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## Abstract. In this paper, we investigate some stability and hyperstability results

 for the following Cauchy-Jensen functional equation$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)=f(x)
$$

in (2, $\alpha$ )-Banach spaces using Brzdȩk and Ciepliński's fixed point approach.

## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, we will denote the set of natural numbers by $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{N}_{0}:=$ $\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$ and the set of real numbers by $\mathbb{R}$. By $\mathbb{N}_{m}, m \in \mathbb{N}$, we will denote the set of all natural numbers greater than or equal to $m$.

Let $\mathbb{R}_{+}=[0, \infty)$ be the set of nonnegative real numbers. We write $B^{A}$ to mean the family of all functions mapping from a nonempty set $A$ into a nonempty set $B$ and we use the notation $E_{0}$ for the set $E \backslash\{0\}$.

The method of the proof of the main result corresponds to some observations in [12] and the main tool in it is a fixed point. The problem of the stability of functional equations was first raised by Ulam [30]. This included the following question concerning the stability of group homomorphisms.

Let $\left(G_{1}, *_{1}\right)$ be a group and let $\left(G_{2}, *_{2}\right)$ be a metric group with a metric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$. Given $\varepsilon>0$, does there exist a $\delta>0$ such that if a mapping $h: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ satisfies the inequality

$$
d\left(h\left(x *_{1} y\right), h(x) *_{2} h(y)\right)<\delta,
$$

[^0]for all $x, y \in G_{1}$, then there exists a homomorphism $H: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$, with $d(h(x), H(x))<\varepsilon$ for all $x \in G_{1}$ ?

If the answer is affirmative, we say that the equation of homomorphism

$$
h\left(x *_{1} y\right)=h(x) *_{2} H(y)
$$

is stable.
Hyers [19] provided the first partial answer to Ulam's question and obtained the result of stability where $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ are Banach spaces.

Aoki [5], Bourgin [7] considered the problem of stability with unbounded Cauchy differences. Later, Rassias [25,26] used a direct method to prove a generalization of Hyers result (cf. Theorem 1.1).

The following theorem is the most classical result concerning the Hyers-Ulam stability of the Cauchy equation

$$
T(x+y)=T(x)+T(y) .
$$

Theorem 1.1. Let $E_{1}$ be a normed space, $E_{2}$ be a Banach space and $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ be a function. If $f$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x+y)-f(x)-f(y)\| \leq \theta\left(\|x\|^{p}+\|y\|^{p}\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\theta \geq 0$, for some $p \in \mathbb{R}$, with $p \neq 1$, and for all $x, y \in E_{1}-\left\{0_{E_{1}}\right\}$, then there exists a unique additive function $T: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-T(x)\| \leq \frac{2 \theta}{\left|2-2^{p}\right|}\|x\|^{p} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $x \in E_{1}-\left\{0_{E_{1}}\right\}$.
It is due to Aoki [5] (for $0<p<1$, see also [24]), Gajda [17] (for $p>1$ ) and Rassias [26] (for $p<0$, see also [27, page 326] and [7]). Also, Brzdȩk [8] showed that estimation (1.2) is optimal for $p \geq 0$ in the general case. Recently, Brzdȩk [10] showed that Theorem 1.1 can be significantly improved. Namely, in the case $p<0$, each $f: E_{1} \rightarrow E_{2}$ satisfying (1.1) must actually be additive, and the assumption of completeness of $E_{2}$ is not necessary.

Regrettably, if we restrict the domain of $f$, this result will not remain valid (see the further detail in [14]). Nowadays, a lot of papers concerning the stability and the hyperstability of the functional equation in various spaces have been appeared (see in $[1,2,4,9,11,22,28,29]$ and references therein).

Let us recall first (see, for instance, [16]) some definitions.
We need to recall some basic facts concerning 2-normed spaces and some preliminary results.

Definition 1.1. By a linear 2-normed space, we mean a pair $(X,\|\cdot, \cdot\|)$ such that $X$ is at least a two-dimensional real linear space and

$$
\|\cdot, \cdot\|: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}
$$

is a function satisfying the following conditions:
(a) $\|x, y\|=0$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent;
(b) $\|x, y\|=\|y, x\|$ for $x, y \in X$;
(c) $\|x, y+z\| \leq\|x, y\|+\|x, z\|$ for $x, y, z \in X$;
(d) $\|\lambda x, y\|=|\lambda|\|x, y\|, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $x, y \in X$.

A generalized version of a linear 2-normed spaces is the (2, $\alpha$ )-normed space defined in the following manner.

Definition 1.2. Let $\alpha$ be a fixed real number with $0<\alpha \leq 1$, and let $X$ be a linear space over $K$ with $\operatorname{dim} X>1$. A function

$$
\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}: X \cdot X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is called a $(2, \alpha)$-norm on $X$ if and only if it satisfies the following conditions:
(a) $\|x, y\|_{\alpha}=0$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ are linearly dependent;
(b) $\|x, y\|_{\alpha}=\|y, x\|_{\alpha}$ for $x, y \in X$;
(c) $\|x, y+z\|_{\alpha} \leq\|x, y\|_{\alpha}+\|x, z\|_{\alpha}$ for $x, y, z \in X$;
(d) $\|\beta x, y\|_{\alpha}=|\beta|^{\alpha}\|x, y\|_{\alpha}$ for $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in X$.

The pair $\left(X,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ is called a $(2, \alpha)$-normed space.
Example 1.1. For $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in E=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the Euclidean $(2, \alpha)$-norm $\|x, y\|_{\alpha}$ is defined by

$$
\|x, y\|_{\alpha}=\left|x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right|^{\alpha},
$$

where $\alpha$ is a fixed real number with $0<\alpha \leq 1$.
Definition 1.3. A sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ in a $(2, \alpha)$-normed space $X$ is called a convergent sequence if there is an $x \in X$ such that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{k}-x, y\right\|_{\alpha}=0
$$

for all $y \in X$. If $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ converges to $x$, write $x_{k} \rightarrow x$, with $k \rightarrow \infty$ and call $x$ the limit of $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$. In this case, we also write $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{k}=x$.

Definition 1.4. A sequence $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ in a $(2, \alpha)$-normed space $X$ is said to be a Cauchy sequence with respect to the $(2, \alpha)$-norm if

$$
\lim _{k, l \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{k}-x_{l}, y\right\|_{\alpha}=0
$$

for all $y \in X$. If every Cauchy sequence in $X$ converges to some $x \in X$, then $X$ is said to be complete with respect to the $(2, \alpha)$-norm. Any complete $(2, \alpha)$-normed space is said to be a $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space.

Next, it is easily seen that we have the following property.
Lemma 1.1. If $X$ is a linear (2, $\alpha$ )-normed space, $x, y_{1}, y_{2} \in X, y_{1}, y_{2}$ are linearly independent, and $\left\|x, y_{1}\right\|_{\alpha}=\left\|x, y_{2}\right\|_{\alpha}=0$, then $x=0$.

Let us yet recall a lemma from [23].

Lemma 1.2. If $X$ is a linear $(2, \alpha)$-normed space and $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a convergent sequence of elements of $X$, then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|x_{n}, y\right\|_{\alpha}=\left\|\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}, y\right\|_{\alpha}=0, \quad y \in X
$$

Let $E, Y$ be normed spaces. A function $f: E \rightarrow Y$ is Cauchy-Jensen provided it satisfies the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)=f(x) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can say that $f: E \rightarrow Y$ is Cauchy-Jensen on $E_{0}$ if it satisfies (1.3) for all $x, y \in E_{0}$ such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0$. Recently, interesting results concerning the Cauchy-Jensen functional equation (1.3) have been obtained in [3, 6, 18, 20, 21].

In 2018, Brzdȩk and Ciepliński [12] proved a new fixed point theorem in 2-Banach spaces and showed its applications to the Ulam stability of some single-variable equations and the most important functional equation in several variables. And they extended the fixed point result to the $n$-normed spaces in [13].

The main purpose of this paper is to establish the stability result concerning the functional equation (1.3) in (2, $\alpha$ )-Banach spaces using fixed point theorem which was prove by Brzdȩk and Ciepliński [12]. Before approaching our main results, we present the fixed point theorem concerning (2, $\alpha$ )-Banach spaces which is given in [15]. To present it, we use the following three hypotheses.
(H1) $E$ is a nonempty set, $\left(Y,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ is a $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space, $Y_{0}$ is a subset of $Y$ containing two linearly independent vectors, $j \in \mathbb{N}, f_{i}: E \rightarrow E, g_{i}: Y_{0} \rightarrow Y_{0}$, and $L_{i}: E \times Y_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$for $i=1, \ldots, j$.
(H2) $\mathcal{T}: Y^{E} \rightarrow Y^{E}$ is an operator satisfying the inequality

$$
\|\mathcal{T} \xi(x)-\mathcal{T} \mu(x), y\|_{\alpha} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{j} L_{i}(x, y)\left\|\xi\left(f_{i}(x)\right)-\mu\left(f_{i}(x)\right), g_{i}(y)\right\|_{\alpha},
$$

for all $\xi, \mu \in Y^{E}, x \in E, y \in Y_{0}$.
(H3) $\Lambda: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E \times Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E \times Y_{0}}$ is an operator defined by

$$
\Lambda \delta(x, y):=\sum_{i=1}^{j} L_{i}(x, y) \delta\left(f_{i}(x), g_{i}(y)\right), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E \times Y_{0}}, x \in E, y \in Y_{0}
$$

Theorem 1.2 ([15]). Let hypotheses (H1)-(H3) hold and functions $\varepsilon: E \times Y_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$ and $\varphi: E \rightarrow Y$ fulfill the following two conditions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\mathcal{T} \varphi(x)-\varphi(x), y\|_{\alpha} & \leq \varepsilon(x, y), \quad x \in E, y \in Y_{0}, \\
\varepsilon^{*}(x, y) & :=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda^{n} \varepsilon\right)(x, y)<\infty, \quad x \in E, y \in Y_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then there exists a unique fixed point $\psi$ of $\mathcal{T}$ for which

$$
\|\varphi(x)-\psi(x), y\|_{\alpha} \leq \varepsilon^{*}(x, y), \quad x \in E, y \in Y_{0} .
$$

Moreover,

$$
\psi(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{T}^{n} \varphi\right)(x), \quad x \in E
$$

## 2. Main Results

In this section, we prove some stability results for the Cauchy-Jensen equation (1.3) in (2, $\alpha$ )-Banach spaces by using Theorem 1.2. In what follows $\left(Y,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ is a real $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space.

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a normed space, $\left(Y,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ be a real $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space, $\alpha$ be a fixed real number, with $0<\alpha \leq 1, Y_{0}$ be a subset of $Y$ containing two linearly independent vectors and $h_{1}, h_{2}: E_{0} \times Y_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be two functions such that

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: b_{n}:=\lambda_{1}(2+n) \lambda_{2}(2+n)+\lambda_{1}(1+n) \lambda_{2}(1+n)<1\right\} \neq \emptyset,
$$

where

$$
\lambda_{i}(n):=\inf \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: h_{i}(n x, z) \leq t h_{i}(x, z), x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}\right\}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, where $i=1,2$. Assume that $f: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)-f(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(y, z), \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$ such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0$, then there exists a unique Cauchy-Jensen function $F: E \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq \lambda_{0} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z), \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}:=\inf _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{1}(2+n) \lambda_{2}(n)}{1-b_{n}}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Replacing $x$ by $(2+m) x$ and $y$ by $m x$, where $x \in E_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, in inequality (2.1), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f((2+m) x)-f((1+m) x)-f(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq h_{1}((2+m) x, z) h_{2}(m x, z), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the operator $\mathcal{T}_{m}: Y^{E_{0}} \rightarrow Y^{E_{0}}$ by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{m} \xi(x):=\xi((2+m) x)-\xi((1+m) x), \quad \xi \in Y^{E_{0}}, x \in E_{0}
$$

Further put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{m}(x, z):=h_{1}((2+m) x, z) h_{2}(m x, z), \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{m}(x, z)=h_{1}((2+m) x, z) h_{2}(m x, z) \leq \lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the inequality (2.3) takes the form

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{m} f(x)-f(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_{m}(x, z), \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}
$$

Furthermore, for every $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y^{E_{0}}, \xi, \mu \in Y^{E_{0}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{m} \xi(x)-\mathcal{T}_{m} \mu(x), z\right\|_{\alpha}= & \| \xi((2+m) x)-\xi((1+m) x) \\
& -\mu((2+m) x)+\mu((1+m) x), z \|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & \|(\xi-\mu)((2+m) x), z\|_{\alpha}+\|(\xi-\mu)((1+m) x), z\|_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This brings us to define the operator $\Lambda_{m}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E_{0} \times Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E_{0} \times Y_{0}}$ by

$$
\Lambda_{m} \delta(x, z):=\delta((2+m) x, z)+\delta((1+m) x, z), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E_{0} \times Y_{0}}, x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}
$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the above operator has the form described in (H2) with $f_{1}(x)=$ $(2+m) x, f_{2}(x)=(1+m) x, g_{1}(z)=g_{2}(z)=z$ and $L_{1}(x)=L_{2}(x)=1$ for all $x \in E_{0}$. By mathematical induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Lambda_{m}^{n} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z) \leq \lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) b_{m}^{n} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}$ and $z \in Y_{0}$, where

$$
b_{m}=\lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(2+m)+\lambda_{1}(1+m) \lambda_{2}(1+m)
$$

From (2.4) and (2.5), we obtain that the inequality (2.6) holds for $n=0$. Next, we will assume that (2.6) holds for $n=k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Lambda_{m}^{k+1} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z)= & \Lambda_{m}\left(\left(\Lambda_{m}^{k} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z)\right) \\
= & \left(\Lambda_{m}^{k} \varepsilon_{m}\right)((2+m) x, z)+\left(\Lambda_{m}^{k} \varepsilon_{m}\right)((1+m) x, z) \\
\leq & \lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) b_{m}^{k} h_{1}((2+m) x, z) h_{2}((2+m) x, z) \\
& +\lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) b_{m}^{k} h_{1}((1+m) x, z) h_{2}((1+m) x, z) \\
= & \lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) b_{m}^{k+1} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U}$. This shows that (2.6) holds for $n=k+1$. Now we can conclude that the inequality (2.6) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{m}^{*}(x, z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda_{m}^{n} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z) \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) b_{m}^{n} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \\
& =\frac{\lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m)}{1-b_{m}} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, according to Theorem 1.2 with $\varphi=f$, we get that the limit

$$
F_{m}(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)(x)
$$

exists for each $x \in E_{0}$ and $m \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f(x)-F_{m}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z)}{1-b_{m}}, \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove that $F_{m}$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3), just prove the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq b_{m}^{n} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(y, z) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $x, y \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, \frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $m \in \mathcal{U}$. Since the case $n=0$ is just (2.1), take $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that (2.8) holds for $n=k$. Then, for each $x, y \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$ and $m \in \mathcal{U}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k+1} f\right)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k+1} f\right)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)-\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k+1} f\right)(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \\
= & \| \mathscr{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((2+m)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right)-\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((1+m)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\mathfrak{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((2+m)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\right)-\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((1+m)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k} f((2+m) x)+\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k} f((1+m) x), z \|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & \| \mathfrak{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((2+m)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right)+\mathfrak{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((2+m)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\right) \\
& -\mathfrak{T}_{m}^{k} f((2+m) x), z\left\|_{\alpha}+\right\| \mathfrak{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((1+m)\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right) \\
& +\mathcal{T}_{m}^{k} f\left((1+m)\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)\right)-\mathfrak{T}_{m}^{k} f((1+m) x), z \|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & b_{m}^{k} h_{1}((2+m) x, z) h_{2}((2+m) y, z)+b_{m}^{k} h_{1}((1+m) x, z) h_{2}((1+m) y, z) \\
= & b_{m}^{k+1} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(y, z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, by using the mathematical induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have shown that (2.8) holds for all $x, y \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and $m \in \mathcal{U}$. Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.8), we obtain the equality

$$
F_{m}\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+F_{m}\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)=F_{m}(x),
$$

for all $x, y \in E_{0}$, such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0, m \in \mathcal{U}$. This implies that $F_{m}: E \rightarrow Y$, defined in this way, is a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=F((2+m) x)-F((1+m) x), \quad x \in E_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we will prove that each Cauchy-Jensen function $F: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfying the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(x)-F(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq L h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z), \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with some $L>0$, is equal to $F_{m}$ for each $m \in \mathcal{U}$. To this end, we fix $m_{0} \in \mathcal{U}$ and $F: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.10). From (2.7), for each $x \in E$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F(x)-F_{m_{0}}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} & \leq\|F(x)-f(x), z\|_{\alpha}+\left\|f(x)-F_{m_{0}}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& \leq L h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z)+\varepsilon_{m_{0}}^{*}(x, z) \\
& \leq L_{0} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{0}:=\left(1-b_{m_{0}}\right) L+\lambda_{1}\left(m_{0}\right) \lambda_{2}\left(m_{0}\right)>0$ and we exclude the case that $h_{1}(x, z) \equiv 0$ or $h_{2}(x, z) \equiv 0$, which is trivial. Observe that $F$ and $F_{m_{0}}$ are solutions to equation (2.9) for all $m \in \mathcal{U}$. Next, we show that, for each $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|F(x)-F_{m_{0}}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq L_{0} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n}, \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $j=0$ is exactly (2.11). We fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and assume that (2.12) holds for $j=k$. Then, in view of (2.11), for each $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|F(x)-F_{m_{0}}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha}= & \| F\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x\right)-F\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x\right) \\
& -F_{m_{0}}\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x\right)+F_{m_{0}}\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x\right), z \|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & \left\|F\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x\right)-F_{m_{0}}\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x\right), z\right\|_{\alpha} \\
& +\left\|F\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x\right)-F_{m_{0}}\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x\right), z\right\|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & L_{0} h_{1}\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x, z\right) h_{2}\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x, z\right) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n} \\
& +L_{0} h_{1}\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x, z\right) h_{2}\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x, z\right) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n} \\
= & L_{0}\left(h_{1}\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x, z\right) h_{2}\left(\left(2+m_{0}\right) x, z\right)\right. \\
& \left.+h_{1}\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x, z\right) h_{2}\left(\left(1+m_{0}\right) x, z\right)\right) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n} \\
\leq & L_{0} b_{m_{0}} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n} \\
= & L_{0} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z) \sum_{n=k+1}^{\infty} b_{m_{0}}^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that (2.12) holds for $j=k+1$. Now we can conclude that the inequality (2.12) holds for all $j \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Now, letting $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.12), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=F_{m_{0}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have also proved that $F_{m}=F_{m_{0}}$ for each $m \in \mathcal{U}$, which (in view of (2.7)) yields

$$
\left\|f(x)-F_{m_{0}}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{\lambda_{1}(2+m) \lambda_{2}(m) h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z)}{1-b_{m}}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U}$. This implies (2.2) with $F=F_{m_{0}}$ and (2.13) confirms the uniqueness of $F$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $E$ be a normed space, $\left(Y,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ be a real $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space, $\alpha$ be a fixed real number with $0<\alpha \leq 1, Y_{0}$ be a subset of $Y$ containing two linearly independent vectors and $h: E_{0} \times Y_{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a functions such that

$$
\mathcal{U}:=\left\{n \in \mathbb{N}: \beta_{n}:=\lambda(2+n)+\lambda(1+n)<1\right\} \neq \emptyset,
$$

where

$$
\lambda(n):=\inf \left\{t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}: h(n x, z) \leq t h(x, z), x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}\right\}
$$

for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume that $f: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)-f(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq h(x, z)+h(y, z) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x, y \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$ such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0$. Then there exists a unique Cauchy-Jensen function $F: E \rightarrow Y$ such that

$$
\|f(x)-F(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq \lambda_{0} h(x, z)
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}:=\inf _{n \in \mathcal{U}}\left\{\frac{\lambda(2+n)+\lambda(n)}{1-\lambda(2+n)-\lambda(1+n)}\right\} .
$$

Proof. Replacing $x$ with $(2+m) x$ and $y$ with $m x$, where $x \in E_{0}$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, in inequality (2.14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f((2+m) x)-f((1+m) x)-f(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq h((2+m) x, z)+h(m x, z), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$. For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the operator $\mathcal{T}_{m}: Y^{E_{0}} \rightarrow Y^{E_{0}}$ by

$$
\mathcal{T}_{m} \xi(x):=\xi((2+m) x)-\xi((1+m) x), \quad \xi \in Y^{E_{0}}, x \in E_{0}
$$

Further put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon_{m}(x, z):=h((2+m) x, z)+h(m x, z), \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and observe that
(2.17) $\varepsilon_{m}(x, z)=(h((2+m) x, z)+h(m x, z)) \leq(\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) h(x, z), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then the inequality (2.15) takes the form

$$
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{m} f(x)-f(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \varepsilon_{m}(x, z), \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}
$$

Furthermore, for every $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, \xi, \mu \in Y^{E_{0}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{T}_{m} \xi(x)-\mathcal{T}_{m} \mu(x), z\right\|_{\alpha}= & \| \xi((2+m) x)-\xi((1+m) x) \\
& -\mu((2+m) x)+\mu((1+m) x), z \|_{\alpha} \\
\leq & \|(\xi-\mu)((2+m) x), z\|_{\alpha}+\|(\xi-\mu)((1+m) x), z\|_{\alpha}
\end{aligned}
$$

This brings us to define the operator $\Lambda_{m}: \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E_{0} \times Y_{0}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E_{0} \times Y_{0}}$ by

$$
\Lambda_{m} \delta(x, z):=\delta((2+m) x, z)+\delta((1+m) x, z), \quad \delta \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{E_{0} \times Y_{0}}, x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}
$$

For each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ the above operator has the form described in (H2) with $f_{1}(x)=$ $(2+m) x, f_{2}(x)=(1+m) x, g_{1}(z)=g_{2}(z)=z$ and $L_{1}(x)=L_{2}(x)=1$ for all $x \in X$. By mathematical induction on $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Lambda_{m}^{n} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z) \leq(\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) \beta_{m}^{n} h(x, z) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}$ and $z \in Y_{0}$, where

$$
\beta_{m}:=\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(1+m) .
$$

From (2.16) and (2.17), we obtain that the inequality (2.18) holds for $n=0$. Next, we will assume that (2.18) holds for $n=k$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\Lambda_{m}^{k+1} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z)= & \Lambda_{m}\left(\left(\Lambda_{m}^{k} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z)\right) \\
= & \left(\Lambda_{m}^{k} \varepsilon_{m}\right)((2+m) x, z)+\left(\Lambda_{m}^{k} \varepsilon_{m}\right)((1+m) x, z) \\
\leq & \left((\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) \beta_{m}^{k} h((2+m) x, z)\right. \\
& \left.+(\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) \beta_{m}^{k} h((1+m) x, z)\right) \\
= & (\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) \beta_{m}^{k+1} h(x, z),
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U}$. This shows that (2.18) holds for $n=k+1$. Now we can conclude that the inequality (2.18) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Hence, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{m}^{*}(x, z) & =\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(\Lambda_{m}^{n} \varepsilon_{m}\right)(x, z) \\
& \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) \beta_{m}^{n} h(x, z) \\
& =\frac{(\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) h(x, z)}{\left(1-\beta_{m}\right)}<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U}$. Therefore, according to Theorem 1.2 with $\varphi=f$, we get that the limit

$$
F_{m}(x):=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)(x)
$$

exists for each $x \in E_{0}$ and $m \in \mathcal{U}$, and

$$
\left\|f(x)-F_{m}(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \frac{(\lambda(2+m)+\lambda(m)) h(x, z)}{\left(1-\beta_{m}\right)}, \quad x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, m \in \mathcal{U}
$$

By a similar method in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we show that

$$
\left\|\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)(x+y)+\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)(x-y)-\left(\mathcal{T}_{m}^{n} f\right)(x), z\right\|_{\alpha} \leq \beta_{m}^{n}(h(x, z)+h(y, z)),
$$

for every $x, y \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $m \in \mathcal{U}$. Also, the remaining reasonings are analogous as in the proof of that theorem.

## 3. Applications

According to above theorems, we can obtain the following corollaries for the hyperstability results of the Cauchy-Jensen equation (1.3) in (2, $\alpha$ )-Banach spaces.

Corollary 3.1. Let $E$ be a normed space, $\left(Y,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ be a real $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space, $\alpha$ be a fixed real number with $0<\alpha \leq 1, Y_{0}$ be a subset of $Y$ containing two linearly independent vectors and $h_{1}, h_{2}$, and $\mathcal{U}$ be as in Theorem 2.1. Assume that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(2+n) \lambda_{2}(n)=0,  \tag{3.1}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(2+n) \lambda_{2}(2+n)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(1+n) \lambda_{2}(1+n)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then every function $f: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.1) is a solution of (1.3) on $E_{0}$.
Proof. Suppose that $f: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.1). Then, by Theorem 2.1, there exists a function $F: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.3) and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq \lambda_{0} h_{1}(x, z) h_{2}(x, z)
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}:=\inf _{n \in \mathfrak{U}}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{1}(2+n) \lambda_{2}(n)}{1-b_{n}}\right\} .
$$

By (3.1), $\lambda_{0}=0$. This means that $f(x)=F(x)$ for all $x \in E_{0}$, whence

$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)=f(x),
$$

for all $x, y \in E_{0}$ such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0$, which implies that $f$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3) on $E_{0}$.

Corollary 3.2. Let $E$ be a normed space, $\left(Y,\|\cdot, \cdot\|_{\alpha}\right)$ be a real $(2, \alpha)$-Banach space, $\alpha$ be a fixed real number with $0<\alpha \leq 1, Y_{0}$ be a subset of $Y$ containing two linearly independent vectors and $h_{1}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ be as in Theorem 2.2. Assume that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{1}(2+n)+\lambda_{2}(n)\right)=0,  \tag{3.2}\\
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\lambda_{1}(2+n)+\lambda_{2}(1+n)\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then every function $f: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (2.14) is a solution of (1.3) on $E_{0}$.

Proof. Suppose that $f: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfies (2.14). Then, by Theorem 2.2, there exists a function $F: E \rightarrow Y$ satisfying (1.3) and

$$
\|f(x)-F(x), z\|_{\alpha} \leq \lambda_{0} h(x, z)
$$

for all $x \in E_{0}, z \in Y_{0}$, where

$$
\lambda_{0}:=\inf _{n \in \mathfrak{u}}\left\{\frac{\lambda_{1}(2+n)+\lambda_{2}(n)}{1-\beta_{n}}\right\} .
$$

By (3.2), $\lambda_{0}=0$. This means that $f(x)=F(x)$ for all $x \in E_{0}$, whence

$$
f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+f\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right)=f(x)
$$

for all $x, y \in E_{0}$ such that $\frac{x+y}{2} \neq 0$ and $\frac{x-y}{2} \neq 0$, which implies that $f$ satisfies the functional equation (1.3) on $E_{0}$.
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