

GENERALIZED ALGEBRAIC COMPLEMENT
AND MOORE-PENROSE INVERSE

P. Stanimirović and M. Stanković

Abstract. *In this paper we prove the well known determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose generalized inverse of matrices, introduced by Moore, Arghiriade, Dragomir and Gabriel on an elementary way.*

It is shown that determinantal representation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 2, 4\}$ and $\{1, 2\}$ inverses can be obtained in a similar way.

Finally, we present a short proof for the determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose solution of a system of linear equations.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{C}^n be the n -dimensional complex vector space, $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ the set of $m \times n$ complex matrices, and $\mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} : rank(X) = r\}$. The matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is called the matrix of the full rank if $rank(A) = \min\{m, n\}$. The adjugate matrix of a square matrix B will be denoted by $adj(B)$ and its determinant by $|B|$. Conjugate, transpose and conjugate-transpose matrix of A will be denoted by \bar{A} , A^T and A^* respectively. Submatrix and minor of A containing rows $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$ and columns β_1, \dots, β_t are denoted by $A \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_t \end{bmatrix}$ and $A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$ respectively, and its algebraic complement corresponding to element a_{ji} is $A_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{p-1} & i & \alpha_{p+1} \dots \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{q-1} & j & \beta_{q+1} \dots \beta_t \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^{p+q} A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} \dots \alpha_t \\ \beta_1 \dots \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} \dots \beta_t \end{pmatrix}$. For any matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, vector $x \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, matrix obtained by replacing the j th column of A with x is denoted by $A(j \rightarrow x)$.

Penrose [12] has shown the existence and uniqueness of a solution $X \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$ of the equations

(1) $AXA = A$, (2) $XAX = X$, (3) $(AX)^* = AX$, (4) $(XA)^* = XA$
for $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$.

For a subset S of the set $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ the set of matrices G obeying the conditions represented in S will be denoted by $A\{S\}$. A matrix G in $A\{S\}$ is called an S -inverse of A and denoted by $A^{(S)}$. In particular for any $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ the set

Received 20.02.1994

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A09, 15A60, 65F35

Supported by Grant 0401A of RFNS through Math. Inst. SANU

$A\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ consists of a single element, The Moore-Penrose inverse of A , denoted by A^\dagger .

In the following theorem has described an useful representation of $\{i, j, k\}$ generalized inverses [13].

Theorem 1.1. Let $A = PQ$ be a full-rank factorization [9] of $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{n \times n}$. If $W_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ and $W_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times m}$ are some matrices such that $\text{rank}(QW_1) = \text{rank}(W_2P) = \text{rank}(A) = r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} A^{(1,2)} &= W_1(QW_1)^{-1}(W_2P)^{-1}W_2 \\ A^{(1,2,3)} &= W_1(QW_1)^{-1}(P^*P)^{-1}P^* \\ A^{(1,2,4)} &= Q^*(QQ^*)^{-1}(W_2P)^{-1}W_2 \\ A^\dagger &= Q^\dagger P^\dagger = Q^*(QQ^*)^{-1}(P^*P)^{-1}P^*. \end{aligned}$$

Concept of determinant i.e. algebraic complement is intimately related to the concept of inversion of matrices.

Moore in [10], [11] announced the following identities, giving the element a_{ij}^\dagger of A^\dagger as the ratios of sums of determinants.

Theorem 1.2. For $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ the (i, j) -th element of A^\dagger is given by

$$(1.1) \quad a_{ij}^\dagger = \frac{\sum_{\substack{i_2 < \dots < i_r \\ j_2 < \dots < j_r}} A^* \begin{pmatrix} i & i_2 & \dots & i_r \\ j & j_2 & \dots & j_r \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} j_2 & \dots & j_r \\ i_2 & \dots & i_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{s_1 < \dots < s_r \\ t_1 < \dots < t_r}} A \begin{pmatrix} s_1 & \dots & s_r \\ t_1 & \dots & t_r \end{pmatrix} A^* \begin{pmatrix} t_1 & \dots & t_r \\ s_1 & \dots & s_r \end{pmatrix}}.$$

Arghiriade and Dragomir in [1] have generalized the concept of the algebraic complement to derive a determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a full-rank matrix. In this paper they did not cite the Moore's result.

Theorem 1.3. For a given full rank matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ generalized algebraic complement corresponding to the element a_{ij} is equal to

$$(1.2) \quad A_{ij}^\dagger = \begin{cases} \sum_{\beta_1 < \dots < j < \dots < \beta_m} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ \beta_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \beta_m \end{pmatrix} A_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ \beta_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \beta_m \end{pmatrix}, & m \leq n \\ \sum_{\alpha_1 < \dots < i < \dots < \alpha_n} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & \dots & i & \dots & n \end{pmatrix} A_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & \dots & i & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}, & n \leq m \end{cases};$$

the norm of A is

$$(1.3) \quad \|A\| = \begin{cases} \sum_{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_m \leq n} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_m \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & m \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_m \end{pmatrix}, & m \leq n \\ \sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n \leq m} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_n \\ 1 & \dots & n \end{pmatrix}, & n \leq m \end{cases},$$

and (i, j) -th element of the Moore-Penrose inverse $A^\dagger = \begin{cases} A^*(AA^*)^{-1}, & m \leq n \\ (A^*A)^{-1}A^*, & n \leq m \end{cases}$ is

$$\text{equal to } a_{ij}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\|A\|} A_{ij}^\dagger, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \leq i \leq n \\ 1 \leq j \leq m \end{pmatrix}.$$

In [8] R. Gabriel obtain the same result discovering an explicit formula for (i, j) -th entry of the matrix expressions $\frac{A^* \cdot adj(AA^*)}{|AA^*|}$ or $\frac{adj(A^*A) \cdot A^*}{|A^*A|}$.

In [5] is showed that determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse can be generalized to an arbitrary matrix and in that way derived again the determinantal representation originated by Moore.

Theorem 1.4. *Element lying on the i -row and j -column of the Moore-Penrose pseudoinverse of a given matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ can be represented in terms of determinant of square matrices as follows:*

$$(1.4) \quad a_{ij}^\dagger = \frac{A_{ij}^\dagger}{\|A\|} = \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r \leq m}} A \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix}}$$

Matrix whose elements are equal to A_{ij}^\dagger is written as $adj^\dagger(A)$ and called the *generalized adjoint matrix* of A .

In [6], [7], [8] R. Gabriel defined concept of the generalized algebraic complements and matrix norms of different orders, using matrices with elements taken from an arbitrary field.

The Moore-Penrose solution of a linear system $Ax = b$, $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is represented in terms of minors of A in [4].

Theorem 1.5. *The i th component of the Moore-Penrose solution x of the linear system $Ax = z$ possesses the following determinantal representation*

$$(1.5) \quad x_i^\dagger = \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m}} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & i & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} (A \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & i & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix})_{(i \rightarrow pz)}}{\|A\|},$$

where ${}_p z$ denotes the vector $\{z_{p_1}, \dots, z_{p_r}\}$.

The main results of this paper are as follows:

- (1) Obtain determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse using new method, based on a full rank factorization, Cauchy-Binet theorem and the known results for full rank matrices (Theorem 2.1).
- (2) Develop an elegant proof for the Moore-Penrose solution of systems of linear equations (Theorem 3.1).
- (3) Discover determinantal representation for $\{i, j, k\}$ generalized inverses and generalization of the algebraic complement of rectangular matrices (Theorem 2.2).

2. Determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse

Determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse we obtain as a trivial consequence of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. For a rectangular matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ and rectangular matrices $P \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times r}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}_r^{r \times n}$, such that $A = PQ$ the following equation is valid

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^r P_{jk} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & k & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} Q_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} = A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. Equation $A = PQ$ implies $P \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{bmatrix} Q \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_r \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_r \end{bmatrix}$. Suppose that i is contained in combination $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r$, and j is contained in combination $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r$, i.e. $\alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r = \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{p-1} < i < \alpha_{p+1} < \dots < \alpha_r$ and $\beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r = \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_{q-1} < j < \beta_{q+1} < \dots < \beta_r$. Then we obtain

$$P \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & r \end{bmatrix} Q \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r \end{bmatrix} = A \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r \end{bmatrix}.$$

An application of the theorem Cauchy-Binet leads to

$$\begin{aligned} A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} &= \\ \sum_{1 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_{r-1} \leq r} P \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \gamma_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \gamma_{r-1} \end{pmatrix} Q \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \gamma_{r-1} \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} &= \\ = \sum_{k=1}^r P \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_{p-1} & \alpha_{p+1} & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & k-1 & k+1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} Q \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & k-1 & \dots & k+1 & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_{q-1} & \beta_{q+1} & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which represents an equivalent form of the equation (2.1). ■

From Lemma 2.1, if $A = PQ$ is a full-rank factorization of A then $\text{adj}(A) = \text{adj}(Q) \cdot \text{adj}(P)$. In Lemma 2.2 is showed that *generalized adjoint matrix* of a rank deficient matrix A can be obtained as product of *generalized adjoint matrices* of two full rank matrices.

Lemma 2.2. If $A = PQ$ is a full rank factorization of A , then

$$\text{adj}^\dagger(A) = \text{adj}^\dagger(Q) \text{adj}^\dagger(P)$$

Proof. For a full rank matrix the proof is evident. Suppose now that $\text{rank}(A) < \min\{m, n\}$. An element lying on the i -th row and j -th column of $\text{adj}^\dagger(Q) \text{adj}^\dagger(P)$ can be evaluated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^r Q_{ik}^\dagger P_{kj}^\dagger &= \sum_{k=1}^r \left[\sum_{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n} \bar{Q} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} Q_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} \right] \times \\ &\times \left[\sum_{1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m} \bar{P} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} P_{jk} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} \right] = \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m \\ 1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n}} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} \sum_{k=1}^r P_{jk} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ 1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} Q_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & \dots & r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, an application of the Lemma 2.1 leads to $\sum_{k=1}^r Q_{ik}^\dagger P_{kj}^\dagger = A_{ij}^\dagger$. ■

In the following theorem we obtain determinantal representation of the Moore-Penrose inverse as an easily consequence of Lemma 2.2.

Theorem 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ and $r \leq \min\{m, n\}$. The element on the i -row and j -column of Moore-Penrose inverse A^\dagger of A can be expressed by

$$a_{ij}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\|A\|} A_{ji}^\dagger = \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} A \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}$$

Proof. Let $A = PQ$, $P \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times r}$, $Q \in \mathbb{C}_r^{r \times n}$ be a fullrank factorization of A . The matrices P and Q are rank maximal, and satisfy relations from Theorem 1.3. Furthermore, using $A^\dagger = Q^\dagger P^\dagger$, Lemma 2.2 and $\|P\| \|Q\| = \|A\|$, we obtain:

$$a_{ij}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\|P\| \|Q\|} \sum_{k=1}^r Q_{ik}^\dagger P_{kj}^\dagger = \frac{1}{\|A\|} A_{ij}^\dagger. \quad \blacksquare$$

Main properties of *generalized adjoint matrix*, obtained from *determinantal representation* of the Moore-Penrose inverse are presented in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.3. For $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ and a complex number c is valid:

- (i) $\text{adj}^\dagger(cA) = \bar{c}^r c^{r-1} \text{adj}^{\dagger(r)} A$;
- (ii) $\text{adj}^\dagger(A^*) = (\text{adj}^\dagger(A^T))^*$;
- (iii) $(cA)^\dagger = c^\dagger A^\dagger$, where $c^\dagger = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c} & \text{for } c \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } c = 0 \end{cases}$.

Proof. The well known result (iii) [2] follows from (i) and properties of $\|A\|$. ■

In a similar way, using Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 2.1, we introduce a determinantal representaton of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, $\{1, 2, 4\}$ and $\{1, 2\}$ inverses. For the briefness and theoretical sake we introduce the notion of the *universal algebraic complement*, representing a natural generalization of the *generalized algebraic complement* introduced in Theorem 1.3 and Theorem 1.4:

Definition 2.1. Let $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ and $R \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ ensures $\text{rank}(AR^*) = \text{rank}(R^*A) = r$. *Universal algebraic complement* of A is equal to

$$(2.2) \quad A_{ij}^{(\dagger, R)} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} \overline{R} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}.$$

Theorem 2.2. If $A \in \mathbb{C}_r^{m \times n}$ and $A = PQ$ is a full rank factorization of A , and if $W_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{s \times n}$ and $W_2 \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ are some matrices such that $\text{rank}(QW_1) = \text{rank}(W_2P) = \text{rank}(A)$, then (i, j) -elements $a_{ij}^{(1,2,3)} \in A^{(1,2,3)}$, $a_{ij}^{(1,2,4)} \in A^{(1,2,4)}$ and $a_{ij}^{(1,2)} \in A^{(1,2)}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{ij}^{(1,2,3)} &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} (W_1 P^*)^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r \leq m}} A \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix} (W_1 P^*)^T \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix}} \\
 a_{ij}^{(1,2,4)} &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} (Q^* W_2)^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r \leq m}} A \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix} (Q^* W_2)^T \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix}} \\
 a_{ij}^{(1,2)} &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} (W_1 W_2)^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}}{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq \delta_1 < \dots < \delta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \gamma_1 < \dots < \gamma_r \leq m}} A \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix} (W_1 W_2)^T \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 & \dots & \gamma_r \\ \delta_1 & \dots & \delta_r \end{pmatrix}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. It is easily from Definition 2.1 that

$$(2.3) \quad a_{ij}^{(1,2)} = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^r Q_{ik}^{(t, W_1^*)} \cdot P_{kj}^{(t, W_2^*)}}{|Q W_1| \cdot |W_2 P|}.$$

Denominator in (2.3) can be transformed using Theorem Cauchy-Binet. Also, using Lemma 2.1, it is trivial to verify

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{k=1}^r Q_{ik}^{(t, W_1^*)} \cdot P_{kj}^{(t, W_2^*)} &= A_{ij}^{(t, (W_1 W_2)^*)} = \\
 &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_r \leq m}} (W_1 W_2)^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix} A_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \dots & j & \dots & \alpha_r \\ \beta_1 & \dots & i & \dots & \beta_r \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Determinantal representation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and $\{1, 2, 4\}$ inverses can be developed in a similar way. ■

3. Moore-Penrose solution of a system of linear equations

In this section is presented a short proof of the known determinant representation of the Moore-Penrose solution of a linear system of equations, introduced in [4].

Theorem 3.1. *i -th component of the Moore-Penrose solution of a linear system $Ax = z$, $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, $x \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $z \in \mathbb{C}^m$ can be represented by the following determinantal representation:*

$$x_i^\dagger = \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m}} \bar{A} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & i & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} (A \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & \dots & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & i & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix})_{(i \rightarrow pz)}}{\|A\|},$$

where $_{pz}$ denotes the vector $\{z_{p_1}, \dots, z_{p_r}\}$.

Proof. We can find a full rank factorization of A : $A = BC$, $B \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times r}$, $C \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times n}$, so that $x^\dagger = C^\dagger B^\dagger z$. Hence the starting system $Ax = z$ splits up into the two equivalent systems $By = z$ and $Cx = y$. The Moore-Penrose solution $y^\dagger = B^\dagger z$ of the system $By = z$, $y \in \mathbb{C}^r$ is represented in [4, Theorem 1.]:

$$y_i^\dagger = \frac{\sum_{1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m} \overline{B} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} (B \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} (i \rightarrow pz))}{|B^* B|}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

In the second step the Moore-Penrose solution $x^\dagger = C^\dagger y^\dagger$ of the system $Cx = y$ is represented using an original method, as follows. Using $x^\dagger = C^*(CC^*)^{-1}y^\dagger$, it is easily that x_i^\dagger is equal to the scalar product of i th row of the matrix $\frac{1}{|CC^*|} \cdot C^* adj(CC^*)$ and the vector y^\dagger , $1 \leq i \leq n$:

$$x_i^\dagger = \frac{1}{|CC^*|} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=1}^r (C^* adj(CC^*))_{ik} y_k^\dagger \right).$$

Element on the i -th row and j -th column of the matrix $C^* adj(CC^*)$ is [7]:

$$(C^* adj(CC^*))_{ij} = \sum_{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n} \overline{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} C_{ji} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\dagger &= \frac{1}{|CC^*|} \sum_{k=1}^r \sum_{q_1 < \dots < q_r} \overline{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} C_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} \times \\ &\times \frac{1}{|B^* B|} \sum_{p_1 < \dots < p_r} \overline{B} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} (B \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} (k \rightarrow pz)) = \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m}} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} \left[\sum_{k=1}^r C_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} B \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} (k \rightarrow pz) \right]}{\|B\| \|C\|}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Laplace's development on the k th column of the square matrix $B \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} (k \rightarrow pz)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^\dagger &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m}} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} \left[\sum_{k=1}^r C_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} \sum_{l=1}^r z_{p_l} B_{p_l k} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} \right]}{\|A\|} = \\ &= \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m}} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} \left[\sum_{l=1}^r z_{p_l} \sum_{k=1}^r C_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \dots & r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} B_{p_l k} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ 1 & \dots & r \end{pmatrix} \right]}{\|A\|}. \end{aligned}$$

In accordance with Lemma 2.1, we obtain

$$x_i^\dagger = \frac{\sum_{\substack{1 \leq q_1 < \dots < q_r \leq n \\ 1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_r \leq m}} \overline{A} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^r z_{p_i} A_{p_i i} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix}}{\|A\|}.$$

Finally, using $\sum_{i=1}^r z_{p_i} A_{p_i i} \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} = A \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & \dots & p_r \\ q_1 & \dots & q_r \end{pmatrix} (i \rightarrow pz)$ we complete the proof. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] Arghiriade, E. et Dragomir, A., *Une nouvelle definition de l'inverse generalisee d'une matrice*, Lincei - Rend. Sc. fis. mat. e nat. **XXXV** (1963), 158-165.
- [2] Ben-Israel, A. and Grevile, T.N.E., *Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1974.
- [3] Ben-Israel, A., *Generalized inverses of matrices: a perspective of the work of Penrose*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **100** (1986), 407-425.
- [4] Berg, L., *Three results in connection with inverse matrices*, Linear Algebra Appl. **84** (1986), 63-77.
- [5] Gabriel, R., *Extinderea complementilor algebrici generalizati la matrici oarecare*, St. Cerc. Mat. **17 -Nr. 10** (1965), 1566-1581.
- [6] Gabriel, R., *Das verallgemeinerte inverse einer matrix, deren elemente einem beliebigen Körper angehören*, J. Rewie Ansew Math. **234** (1967), 107-122.
- [7] Gabriel, R., *Das verallgemeinerte inverse einer matrix, über einem beliebigen Körper - analytisch betrachtet*, J. Rewie Ansew Math. **244(V)** (1970), 83-93.
- [8] Gabriel, R., *Das allgemeine element des verallgemeinerten inversen von Moore-Penrose*, Rev. Roum. math. pures et appl., **XXVII**, No 6 (1982), 689-702.
- [9] Horn, R.A., Johnson, C.H.R., *Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [10] Moore, E.H., *On the reciprocal of the general algebraic matrix (Abstract)*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1920), 394-395.
- [11] Moore, E.H., *General Analysis, Part I*, The Amer. Philos. Soc., 1935.
- [12] Penrose, R., *A generalized inverse for matrices*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **51** (1955), 406-413.
- [13] Radić, M., *Some contributions to the inversion of rectangular matrices*, Glasnik matematički **1(21)** No. 1 (1966), 23-37.

University of Niš, Faculty of Philosophy, Departement of Mathematics, Ćirila i Metodija 2, 18000 Niš, Yugoslavia