

Kragujevac J. Math. 23 (2001) 93–100.

H(τ) HAS FUNCTIONAL ATTRIBUTES

G. A. Dawodu^a and A. A. Afolabi^b

^a*Department of Mathematical Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, University of Agriculture, Abeokuta, Nigeria, and*

^b*Mathematics Department, University of Lagos, Akoka Yaba, Lagos, Nigeria*

(Received March 1, 1999)

Abstract. The theory of functions of several complex variables which is a natural development of the theory of functions of one complex variable, has come to the fore due to effective applications of the method of this theory in a variety of sciences.

On the theory, Boulami [1] created an algebraic structure, this structure we found to be interesting as it has some attributes in the area of functional analysis when an appropriate metric is defined upon it. This paper is based majorly on the theorems of Hartog.

1. PRELIMINARIES

In an n -dimensional complex space C^n the point $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is n -tuppled and each $z_k = x_{2k-1} + ix_{2k}$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The complex space C^n may be interpreted as an ordinary Euclidean space of the real variables x_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$ of dimension $2n$ hence $C^n \equiv R^{2n}$. For this reason, the notions of an open and a closed region, an interior, an exterior and a boundary point, a δ -neighbourhood of a point z^0 etc, can be explained from R^{2n} point of view. For instance the set $C(\delta, z^0)$ contains points $z \in C^n$ that satisfy the condition:

$$|z_k - z_k^0| < \delta_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

where the symbol $\delta = (\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_n)$ stands for an ordered collection of real numbers $\delta_k > 0$, $D(r, z^0) \equiv C(r, z^0)$. $r = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n)$, $r_k > 0$ is called a disk centred at $z^0 = (z_1^0, z_2^0, \dots, z_n^0)$. Let $\omega = f(z)$ be a function defined on $A \subset C^n$ then $\omega_k = f_k(z_k)$ where z_k is obtained by fixing all z_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, n$.

Suppose for arbitrarily fixed values: $z_1^0, z_2^0, \dots, z_{k-1}^0, z_{k+1}^0, \dots, z_n^0$ each function ω_k is analytic in the corresponding domains $A_k \subset C^n$ then

$$\frac{\partial \omega_k}{\partial z_k} = \lim_{\Delta z_k \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_k + \Delta z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n) - f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{\Delta z_k}$$

exists and $\omega = f(z)$ is analytic in C^n (Hartog's). Let τ be a cover of C^n and let $\phi : \tau \rightarrow C$ be a function of class C^1 on τ then:

$$d\phi = \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_j} dz_j + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_j} d\bar{z}_j$$

where

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2j-1}} - i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2j}} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \bar{z}_j} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2j-1}} + i \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2j}} \right)$$

since

$$2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial z_j} = \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2j-1}} \frac{\partial x_{2j-1}}{\partial z_j} + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_{2j}} \frac{\partial x_{2j}}{\partial z_j},$$

$\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_{2j-1}} = 1$ and $\frac{\partial z_j}{\partial x_{2j}} = i$. The set of all analytic function in τ is denoted by $H(\tau)$.

Notations: We adopt the following notations for the sake of brevity:

- By bd we mean the boundary of a polydisk $d = d_1 x d_2 x \dots d_n x$ in C^n where each d_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ is a disk in the complex plane C .
- For any $\beta \in N^m$ there are m-tuples that is $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m) \in N^m$
- $\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j = \beta_1 + \beta_2 + \dots + \beta_m$
- $z^\beta = \prod_{j=1}^m z_j^{\beta_j}$
- $\partial^\beta = \frac{\partial^{\sum_{j=1}^m \beta_j}}{\prod_{j=1}^m \partial z_j^{\beta_j}}$

- $D_I = \partial/\partial x_I$ so that $D_{2k-1} = \partial/\partial x_{2k-1}$ and $D_{2k} = \partial/\partial x_{2k}$
- The smallest convex set in $T \subset \tau$ denoted by Δ
- $T \equiv \Delta^n$ and $bT \equiv b\Delta^n$
- Ω is a cover of C such that $\Omega^n \equiv \tau$.

Cauchy's theorem for a triangle. *Suppose Δ is a closed triangle in a plane open set Ω , $p \in \Omega$, f is continuous on Ω and $f \in H(\Omega - p)$ then*

$$\int_{b\Delta} f(z)dz = 0.$$

Morera's theorem. *Suppose f is a continuous complex function in an open set Ω such that:*

$$\int_{b\Delta} f(z)dz = 0.$$

For every closed triangle $\Delta \subset \Omega$ then $f \in H(\Omega)$.

Remark.

- The above theorems are valid for a single complex variable z . For $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$, we merely repeat their applications as in:

$$\int_{bT} \phi(z)dz \leq \int_{b\Delta} \int_{b\Delta} \dots \int_{b\Delta} f_1(z_1)f_2(z_2)\dots f_n(z_n)dz_1dz_2\dots dz_n = 0$$

- The theorems also apply for $f \in H(\Omega)$. For more explanation as well as the proofs of the theorems see Rudin [6] pp. 221-224.

2. RESULTS

Theorem. *The set $H(\tau)$ is a ring and a vector space over C .*

Proof. Let $f, g \in H(\tau)$ and α, β scalars, then $\alpha f, \beta g \in H(\tau)$ now:

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha f &= \alpha f(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \\ &= \alpha F(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{2n}) \\ &= \alpha u^f(x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{2k-1}) + i\alpha v^f(x_2, x_4, \dots, x_{2k}) \\ &= \alpha u^f + i\alpha v^f, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n.\end{aligned}$$

similarly, $\beta = \beta u^g + i\beta v^g$ hence:

$$\alpha f + \beta g = \alpha u^f + \beta u^g + i(\alpha v^f + \beta v^g) = U + iV.$$

By the $C - R$ equations;

$$D_{2k-1}\alpha u^f = D_{2k}\alpha v^f, \quad D_{2k}\alpha u^f = -D_{2k-1}\alpha v^f$$

and

$$D_{2k-1}\beta u^g = D_{2k}\beta v^g, \quad D_{2k}\beta u^g = -D_{2k-1}\beta v^g, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, 2n,$$

then;

$$D_{2k-1}U = D_{2k-1}(\alpha u^f + \beta u^g) = D_{2k}(\alpha v^f + \beta v^g) = D_{2k}V$$

and

$$D_{2k}U = D_{2k}(\alpha u^f + \beta u^g) = -D_{2k-1}(\alpha v^f + \beta v^g) = -D_{2k-1}V$$

the $C - R$ equations are satisfied, consequently; $\alpha f + \beta g = \beta g + \alpha f \in H(\tau)$.

It is easy to see that: $(\alpha + \beta)f = \alpha f + \beta f = f(\alpha + \beta) \in H(\tau)$. As before,

$$h(z) = h(x_i) = U^h + iV^h, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$$

and

$$g = U^g + iV^g$$

then;

$$gh = (U^g + iV^g)(U^h + iV^h) = (U^gU^h - V^gV^h) + i(V^gU^h + U^gV^h) = U + iV,$$

then

$$D_{2k-1}U = D_{2k-1}(U^gU^h - V^gV^h) = D_{2k}(V^gU^h + U^gV^h) = D_{2k}V.$$

Also

$$D_{2k-1}V = D_{2k-1}(V^gU^h + U^gV^h) = -D_{2k}(U^gU^h - V^gV^h) = -D_{2k}U.$$

It is easy to see that:

$$\alpha f(\beta g) = \beta \alpha g f \in H(\tau)$$

and

$$(g + f)h = gh + fh = h(g + f) \in H(\tau).$$

Thus the proof is established.

Theorem. Let d be a polydisk covering of C^n and let ϕ be a continuous function in \bar{d} which on d is an analytic function relative to each of coordinate z_j when other coordinates are fixed in τ . Then $\phi \in C^\infty \cap H(d)$ and

$$\phi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i^n} \oint_{bd} \frac{\phi(\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n)}{(\xi_1 - z_1)(\xi_2 - z_2)\dots(\xi_n - z_n)} d\xi_1 d\xi_2 \dots d\xi_n, \quad z \in d.$$

Proof. For every $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the function $z_k \mapsto \phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)$ is continuous in \bar{d}_k and is analytic in d_k , the other coordinates, i. e., $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{k-1}, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_n$ being fixed as $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, \alpha_{k+1}, \dots, \alpha_n$. By the Cauchy integral formula in one variable, we have:

$$\phi_k(z_1, z_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{bd_k} \frac{\phi(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_{k-1}, z_k, \alpha_{k+1}, \alpha_{k+2}, \dots, \alpha_n)}{(z_k - \alpha_k)} dz_k.$$

We have

$$\phi_k(\alpha_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{bd_1} \frac{\phi(z_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n)}{(z_1 - \alpha_1)} dz_1.$$

Now let $\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_n$ be constants and vary z_2 whilst $z_1 \in bd_1$, remains *asd* it is, then from the function $z_2 \mapsto \phi(z_1, z_2, \alpha_3, \dots, \alpha_n)$ which is analytic in d_2 and continuous in \bar{d}_2 we have

$$\phi_k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, z_3, z_4, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{bd_2} \frac{\phi(z_1, z_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \dots, \alpha_n)}{(z_2 - \alpha_2)} dz_2.$$

If we continue in this fashion and combine our result, we have

$$\phi_k(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i^n} \oint_{bd} \frac{\phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)}{(z_1 - \alpha_1)(z_2 - \alpha_2) \dots (z_n - \alpha_n)} dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_n.$$

By interchanging between α_j and z_j , $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the theorem is proved. Also the integrand in the above equation is of class C^∞ and it is analytic with respect to z hence $\phi \in C^\infty \cap H(d)$

Corollary. *Let τ be a covering of C^m , then for any compact $T \subset \tau$ and any neighbourhood covering d of T and $\beta = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$ there exist constant C_β such that for all $\phi \in H(\tau)$*

$$lub_T |\partial^\beta \phi| \leq C_\beta \|\phi\|_{L^1(d)}.$$

Proof. Suppose that $d = d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n$ covers any polydisk containing T . The proof follows from the repeated use of Cauchy's inequality and the fact that T is covered by a finite number of polydisk each of which is contained in d :

$$lub_T \left| \frac{\partial^\beta \phi}{\partial z_1^{\beta_1}} \right| \leq C_{\beta_1} \oint_{d_1} |\phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)| dx_1 dx_2.$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} lub_T \left| \frac{\partial \beta_1 + \beta_2 \phi}{\partial z_1^{\beta_1} \partial z_2^{\beta_2}} \right| &\leq C_{\beta_2} \oint_{d_2} \left| \frac{\partial \beta_1}{\partial z_1^{\beta_1}} \phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m) \right| dx_3 dx_4 \\ &\leq C_{\beta_1} C_{\beta_2} \oint_{d_1 \times d_2} |\phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)| dx_1 dx_2 dx_3 dx_4. \end{aligned}$$

continuing in this fashion, we have

$$lub_T |\partial^\beta \phi| \leq C_\beta \oint_d |\phi(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m)| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_{2m}.$$

Theorem. Let (ϕ_m) be a sequence of analytic functions in τ which converges uniformly on a compact subset T of τ to a function ϕ then $\phi \in H(\tau)$.

Proof. Let $\phi_{m_i} \in H(\tau)$ for $m_i = 1, 2, \dots$ $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\phi_{m_i} \rightarrow \phi$ uniformly on the compact subset T of τ . Then the convergence is uniform on each compact disc in τ , ϕ is continuous, since T is compact, then

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{bT} \phi(z) dz &= \lim_{m_i \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{bT} \phi_{m_i}(z) dz \\ &\leq \lim_{m_1 \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m_2 \rightarrow \infty} \cdots \lim_{m_n \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{b\Delta} \oint_{b\Delta} \cdots \oint_{b\Delta} f_1(z_1) f_2(z_2) \dots f_n(z_n) dz_1 dz_2 \dots dz_n = 0 \end{aligned}$$

since each

$$\lim_{m_i \rightarrow \infty} \oint_{b\Delta} f_i(z_i) dz_i = 0,$$

by Cauchy's theorem. Hence Morera's theorem implies that $\phi \in H(\tau)$.

Corollary. By defining the metric:

$$d(\phi_j, \phi_k) = \begin{cases} 0, & j = k, \\ |\phi_j - \phi_k|, & j \neq k \end{cases}$$

as that induced by the norm

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1(d)} \geq \frac{1}{C_\beta} \text{lub}_T |\partial^\beta \phi|.$$

$(H(\tau), d)$ is Banach whilst $(H(T), d)$ is a normed space of complex functions with respect to pointwise addition and scalar multiplication.

Proof. It suffices it to show that

$$\|\phi\|_{L^1(d)} \geq \frac{1}{C_\beta} \text{lub}_T |\partial^\beta \phi|$$

induces $d(\phi_j, \phi_k)$, see Simmons [7] p. 81.

References

- [1] A. Boulami, *Elementary properties of many variables complex functions*, Lecture note on 6th Seminaire groupe interfrocaïn de recherche en analyse, geometrie et applications, Lokossa 8th – 18th Dec., 1996.
- [2] Y. Cheh-chih, *Bellman-Bihari integral inequalities in several independent variables*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **87** (1982), 311–321.
- [3] R.C. Gunning, & H. Rossi, *Analytic functions of several complex variables*, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewoods Cliffs, N.J., 1965.
- [4] J. Hass, *Singular curves and the plateau problem*, Int. J. Math. **2** (1991), 1–16.
- [5] M.M. Lipschultz, *Differential geometry*, Schamn's outline series, McGraw Hill Book Co., New-York, 1969.
- [6] W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, (2nd Edition), Tata McGraw hill publishing Co. Ltd., New Delhi, 1980.
- [7] G.F. Simmons, *Introduction to topology and Modern Analysis*, (International student Edition), McGraw hill International Book Co. Inc., 1963.
- [8] I.S. Sokolnikoff & R.M. Redheffer, *Mathematics of physics and modern engineering*, (2nd Edition), McGraw-Hill Kogakusha, ltd., Tokyo, 1958.
- [9] A. Sveshnikov & A. Tikhonov, *The theory of functions of a complex variable*, Mir publishers Moscow, 1974.