

## ON CHAIN CONDITIONS AND FINITELY GENERATED MULTIPLICATION MODULES

B. DAVVAZ, A. KARAMZADEH, AND F. KARAMZADEH

**ABSTRACT.** In this short paper, we study multiplication modules that satisfy ascending (respectively, descending) chain condition for multiplication submodules and we investigate some properties of finitely generated multiplication modules.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $M$  be a unitary  $R$ -module. According to [2],  $M$  is called a *multiplication module* if every submodule of  $M$  is of the form  $IM$ , for some ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Barnard [2] showed that distributive modules are characterized as modules for which every finitely generated submodule is a multiplication module. Then many mathematicians worked on multiplication modules, for example see [1, 3–6, 8]. A survey about this subject is collected in [7]. For any submodule  $N$  of an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we define  $(N : M) = \{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$  and denote  $(0 : M)$  by  $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ .

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

*Remark 2.1.* Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module and  $\varphi \in \text{End}(M)$ . Since  $\ker\varphi \cap MI = (\ker\varphi)I$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , we conclude that  $\ker\varphi$  is a multiplication submodule of  $M$ . Also, according to Note 1.4 in [7], every homomorphic image of a multiplication module is a multiplication module.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module. If  $M$  satisfies ascending and descending chain conditions for multiplication submodules and  $\varphi \in \text{End}(M)$ , then there exists  $n \geq 1$  such that  $M = \ker\varphi^n \oplus \text{Im}\varphi^n$ .*

---

*Key words and phrases.* Ring, Module, Multiplication module, Devisable module, Chain condition.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A02, 13C13.

*Received:* January 18, 2013.

*Revised:* June 14, 2013.

*Proof.* By Remark 2.1, the proof is straightforward. □

We recall that an  $R$ -module  $M$  is indecomposable if it is non-zero and can not be written as a direct sum of two non-zero submodules.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $M$  be an indecomposable multiplication  $R$ -modules. If  $M$  satisfies ascending and descending chain conditions for multiplication submodules and  $\varphi \in \text{End}(M)$ , then  $\varphi$  is bijective or nilpotent.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 2.1, the proof is straightforward. □

**Lemma 2.1.** [7] *Let  $M$  be a multiplication  $R$ -module. If  $N$  is a submodule of  $M$  such that  $N \cap IM = IN$  for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , then  $N$  is a multiplication module.*

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $M = Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_j$  be a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  and  $Im_i = Rm_i \cap IM$ , for every  $i$ . Then,  $M$  satisfies the ascending (respectively, descending) chain conditions on multiplication submodules if and only if for every  $i$ ,  $Rm_i$  satisfies the ascending (respectively, descending) chain conditions of multiplication submodules.*

*Proof.* We prove the theorem for the ascending chain condition. The proof for the descending chain condition is analogous.

Since  $Im_i = Rm_i \cap IM$ , by Lemma 2.1,  $Im_i$  is a multiplication submodule of  $Rm_i$ . Suppose that

$$I_1m_i \subseteq I_2m_i \subseteq I_3m_i \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of multiplication submodules of  $Rm_i$ . Then,

$$\text{Ann}_R \left( \frac{Rm_i}{I_1m_i} \right) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R \left( \frac{Rm_i}{I_2m_i} \right) \subseteq \text{Ann}_R \left( \frac{Rm_i}{I_3m_i} \right) \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of ideals of  $R$ . Since  $\text{Ann}_R \left( \frac{Rm_i}{I_k m_i} \right) = I_k + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)$ ,

$$(I_1 + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M \subseteq (I_2 + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M \subseteq (I_3 + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M \subseteq \dots$$

is a chain of multiplication submodules of  $M$ . Hence, there exists a positive integer  $n$  such that for every  $k \geq n$ ,

$$(I_n + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M = (I_k + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} I_k m_i &= Rm_i \cap I_k M \subseteq Rm_i \cap (I_k + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M = Rm_i \cap (I_n + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) M \\ &= (I_n + \text{Ann}_R(m_i)) m_i = I_n m_i. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for every  $k \geq n$ , we have  $I_n m_i \subseteq I_k m_i$ . Therefore,  $Rm_i$  satisfies the ascending chain condition.

Conversely, suppose that for every  $i$ ,  $Rm_i$  satisfies the ascending chain condition. By Lemma 2.1, for every  $i$ ,  $I_iM$  is multiplication. Now, let

$$I_1M \subseteq I_2M \subseteq I_3M \subseteq \dots$$

be a chain of multiplication submodules of  $M$ . Then, we have

$$Rm_i \cap I_1M \subseteq Rm_i \cap I_2M \subseteq Rm_i \cap I_3M \subseteq \dots \quad (i = 1, \dots, j).$$

Since  $Rm_i \cap I_nM = I_n m_i$ , for every  $1 \leq i \leq j$  and  $n \geq 1$  and  $Rm_i$  satisfies ascending chain condition, then there exists  $r_i$  such that  $I_n m_i = I_{r_i} m_i$ , for every  $n \geq r_i$ . Now, we take  $r = \max\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_j\}$ . Then,  $I_n m_i = I_r m_i$ , for every  $n \geq r$ . Thus,

$$I_n M = I_n m_1 + I_n m_2 + \dots + I_n m_j = I_r m_1 + I_r m_2 + \dots + I_r m_j = I_r M,$$

for every  $n \geq r$ . Therefore,  $M$  satisfies ascending chain condition. □

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $M = Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_j$  be a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module. Let for every ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $Im_i = Rm_i \cap IM$  and  $M$  satisfy ascending (respectively, descending) chain condition of multiplication submodules. Then,*

- (1)  $M$  is a Noetherian (respectively, Artinian)  $R$ -module.
- (2)  $\frac{R}{Ann_R(M)}$  is a Noetherian (respectively, Artinian) ring.

*Proof.* (1) Suppose that  $M$  satisfies ascending chain condition of multiplication submodules. Then, by previous theorem, for every  $i$ ,  $Rm_i$  satisfies ascending chain condition of multiplication submodules. Every submodule of  $Rm_i$  is the form  $I_k m_i$ , where  $I_k$  is an ideal of  $R$ . By Lemma 2.1,  $I_k m_i$  is a multiplication submodule of  $Rm_i$ . Thus,  $Rm_i$  is Noetherian. Therefore, we conclude that  $Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_j$  is also Noetherian. The map  $\varphi : Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_j \rightarrow Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_j$  by  $\varphi(r_1 m_1, \dots, r_j m_j) = r_1 m_1 + \dots + r_j m_j$  is an epimorphism. Since the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker\psi \rightarrow Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_j \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, we conclude that  $M$  is Noetherian.

(2) We consider the map  $\psi : R \rightarrow Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_j$  by  $\psi(r) = (rm_1, \dots, rm_j)$  such that  $r \in R$ . Then,  $\psi$  is an  $R$ -homomorphism and  $\ker\psi = Ann_R(M)$ . Therefore,  $\frac{R}{Ann_R(M)}$  is isomorphic to a submodule of  $Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_j$ . Since  $Rm_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Rm_j$  is Noetherian and every submodule of a Noetherian module is Noetherian, we conclude that  $\frac{R}{Ann_R(M)}$  is Noetherian. □

**Definition 2.1.** An element  $m \in M$  is called *devisable* if for every  $r \in R \setminus Z(R)$ , there exists  $m' \in M$  such that  $m = rm'$ . If every element of  $M$  is devisable, then  $M$  is a *devisable module*. In other words,  $M$  is devisable if  $M = rM$  for every  $r \in R \setminus Z(R)$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $M = Rm_1 + \dots + Rm_j$  be a finitely generated multiplication  $R$ -module. If  $M \neq 0$  is a divisible module, then  $M$  is faithful. Moreover, if  $M$  is a faithful simple  $R$ -module, then  $M$  is a divisible  $R$ -module.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $r \in \text{Ann}_R(M)$ . Hence, for every  $m \in M$ ,  $rm = 0$ . Since  $M$  is divisible, for every  $m \in M$ ,  $r' \in R \setminus Z(R)$ , there exists  $m' \in M$  such that  $m = r'm'$ . Thus,  $rr'm = 0$ . Since  $M \neq 0$  and  $R$  is integral domain, we obtain  $r = 0$  and so  $M$  is faithful.

If  $M$  is faithful simple  $R$ -module, then  $M = RM$  and so  $M$  is divisible.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] M. M. Ali, *Idempotent and nilpotent submodules of multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra **36** (2008), 4620–4642.
- [2] A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra **71** (1981), 174–178.
- [3] Y. H. Cho, *On multiplication modules. IV*, Korean Ann. Math. **23** (2006), 77–83.
- [4] S. C. Lee, C. Sang and R. Varmazyar, *Semiprime submodules of graded multiplication modules*, J. Korean Math. Soc. **49** (2012), 435–447.
- [5] S. C. Lee, *Multiplication modules whose endomorphism rings are integral domains*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **47** (2010), 1053–1066.
- [6] A. Parkash, A.K. Maloo, *Distributive and multiplication modules*, Beitr. Algebra Geom. **52** (2011), 405–412.
- [7] A. A. Tuganbaev, *Multiplication modules*, Journal of Mathematical Sciences **132** (2004), 3839–3905.
- [8] A. A. Tuganbaev, *Multiplication modules and ideals*, Algebra. J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.) **136** (2006), 4116–4130.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
YAZD UNIVERSITY,  
YAZD, IRAN  
*E-mail address:* davvaz@yazd.ac.ir