

DENSITY PROBLEMS IN SOBOLEV'S SPACES ON TIME SCALES

AMINE BENAÏSSA CHERIF¹ AND FATIMA ZOHRA LADRANI²

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we present a generalization of the density some of the functional spaces on the time scale, for example, spaces of rd-continuous function, spaces of Lebesgue Δ -integral and first-order Sobolev's spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of time scales, which has recently received a lot of attention, was originally introduced by Stefan Hilger in his Ph.D. Thesis in 1988 in order to unify, extend and generalize continuous and discrete analysis (see Hilger [4]).

Recently, the Lebesgue Δ -integral has been introduced by Bohner and Guseinov in [2, Chapter 5]. For the fundamental relationship between Riemann and Lebesgue Δ -integrals see A. Cabada, D. Vivero [3]. The first study Sobolev's spaces on time scales R. Agarwal et al. (see [7]).

In this paper, we study the density relationship between some of the functional spaces on the time scale, for example, spaces of rd-continuous function, spaces of Lebesgue Δ -integral and first-order Sobolev's spaces.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We will briefly recall some basic definitions and facts from time scale calculus that we will use in the sequel.

Let \mathbb{T} be a closed subset of \mathbb{R} . It follows that the jump operators $\sigma, \rho : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$ defined by

$$\sigma(t) := \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(t) := \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\},$$

Key words and phrases. Time scale, Lebesgue's spaces, Sobolev's spaces.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 26E70. Secondary: 4N05, 46E35..

DOI 10.46793/KgJMat2102.215C

Received : April 10, 2018.

Accepted : November 15, 2018.

(supplemented by $\inf \emptyset := \sup \mathbb{T}$ and $\sup \emptyset := \inf \mathbb{T}$) are well defined. The point $t \in \mathbb{T}$ is left-dense, left-scattered, right-dense, right-scattered if $\rho(t) = t$, $\rho(t) < t$, $\sigma(t) = t$, $\sigma(t) > t$, respectively. If \mathbb{T} has a right-scattered minimum m , define $\mathbb{T}_k := \mathbb{T} - \{m\}$, otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$. If \mathbb{T} has a left-scattered maximum M , define $\mathbb{T}^k := \mathbb{T} - \{M\}$, otherwise, set $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$.

Definition 2.1 ([1]). The function $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ will be called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at each right-dense point and has a left-sided limit at each point, we write $\varphi \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}) = C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Definition 2.2 ([1]). Assume $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function and let $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$. Then we define φ^Δ to be the number (provided it exists), with the property that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a neighbourhood U of t (i.e., $U = (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$) for some $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|\varphi(\sigma(t)) - \varphi(s) - \varphi^\Delta(t)[\sigma(t) - s]| \leq \varepsilon |\sigma(t) - s|, \quad \text{for all } s \in U.$$

We call φ^Δ the delta (or Hilger) derivative of φ at t .

Lemma 2.1 ([3]). *The set of all right-scattered points of \mathbb{T} is at most countable, that is, there are $J \subset \mathbb{N}$ and $\{t_j\}_{j \in J} \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that*

$$\mathcal{R} := \{t \in \mathbb{T}, \sigma(t) > t\} = \{t_j\}_{j \in J}.$$

In order to do this, given a function $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$, we need an auxiliary function which extends φ to the interval $[a, b]$ defined as

$$(2.1) \quad \tilde{\varphi}(t) := \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T}, \\ \varphi(t_j), & \text{if } t \in (t_j, \sigma(t_j)) \text{ for all } j \in J. \end{cases}$$

Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$, we define

$$(2.2) \quad J_E = \{j \in J : t_j \in E \cap \mathcal{R}\} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{E} = E \cup \bigcup_{j \in J_E} (t_j, \sigma(t_j)).$$

Proposition 2.1 ([3]). *Let $A \subset \mathbb{T}$. Then A is a Δ -measurable if and only if, A is Lebesgue measurable.*

In this case the following properties hold for every Δ -measurable set A .

1. *If $b \notin A$, then*

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_\Delta(A) = \mu_L(A) + \sum_{j \in J_A} \mu(t_j);$$

2. *$\mu_\Delta(A) = \mu_L(A)$ if and only if $b \notin A$ and A has no right-scattered point.*

Theorem 2.1 ([3]). *Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}$ be a Δ -measurable such that $b \notin E$, let \tilde{E} be the set defined in (2.2), let $\varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a Δ -measurable function and $\tilde{\varphi} : [a, b] \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be the extension of φ to $[a, b]$. Then, φ is Lebesgue Δ -integrable on E if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}$ is Lebesgue integrable on \tilde{E} and we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \int_E \varphi(t) \Delta t = \int_{\tilde{E}} \tilde{\varphi}(t) dt = \int_E \varphi(t) dt + \sum_{j \in J_E} \mu(t_j) \varphi(t_j).$$

We state some of their properties whose proofs can be found in [7, 8].

Definition 2.3 ([7]). Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$. Then, the set $L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space together with the norm defined for every $\varphi \in L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\|\varphi\|_{L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})} = \left(\int_{[a,b] \cap \mathbb{T}} |\varphi(s)|^p \Delta s \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

We denote by:

$$C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ is } \Delta\text{-differentiable on } \mathbb{T}^k \text{ and } \varphi^\Delta \in C(\mathbb{T}^k, \mathbb{R}) \right\},$$

$$C^1_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) := \left\{ \varphi : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : \varphi \text{ is } \Delta\text{-differentiable on } \mathbb{T}^k \text{ and } \varphi^\Delta \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}^k, \mathbb{R}) \right\}.$$

Theorem 2.2 ([8]). Let $p \in [1, \infty)$, then, we have the following properties:

1. $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$;
2. $L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L^1_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$;
3. $C^1_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 2.3 ([7]). Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$. The set $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is a Banach space together with the norm defined for every $\varphi \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ as

$$\|\varphi\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})} = \|\varphi\|_{L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})} + \|\varphi^\Delta\|_{L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})}.$$

3. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, assume that \mathbb{T} is bounded with $a := \min \mathbb{T}$ and $b := \max \mathbb{T}$ and for simplification, we note

$$[c, d]_{\mathbb{T}} = [c, d] \cap \mathbb{T} \quad \text{and} \quad [c, d]_{\mathbb{T}} = [c, d] \cap \mathbb{T}, \quad \text{for all } c, d \in \mathbb{T}.$$

Remark 3.1. $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ are Banach spaces together with the norm defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_\infty := \sup_{t \in [a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\varphi(t)|.$$

Set

$$I := \{j \in J : \rho(t_j) = t_j\}.$$

To derive main results in this section, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the induced topology of $L^p_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Proof. For all $i \in I$, we defined r_i by $r_i = \{t_j : t_j < t_i\}$. Let $(v_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence defined by

$$v_n^i = \frac{t_i - r_i}{(b - a) 2^n} \mu(t_i), \quad \text{for all } i \in I.$$

Then, for all $i \in I$, we have $(v_n^i)_n \in (r_i, t_i)$. Let $(t_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence on time scale \mathbb{T} defined by

$$(3.1) \quad t_n^i = \inf [t_i - v_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}, i \in I.$$

Let $\varphi \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, we consider the sequence function $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given by

$$\varphi_n(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t_i) + \frac{\varphi(t_i) - \varphi(t_n^i)}{t_i - t_n^i} (t - t_i), & \text{if } t \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ for all } i \in I, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} \varphi(t), & \text{if } t = b, \\ \varphi(t), & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

Set $t \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}$, for all $i \in I$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)| &\leq |\varphi(t_i)| + |\varphi(t)| + \left| \varphi(t_i) - \varphi(t_n^i) \right| \left| \frac{t - t_i}{t_i - t_n^i} \right| \\ &\leq 2 \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + \left| \varphi(t_i) - \varphi(t_n^i) \right| \\ &\leq 4 \|\varphi\|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get that $|\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)| \leq 4 \|\varphi\|_{\infty}$ for all $t \in [a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}$. It is clear that $(\varphi_n)_n$ is continuous in \mathbb{T} . Now, we show that $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to φ in $L^p_{\Delta}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{[a, b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)|^p \Delta t &= \int_{A_n} |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)|^p \Delta t \leq 4^p \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^p \int_{A_n} \Delta t \\ &= 4^p \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^p \mu_{\Delta}(A_n), \end{aligned}$$

with $A_n = \bigcup_{i \in I} [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From (2.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\Delta}(A_n) &= \lambda(A_n) + \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{t \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{R}}} \mu(t) \\ &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \lambda([t_n^i, t_i]) + \sum_{i \in I} (t_i - t_n^i) \\ &\leq 2 \sum_{i \in I} (t_i - t_n^i) \leq \sum_{i \in I} v_n^i \\ (3.2) \quad &\leq \sum_{i \in I} \frac{t_i - r_i}{(b - a) 2^n} \mu(t_i) \leq \frac{b - a}{2^{n-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{L^p_{\Delta}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})} \leq 4^p \|\varphi\|_{\infty}^p \frac{b - a}{2^{n-1}}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

The proof is complete. □

Remark 3.2. $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $C^1_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ are Banach spaces together with the norm defined by

$$\|\varphi\|_1 := \|\varphi\|_{\infty} + \|\varphi^{\Delta}\|_{\infty}.$$

Let us define a second type of extension for a function φ on $[a, b]$. We introduce the following function

$$(3.3) \quad \bar{\varphi}(t) := \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T}, \\ \frac{\varphi(\sigma(t_j)) - \varphi(t_j)}{\mu(t_j)}(t - t_j) + \varphi(t_j), & \text{if } t \in (t_j, \sigma(t_j)) \text{ for all } j \in J. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3.2. *If $\varphi : [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ belongs to $C^1(a, b)$, then $\varphi|_{\mathbb{T}}$ belongs to $C^1_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We note $\psi = \varphi|_{\mathbb{T}}$, then ψ is Δ -differentiable on \mathbb{T}^k , and ψ^Δ is given by

$$\psi^\Delta(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi'(t), & \text{if } t \in \mathbb{T}^k \setminus \mathcal{R}, \\ \frac{\varphi(\sigma(t_j)) - \varphi(t_j)}{\mu(t_j)}, & \text{if } t = t_j \in \mathbb{T}^k \text{ for all } j \in J. \end{cases}$$

Now, we show that ψ^Δ is rd-continuous. Let $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ a left-dense or a right-dense point and prove that

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \psi^\Delta(s) = \varphi'(t).$$

Since $\varphi \in C^1(a, b)$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\alpha > 0$, such that

$$(3.4) \quad \left| \varphi'(s) - \varphi'(t) \right| \leq \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } s \in (t - \alpha, t + \alpha).$$

We define ξ on $(t - \alpha, t + \alpha)$ by $\xi(s) = \varphi(s) - \varphi(t) - \varphi'(t)(s - t)$. By (3.4) we have $|\xi'(s)| \leq \varepsilon$, for all $s \in (t - \alpha, t + \alpha)$. Then ξ is an ε -Lipschitz function on $(t - \alpha, t + \alpha)$, so we get

$$\left| \varphi'(\tau) - \frac{\varphi(\tau) - \varphi(s)}{\tau - s} \right| < \varepsilon, \quad \text{for all } s, \tau \in (t - \alpha, t + \alpha) \text{ and } \tau \neq s.$$

And we have $\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \sigma(s) = t$. There exists $\gamma > 0$, such that $|\sigma(s) - t| \leq \varepsilon$, for all $s \in (t - \gamma, t + \gamma) \cap \mathbb{T}$. Put $\delta = \min(\alpha, \gamma)$ for all $s \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$. We consider the following two cases.

If s is right-dense, then

$$\left| \varphi'(\tau) - \psi^\Delta(s) \right| = \left| \varphi'(\tau) - \varphi'(s) \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

If s is right-scattered, one has $\sigma(s), s \in (t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbb{T}$, then

$$\left| \varphi'(\tau) - \psi^\Delta(s) \right| = \left| \varphi'(\tau) - \frac{\varphi(\sigma(s)) - \varphi(s)}{\sigma(s) - s} \right| \leq \varepsilon.$$

Finally, we obtain that ψ^Δ is a continuous function at right-dense points in \mathbb{T} , and its left-sided limits exist at left dense points in \mathbb{T} . □

Lemma 3.3. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$, $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C^1_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the induced topology of $W^{1,p}_\Delta(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C^1_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, we define $P_{i,n}$ by

$$P_{i,n}(t) = \varphi(t_i) + \varphi^\Delta(t_i)(t - t_i) + \alpha h_2(t, t_i) + \beta h_3(t, t_i), \quad \text{for all } t \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}},$$

where $(t_n^i)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is defined in (3.1) and $(h_k)_k$ are polynomials defined in [1], we choose α and β such that

$$(3.5) \quad P_{i,n}(t_n^i) = \varphi(t_n^i) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{i,n}^\Delta(t_n^i) = \varphi^\Delta(t_n^i), \quad \text{for all } i \in I, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then α and β is the solution of the following system

$$\begin{cases} \alpha h_2(t_n^i, t_i) + \beta h_3(t_n^i, t_i) = \varphi(t_n^i) - \varphi(t_i) - \varphi^\Delta(t_i) h_1(t_n^i, t_i), \\ \alpha h_1(t_n^i, t_i) + \beta h_2(t_n^i, t_i) = \varphi^\Delta(t_n^i) - \varphi^\Delta(t_i). \end{cases}$$

Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence defined by

$$\varphi_n(t) = \begin{cases} P_{i,n}(t), & \text{if } t \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}} \text{ for all } i \in I, \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow b^-} \varphi(t), & \text{if } t = b, \\ \varphi(t), & \text{if not.} \end{cases}$$

By (3.5), we conclude that φ_n is Δ -differentiable on \mathbb{T}^k and (φ_n^Δ) is continuous in \mathbb{T}^k . For all $i \in I$, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{[t_n^i, t_i] \cap \mathbb{T}} |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)| \Delta t &\leq \int_{[t_n^i, t_i] \cap \mathbb{T}} (|\varphi(t)| + |\varphi(t_i)| + |\varphi^\Delta(t_i)| h_1(t, t_i)) \Delta t \\ &\quad + \int_{[t_n^i, t_i] \cap \mathbb{T}} |\alpha h_2(t, t_i) + \beta h_3(t, t_i)| \Delta t \\ &\leq 2 \|\varphi\|_\infty h_1(t_i, t_n^i) + \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty h_1(t_i, t_n^i) \\ &\quad + |\alpha h_3(t_n^i, t_i) + \beta h_4(t_n^i, t_i)| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} &\int_{[t_n^i, t_i] \cap \mathbb{T}} |\varphi_n^\Delta(t) - \varphi^\Delta(t)| \Delta t \\ &\leq \int_{[t_n^i, t_i] \cap \mathbb{T}} (|\varphi^\Delta(t)| + |\varphi^\Delta(t_i)| + |\alpha h_1(t, t_i) + \beta h_2(t, t_i)|) \Delta t \\ &\leq 2 \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty h_1(t_i, t_n^i) + |\alpha h_2(t_n^i, t_i) + \beta h_3(t_n^i, t_i)|. \end{aligned}$$

For all $i \in I$, we define $\eta_{k,i,n}$ on $[t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}$ by

$$\eta_{k,i,n}(s) = \alpha h_k(s, t_i) + \beta h_{k+1}(s, t_i), \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Hence, we deduce that

$$(3.8) \quad \eta_{k,i,n}^\Delta(s) = \alpha h_{k-1}(s, t_i) + \beta h_k(s, t_i) = \eta_{k-1,i,n}(s), \quad \text{for all } s \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}^k},$$

by (3.8), we get

$$(3.9) \quad |\eta_{k,i,n}(s)| \leq \int_s^{t_i} |\eta_{k-1,i,n}(\tau)| \Delta \tau, \quad \text{for all } k \in \mathbb{N}, s \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}^k}.$$

Since, $|\eta_{1,i,n}(s)| \leq |\eta_{1,i,n}(t_n^i)|$ for all $s \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}$, using the inequality (3.9), we find

$$(3.10) \quad |\eta_{2,i,n}(s)| \leq (t_i - t_n^i) |\eta_{1,i,n}(t_n^i)|, \quad \text{for all } s \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}$$

and

$$(3.11) \quad |\eta_{3,i,n}(s)| \leq (t_i - t_i^n)^2 |\eta_{1,i,n}(t_i^n)|, \quad \text{for all } s \in [t_n^i, t_i]_{\mathbb{T}}.$$

By (3.10), we obtain

$$(3.12) \quad \begin{aligned} |\alpha h_2(t_i^n, t_i) + \beta h_3(t_i^n, t_i)| &\leq (t_i - t_i^n) |\eta_{1,i,n}(t_i^n)| \\ &\leq (t_i - t_i^n) |\varphi^\Delta(t_i^n) - \varphi^\Delta(t_i)| \\ &\leq 2(t_i - t_i^n) \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty, \end{aligned}$$

and by (3.11), we have

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} |\alpha h_3(t_i^n, t_i) + \beta h_4(t_i^n, t_i)| &\leq (t_i - t_i^n)^2 |\eta_{1,i,n}(t_i^n)| \\ &\leq (t_i - t_i^n)^2 |\varphi^\Delta(t_i^n) - \varphi^\Delta(t_i)| \\ &\leq 2 \|\varphi^\Delta\| (t_i - t_i^n)^2. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.13) in (3.6), we get

$$(3.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\varphi_n(t) - \varphi(t)| \Delta t &\leq (2 \|\varphi\|_\infty + \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty) \sum_{i \in I} (t_i - t_i^n) + \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty \sum_{i \in I} (t_i - t_i^n)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{b-a}{2^n} (2 \|\varphi\|_\infty + (b-a+1) \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.12) and (3.7), that

$$(3.15) \quad \int_{[a,b]_{\mathbb{T}}} |\varphi_n^\Delta(t) - \varphi^\Delta(t)| \Delta t \leq 4 \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty \sum_{i \in I} (t_i - t_i^n) \leq \frac{b-a}{2^{n-2}} \|\varphi^\Delta\|_\infty.$$

By inequality (3.14) and (3.15), we obtain that $(\varphi_n)_n$ converges to φ in $W_{\Delta}^{1,1}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Finally, by Hölder's inequality, we conclude that $(\varphi_n)_n$ converges to φ in $W_{\Delta}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. \square

Remark 3.3. Let E, F, G be three spaces such that $E \subset F \subset G$ and (G, τ) is a topological space.

- 1) If F is dense in (G, τ) and E is dense in (F, τ) , then E is dense in (G, τ) .
- 2) If E is dense in G , then F is dense in G .

The following theorem is a new generalization of the Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$, then $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_{\Delta}^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$, we have $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \subset C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \subset L_{\Delta}^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.1 and Theorem 2.2, hence $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_{\Delta}^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the induced topology of $L_{\Delta}^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, by Remark 3.3, we obtain $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_{\Delta}^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. \square

The following results are consequences of Theorem 3.2.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$, then $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_\Delta^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$. By Theorem 2.2, we have $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, then $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the induced topology of $L_\Delta^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, and we have $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_\Delta^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, by Remark 3.3, we conclude $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_\Delta^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. \square

As a proposition of the previous result, we deduce the following corollary.

Corollary 3.1. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$, then $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We have $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \subset W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \subset C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, by Theorem 2.2, $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Therefore, Remark 3.3 implies that $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. \square

In the same way, we find the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$, then $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Corollary 3.3. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$, then $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $L_\Delta^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

The next result show that spaces $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ are dense in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$, $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $\varphi \in W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, by Corollary 3.9 in [7], we have $\bar{\varphi} \in W^{1,p}(a, b)$. Since $C^1((a, b))$ is dense in $W^{1,p}(a, b)$, then there exists a sequence $(\psi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in C^1(a, b)$ that converges to $\bar{\varphi}$ in $W^{1,p}(a, b)$. Let $(\varphi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence defined by

$$\varphi_n = \psi_n|_{\mathbb{T}}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

By Lemma 3.2, we get $(\varphi_n)_n \in C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Now we show that $(\varphi_n)_n$ converges to φ in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|(\psi_n - \bar{\varphi})|_{\mathbb{T}}\|_{W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})} = \|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})},$$

by Corollary 3.10 in [7], there exists a constant $C > 0$ which only depends on $(b - a)$ such that

$$\|\varphi_n - \varphi\|_{W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})} \leq C \|\psi_n - \bar{\varphi}\|_{W^{1,p}(a, b)},$$

we prove that $(\varphi_n)_n$ converges to φ in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$, then $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. Let $p \in [1, +\infty[$. We have $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \subset C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \subset W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 3.2, hence $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ and $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ provided with the induced topology of $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, by Remark 3.3, we obtain $C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ is dense in $W_\Delta^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. \square

4. CONCLUSION

Finally, we give a diagrams that summarizes the main results

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 C_{rd}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) & & C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) & & \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 W_{\Delta}^{1,p}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & L_{\Delta}^p(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) & \longrightarrow & L_{\Delta}^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
 C^1(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) & & C(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) & &
 \end{array}$$

For \mathbb{T} is bounded and $p \in [1, +\infty)$.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Bohner and A. C.Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales, An Introduction with Applications*, Birkäuser Boston, Boston, 2001.

[2] M. Bohner and A. C. Peterson, *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkäuser Boston, Boston, 2003.

[3] A. Cabada and D. Vivero, *Expression of the Lebesgue Δ -integral on time scales as a usual Lebesgue integral, application to the calculus of Δ -antiderivatives*, Math. Comput. Modelling **43** (2006), 194–207.

[4] S. Hilger, *Ein Maßkettenakalkül mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten*, Ph.D. Thesis, Universität Würzburg, 1988 (in German).

[5] V. Lakshmikantham, S. Sivasundaram and B. Kaymakcalan, *Dynamic Systems on Measure Chains*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, 1996.

[6] H. Brezis, *Analyse Fonctionnelle, Théorie et Applications*, Masson, Paris, 1996.

[7] R. B. Agarwal, V. O. Espinar, K. Perera and D. R. Vivero, *Basic properties of Sobolev's spaces on time scales*, Adv. Difference Equ. (2006), 1–14.

[8] A. Benaissa Cherif, A. Hammoudi and F. Z. Ladrani, *Density problems in $L_p^{\Delta}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$ space*, Electronic Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications **1**(2) (2013), 178–187.

[9] Y. H. Sua and Z. Fengb, *Variational approach for a p -Laplacian boundary value problem on time scales*, Appl. Anal. **97**(13) (2017), DOI 10.1080/00036811.2017.1359566.

¹DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY OF ORAN,
 "MOHAMED-BOUDIAF" (USTOMB), ORAN, ALGERIA
 Email address: amine.banche@gmail.com
 Email address: amin.benaissacherif@univ-usto.dz

²DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
 HIGHER NORMAL SCHOOL OF ORAN,
 BP 1523, 31000, ORAN, ALGERIA
 Email address: f.z.ladrani@gmail.com