KRAGUJEVAC JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICS VOLUME 42(2) (2018), PAGES 275–285.

### CONCIRCULAR CURVATURE TENSOR ON A P-SASAKIAN MANIFOLD ADMITTING A QUARTER-SYMMETRIC METRIC CONNECTION

### A. BARMAN

ABSTRACT. The object of the present paper is to study a Para-Sasakian manifold admitting a type of quarter-symmetric metric connection whose concircular curvature tensor satisfies certain curvature conditions.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1977, Adati and Matsumoto [1] defined Para-Sasakian and Special Para-Sasakian manifolds which are special classes of an almost paracontact manifold introduced by Sato [14]. Para-Sasakian manifolds have been studied by De and Pathak [6], Matsumoto, Ianus and Mihai [13], De, Özgür, Arslan, Murathan and Yildiz [7], Desmukh and Ahmed [8], Yildiz, Turan and Acet [17], Barman ([2], [3]) and many others.

In 1924, Friedmann and Schouten [9] introduced the idea of semi-symmetric connection on a differentiable manifold. A linear connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  on a differentiable manifold M is said to be a semi-symmetric connection if the torsion tensor T of the connection  $\tilde{\nabla}$  satisfies T(X,Y) = u(Y)X - u(X)Y, where u is a 1-form and  $\rho$  is a vector field defined by  $u(X) = g(X,\rho)$ , for all vector fields X on  $\chi(M)$ ,  $\chi(M)$  is the set of all differentiable vector fields on M.

In 1975, Golab [10] defined and studied quarter-symmetric connection in differentiable manifolds with affine connections. A liner connection  $\overline{\nabla}$  on an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold (M, g) is called a quarter-symmetric connection [10] if its torsion tensor T satisfies  $T(X, Y) = u(Y)\phi X - u(X)\phi Y$ , where  $\phi$  is a (1, 1) tensor field.

Key words and phrases. Para-Sasakian manifold, quarter-symmetric metric connection, concircular curvature tensor,  $\xi$ -concircularly flat,  $\phi$ -concircularly flat,  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 53C15. Secondary: 53C25.

Received: January 25, 2017.

Accepted: March 06, 2017.

In particular, if  $\phi X = X$ , then the quarter-symmetric connection reduces to the semi-symmetric connection [9]. Thus the notion of the quarter-symmetric connection generalizes the notion of the semi-symmetric connection.

If moreover, a quarter-symmetric connection  $\overline{\nabla}$  satisfies the condition

$$(\bar{\nabla}_X g)(Y, Z) = 0,$$

for all X, Y, Z on  $\chi(M)$ , then  $\overline{\nabla}$  is said to be a quarter-symmetric metric connection.

In a recent paper Mandal and De [12] studied a type of quarter-symmetric metric connection  $\overline{\nabla}$  and it is given by

(1.1) 
$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + \eta(Y)\phi X - g(\phi X, Y)\xi,$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection and  $\xi$  is the corresponding vector field metrically equivalent to the 1- form  $\eta$  defined by  $\eta(X) = g(X, \xi)$ .

Let R and R be the curvature tensors with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection  $\overline{\nabla}$  and the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  respectively. Then we have from [12],

$$R(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + 3g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 3g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X$$
$$+ \eta(Z)[\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X]$$
$$- [n(X)g(Y,Z) - n(Y)g(X,Z)]\xi$$

(1.2) 
$$- [\eta(X)g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)g(X,Z)]\xi,$$

$$S(Y,U) = S(Y,U) + 2g(Y,U) - (n+1)\eta(Y)\eta(U)$$

(1.3) 
$$-3\operatorname{trace}\phi \quad g(\phi Y, U),$$

(1.4) 
$$\bar{S}(Y,\xi) = -2(n-1)\eta(Y)$$

and

(1.5) 
$$\bar{R}(\xi, Y)U = 2[\eta(U)Y - g(U, Y)\xi],$$

where  $\bar{S}$  and S be the Ricci tensors with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection  $\bar{\nabla}$  and the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  respectively.

A transformation of an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, which transforms every geodesic circle of M into a geodesic circle, is called a concircular transformation ([11], [15]). A concircular transformation is always a conformal transformation [11]. Here geodesic circle means a curve in M whose first curvature is constant and whose second curvature is identically zero. Thus the geometry of concircular transformations, i.e., the concircular geometry, is a generalization of inversive geometry in the sense that the change of metric is more general than that induced by a circle preserving diffeomorphism (see also [5]). An interesting invariant of a concircular transformation is the concircular curvature tensor  $\overline{W}$ . It is defined by ([15], [16])

(1.6) 
$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y)Z = \overline{R}(X,Y)Z - \frac{\overline{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

From (1.6), it follows that

(1.7)  

$$\widetilde{\overline{\mathbb{W}}}(X, Y, Z, U) = \widetilde{\overline{R}}(X, Y, Z, U) - \frac{\overline{r}}{n(n-1)} [g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)]$$

$$(1.7)$$

and  $\widetilde{\overline{W}}(X, Y, Z, U) = g(\overline{W}(X, Y)Z, U) \quad \widetilde{\overline{R}}(X, Y, Z, U) = g(\overline{R}(X, Y)Z, U)$ , where X, Y, Z, U on M and  $\overline{W}$  is the concircular curvature tensor and  $\overline{r}$  is the scalar curvature with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection respectively. Riemannian manifolds with vanishing concircular curvature tensor are of constant curvature. Thus the concircular curvature tensor is a measure of the failure of a Riemannian manifold to be of constant curvature.

Putting  $X = \xi$  in (1.6) and using (1.5), we obtain

(1.8) 
$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(\xi, Y)Z = \left[2 + \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}\right] [\eta(Z)Y - g(Y, Z)\xi].$$

A Riemannian manifold M is locally symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies  $\nabla R = 0$ . As a generalization of locally symmetric spaces, many geometers have considered semi-symmetric spaces and in turn their generalizations. A Riemannian manifold M is said to be semi-symmetric if its curvature tensor R satisfies R(X,Y).R = 0, where R(X,Y) acts on R as a derivation. A Riemannian manifold M is said to be Ricci-semisymmetric manifold if the relation  $\overline{R}(X,Y).\overline{S} = 0$  holds, where  $\overline{R}(X,Y)$  is the curvature operator.

In this paper we study a type of quarter-symmetric metric connection due to Mandal and De [12] on P-Sasakian manifolds. The paper is organized as follows: After introduction Section 2 is equipped with some prerequisites of a P-Sasakian manifold. Section 3 is devoted to study  $\xi$ -concircularly flat in a P-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection.  $\phi$ -concircularly flat P-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection have been studied in Section 4. Next section we investigate Ricci-semisymmetric manifolds with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection of a P-Sasakian manifold. Finally, we construct an example of a 5-dimensional P-Sasakian manifolds admitting the quarter-symmetric metric connections which verify the results of Section 3 and Section 4.

### 2. P-SASAKIAN MANIFOLDS

An *n*-dimensional differentiable manifold M is said to be an almost para-contact structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ , if there exists  $\phi$  is a (1, 1) tensor field,  $\xi$  is a vector field,  $\eta$  is a 1-form and g is the Riemannian metric on M which satisfy the conditions

(2.1) 
$$\phi \xi = 0, \quad \eta(\phi X) = 0, \quad \eta(\xi) = 1, \quad g(X,\xi) = \eta(X),$$

(2.2) 
$$\phi^2(X) = X - \eta(X)\xi,$$

(2.3) 
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

(2.4) 
$$(\nabla_X \eta) Y = g(X, \phi Y) = (\nabla_Y \eta) X,$$

for any vector fields X, Y on M.

If moreover,  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  satisfy the conditions

(2.5) 
$$d\eta = 0, \quad \nabla_X \xi = \phi X,$$

(2.6) 
$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(X,Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Y)\xi,$$

then M is called a para-Sasakian manifold or briefly a P-Sasakian manifold. In a P-Sasakian manifold the following relations hold ([1], [14]):

(2.7)  $\eta(R(X,Y)Z) = g(X,Z)\eta(Y) - g(Y,Z)\eta(X),$ 

(2.8) 
$$R(\xi, X)Y = \eta(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi,$$

(2.9) 
$$R(\xi, X)\xi = X - \eta(X)\xi,$$

(2.10) 
$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X,$$

(2.11) 
$$S(X,\xi) = -(n-1)\eta(X),$$

(2.12) 
$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

where R and S are the curvature tensor and the Ricci tensor of the Levi-Civita connection respectively.

## 3. $\xi$ -concircularly Flat P-Sasakian Manifolds with Respect to the Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

**Definition 3.1.** A P-Sasakian manifold is said to be  $\xi$ -concircularly flat [4] with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if  $\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y)\xi = 0$ , where  $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** A P-Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection is  $\xi$ -concircularly flat if and only if the scalar curvature  $\bar{r}$  with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection is equal to -2n(n-1).

*Proof.* Combining (1.2) and (1.6), it follows that

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y,)Z = R(X,Y)Z + 3g(\phi X,Z)\phi Y - 3g(\phi Y,Z)\phi X + \eta(Z)[\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X] - [\eta(X)g(Y,Z) - \eta(Y)g(X,Z)]\xi - \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$
(3.1)

Putting  $Z = \xi$  in (3.1) and using (2.1), we have

(3.2)  

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y,)\xi = R(X,Y)\xi + [\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X] + \frac{\overline{r}}{n(n-1)}[\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X].$$

By making use of (2.10) and (3.2), we get

(3.3) 
$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y,\xi) = \left[2 + \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}\right] R(X,Y)\xi.$$

If  $\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y,\xi) = 0$ , then  $\bar{r} = -2n(n-1)$  or  $R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y = 0$ , implies that  $\eta(X) = 0$  which is not admissible.

Conversely, if  $\overline{r} = -2n(n-1)$ , then from (3.3), it follows that  $\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y, \xi) = 0$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** If P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying  $\overline{R}(\xi, Y) \cdot \overline{W} = 0$  with respect to a quarter-symmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection and the scalar curvature  $\overline{r}$  with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection is a negative constant.

*Proof.* Now we can state the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** [12] If a P-Sasakian manifold is semisymmetric with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection and the scalar curvature  $\bar{r}$  with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection is a negative constant.

From the definition of concircular curvature tensor, it follows that

$$\bar{R}(X,Y) \cdot \overline{\mathbb{W}} = \bar{R}(X,Y) \cdot \bar{R}.$$

Thus, using Lemma 3.1 we obtain Theorem 3.2.

4.  $\phi$ -concircularly Flat in P-Sasakian Manifolds with Respect to the Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

**Theorem 4.1.** If a P-Sasakian manifold admitting a quarter-symmetric metric connection is  $\phi$ -concircularly flat, then the manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold.

**Definition 4.1.** A P-Sasakian manifold is said to be  $\phi$ -concircularly flat [4] with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection if  $\widetilde{\overline{W}}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) = 0$ , where  $X, Y, Z, U \in \chi(M)$ .

**Definition 4.2.** A P-Sasakian manifold is said to be an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of the Levi-Civita connection is of the form  $S(X, Y) = ag(X, Y) + b\eta(X)\eta(Y)$ , where a and b are smooth functions on the manifold.

*Proof.* In view of (1.2) and (1.7) yields

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X, Y, Z, U) = \overline{R}(X, Y, Z, U) + 3g(\phi X, Z)g(\phi Y, U) - 3g(\phi Y, Z)g(\phi X, U) 
+ \eta(Z)[\eta(X)g(Y, U) - \eta(Y)g(X, U)] 
- [\eta(X)g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)g(X, Z)]\eta(U) 
- \frac{\overline{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y, Z)g(X, U) - g(X, Z)g(Y, U)],$$
(4.1)

where  $\tilde{R}(X, Y, Z, U) = g(R(X, Y)Z, U)$ . Now putting  $X = \phi X, Y = \phi Y, Z = \phi Z, U = \phi U$  in (4.1) and using (2.1) and (2.2), we derive that

(4.2)  

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) = \hat{R}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) + 3g(X, \phi Z)g(Y, \phi U) - 3g(Y, \phi Z)g(\phi X, \phi U) - \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}[g(Y,Z)g(X,U) - g(X,Z)g(Y,U)].$$

Let  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_{n-1}, \xi\}$  be a local orthonormal basis of vector fields in M, then  $\{\phi e_1, \ldots, \phi e_{n-1}, \xi\}$  is also a local orthonormal basis. Putting  $X = U = e_i$  in (4.2) and summing over i = 1 to n - 1, we obtain

(4.3)  

$$\overline{\mathbb{W}}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) = S(\phi Y, \phi Z) + 3g(Y, Z) - 3\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) - \frac{(n-2)\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}g(\phi Y, \phi Z),$$

where  $\alpha = g(\phi e_i, e_i)$ .

Using (2.3) and (2.12) in (4.3), it follows that

(4.4)  

$$\overline{W}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) = S(Y, Z) + (n - 1)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) + 3g(Y, Z) - 3\alpha g(Y, \phi Z) - \frac{(n - 2)\bar{r}}{n(n - 1)}[g(Y, Z) - \eta(Y)\eta(Z)].$$

By virtue of (1.3) and (4.4) yields

(4.5)  

$$\widetilde{\overline{W}}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) = \overline{S}(Y, Z) + \left[1 - \frac{(n-2)\overline{r}}{n(n-1)}\right]g(Y, Z) + \left[2n + \frac{(n-2)\overline{r}}{n(n-1)}\right]\eta(Y)\eta(Z).$$

If  $\widetilde{\overline{W}}(\phi e_i, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi e_i) = 0$ , then

$$\bar{S}(Y,Z) = -\left[1 - \frac{(n-2)\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}\right]g(Y,Z) - \left[2n + \frac{(n-2)\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}\right]\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

where  $a = -\left[1 - \frac{(n-2)\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}\right]$  and  $b = 2n + \frac{(n-2)\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}$ .

From which it follows that the manifold is an  $\eta$ -Einstein manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection. Hence the proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed.

# 5. P-Sasakian Manifolds Satisfying $\overline{\mathbb{W}} \cdot \overline{S} = 0$ with Respect to a Quarter-symmetric Metric Connection

**Theorem 5.1.** If P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying  $\overline{W} \cdot \overline{S} = 0$  with respect to a quartersymmetric metric connection, then the manifold is an Einstein manifold with respect to a quarter-symmetric metric connection.

*Proof.* We consider P-Sasakian manifolds with respect to a quarter-symmetric metric connection  $\overline{\nabla}$  satisfying the curvature condition  $\overline{\mathbb{W}} \cdot \overline{S} = 0$ . Then

$$(\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y)\cdot\bar{S})(U,V)=0.$$

So,

(5.1) 
$$\overline{S}(\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y)U,V) + \overline{S}(U,\overline{\mathbb{W}}(X,Y)V) = 0.$$

**Definition 5.1.** A P-Sasakian manifold (n > 2) is said to be an Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S of the Levi-Civita connection is of the form

$$S(X,Y) = \tau g(X,Y),$$

where  $\tau$  is a constant on the manifold.

Putting  $X = \xi$  in (5.1) and using (1.8), we get

$$[2 + \frac{\bar{r}}{n(n-1)}][\eta(U)\bar{S}(Y,V) + 2(n-1)\eta(V)g(U,Y) + \eta(V)\bar{S}(Y,U) + 2(n-1)\eta(U)g(V,Y)] = 0.$$
(5.2)

Again putting  $U = \xi$  in (5.2), implies that

$$\bar{S}(Y,V) = -2(n-1)g(Y,V).$$

Therefore,  $S(Y, Z) = \tau g(Y, Z)$ , where  $\tau = -2(n-1)$ .

This means that the manifold is an Einstein manifold with respect to the quartersymmetric metric connection. This completes the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

### 6. Example

In this section we construct an example on P-Sasakian manifold with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connections  $\bar{\nabla}$  [12] which verify the results of Section 3 and Section 4.

We consider the 5-dimensional manifold  $\{(x, y, z, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^5\}$ , where (x, y, z, u, v) are the standard coordinates in  $\mathbb{R}^5$ . We choose the vector fields

$$e_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad e_2 = e^{-x} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \quad e_3 = e^{-x} \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad e_4 = e^{-x} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}, \quad e_5 = e^{-x} \frac{\partial}{\partial v},$$

which are linearly independent at each point of M. Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$g(e_i, e_j) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

where i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.

Let  $\eta$  be the 1-form defined by

$$\eta(Z) = g(Z, e_1),$$

for any  $Z \in \chi(M)$ .

Let  $\phi$  be the (1, 1)-tensor field defined by

$$\phi(e_1) = 0, \quad \phi(e_2) = e_2, \quad \phi(e_3) = e_3, \quad \phi(e_4) = e_4, \quad \phi(e_5) = e_5.$$

Using the linearity of  $\phi$  and g, we have

$$\eta(e_1) = 1, \quad \phi^2 Z = Z - \eta(Z)e_1$$

and

$$g(\phi Z, \phi U) = g(Z, U) - \eta(Z)\eta(U),$$

for any vector fields Z and U on M. Thus for  $e_1 = \xi$ , the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  defines an almost paracontact metric structure on M. Then we have

$$[e_1, e_2] = -e_2, \ [e_1, e_3] = -e_3, \ [e_1, e_4] = -e_4, \ [e_1, e_5] = -e_5, \\ [e_2, e_3] = [e_2, e_4] = 0, \ [e_2, e_5] = [e_3, e_4] = [e_3, e_5] = [e_4, e_5] = 0.$$

The Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of the metric tensor g is given by Koszul's formula which is given by

$$2g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) - g(X, [Y, Z]) - g(Y, [X, Z]) + g(Z, [X, Y]).$$

Using Koszul's formula, we get the following:

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_2}e_1 &= e_2, \ \nabla_{e_2}e_2 = -e_1, \ \nabla_{e_2}e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_2}e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_2}e_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_3}e_1 &= e_3, \ \nabla_{e_3}e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_3}e_3 = -e_1, \ \nabla_{e_3}e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_3}e_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_4}e_1 &= e_4, \ \nabla_{e_4}e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_4}e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_4}e_4 = -e_1, \ \nabla_{e_4}e_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{e_5}e_1 &= e_5, \ \nabla_{e_5}e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_5}e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_5}e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_5}e_5 = -e_1. \end{split}$$

In view of the above relations, we see that  $\nabla_X \xi = \phi X$ ,  $(\nabla_X \phi) Y = -g(X, Y) \xi - \eta(Y) X + 2\eta(X) \eta(Y) \xi$ , for all  $e_5 = \xi$ .

Therefore the manifold is a P-Sasakian manifold with the structure  $(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$  [12]. Using (1.1) in above equations, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{e_1}e_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_2 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_4 = 0, \ \nabla_{e_1}e_5 = 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_1 &= 2e_2, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_2 = -2e_1, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_3 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_4 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_2}e_5 = 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_1 &= 2e_3, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_2 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_3 = -2e_1, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_4 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_3}e_5 = 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_1 &= 2e_4, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_2 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_3 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_4 = -2e_1, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_4}e_5 = 0, \\ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_1 &= 2e_5, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_2 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_3 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_4 = 0, \ \bar{\nabla}_{e_5}e_5 = -2e_1. \end{split}$$

Now, we can easily obtain the non-zero components of the curvature tensors [12] as follows:

$$\begin{split} R(e_1,e_2)e_1 &= e_2, \ R(e_1,e_2)e_2 = -e_1, \ R(e_1,e_3)e_1 = e_3, \ R(e_1,e_3)e_3 = -e_1, \\ R(e_1,e_4)e_1 &= e_4, \ R(e_1,e_4)e_4 = -e_1, \ R(e_1,e_5)e_1 = e_5, \ R(e_1,e_5)e_5 = -e_1, \\ R(e_2,e_3)e_2 &= e_3, \ R(e_2,e_3)e_3 = -e_2, \ R(e_2,e_4)e_2 = e_4, \ R(e_2,e_4)e_4 = -e_2, \\ R(e_2,e_5)e_2 &= e_5, \ R(e_2,e_5)e_5 = -e_2, \ R(e_3,e_4)e_3 = e_4, \ R(e_3,e_4)e_4 = -e_3, \\ R(e_3,e_5)e_3 &= e_5, \ R(e_3,e_5)e_5 = -e_3, \ R(e_4,e_5)e_4 = e_5, \ R(e_4,e_5)e_5 = -e_4. \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} \bar{R}(e_1,e_2)e_1 &= 2e_2, \ \bar{R}(e_1,e_2)e_2 = -2e_1, \ \bar{R}(e_1,e_3)e_1 = 2e_3, \ \bar{R}(e_1,e_3)e_3 = -2e_1, \\ \bar{R}(e_1,e_4)e_1 &= 2e_4, \ \bar{R}(e_1,e_4)e_4 = -2e_1, \ \bar{R}(e_1,e_5)e_1 = 2e_5, \ \bar{R}(e_1,e_5)e_5 = -2e_1, \\ \bar{R}(e_2,e_3)e_2 &= 2e_3, \ \bar{R}(e_2,e_3)e_3 = -2e_2, \ \bar{R}(e_2,e_4)e_2 = 2e_4, \ \bar{R}(e_2,e_4)e_4 = -2e_2, \\ \bar{R}(e_2,e_5)e_2 &= 2e_5, \ \bar{R}(e_2,e_5)e_5 = -2e_2, \ \bar{R}(e_3,e_4)e_3 = 2e_4, \ \bar{R}(e_3,e_4)e_4 = -2e_3, \\ \bar{R}(e_3,e_5)e_3 &= 2e_5, \ \bar{R}(e_3,e_5)e_5 = -2e_3, \ \bar{R}(e_4,e_5)e_4 = 2e_5, \ \bar{R}(e_4,e_5)e_5 = -2e_4. \end{split}$$

With the help of the above curvature tensors with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connection we find the Ricci tensors [12] as follows:

$$\bar{S}(e_1, e_1) = \bar{S}(e_2, e_2) = \bar{S}(e_3, e_3) = \bar{S}(e_4, e_4) = \bar{S}(e_5, e_5) = -8.$$

Also it follows that the scalar curvature tensor [12] with respect to the quartersymmetric metric connection is  $\bar{r} = -40$ .

Let X, Y, Z and U be any four vector fields given by

$$\begin{aligned} X = &a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3 + a_4e_4 + a_5e_5, \quad Y = b_1e_1 + b_2e_2 + b_3e_3 + b_4e_4 + b_5e_5 \\ Z = &c_1e_1 + c_2e_2 + c_3e_3 + c_4e_4 + c_5e_5, \quad W = d_1e_1 + d_2e_2 + d_3e_3 + d_4e_4 + d_5e_5, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i$ , for all i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 are all non-zero real numbers.

Using the above curvature tensors and the scalar curvature tensors of the quartersymmetric metric connection, we obtain

$$\mathbb{W}(X,Y)\xi = [(2e_2 - 2e_2)(a_1b_2) + (2e_5 - 2e_5)(a_1b_5) + (2e_4 - 2e_4)(a_1b_4) + (2e_3 - 2e_3)(a_1b_3)] = 0,$$

#### A. BARMAN

which verifies the result of Section 3.

Now we see that the  $\phi$ -concircularly flat with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connections from the above relations as follow:

$$\begin{split} \mathbb{W}(\phi X, \phi Y, \phi Z, \phi U) =& 2a_2b_3(c_2d_3 - c_3d_2) + 2a_2b_5(c_2d_5 - c_5d_2) + 2a_3b_4(c_3d_4 - c_4d_3) \\ &+ 2a_4b_5(c_4d_5 - c_5d_4) + 2a_2b_4(c_2d_4 - c_4d_2) \\ &+ 2a_3b_5(c_3d_5 - c_5d_3) = 0. \end{split}$$

Hence P-Sasakian manifolds will be  $\phi$ -concircularly flat with respect to the quartersymmetric metric connections if  $\frac{c_2}{d_2} = \frac{c_3}{d_3} = \frac{c_4}{d_4} = \frac{c_5}{d_5}$ . The above arguments tell us that the 5-dimensional P-Sasakian manifolds with

The above arguments tell us that the 5-dimensional P-Sasakian manifolds with respect to the quarter-symmetric metric connections under consideration agrees with the Section 4.

Acknowledgements. The author is thankful to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions for the improvement of the paper.

### References

- T. Adati and K. Matsumoto, On conformally recurrent and conformally symmetric P-Sasakian manifolds, Turkish J. Math. 13 (1977), 25–32.
- [2] A. Barman, Semi-symmetric non-metric connection in a P-Sasakian manifold, Novi Sad J. Math. 43 (2013), 117–124.
- [3] A. Barman, On Para-Sasakian manifolds admitting semi-symmetric metric connection, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beogard) (N.S.) 95 (2014), 239–247.
- [4] A. Barman and G. Ghosh, Concircular curvature tensor of a semi-symmetric non-metric connection on P-Sasakian manifolds, An. Univ. Vest. Timis. Ser. Mat.-Inform. LIV (2016), 47–58.
- [5] D. E. Blair, Inversion theory and conformal mapping, Stud. Math. Libr. 9, Amer. Math. Soc. (2000).
- U. C. De and G. Pathak, On P-Sasakian manifolds satisfying certain conditions, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) 16 (1994), 72–77.
- [7] U. C. De, C. Ozgür, K. Arslan, C. Murathan and A. Yildiz, On a type of P-Sasakian manifolds, Math. Balkanica (N.S.) 22 (2008), 25–36.
- [8] S. Desmukh and S. Ahmed, Para-Sasakian manifolds isometrically immersed in spaces of constant curvature, Kyungpook Math. J. 20 (1980), 112–121.
- [9] A. Friedmann and J. A. Schouten, Über die Geometric der halbsymmetrischen Übertragung, Math. Z. 21 (1924), 211–223.
- [10] S. Golab, On semi-symmetric and quarter-symmetric liner connections, Tensor (N.S.) 29 (1975), 249–254.
- W. Kuhnel, Conformal Transformations between Einstein Spaces, Bonn, 1985/1986, 105–146, Aspects Math. E12, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1988.
- [12] K. Mandal and U. C. De, Quarter-symmetric metric connection in a P-Sasakian manifold, An. Univ. Vest. Timis. Ser. Mat.-Inform. LIII (2015), 137–150.
- [13] K. Matsumoto, S. Ianus and I. Mihai, On a P-Sasakian manifolds which admit certain tensor fields, Publ. Math. Debrecen 33 (1986), 61–65.
- [14] I. Sato, On a structure similar to the almost contact structure, Tensor (N.S.) **30** (1976), 219–224.
- [15] K. Yano, Concircular Geometry I. Concircular Transformations, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo 16 (1940), 195–200.

[16] K. Yano and S. Bochner, Curvature and Betti numbers, Ann. of Math. Stud. 32 (1953).

[17] A. Yildiz, M. Turan and B. E. Acet, On Para-Sasakian manifolds, Dumlupinar Üniversitesi 24 (2011), 27–34.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS RAMTHAKUR COLLEGE P.O.-ARUNDHUTI NAGAR-799003, DIST.-WEST TRIPURA, TRIPURA, INDIA Email address: ajitbarmanaw@yahoo.in