Kragujevac Journal of Mathematics Volume 34 (2010), Pages 61–71.

ON SOME *n*-STARLIKE INTEGRAL OPERATORS

KUNLE OLADEJI BABALOLA

ABSTRACT. By a completion of a lemma of Babalola and Opoola [3], we prove that certain generalized integral operators preserve n-starlikeness in the open unit disk $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. Our results generalize, extend and improve many known ones.

1. Introduction

Let A be the class of functions

$$(1.1) f(z) = z + a_2 z^2 + \dots,$$

which are analytic in E. A function $f \in A$ is said to be starlike of order λ , $0 \le \lambda < 1$ if and only if, for $z \in E$,

Re
$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} > \lambda$$
.

Also a function $f \in A$ is said to be convex of order λ , $0 \le \lambda < 1$ if and only if, for $z \in E$,

Re
$$\left\{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}\right\} > \lambda$$
.

Let $S^*(\lambda)$ and $K(\lambda)$ denote, as usual, the classes of starlike and convex functions of order λ respectively. Salagean [17] introduced the operator D^n , $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as:

$$D^n f(z) = D(D^{n-1} f(z)) = z[D^{n-1} f(z)]'$$

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Analytic and univalent functions, n-starlikeness, starlike, Convex functions, Integral operators, Salagean derivatives.

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 30C45, 30C50 Secondary: 30C55. Received: March 30, 2009.

with $D^0 f(z) = f(z)$ and used it to generalize the concepts of starlikeness and convexity of functions in the unit disk as follows: a function $f \in A$ is said to belong to the classes $S_n(\lambda)$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} > \lambda.$$

For n = 0, 1, we have the classes of starlike and convex functions respectively. We refer to functions of the classes $S_n(\lambda)$ as n-starlike functions in the unit disk. For $\lambda = 0$ we simply write S^* , K and S_n .

Let $\beta > 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ be real numbers, γ and δ complex constants with $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \gamma$. For $f \in A$, the generalized integral operator

(1.2)
$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \left\{ \frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^{\gamma}} \int_0^z t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \quad \beta + \text{Re } \gamma \ge 0,$$

and its many special cases (for example: $\beta = \alpha = 1$, $\gamma = \delta$; $\beta = \alpha = 1$, $\gamma = \delta = 0$; $\beta = \alpha = 1$, $\gamma = 1$ and $\delta = 1 - \alpha$) have been studied repeatedly in many literatures [1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18] where f(z) belongs to some favored classes of functions. More general integral operators were studied in [13] where the authors used a new method of analysis to obtain results that are both more general and sharper than many earlier ones.

Let $\beta > 0$, $\alpha \ge 0$ be real numbers, γ and δ complex constants such that $\alpha + \delta = \beta + \gamma$. Define $\partial_0^j(z)^\beta = f(z)^\alpha$, j = 1, 2 and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ define

$$\mathcal{J}_m^1(f) = \left\{ \frac{(\beta + \gamma)^m}{z^{\gamma} \Gamma(m)} \int_0^z \left(\log \frac{z}{t} \right)^{m-1} t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}},$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \gamma \geq 0$ and

$$\mathcal{J}_m^2(f) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \beta + \gamma + m - 1 \\ \beta + \gamma - 1 \end{pmatrix} \frac{m}{z^{\gamma}} \int_0^z \left(1 - \frac{t}{z} \right)^{m-1} t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

also with $m-1+\operatorname{Re}\gamma\geq 0$.

The integrals $\mathcal{J}^{j}(f)$ are similar to the Jung-Kim-Srivastava one-parameter families of integral operators [7]. However, only in the case $\beta = \alpha = 1$ and γ real, then $\mathcal{J}^{j}(f)$ are special cases of those in [7]. Furthermore if m = 1, both integrals yield the integral operator (1.2).

In the present paper, we will study the integrals $\mathcal{J}^j(f)$ for f belonging to the classes $S_n(\lambda)$. Furthermore, if γ and δ are real constants we will obtain the best possible inclusion for $\mathcal{J}^j(f)$ given that $f \in S_n(\lambda)$. Natural corollaries to the main results of this

work are that: (i) for all real number β , $\alpha \geq 0$, the integrals $\mathcal{J}^{j}(f)$, j = 1, 2 preserve starlikeness and convexity in the open unit disk and that (ii) our result will improve and extend many known ones for all the many special cases. The main results are presented in Section 3 while we discuss the many special cases arising from taking m = 1 in Section 4.

In the next section we give some lemmas necessary for the proof of our results.

2. Preliminary Lemmas

Let P denote the class of functions $p(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \ldots$ which are regular in E and satisfy $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $z \in E$. We shall need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [2] Let $u = u_1 + u_2i$, $v = v_1 + v_2i$ and $\psi(u, v)$ a complex-valued function satisfying:

- (a) $\psi(u,v)$ is continuous in a domain Ω of \mathbb{C}^2 ,
- (b) $(1,0) \in \Omega$ and $\text{Re } \psi(1,0) > 0$,
- (c) Re $\psi(\lambda + (1 \lambda)u_2i, v_1) \leq \lambda$ when $(\lambda + (1 \lambda)u_2i, v_1) \in \Omega$ and $2v_1 \leq -(1 \lambda)(1 + u_2^2)$ for real number $0 \leq \lambda < 1$.

If $p \in P$ such that $(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \Omega$ and $\operatorname{Re} \psi(p(z), zp'(z)) > \lambda$ for $z \in E$, then $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > \lambda$ in E.

The above lemma is an abridged form of a more detail one in [2].

Lemma 2.2. [6] Let η and μ be complex constants and h(z) a convex univalent function in E satisfying h(0) = 1, and $\text{Re}(\eta h(z) + \mu) > 0$. Suppose $p \in P$ satisfies the differential subordination:

(2.1)
$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\eta p(z) + \mu} \prec h(z), \quad z \in E.$$

If the differential equation:

(2.2)
$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\eta q(z) + \mu} = h(z), \quad q(0) = 1$$

has univalent solution q(z) in E, then $p(z) \prec q(z) \prec h(z)$ and q(z) is the best dominant in (2.1).

The formal solution of (2.2) is given as

$$q(z) = \frac{zF'(z)}{F(z)} = \frac{\eta + \mu}{\eta} \left(\frac{H(z)}{F(z)}\right)^{\eta} - \frac{\mu}{\eta}$$

64

where

$$F(z)^{\eta} = \frac{\eta + \mu}{z^{\mu}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\mu - 1} H(t)^{\eta} dt$$

and

$$H(z) = z \cdot \exp\left(\int_0^z \frac{h(t) - 1}{t} dt\right)$$

(see [12], [19]). The authors in [12] gave sufficient conditions for the univalence of the solution, q(z), of (2.2) as well as some generalized univalent solutions for some given h(z).

The second part of the next lemma is the completion of Lemma 2.2 in [3].

Lemma 2.3. [3] Let $f \in A$ and $\zeta > 0$ be real.

(i) If for $z \in E$, $D^{n+1}f(z)^{\zeta}/D^nf(z)^{\zeta}$ is independent of n, then

(2.3)
$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{n}f(z)^{\zeta}} = \zeta \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)}.$$

(ii) The equality (2.3) also holds if $D^{n+1}f(z)/D^nf(z)$ is independent of $n, z \in E$.

Proof. The proof of the first part of the above lemma was presented in [3]. As for (ii), let $D^{n+1}f(z)/D^nf(z)$ assume the same value for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For n = 0, the assertion is easy to verify. Let n = 1. Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{D^2 f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^1 f(z)^{\zeta}} &= 1 + \frac{z f''(z)}{f'(z)} + (\zeta - 1) \frac{z f'(z)}{f(z)} \\ &= \frac{D^2 f(z)}{D^1 f(z)} + (\zeta - 1) \frac{D^1 f(z)}{D^0 f(z)}. \end{split}$$

Since $D^1f(z)/D^0f(z) = D^2f(z)/D^1f(z)$ we have

$$\frac{D^2 f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^1 f(z)^{\zeta}} = \zeta \frac{D^2 f(z)}{D^1 f(z)}.$$

Now suppose (2.3) holds for some integer k. Then

(2.4)
$$\frac{D^{k+2}f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{k+1}f(z)^{\zeta}} = \frac{D^{k+2}f(z)}{D^{k+1}f(z)} + (\zeta - 1)\frac{D^{k+1}f(z)}{D^{k}f(z)}.$$

Since $D^{k+1}f(z)/D^kf(z)$ has the same value for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we can write (2.4) as

$$\frac{D^{k+2}f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{k+1}f(z)^{\zeta}} = \frac{D^{k+2}f(z)}{D^{k+1}f(z)} + (\zeta - 1)\frac{D^{k+2}f(z)}{D^{k+1}f(z)}$$

which implies

$$\frac{D^{k+2} f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{k+1} f(z)^{\zeta}} = \zeta \frac{D^{k+2} f(z)}{D^{k+1} f(z)}.$$

Thus the lemma follows by induction.

Remark 2.1. Let $f \in S_n(\lambda)$. Then there exists $p \in P$ such that

$$\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^nf(z)} = \lambda + (1-\lambda)p(z)$$

independent of $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence for $f \in S_n(\lambda)$, the assertion of Lemma 2.2 holds. Thus we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{n} f(z)^{\zeta}} = \zeta \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^{n} f(z)} > \zeta \lambda.$$

In particular, if $\lambda=0$, then for $\zeta>0$ we have $\operatorname{Re}\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)^{\zeta}}{D^{n}f(z)^{\zeta}}>0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Re}\frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)}>0$.

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose for $\alpha > 0$, the real number λ is defined such that $0 \leq \alpha \lambda < 1$. If $f \in S_n(\lambda)$, then $\vartheta^j(f) \in S_n(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\lambda)$, j = 1, 2.

Proof. Let $f \in S_n(\lambda)$ have the form (1.1). If $\alpha = 0$, then $\mathcal{J}^j(f) = z$ by evaluation using the Beta and Gamma functions. Thus the result holds trivially in this case. Suppose $\alpha > 0$, then we can write

$$f(z)^{\alpha} = z^{\alpha} + A_2(\alpha)z^{\alpha+1} + \dots$$

where $A_k(\alpha)$, $k = 2, 3, \ldots$, depends on the coefficients a_k of f(z) and the index α . Thus evaluating the integrals in series form, also using the Beta and Gamma functions and noting that

$$\binom{\sigma}{\gamma} = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma+1)}{\Gamma(\sigma-\gamma+1)\Gamma(\gamma+1)}$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{J}_m^1(f)^{\beta} = z^{\beta} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\beta + \gamma}{\beta + \gamma + k - 1} \right)^m A_k(\alpha) z^{\beta + k - 1}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}_m^2(f)^{\beta} = z^{\beta} + \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m)}{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + k - 1)}{\Gamma(\beta + \gamma + m + k - 1)} A_k(\alpha) z^{\beta + k - 1}.$$

From the above series expansions we can see that $\mathcal{J}_0^j(f)^\beta = f(z)^\alpha$, j = 1, 2 are well defined. Also from the series expansions we find the recursive relation

(3.1)
$$\mu \mathcal{J}_{m}^{j}(z)^{\beta} + z(\mathcal{J}_{m}^{j}(f)^{\beta})' = \xi \mathcal{J}_{m-1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

where $\mu = \gamma$ and $\xi = \beta + \gamma$ for j = 1 and $\mu = \gamma + m - 1$ and $\xi = \beta + \gamma + m - 1$ if j = 2. Furthermore let $\mu = \mu_1 + \mu_2 i$. Now applying the operator D^n on (3.1) we have

$$\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^j(f)^\beta}{D^n\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^j(f)^\beta} = \frac{\mu D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^\beta + D^{n+2}\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^\beta}{\mu D^n\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^\beta + D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^\beta}.$$

Let
$$p(z) = \frac{D^{n+1} \mathcal{J}_m^j(z)^{\beta}}{D^n \mathcal{J}_m^j(z)^{\beta}}$$
. Then

(3.2)
$$\frac{D^{n+1}\partial_{m-1}^{j}(z)^{\beta}}{D^{n}\partial_{m-1}^{j}(z)^{\beta}} = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\mu + p(z)}.$$

Define

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\mu + p(z)}$$
 for $\Omega = [\mathbb{C} - \{-\mu\}] \times \mathbb{C}$.

Obviously ψ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 2.1. Now let $0 \le \lambda_0 = \alpha \lambda < 1$. Then

$$\psi(\lambda_0 + (1 - \lambda_0)u_2i, v_1) = \lambda_0 + (1 - \lambda_0)u_2i + \frac{v_1}{\mu + (\lambda_0 + (1 - \lambda_0)u_2i)}$$

so that

Re
$$\psi(\lambda_0 + (1 - \lambda_0)u_2i, v_1) = \lambda_0 + \frac{(\mu_1 + \lambda_0)v_1}{(\mu_1 + \lambda_0)^2 + (\mu_2 + (1 - \lambda_0)u_2)^2}$$
.

If $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda_0)(1+u_2^2)$, then $\operatorname{Re}\psi(\lambda_0+(1-\lambda_0)u_2i,v_1) \leq \lambda_0$ if and only if $\mu_1+\lambda_0\geq 0$. This is true if $\operatorname{Re}\mu=\mu_1\geq 0$ since λ_0 is nonnegative. Thus by Lemma 2.1, if $\operatorname{Re}\mu\geq 0$, then $\operatorname{Re}\psi(p(z),zp'(z))>\lambda_0$ implies $\operatorname{Re}p(z)>\lambda_0$. That is

Re
$$\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^{\beta}}{D^n\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^{\beta}} > \lambda_0$$
 if Re $\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^j(f)^{\beta}}{D^n\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^j(f)^{\beta}} > \lambda_0$.

Since $\mathcal{J}_0^j(f)^\beta = f(z)^\alpha$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)^{\alpha}}{D^{n} f(z)^{\alpha}} > \lambda_{0} \implies \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1} \mathcal{J}_{1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}}{D^{n} \mathcal{J}_{1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}} > \lambda_{0} \implies \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1} \mathcal{J}_{2}^{j}(f)^{\beta}}{D^{n} \mathcal{J}_{2}^{j}(f)^{\beta}} > \lambda_{0} \implies \dots$$

and so on for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 2.2, we have:

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^{n}f(z)} > \frac{\lambda_{0}}{\alpha} \implies \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{1}^{j}(f)}{D^{n}\mathcal{J}_{1}^{j}(z)} > \frac{\lambda_{0}}{\beta} \implies \operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{2}^{j}(f)}{D^{n}\mathcal{J}_{2}^{j}(f)} > \frac{\lambda_{0}}{\beta} \implies \dots$$

and so on for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$. By setting $\lambda_0 = \alpha \lambda$ we have Theorem 3.1.

The next theorem will lead us to the best possible inclusion relations.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\alpha \geq 0$. Suppose for $\alpha > 0$, the real number λ is defined such that $0 \leq \alpha \lambda < 1$. If

Re
$$\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}}{D^{n}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}} > \alpha\lambda$$
, then $\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{m}^{j}(f)^{\beta}}{D^{n}\mathcal{J}_{m}^{j}(f)^{\beta}} \prec q(z)$

where

(3.3)
$$q(z) = \frac{z^{1+\mu}(1-z)^{-2(1-\alpha\lambda)}}{\int_0^z t^{\mu}(1-t)^{-2(1-\alpha\lambda)}dt} - 1$$

and $\mu = \gamma$ for j = 1 and $\mu = \gamma + m - 1$ for j = 2.

Proof. As in the previous theorem, the case $\alpha = 0$ holds trivially. Now for $\alpha > 0$, let $0 \le \lambda_0 = \alpha \lambda < 1$ and suppose

Re
$$\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}}{D^{n}\mathcal{J}_{m-1}^{j}(f)^{\beta}} > \lambda_{0}.$$

Then from (3.2), we have

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\mu + p(z)} \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\lambda_0)z}{1 - z}$$

Now by considering the differential equation

$$q(z) + \frac{zq'(z)}{\mu + q(z)} = \frac{1 + (1 - 2\lambda_0)z}{1 - z}$$

whose univalent solution is given by (3.3) (see [12]), then by Lemma 2.2 we have the subordination

$$p(z) = \frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^\beta}{D^n\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)^\beta} \prec q(z) \prec \frac{1 + (1 - 2\lambda_0)z}{1 - z},$$

where q(z) is the best dominant, which proves the theorem.

4. The special case m=1

In this section we discuss the integral (1.2), which coincides with the case m=1 of both integrals $\mathcal{J}_m^j(f)$. In particular we take $\lambda=0$. In this case, our first corollary, a simple one from Theorem 3.1, is the following:

Corollary 4.1. The classes S_n is closed under $\mathcal{J}(f)$.

This result is more general than the result of Miller et-al [13] (Theorem 2, pg. 162) in which case $\delta = \gamma$. A major breakthrough with our method is the fact that the integral (1.2) passes through, preserving all the geometry (starlikeness and convexity for example) of f without having to drop any member of the sets on which the

parameters $\alpha \geq 0$ and $\beta > 0$ were defined, which was not the case in many earlier works. This will become more evident in the following more specific cases (cf. [13]).

(i) If
$$\alpha + \delta = \beta + \gamma = 1$$
, we have

Corollary 4.2. If $f \in S_n$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \left\{ z^{\beta - 1} \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(t)}{t} \right)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = z + \dots$$

also belongs to S_n .

(ii) If
$$\alpha + \delta = 1$$
, $\beta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, we have

Corollary 4.3. If $f \in S_n$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \int_0^z \left(\frac{f(t)}{t}\right)^\alpha dt = z + \dots$$

also belongs to S_n .

(iii) If
$$\alpha + \delta = \beta + \gamma = \alpha + \eta + \gamma$$
, we have

Corollary 4.4. If $f \in S_n$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \left\{ \frac{\alpha + \gamma + \eta}{z^{\gamma}} \int_{0}^{z} t^{\gamma + \eta} f(t)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = z + \dots$$

also belongs to S_n .

From the above corollary, we can obtain various sequences of starlike and convex functions (and more generally, of S_n functions): For example if $\gamma + \eta = 1$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\eta = k = 0, 1, 2, ...$; and if $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha = 1$ and $\eta = k = 0, 1, 2, ...$ we obtain, respectively, the following sequences of S_n functions:

$$\left\{2z^{k-1}\int_0^z f(t)dt\right\}^{\frac{1}{k+1}} = z + \dots, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and

$$\left\{ (k+1) \int_0^z t^{k-1} f(t) dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{k+1}} = z + \dots, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

For starlike functions, the above sequences are due to Miller et-al [13].

Next we consider the best possible inclusion for the integral $\mathcal{J}(f)$ for two cases $\mu = 0, 1$. For these two cases, we have

$$q(z) = \frac{1}{1-z}, \quad \mu = 0,$$

and

$$q(z) = \frac{z^2}{(1-z)[(1-z)\ln(1-z)+z]} - 1, \quad \mu = 1.$$

But $\operatorname{Re} q(z) \ge q(-r)$ for $|z| \le r < 1$. Thus we have

Re
$$q(z) \ge \frac{1}{1+r}$$
, $\mu = 0$,

and

Re
$$q(z) \ge \frac{1}{(1+r)[(1+r)\ln(1+r)-r]} - 1$$
, $\mu = 1$.

Letting $r \to 1^-$, we have Re $q(z) > \rho$ (say), $z \in E$. Since

$$\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}(f)^{\beta}}{D^{n}\mathcal{J}(f)^{\beta}} \prec q(z),$$

we have

Re
$$\frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}(f)^{\beta}}{D^n\mathcal{J}(f)^{\beta}} > \rho$$
.

By Lemma 2.3, this implies

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{D^{n+1}\mathcal{J}(f)}{D^n\mathcal{J}(f)} > \frac{\rho}{\beta}$$

so that the following best possible inclusions follow.

Corollary 4.5. Let $f \in S_n$. If δ is a real number and $\gamma = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \left\{ \beta \int_0^z t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = z + \dots$$

belongs to $S_n(\frac{1}{2\beta})$.

Corollary 4.6. Let $f \in S_n$. If δ is a real number and $\gamma = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \left\{ \frac{\beta + 1}{z} \int_0^z t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{\beta}} = z + \dots$$

belongs to $S_n(\frac{3-4\ln 2}{2\beta(2\ln 2-1)})$.

On the final note, if we take $\beta=1$ in Corollaries 4.5 and 4.6 we then have the following special cases

Corollary 4.7. Let $f \in S_n$. If δ is a real number and $\gamma = 0$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \int_0^z t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt = z + \dots$$

belongs to $S_n(\frac{1}{2})$.

Corollary 4.8. Let $f \in S_n$. If δ is a real number and $\gamma = 1$, then

$$\mathcal{J}(f) = \frac{2}{z} \int_0^z t^{\delta - 1} f(t)^{\alpha} dt = z + \dots$$

belongs to $S_n(\frac{3-4 \ln 2}{2(2 \ln 2-1)})$.

Miller et-al [13] proved that if $f \in S^*$, then $\mathcal{J}(f) \in S^*(\frac{\sqrt{17}-3}{4})$ and also if $f \in K$, then $\mathcal{J}(f) \in K(\frac{\sqrt{17}-3}{4})$, which our last corollary has now raised to their best-possible status.

Acknowledgment: This work was carried out at the Centre for Advanced Studies in Mathematics, CASM, Lahore University of Management Sciences, Lahore, Pakistan during the author's postdoctoral fellowship at the Centre. The author is indebted to all staff of CASM for their hospitality.

References

- [1] S. Abdulhalim, On a class of analytic functions involving the Salagean differential operator, Tamkang J. Math. 23 (1) (1992), 51–58.
- [2] K. O. Babalola and T. O. Opoola, Iterated integral transforms of Caratheodory functions and their applications to analytic and univalent functions, Tamkang J. Math. 37 (4) (Winter 2006), 355–366.
- [3] K. O. Babalola and T. O. Opoola, Radius problem for a certain family of analytic and univalent functions, Advances in Inequalities for Series, Nova Science Publishers (Edited by S. S. Dragomir and A. Sofo) (2008), 19–24.
- [4] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike functions, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 135 (1969), 429–446.
- [5] W. M. Causey, The univalence of an integral, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (3) (1971), 500–502.
- [6] P. Eenigenburg, S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential surbordination, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 29 (1984), 567–573.
- [7] I. B. Jung, Y. C. Kim and H. M. Srivastava, The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 176 (1993), 138–147.
- [8] Z. Lewandowski, S. Miller and E. Zlotkiewicz, Generating functions for some classes of univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1) (1976), 111–117.
- [9] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 755–758.
- [10] J. Liu, Some applications of certain integral operators, Kyungpook Math. J. 43 (2003), 211–219.
- [11] E. P. Merkes and D. J. Wright, On the univalence of a certain integral, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 27 (1) (1971), 97–100.
- [12] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, *Univalent solution of Briot-Bouquet differential equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer Berlin/Heidelberg **1013** (1983), 292–310.
- [13] S. S. Miller, P. T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, Starlike integral operators, Pacific J. Math. 79 (1) (1978), 157–168.
- [14] M. Nunokawa, On the univalence of certain integral, Proc. Japan Acad. 45 (10) (1969), 841–845.
- [15] T. O. Opoola, On a new subclass of univalent functions, Mathematica (Cluj) 36, 59 (2)(1994), 195–200.
- [16] W. C. Royster, On the univalence of a certain integral, Michigan Math. J. 12 (1965), 385–387.

- [17] G. S. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Lecture Notes in Math. 1013 (1983), 362–372. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York.
- [18] R. Singh, On Bazilevic functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 261–271.
- [19] H. M. Srivastava and A. Y. Lashin, Some applications of the Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, J. Inequal. Pure and Appl. Math. 6(2) Art. 41 (2005), 1–7.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ILORIN ILORIN, NIGERIA

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: babalola.ko@unilorin.edu.ng; kobabalola@gmail.com}$