and $t' \in S \setminus T_t$, then $t' \notin T_t \Rightarrow J(t) \neq J(t')$. Let $y \in h \circ t'$. Then either J(y) = J(t) or $J(y) \neq J(t)$. If $J(y) \neq J(t)$ then the proof is obvious. If J(y) = J(t), then we have $y \in h \circ t' \subseteq S \circ J(t') \subseteq J(t')$ and $J(y) = J(t) \neq J(t')$. Since $T_{t'}$ and T_t are disjoint J-hyperclasses of S, we have $y \notin T_t \Rightarrow y \in S \setminus T_t$. Thus $S \circ S \setminus T_t \subseteq S \setminus T_t$. Similarly, we may show that $(S \setminus T_t) \circ S \subseteq S \setminus T_t$. Let $u \in S \setminus T_t$ and $v \in S$ be such that $v \leq u$. So we have $v \in (v] \subseteq (u] \subseteq J(u)$ and thus, $J(v) \subseteq J(u) \Rightarrow T_v \preccurlyeq T_u$. If $v \in T_t$, since T_t is maximal, so T_v is also maximal J-hyperclass of S. Thus we have $T_t = T_u$. So $u \in T_t$, a contradiction. Hence, $v \in S \setminus T_t$ and $S \setminus T_t$ is a hyperideal of S. Now it remains to show the maximality of $S \setminus T_t$. For this take any hyperideal L of S such that $S \setminus T_x \subset L$. Then there exists $w \in L \setminus (S \setminus T_t)$. Thus $w \in T_t$. Now, for any $y \in T_t$, we have

$$J(y) = J(x) = J(w) \subseteq L,$$

and, so, $T_t \subseteq L$. Hence, S = L. This shows that $S \setminus T_t$ is a maximal hyperideal of S.

Conversely suppose that $S \setminus K$ is a maximal hyperideal of S. Take $z \in S \setminus (S \setminus K)$. So $z \in K$. If $t \in T_z$, then $J(t) = J(z) \subseteq K$. Thus $t \in K$. Hence, $T_z \subseteq K$. Since $S \setminus K \subset (S \setminus K) \cup J(z)$ and $S \setminus K$ is a maximal hyperideal of S, we have $(S \setminus K) \cup J(z) = S$. It now follows that for any $t' \in K$, J(t') = J(z). Thus, for $t' \in K$, $t' \in T_z \Rightarrow K \subseteq T_z$. Hence, $K = T_z$. If T_z is not maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass of S, then there exists $e \in S$ such that $T_z \not\supseteq T_e$. This implies that $J(z) \subset J(e)$ and, so, by hypothesis, $J(e) \subseteq S \setminus K$. As $e \notin T_z = K \Rightarrow e \in S \setminus K$. Thus, $z \in S \setminus K$. This is a contradiction as $z \in T_z$. Hence, T_z is a maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass of S.

Definition 2.1. Any proper hyperideal K of an ordered semihypergroup S is called a covered hyperideal of S if $K \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. The set of all covered hyperideals of S shall be denoted, in whatever follows, by $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Example 2.1. Let $S = \{u, v, w, x\}$. Define the hyper operation (\circ) on S by the following table:

0	u	v	w	x
\overline{u}	$\{u\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u\}$
v	$\{u\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u\}$.
w	$\{u\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u\}$
x	$\{u\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u\}$

Define order on S as $\leq = \{(u, u), (v, v), (w, w), (x, x), (v, u), (w, u)\}$. Then (S, \circ, \leq) is an ordered semihypergroup. Now, it may easily be verified that $B = \{u, v, w\}$ is a covered hyperideal of S.

Example 2.2. Let $S = \{u, v, w, x, y\}$. Define the hyper operation (\circ) on S by the following table:

0	u	v	w	x	y
u	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$
v	$\{u,v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, v\}$
w	$\{u,v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{w\}$	$\{w\}$	$\{y\}$:
x	$\{u,v\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{w\}$	$\{x\}$	$\{y\}$
y	$\left\{ u,v\right\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{w\}$	$\{w\}$	$\{y\}$

Define order on S as $\leq = \{(u, u), (v, v), (w, w), (x, x), (y, y), (u, w), (u, x), (u, y), (v, w), (v, x), (v, y), (w, x), (w, y)\}$. Then (S, \circ, \leq) becomes an ordered semihypergroup. One may easily verify that the sets $A_1 = \{u, v\}$ and $A_2 = \{u, v, w, y\}$ are covered hyperideals of S.

Proposition 2.1. Let A_1, A_2 be different proper hyperideals of S such that $A_1 \cup A_2 = S$. Then none of them is covered hyperideal of S.

Proof. On contrary, assume that A_1 is a covered hyperideal of S. Since $A_1 \cup A_2 = S$, we have $S \setminus A_1 \subseteq A_2$ and $S \setminus A_2 \subseteq A_1$. Thus we have

$$A_1 \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A_1) \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ A_2 \circ S] \subseteq A_2.$$

Therefore, $S = A_2$. This is a contradiction. By the similar argument, we may show that if A_2 is a covered hyperideal of S, then $S = A_1$. This is again a contradiction. Hence, the result hold.

The following corollary follows easily from Proposition 2.1.

Corollary 2.1. If an ordered semihypergroup S contains two or more maximal hyperideal, then none of them is a covered hyperideal of S.

Proposition 2.2. Let A_1, A_2 be covered hyperideals of S. Then $A_1 \cup A_2 \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof. Let A_1 and $A_2 \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then $A_1 \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A_1) \circ S]$ and $A_2 \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A_2) \circ S]$. Clearly $A_1 \cup A_2$ is a hyperideal of S. To show that $A_1 \cup A_2 \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$, take any $z \in (A_1 \cup A_2)$. If $z \in A_1$, then $z \in (s_1 \circ t \circ s_2]$ for some $s_1, s_2 \in S$ and $t \in S \setminus A_1$. In case $t \in S \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)$, then $z \in (S \circ (S \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)) \circ S]$. Again, if $t \in (A_1 \cup A_2)$, then $t \in A_2 \subseteq (s'_1 \circ t' \circ s'_2]$ for $s'_1, s'_2 \in S$ and $t' \in S \setminus A_2$. Now $z \in (s_1 \circ t \circ s_2] \subseteq (s_1 \circ (s'_1 \circ t' \circ s'_2] \circ s_2] \subseteq$ $(S \circ S \circ t' \circ S \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t' \circ S]$. If $t' \in A_1$, then $t \in (s'_1 \circ t' \circ s'_2] \subseteq (S \circ A_1 \circ S] \subseteq A_1$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $t' \in S \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)$ and, so, $z \in (S \circ (S \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)) \circ S]$. In a similar way we may show that if $z \in A_2$, then $z \in (S \circ (S \setminus (A_1 \cup A_2)) \circ S]$. Hence, the result follows. □

Proposition 2.3. Let A_1 be any hyperideal of S and $A_2 \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$. Then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Proof. First we prove that $A_1 \cap A_2$ is a non-empty hyperideal of S. For this, let $t \in A_1$ and $t' \in A_2$, then we have $t \circ t' \subseteq A_1 \circ A_2 \subseteq A_1 \circ S \subseteq A_1$. Also, $t \circ t' \subseteq A_1 \circ A_2 \subseteq S \circ A_2 \subseteq A_2$. Thus, $t \circ t' \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq S$. Clearly, $(A_1 \cap A_2) \circ S \subseteq A_1 \circ S \subseteq A_1$ and $(A_1 \cap A_2) \circ S \subseteq A_2 \circ S \subseteq A_2$. Thus $(A_1 \cap A_2) \circ S \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$. In a similar way we may show that $S \circ (A_1 \cap A_2) \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$. Also, as $(A_1 \cap A_2] \subseteq (A_1] = A_1$ and $(A_1 \cap A_2] \subseteq (A_2] = A_2$, we have $(A_1 \cap A_2] \subseteq A_1 \cap A_2$. Now, as $A_1 \cap A_2 \subseteq A_2 \subseteq$ $(S \circ (S \setminus A_2) \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus (A_1 \cap A_2)) \circ S]$, $A_1 \cap A_2$ is a covered hyperideal of S. \Box

Corollary 2.2. If A_1 and $A_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$, then $A_1 \cap A_2 \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Combining Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.2, we have the following.

Theorem 2.1. For an ordered semihypergroup S, $C_{\mathcal{H}}$ is a sublattice of the lattice of all hyperideals of S.

3. Covered Hyperideals in Ordered Semihypergroups

Theorem 3.1. An ordered semihypergroup S contains a covered hyperideal if it is not simple.

Proof. Proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.10 of [18]. \Box

Theorem 3.2. If an ordered semihypergroup S contains covered hyperideals, then every covered hyperideal of S is minimal if and only if any two distinct covered hyperideals of S are disjoint.

Proof. Proof of this theorem is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.9 of [18]. \Box

Corollary 3.1. Let (S, \circ, \leq) be an ordered semihypergroup. If S is not simple, then each covered hyperideal of S is minimal if and only if any two distinct covered hyperideals of S are disjoint.

M. F. ALI AND N. M. KHAN

Theorem 3.3. Let K be any proper hyperideal of a regular ordered semihypergroup S. If for every $J(t) \subseteq K$, there exists $t' \in S \setminus K$ such that $J(t) \subseteq J(t')$, then every proper hyperideal of S is a covered hyperideal of S.

Proof. Clearly $(S \circ S] \subseteq S$. As S is regular, $S \subseteq (S \circ S \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ S] \subseteq S \Rightarrow S = (S \circ S]$. Now suppose that for any hyperideal K of S and $t \in K$ such that $J(t) \subseteq K$, there exists $t' \in S \setminus K$ such that $J(t) \subseteq J(t')$. As $S = (S \circ S]$, we get $S = (S \circ S \circ S]$. Then $t' \leq s_1 \circ s_2 \circ s_3$ for some $s_1, s_2, s_3 \in S$. If $s_2 \in K$, then $t' \in (S \circ K \circ S] \subseteq (K] = K$. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $t' \in S \setminus K$. Also, $t' \in (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S] \Rightarrow J(t') \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. Now $t \in J(t) \subseteq J(t') \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. Hence, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

The following example illustrates Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.1. In Example 2.2, one may easily check that (S, \circ, \leq) is a regular ordered semihypergroup. Consider the subset $K = \{u, v, w, y\}$ of S. Then K is a hyperideal of S such that $J(u) \subseteq K$. For $x \in S \setminus K$, $J(t) \subseteq J(x)$ for all $t \in S$. Then, by the hypothesis of the Theorem 3.3, K becomes covered hyperideal of S.

Proposition 3.1. Let K be any hyperideal of a regular order semihypergroup S. Then any covered hyperideal L of K is also a covered hyperideal of S.

Proof. As being a hyperideal of S, K is also a subsemihypergroup of S. Let $h \in K \subseteq S$. Since S is regular, there exists $t' \in S$ such that $h \leq h \circ t' \circ h \leq h \circ t' \circ (h \circ t' \circ h) = h \circ (t' \circ h \circ t') \circ h$. As K is a hyperideal of S, we have $t' \circ h \circ t' \subseteq S \circ K \circ S \subseteq K$. Therefore, $h \in (h \circ K \circ h]$. Hence, K is a regular subsemihypergroup of S.

Now we show that L is a hyperideal of S. For this, take any $u \in L \subseteq K$ and $s \in S$. Then $u \circ s \subseteq K$. For any $v \in u \circ s \subseteq K$, there exists $h \in K$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v &\leq v \circ h \circ v \subseteq (u \circ s) \circ h \circ (u \circ s) \\ &\subseteq L \circ (S \circ K \circ S) \circ S \\ &\subseteq L \circ K \circ S \\ &\subseteq L \circ K \subseteq L \quad (\text{ as L is a hyperideal of K}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $u \circ s \subseteq L$. By the similar argument we may show that $s \circ u \subseteq L$. Also, if $l \in L \subseteq K$ and $t \in S$ such that $t \leq l \Rightarrow t \in K$. As L is a hyperideal of K, it follows that $t \in L$. Hence L is a hyperideal of S. Again, by hypothesis, we have $L \subseteq (K \circ (K \setminus L) \circ K] \subseteq (S \circ (K \setminus L) \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus L) \circ S]$ (since $\phi \neq K \setminus L \subseteq S \setminus L$). Hence, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

The following example shows that the condition of the Proposition 3.1 on S to be regular ordered semihypergroup is a sufficient condition.

Example 3.2. Let $S = \{v, w, x, t\}$. Define a hyper operation (\circ) on S by the following table:

0	v	w	x	t
v	$\{v\}$	$\{v, w\}$	$\{v, x\}$	$\{v\}$
w	$\{v\}$	$\{v, w\}$	$\{v, x\}$	$\{v\}$.
x	$ \{v\} $	$\{v, w\}$	$\{v, x\}$	$\{v\}$
t	$\{v\}$	$\{v, w\}$	$\{v, x\}$	$\{v\}$

Define an order on S as $\leq = \{(v, v), (w, w), (x, x), (t, t), (w, v), (x, v)\}$. Then (S, \circ, \leq) is an ordered semihypergroup but not a regular ordered semihypergroup. For the subsets $K = \{v, w, x\}, L_1 = \{w\}$ and $L_2 = \{x\}$ of S, one may easily verify that K is a hyperideal of S and each L_i (i = 1, 2) is a covered hyperideal of both K and S.

Definition 3.1. A non-empty subset H_B of S is called a two-sided hyperbase of S if

- (a) $S = (H_B \cup H_B \circ S \cup S \circ H_B \cup S \circ H_B \circ S];$
- (b) If $D \subseteq H_B$ such that $S = (D \cup D \circ S \cup S \circ D \cup S \circ D \circ S]$, then $D = H_B$.

Maximal J-hyperclasses of S may be realized as the complements of maximal hyperideals of S. The complement of a maximal hyperideal H_t of S, in the sequel, will be denoted by H^t .

In the followings, to provide examles of hyperbases of ordered semihypergroups, examples of ordered semihypergroups are taken from [19] and [2], respectively.

Example 3.3. Let $S = \{u, v, w, x, y, z\}$. Define a hyper operation (\circ) on S by the following table:

0	u	v	w	x	y	z
u	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$
v	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$
w	$\left\{x,y\right\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$
x	$\left \left\{ x,y \right\} \right $	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$
y	$ \{x, y\}$	$\{y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$	$\{x, y\}$
z	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$	$\{w\}$	$\{x\}$	$\{y\}$	$\{z\}$
-	× .	\boldsymbol{c}		> /	> (\rightarrow (

Define an order on S as $\leq = \{(u, u), (v, v), (w, w), (x, x), (x, u), (x, w), (x, z), (y, y), (y, u), (y, v), (y, x), (y, z), (z, z)\}$. Then (S, \circ, \leq) is an ordered semihypergroup. Consider the subset $H_B = \{z\}$ of S. Then, clearly, $S \circ H_B = S$ and, hence, $S = (H_B \cup H_B \circ S \cup S \circ H_B \cup S \circ H_B \circ S]$. So H_B is a hyperbase of S.

Example 3.4. Let $S = \{u, v, w, x, t\}$. Define a hyper operation (\circ) on S by the following table:

0	u	v	w	x	t
u	$\{u\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{u\}$
v	$\{u\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{u, x\}$	$\{u\}$
w	$\{u\}$	$\{u,t\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u,t\}$
x	$\{u\}$	$\{u, v\}$	$\{u, x\}$	$\{u, x\}$	$\{u, v\}$
t	$\{u\}$	$\{u,t\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{u, w\}$	$\{u\}$

Define an order on S as $\leq = \{(u, u), (v, v), (w, w), (x, x), (t, t), (u, v), (u, w), (u, x), (u, t)\}$. (S, \circ, \leq) is an ordered semihypergroup may easily be checked. Consider the

subsets $H_B = \{v\}$ and $H'_B = \{x\}$ of S. It is easy to verify that both H_B and H'_B are hyperbases of S.

A covered hyperideal A of an ordered semihypergroup S is called the greatest covered hyperideal of S if it contains every covered hyperideal of S. The greatest covered hyperideal A of S will be denoted by A^g in the sequel.

Theorem 3.4. If S is not hypersimple and contains a two-sided hyperbase H_B of S, then S has the greatest covered hyperideal A^g . Moreover, $A^g = (S^3] \cap \hat{H}$, where $\hat{H} = \bigcap_{t \in \alpha} H_t$, where $\{H_t\}_{t \in \alpha}$ is the family of all maximal hyperideals of S.

Proof. Containment of hyperbase H_B implies the existence of maximal hyperideals in S and $H_t = S \setminus H^t$, where H^t is a maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass. Since $\phi \neq \hat{H} = \bigcap_{t \in \alpha} H_t = \bigcap_{t \in \alpha} S \setminus H^t = S \setminus \bigcup_{t \in \alpha} H^t$. It is easy to verify that \hat{H} and $(S^3]$ are hyperideals of S. Let $K = (S^3] \cap \hat{H}$. We show that $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$. For this, let $h \in K$ be any element. Then $h \in (S^3] \Rightarrow h \in (S \circ t' \circ S]$ for some $t' \in S$. If $t' \in H_B$, then $\exists c \in H_B$ such that $t' \in J(c)$ and, hence, $t' \in (S \circ c \cup c \circ S \cup S \circ c \circ S]$ i.e. t' is at least in one of the subsets: $(S \circ c], (c \circ S], (S \circ c \circ S]$. Then, for all these subsets, we have $(S \circ t' \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ c \circ S]$ and, hence, $h \in (S \circ c \circ S]$ for $c \in H_B$. Thus, for any $h \in K, \exists c \in H_B$ such that $h \in (S \circ c \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ H_B \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus \hat{H}) \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. Therefore $K \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. It now remains to show that K is the greatest covered hyperideal of S. To show this, let L be any covered hyperideal of S. Then $L \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus L) \circ S] \subseteq (S^3]$. Since $L \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$, L can not contain any maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass. So $L \subseteq S \setminus H^t$ for every $t \in \alpha$. Therefore, $L \subseteq \bigcap_{t \in \alpha} S \setminus H^t = \bigcap_{t \in \alpha} H_t = \hat{H}$.

Hence, $L \subseteq (S^3] \cap \hat{H} = K$. Therefore, any covered hyperideal is contained in K, i.e., $K = A^g$.

Lemma 3.1. Let S be any ordered semihypergroup having the greatest covered hyperideal A^g . If $A^g \subseteq (S \circ S \circ S]$, then

- (a) every \mathbb{J} -hyperclass in $(S^3] \setminus A^g$ is maximal;
- (b) $J(t) = (S \circ t \circ S]$ for all $t \in (S^3] \setminus A^g$.

Proof. First we assume that $A^g \subset (S^3]$. Then, we have $(S^3] \setminus A^g \neq \phi$. To show the second part let $t \in (S^3] \setminus A^g$. Since A^g is a hyperideal of S, the \mathcal{I} -hyperclass $T_t \subseteq (S^3] \setminus A^g$. Thus $t \in (S \circ t' \circ S]$ for some $t' \in S$ and $(S \circ t \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t' \circ S]$. Since $(S \circ t' \circ S] \subseteq J(t')$, we have $J(t) \subseteq J(t')$. Now suppose to the contrary that $t' \notin T_t$. So $T_t \neq T'_t$. We claim that $t' \in S \setminus J(t)$. For this, if $t' \in J(t)$, then $J(t) = J(t') \Rightarrow T_t =$ T'_t , which is impossible. Thus we have $J(t) \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus J(t)) \circ S]$ and, so, $J(t) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$. By Proposition 2.2, $A^g \cup J(t) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$. As $t \notin A^g$, we, thus, have $A^g \subset A^g \cup J(t)$. This is a contradiction. Hence, $t' \in T_t$ and $J(t) \subseteq (S \circ t' \circ S] \subseteq J(t') = J(t)$.

Thus, $J(t) = (S \circ t' \circ S] = J(t')$. So, obviously $(S \circ t \circ S] \subseteq J(t)$. Now there are two possibilities: if $t' \leq t$, then $J(t) = (S \circ t' \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t \circ S] \Rightarrow J(t) \subseteq (S \circ t \circ S]$. If

 $t' \leq t$ is not true, then $t' \in (S \circ t \cup t \circ S \cup S \circ t \circ S]$. Now, if $t' \in (S \circ t]$, then we have

$$S \circ t' \circ S \subseteq S \circ (S \circ t] \circ S \subseteq (S \circ (S \circ t] \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ S \circ t \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t \circ S].$$

Similarly, for $t' \in (t \circ S] \cup (S \circ t \circ S]$, we may show that $(S \circ t' \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t \circ S]$. Therefore, $J(t) = J(t') = (S \circ t' \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t \circ S]$.

To prove the reverse part, let T_t be a J-hyperclass in $(S^3] \setminus A^g$. On contrary assume that T_t is not maximal. Then, by Lemma 2.1, $J(t) \subset J(t')$ for some $t' \in S$. So $t \in J(t')$. This implies that $t \in (t'] \cup (S \circ t'] \cup (t' \circ S] \cup (S \circ t' \circ S]$. For such t, we may easily prove that $(S \circ t \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ t' \circ S] \Rightarrow J(t) \subseteq (S \circ t' \circ S]$. Now, as $t' \in S \setminus J(t), J(t)$ is a covered hyperideal of S. Hence $A^g \subset A^g \cup J(t)$, a contradiction. Therefore, every J-hyperclass in $(S^3] \setminus A^g$ is maximal. \Box

Theorem 3.5. Let S be any ordered semihypergroup having the greatest covered hyperideal A^g . If

- (a) $A^g \subset (S \circ S \circ S];$
- (b) neither $T_t \preccurlyeq T_{t'}$ nor $T_{t'} \preccurlyeq T_t$ for any $t, t' \in S \setminus (S^2]$,

then S contains a hyperbase.

Proof. Suppose that $A^g \subset (S^3]$ and $t, t' \in S \setminus (S^2]$ such that they are incomparable. Since A^g is a covered hyperideal of S, we have

$$A^{g} \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A^{g}) \circ S] \subseteq (S^{3}] \subseteq (S^{2}] \subseteq S.$$

Let $C_1 = \{T_t \mid t \in S \setminus (S^2]\}, C_2 = \{T_t \mid t \in (S^2] \setminus (S^3]\}$ and $C_3 = \{T_t \mid t \in (S^3] \setminus A^g\}$. Let K be the set containing all the elements from the members of C_1 and C_3 . Then, it is easy to verify that K is a hyperbase of S. To show that $S = J(K) = (K \cup S \circ K \cup K \circ S \cup S \circ K \circ S]$, we only need to show that $A^g, (S^3] \setminus A^g, (S^2] \setminus (S^3]$, and $S \setminus (S^2]$ are subsets of J(K).

(i) Let $z \in A^g$. Then $z \in (S \circ (S \setminus A^g) \circ S] \Rightarrow z \in (S \circ y \circ S]$ for some $y \in S \setminus A^g$. Clearly $y \in T_t$ for some $t \in (S \setminus (S^2]) \cup ((S^2] \setminus (S^3]) \cup ((S^3] \setminus A^g)$. Now, by the construction of K, if $t \in (S \setminus (S^2]) \cup ((S^3] \setminus A^g)$, then we have $y \in J(K)$. Hence $z \in J(K)$. If $t \in (S^2] \setminus (S^3]$, then $t \leq u_1 \circ u_2$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in S$ Since $t \notin (S^3]$, we have $u_1, u_2 \in S \setminus (S^2]$. It implies that $t \in J(K)$ and, so, $y \in J(K)$. Thus, we have $z \in J(K)$.

(ii) If $z \in (S^3] \setminus A^g$. Then there exists $x_1 \in K$ such that $z \in J(x_1)$. Therefore $z \in J(K)$.

(iii) If $z \in (S^2] \setminus (S^3]$, then one may prove in a similar way as in the Case (i).

(iv) If $z \in S \setminus (S^2]$, then there exists $x_2 \in K$ such that $z \in J(x_2) \subseteq J(K)$.

Now, we show the minimality of K satisfying S = J(K). By Lemma 3.1, every $T_t \in C_3$ is maximal. Also every $T_t \in C_1$ is maximal since for any elements $t, t' \in S \setminus (S^2]$, neither $T_t \preccurlyeq T_{t'}$ nor $T_{t'} \preccurlyeq T_t$. Let $L \subset K$ such that $S = (L \cup S \circ L \cup L \circ S \cup S \circ L \circ S]$ and let $z \in K \setminus L$. Then $z \leq z'$ for some $z' \in (L \cup S \circ L \cup L \circ S \cup S \circ L \circ S] \Rightarrow z' \in J(l)$ for some $l \in L$. Thus, $J(z) \subset J(l)$, a contradiction to the construction of K. Hence, the proof is completed.

A hyperideal A of S is called the greatest hyperideal of S if every proper hyperideal of S is contained in A. The greatest hyperideal A, if exists, will be denoted by A^* in the sequel.

Theorem 3.6. The greatest hyperideal A^* of S is a covered hyperideal of S if and only if $(S^2] = (S^3]$.

Proof. First we assume that $A^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$. So $A^* \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A^*) \circ S]$. Since A^* is a maximal hyperideal of S, it follows that $S \setminus A^* = T_a$ is the unique maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass of S. Then either $(S^2] \subset S$ or $(S^2] = S$. If $(S^2] = S$, then the proof is obvious. If $(S^2] \subset S$, then either $(S^3] = (S^2]$ or $(S^3] \subset (S^2]$.

If $(S^3] \subset (S^2]$, then $A^* \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A^*) \circ S] \subseteq (S^3] \subset (S^2]$. Hence $S \setminus A^*$ would contain at least two different J-hyperclasses, each from $(S^2] \setminus (S^3]$ and $S \setminus (S^2]$. This is a contradiction to the fact that $S \setminus A^*$ contains only one maximal \mathcal{J} -class. Thus $(S^2] = (S^3]$.

Conversely, suppose that S contains A^* and $(S^2] = (S^3]$. Then show that A^* is a covered hyperideal of S. For this, take any $z \in A^*$. Then, for any element $c \in T_a = S \setminus A^*$, we have J(c) = S. Thus $z \in J(c)$. However, $z \in A^*$ and $c \in T_a = S \setminus A^*$, hence $z \neq c$. Therefore, $z \in (c \circ S \cup S \circ c \cup S \circ c \circ S]$. If $z \in (c \circ S]$ or $z \in (S \circ c]$, then, clearly $z \in (S^2]$. If $z \in (S \circ c \circ S]$, then $z \in (S^3]$. But, by hypothesis, $(S^2] = (S^3]$. Therefore, $z \in (S^3]$, i.e., $z \in (S \circ d \circ S]$ for some $d \in S = J(c)$. If d = c, then, clearly $d \in (S \circ c \circ S]$. If $d \neq c$, then $d \in (c \circ S \cup S \circ c \cup S \circ c \circ S]$. Again, if $d \in (c \circ S]$, then, clearly $(S \circ d \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ c \circ S \circ S) \subseteq (S \circ c \circ S]$. The same relation may be shown if $d \in ((S \circ c]) \cup ((S \circ c \circ S])$. Thus, $z \in (S \circ d \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ c \circ S]$ and $c \in T_a = S \setminus A^*$. This shows that, for any $z \in A^*$, we have $z \in (S \circ c \circ S]$ and $c \in T_a = S \setminus A^*$. Hence, $A^* \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A^*) \circ S]$ i.e. $A^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Theorem 3.7. Suppose S has only one maximal hyperideal K. If $K \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$, then $K = A^*$.

Proof. Let L be any proper hyperideal of S. Then it is easy to verify that $L \subseteq K$, otherwise we shall get a contradiction to the Proposition 2.1. Hence, $K = A^*$. \Box

The following example illustrates that the converse of the Theorem 3.7 is not be true in general.

Example 3.5. Let $S = \{u, v, w, x\}$. Define a hyper operation (\circ) on S by the following table:

0	u	v	w	x
u	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$
v	$\{v\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$	$\{u\}$.
w	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$
x	$\{v\}$	$\{u\}$	$\{v\}$	$\{u\}$

Define an order on S as $\leq = \{(u, u), (v, v), (w, w), (x, x), (u, w)\}$. The proof that (S, \circ, \leq) is an ordered semihypergroup is an easy exercise. Consider the subset K =

426

 $\{u, v, w\}$ of S. Then it is easy to verify that K is the only maximal hyperideal of S. As any proper hyperideal of S is contained in K, Thus, $K = A^*$. Now $S \setminus A^* = \{x\}$, $S \circ x \circ S = \{u, v\}$. So, $A^* \not\subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A^*) \circ S]$. Hence, A^* is not a covered hyperideal of S.

Theorem 3.8. If every proper hyperideal of S is a covered hyperideal of S, then either of the followings is true:

- (1) S contains A^* ;
- (2) $S = (S \circ S]$ and for any proper hyperideal K and for every hyperideal J(t) of S such that $J(t) \subseteq K$, there exists $y \in S \setminus K$ such that $J(t) \subset J(y) \subset S$.

Proof. Take any $a, b \in S$. If T_a and T_b are maximal J-hyperclasses of S such that $T_a \neq T_b$, then, by Lemma 2.2, $A_a = S \setminus T_a$ and $A_b = S \setminus T_b$ are maximal proper hyperideals of S. So, by Corollary 2.1, none of them is a covered hyperideal of S. This is a contradiction. Thus S has no different maximal J-hyperclasses. Hence either S contains one maximal J-hyperclass or S does not contain any maximal J-hyperclass. Let the only maximal J-hyperclass T_a be contained in S. Then $A_a = S \setminus T_a$ is a maximal hyperideal of S. By hypothesis, $A_a \in C_{\mathcal{H}}$. Thus, by Theorem 3.7, $A_a = A^*$.

For the second possibility, suppose that S does not contain any maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass. We need to show that $S = (S \circ S]$. For this, suppose that $(S \circ S] \subset S$. Then $\exists c \in S \setminus (S \circ S]$. We claim that the principal hyperideal $J(c) \subsetneq S$. If J(c) = S, then S has a maximal \mathcal{I} -hyperclass which is impossible. Hence $J(c) \subset S$. By hypothesis, $J(c) \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$, i.e., $J(c) \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus J(c)) \circ S]$. Then $c \in (S \circ S \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ S]$. This is a contradiction.

Now let K be any proper hyperideal of S and let the principal hyperideal $J(t) \subseteq K$. By hypothesis, $K \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. So $\exists y \in S \setminus K$ such that $t \in (S \circ y \circ S] \Rightarrow J(t) \subseteq J(y) \subseteq S$. As $y \in S \setminus K$, $J(t) \subset J(y)$. Since S contains no maximal J-hyperclass, we have $J(y) \subset S$, as required.

Theorem 3.9. Let (S, \circ, \leq) be an ordered semihypergroup. If

- (1) S contains the greatest hyperideal A^* such that $A^* \in \mathfrak{C}_{\mathfrak{H}}$ or
- (2) $S = (S^2]$ and for any proper hyperideal K and for every hyperideal J(t) of S such that $J(t) \subseteq K$, there exists $y \in S \setminus K$ such that $J(t) \subseteq J(y)$,

then every proper hyperideal of S is a covered hyperideal of S.

Proof. Let K be any proper hyperideal of S. First, suppose that the condition (1) holds. Then $K \subseteq A^*$ and $S \setminus A^* \subseteq S \setminus K$. Since $A^* \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$, we have

$$K \subseteq A^{\star} \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus A^{\star}) \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S].$$

Therefore, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Secondly we assume that S satisfies the condition (2). Let $h \in K \Rightarrow J(h) \subseteq K$. By the condition (2), we have $J(h) \subset J(y)$ for some $y \in S \setminus K$. Since $S = (S^2] \Rightarrow S = (S^3]$. Thus, $y \in (S \circ b \circ S]$ for some $b \in S$. As $y \in S \setminus K$, we thus have $b \in S \setminus K$.

M. F. ALI AND N. M. KHAN

Therefore, $h \in (S \circ b \circ S] \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$ and, so, $K \subseteq (S \circ (S \setminus K) \circ S]$. Hence, $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$.

Acknowledgements. We express our heart-felt thanks to the anonymous referee for his interest, extremely valuable remarks and constructed suggestions. We further state that we have not received any fund for this research article.

4. Open Problems

- (1) Is it true that the greatest hyperideal A^* of an ordered semihypergroup S is a covered hyperideal of S if and only if $S = (S \circ S]$?
- (2) Suppose an ordered semihypergroup (S, \circ, \leq) contains only one maximal hyperideal K. Does $K \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{H}}$ if $K = A^*$, the greatest hyperideal of S?

5. CONCLUSION

In ordered semigroups and ordered semihypergroups, ideals and hyperideals, play an important role to discuss the nature of the structure of ordered semigroups and ordered semihypergroups. Nowadays the hyperideal theory has been extensively studied by several authors. In ordered semihypergroups different types of hyperideals such as bi-hyperideals, quasi-hyperideals have been studied. These notions had been widely studied by several authors in different algebraic structures (see [1, 2, 12, 19]). In this paper, we have enhanced the understanding of ordered semihypergroups by introducing the concept of a covered hyperideal in an ordered semihypergroup. We have also introduced the notion of a hyperbase in an ordered semihypergroup and proved some vital results.

References

- T. Changphas and B. Davvaz, Properties of hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 33 (2014), 425–432.
- T. Changphas and B. Davvaz, Bi-hyperdeals and quasi-hyperideals in ordered semihypergroups, Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics 35 (2015), 493–508.
- [3] T. Changphas and P Summaprab, On two-sided bases of an ordered semigroup, Quasigroups Related Systems 22 (2014), 59–66.
- [4] T. Changphas and P. Summaprab, Ordered semigroups containing covered ideals, Comm. Algebra 44 (2016), 4104–4113. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2015.1087015
- [5] J. Chvalina, Commutative hypergroups in the sense of Marty and ordered sets, in: Proceedings of the Summer School on General Algebra and Ordered Sets, Olomouc, 1994, 19–30.
- B. Davvaz, P. Corsini and T. Changphas, Relationship between ordered semihypergroups and ordered semigroups by using pseudoorder, European J. Combin. 44(PB) (2015), 208-217. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.ejc.2014.08.006
- [7] I. Fabrici, Semigroups containing covered one-sided ideals, Math. Slovaca **31**(3) (1981), 225–231.
- [8] I. Fabrici and T. Macko, On bases and maximal ideals in semigroups, Math. Slovaca 31(2) (1981), 115–120.
- [9] I. Fabrici, Semigroups containing covered two-sided ideals, Math. Slovaca **34**(4) (1984), 355–363.

428

CHARACTERIZATION OF ORDERED SEMIHYPERGROUPS BY COVERED HYPERIDEALS429

- [10] Z. Gu and X. Tang, Ordered regular equivalence relations on ordered semihypergroups, J. Algebra 450 (2016), 384–397. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2015.11.026
- [11] D. Heidari and B. Davvaz, On ordered hyperstructures, Politehn. Univ. Bucharest Sci. Bull. Ser. A Appl. Math. Phys. 73(2) (2011), 85–96.
- [12] K. Hila, B. Davvaz and K. Naka, On quasi-hyperideals in semihypergroups, Comm. Algebra 39 (2011), 4183–4194. https://doi.org/10.1080/00927872.2010.521932
- [13] N. Kehayopulu, Note on Green's relations in ordered semigroups, Mathematica Japonica 36 (1991), 211–214.
- [14] N. Kehayopulu, On regular, intra-regular ordered semigroups, Pure Math. Appl. (PU.M.A.) 4 (1993), 447–461.
- [15] N. Kehayopulu, Ideals and Green's relations in ordered semigroups, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. (2006), 1–8. https://doi.org/10.1155/IJMMS/2006/61286
- [16] F. Marty, Sur une generalization de la notion de group, 8th Congres Math. Scandinaves, Stockholm, 1934, 45–49.
- [17] S. Omidi and B. Davvaz, A short note on the relation N in ordered semihypergroups, Gazi University Journal of Science 29(3) (2016), 659–662.
- [18] S. Omidi and B. Davvaz, C-γ-hyperideal theory in ordered γ-semihypergroups, J. Math. Fundam. Sci. 49(2) (2017), 181–192.
- [19] J. Tang and X. Xie, An investigation on left hyperideals of ordered semihypergroups, J. Math. Res. App. 37(4) (2017), 419–434.
- [20] X. Xie, On po-semigroups containing no maximal ideals, Southeast Asian Bull. Math. 20(4) (1996), 31–36.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH *Email address*: ali.firoj97@gmail.com

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ALIGARH MUSLIM UNIVERSITY, ALIGARH *Email address*: nm_khan123@yahoo.co.in