KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS VOLUME 46(1) (2022), PAGES 115–124.

DIFFERENTIAL SUBORDINATION RESULTS FOR HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS RELATED TO GENERALIZED DIFFERENTIAL OPERATOR

ABBAS KAREEM WANAS¹

ABSTRACT. In the present investigation, we use the principle of subordination to introduce a new family for holomorphic functions defined by generalized differential operator. Also we establish some interesting geometric properties for functions belonging to this family.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let \mathcal{A}_m stands for the family of functions f of the form:

(1.1)
$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, z \in U),$$

which are holomorphic in the open unit disk $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$.

For two functions f and g holomorphic in U, we say that the function f is subordinate to g, written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)(z \in U)$, if there exists a Schwarz function w holomorphic in U with w(0) = 0 and |w(z)| < 1, $z \in U$, such that f(z) = g(w(z)), $z \in U$. In particular, if the function g is univalent in U, then $f \prec g$ if and only if f(0) = g(0) and $f(U) \subset g(U)$ (see [6]).

If $f \in \mathcal{A}_m$ is given by (1.1) and $g \in \mathcal{A}_m$ given by

$$g(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \quad (m \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}, z \in U),$$

Key words and phrases. Holomorphic functions, Differential subordination, Convex univalent, Hadamard product, Generalized differential operator.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30C45. Secondary: 30A20.

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2201.115W

Received: March 29, 2019.

Accepted: September 06, 2019.

then the Hadamard product (or convolution) f * g of f and g is defined by

$$(f * g)(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n = (g * f)(z).$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_m$ is said to be starlike of order ρ in U if

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}\right\} > \rho \quad (0 \le \rho < 1, z \in U).$$

Indicate the class of all starlike functions of order ρ in U by $S^*(\rho)$.

A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_m$ is said to be prestarlike of order ρ in U if

$$\frac{z}{(1-z)^{2(1-\rho)}} * f(z) \in S^*(\rho) \quad (\rho < 1).$$

Indicate the class of all prestarlike functions of order ρ in U by $\operatorname{Re}(\rho)$.

Clearly a function $f \in \mathcal{A}_m$ is in the class $\operatorname{Re}(0)$ if and only if f is convex univalent in U and $\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{2}) = S^*(\frac{1}{2})$.

For $\sigma \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\alpha, \delta \ge 0, \tau, \lambda, \beta > 0$ and $\alpha \ne \lambda$, we consider the generalized differential operator $A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) : \mathcal{A}_m \to \mathcal{A}_m$, introduced by Amourah and Darus [2], where

(1.2)
$$A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-1)\left((\lambda-\alpha)\beta + n\delta\right)}{\tau+\lambda}\right]^{\sigma} a_n z^n.$$

It is readily verified from (1.2) that

(1.3)
$$z \left(A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) \right)' = \frac{\tau+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) + \left(1 - \frac{\tau+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z) \,.$$

Here, we would point out some of the special cases of the operator defined by (1.2) can be found in [1,4,5,11].

Let H be the class of functions h with h(0) = 1, which are holomorphic and convex univalent in U.

Definition 1.1. A function $f \in \mathcal{A}_m$ is said to be in the class $\mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ if it satisfies the subordination condition: (1.4)

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) \right] \prec h(z),$$

where $\eta \in C$, $\sigma \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\alpha, \delta \ge 0, \tau, \lambda, \beta > 0, \alpha \ne \lambda$ and $h \in H$.

Now, we need the following lemmas that will be used to prove our main results.

Lemma 1.1 ([8]). Let g be holomorphic in U and let h be holomorphic and convex univalent in U with h(0) = g(0). If

(1.5)
$$g(z) + \frac{1}{\mu} z g'(z) \prec h(z),$$

where $\operatorname{Re}(\mu) \geq 0$ and $\mu \neq 0$, then

$$g(z) \prec \check{h}(z) = \mu z^{-\mu} \int_0^z t^{\mu-1} h(t) dt \prec h(z)$$

and \check{h} is the best dominant of (1.5).

Lemma 1.2 ([10]). Let $\rho < 1$, $f \in S^*(\rho)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Re}(\rho)$. Then, for any holomorphic function F in U

$$\frac{g*(fF)}{g*f}(U) \subset \bar{co}\left(F(U)\right),$$

where $\bar{co}(F(U))$ denotes the closed convex hull of F(U).

Such type of study was carried out by various authors for another classes, like, Liu [7], Prajapat and Raina [9], Atshan and Wanas [3], Wanas [12] and Wanas and Majeed [13].

2. Main Results

Theorem 2.1. Let $0 \le \eta < \varepsilon$. Then

$$\mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h) \subset \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h).$$

Proof. Let $0 \leq \eta < \varepsilon$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$. Assume that

$$(2.1) \quad g(z) = \frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} = 1 + \sum_{n=m+1}^{\infty} \left[1 + \frac{(n-1)\left((\lambda-\alpha)\beta + n\delta\right)}{\tau+\lambda}\right]^{\sigma} a_n z^{n-1}.$$

It is obvious that the function g is holomorphic in U and g(0) = 1. Since $f \in \mathcal{M}(\varepsilon, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$, then we deduce that (2.2)

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) + \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) \right] \prec h(z).$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.1) with respect to z and using (1.3) and (2.2), we find that

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) + \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) \right] \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \varepsilon \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) + \varepsilon \left(1 - \frac{\tau + \lambda}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) + \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) \right]$$

$$= (1 - \varepsilon) \frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} + \varepsilon \left(A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)\right)'$$
$$= \frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} + \varepsilon z \left(\frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z}\right)'$$
$$= g(z) + \varepsilon z g'(z) \prec h(z).$$

An application of Lemma 1.1 with $\mu = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}$, yields

$$(2.3) g(z) \prec h(z).$$

Evidently, $0 \leq \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} < 1$ and that h is convex univalent in U, it follows from (2.1), (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\begin{split} & \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) \right] \\ & = \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) + \frac{\varepsilon \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) \right] \\ & + \left(1 - \frac{\eta}{\varepsilon} \right) g(z) \prec h(z). \end{split}$$

Hence, $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ and the proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed. \Box

Theorem 2.2. Let Re $\left\{\frac{\tau+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\delta}\right\} \ge 0$ and $\frac{\tau+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\beta+n\delta} \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma+1, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h) \subset \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h).$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma + 1, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ and suppose that (2.4) $g(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta(\tau + \lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) + \frac{\eta(\tau + \lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right].$

By taking the derivatives in the both sides of (2.4) with respect to
$$z$$
 and using (1.3), we conclude that

$$(2.5) \qquad g(z) + zg'(z) \\= \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) \left(1 - \frac{\tau + \lambda}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right. \\\left. + \left(1 + \eta \left(1 - \frac{2\left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) \right) \frac{\tau + \lambda}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right. \\\left. + \eta \left(\frac{\tau + \lambda}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right)^2 A^{\sigma+2}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right].$$

In the light of (2.4) and (2.5), we deduce that

$$\frac{\tau + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta}g(z) + zg'(z)$$

118

$$= \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) \left(\frac{\tau + \lambda}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) + \eta \left(\frac{\tau + \lambda}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right)^2 A^{\sigma+2}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right],$$

that is

$$(2.6) \qquad g(z) + \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta}{\tau + \lambda} zg'(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta(\tau + \lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) + \frac{\eta(\tau + \lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+2}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right].$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma + 1, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$, then it follows from (2.6) that

$$g(z) + \frac{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta}{\tau + \lambda} zg'(z) \prec h(z),$$

where

$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{\tau+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\,\beta+n\delta}\right\} \ge 0, \quad \frac{\tau+\lambda}{(\lambda-\alpha)\,\beta+n\delta} \neq 0.$$

An application of Lemma 1.1, with $\mu = \frac{\tau + \lambda}{(\lambda - \alpha)\beta + n\delta}$, yields $g(z) \prec h(z)$. In view of (2.4), we have

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z\right) \right] \prec h(z).$$

This shows that $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ and the proof of Theorem 2.2 is completed. \Box

Theorem 2.3. Let $\eta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; \gamma h + 1 - \gamma)$. If $\gamma \leq \gamma_0$, where

,

(2.7)
$$\gamma_0 = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\eta} - 1}}{1 + u} du \right)^{-1}$$

then $f \in \mathfrak{M}(0, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$. The bound γ_0 is the sharp when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$.

Proof. Assume that

(2.8)
$$g(z) = \frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z}.$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; \gamma h + 1 - \gamma)$ with $\eta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Then we obtain

$$g(z) + \eta z g'(z)$$

= $\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta (\tau + \lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) + \frac{\eta (\tau + \lambda)}{(\lambda - \alpha) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right]$
 $\prec \gamma h(z) + 1 - \gamma.$

A. K. WANAS

Making use of Lemma 1.1, we observe that

(2.9)
$$g(z) \prec \frac{\gamma}{\eta} z^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{1}{\eta} - 1} h(t) dt + 1 - \gamma = (h * \phi)(z),$$

where

(2.10)
$$\phi(z) = \frac{\gamma}{\eta} z^{-\frac{1}{\eta}} \int_0^z \frac{t^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1-t} dt + 1 - \gamma.$$

If $0 < \gamma \leq \gamma_0$, where $\gamma_0 > 1$ is given by (2.7), then we find from (2.10) that

(2.11)
$$\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) = \frac{\gamma}{\eta} \int_0^1 u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1} \operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{1}{1-uz}\right) du + 1 - \gamma > \frac{\gamma}{\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \gamma \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

By using (2.8) and (2.9), we have

(2.12)
$$\frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} \prec (h*\phi)(z)$$

In the light of (2.11), we note that the function $\phi(z)$ has the Herglotz representation

(2.13)
$$\phi(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1-xz} \quad (z \in U),$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure defined on the unit circle |x| = 1 and

$$\int_{|x|=1} d\mu(x) = 1$$

Since h is convex univalent in U, then we deduce from (2.12) and (2.13) that

$$\frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} \prec (h*\phi)(z) = \int_{|x|=1} \phi(xz) \, d\mu(x) \prec h(z)$$

This shows that $f \in \mathcal{M}(0, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$. For $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$ and $f \in \mathcal{A}_m$ defined by

$$\frac{A_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}^{\sigma}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} = \frac{\gamma}{\eta}z^{-\frac{1}{\eta}}\int_{0}^{z}\frac{t^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1-t}dt + 1 - \gamma,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) \right]$$

= $\gamma h(z) + 1 - \gamma.$

Thus, $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; \gamma h + 1 - \gamma)$. Also, for $\gamma > \gamma_0$, we have

$$Re\left\{\frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z}\right\} \longrightarrow \frac{\gamma}{\eta} \int_0^1 \frac{u^{\frac{1}{\eta}-1}}{1+u} du + 1 - \gamma < \frac{1}{2} \quad (z \to -1),$$

which implies that $f \notin \mathcal{M}(0, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$. Thus, the bound γ_0 cannot be increased when $h(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Theorem 2.4. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ be defined as in (1.1). Then the function I defined by

$$I(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt \quad (\operatorname{Re}(c) > -1),$$

is also in the class $\mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ be defined as in (1.1). Then, we find that (2.14) $\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha, \beta) f(z) \right] \prec h(z).$

We can easily see that

(2.15)
$$I(z) = \frac{c+1}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt = z + \sum_{n=m+1}^\infty \frac{c+1}{c+n} a_n z^n.$$

We have from (2.15) that $I \in \mathcal{A}_m$ and

(2.16)
$$f(z) = \frac{cI(z) + zI'(z)}{c+1}$$

Define the function J by (2.17)

$$J(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) I\left(z\right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) I\left(z\right) \right].$$

Differentiating both sides of (2.17) with respect to z and using (2.14) and (2.16), we obtain

$$\begin{split} &\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f\left(z \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(\frac{cI(z) + zI'(z)}{c+1} \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(\frac{cI(z) + zI'(z)}{c+1} \right) \right] \\ &= \frac{c}{z(c+1)} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) I\left(z \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) I\left(z \right) \right] \\ &+ \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(z I'(z) \right) \\ &+ \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda \right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha \right) \beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(z I'(z) \right) \\ &= \frac{c}{c+1} J(z) + \frac{1}{c+1} \left(z J'(z) + J(z) \right) = J(z) + \frac{1}{c+1} z J'(z) \prec h(z). \end{split}$$

An application of Lemma 1.1 with $\mu = c + 1$, yields $J(z) \prec h(z)$. By using (2.17), we get

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) I\left(z\right) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) I\left(z\right) \right] \prec h(z),$$

which implies that $I \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h).$

Theorem 2.5. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h), g \in \mathcal{A}_m$ and

(2.18)
$$\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{g(z)}{z}\right\} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then $f * g \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \mathfrak{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}_m$. Then, we have

$$(2.19) \qquad \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(f * g\right)(z) \right. \\ \left. + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(f * g\right)(z) \right] \\ = \left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \left(\frac{A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} \right) \\ \left. + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \left(\frac{A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta)f(z)}{z} \right) = \left(\frac{g(z)}{z} \right) * \varphi(z), \end{cases}$$

where (2, 20)

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) f(z) \right] \\ \prec h(z).$$

In view of (2.18), we note that the function $\frac{g(z)}{z}$ has the Herglotz representation

(2.21)
$$\frac{g(z)}{z} = \int_{|x|=1} \frac{d\mu(x)}{1-xz} \quad (z \in U),$$

where $\mu(x)$ is a probability measure defined on the unit circle |x| = 1 and

$$\int_{|x|=1} d\mu(x) = 1.$$

Since h is convex univalent in U, then we find from (2.19), (2.20) and (2.21) that

$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(f * g\right)(z) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \times A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(f * g\right)(z) \right]$$

$$= \int_{|x|=1} \varphi(xz) \ d\mu(x) \prec h(z)$$

This shows that $f * g \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}_m$ be prestarlike of order α , $(\alpha < 1)$. Then $f * g \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$.

Proof. For $f \in \mathcal{M}(\eta, \sigma, \tau, \lambda, \delta, \alpha, \beta, m; h)$ and $g \in \mathcal{A}_m$, from (2.19) (used in the proof of Theorem 2.5), we can write

(2.22)
$$\frac{1}{z} \left[\left(1 - \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right) A^{\sigma}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(f * g\right)(z) + \frac{\eta \left(\tau + \lambda\right)}{\left(\lambda - \alpha\right)\beta + n\delta} \right]^{2} \right]^{2}$$

(2.23)
$$\times A^{\sigma+1}_{\tau,\lambda,\delta}(\alpha,\beta) \left(f * g\right)(z) \right]$$
$$= \frac{g(z) * (z\varphi(z))}{g(z) * z} \quad (z \in U),$$

where $\varphi(z)$ is defined as in (2.20). Since *h* is convex univalent in *U*, $\psi(z) \prec h(z)$, $g(z) \in \operatorname{Re}(\alpha)$ and $z \in S^*(\alpha)$, $\alpha < 1$, it follows from (2.22) and Lemma 1.2, we obtain the result.

References

- F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Sãlãgean operator, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 27 (2004), 1429–1436.
- [2] A. Amourah and M. Darus, Some properties of a new class of univalent functions involving a new generalized differential operator with negative coefficients, Indian Journal of Science and Technology 9(36) (2016), 1–7.
- [3] W. G. Atshan and A. K. Wanas, Differential subordinations of multivalent analytic functions associated with Ruscheweyh derivative, Analele Universitătii din Oradea - Fascicola Matematică XX(1) (2013), 27–33.
- [4] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly close-to-convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 40(3) (2003), 399–410.
- [5] M. Darus and R. W. Ibrahim, On subclasses for generalized operators of complex order, Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences 33(3) (2009), 299–308.
- [6] P. L. Duren, Univalent Functions, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 259, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, Tokyo, 1983.
- [7] J. L. Liu, On a class of multivalent analytic functions associated with an integral operator, Bulletin of the Institute of Mathematics 5(1) (2010), 95–110.
- [8] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J. 28 (1981), 157–171.
- [9] J. K. Prajapat and R. K. Raina, Some applications of differential subordination for a general class of multivalently analytic functions involving a convolution structure, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 52 (2010), 147–158.
- [10] S. Ruscheweyh, Convolutions in Geometric Function Theory, Les Presses de l'Université de Montréal, Montréal, 1982.
- G. St. Sălăgean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1013, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1983, 362–372.

A. K. WANAS

- [12] A. K. Wanas, Differential subordination results defined by new class for higher-order derivatives of multivalent analytic functions, International Journal of Advanced Research in Science, Engineering and Technology 4(8) (2017), 4363–4368.
- [13] A. K. Wanas and A. H. Majeed, Differential subordinations for higher-order derivatives of multivalent analytic functions associated with Dziok-Srivastava operator, Analele Universitătii din Oradea - Fascicola Matematică XXV(1) (2018), 33–42.

¹Department of Mathematics,

COLLEGE OF SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF AL-QADISIYAH, IRAQ Email address: abbas.kareem.w@qu.edu.iq

124