



## Formulas for the Drazin Inverse of Matrices over Skew Fields

Lizhu Sun<sup>a,b</sup>, Baodong Zheng<sup>a</sup>, Shuyan Bai<sup>c</sup>, Changjiang Bu<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Science, Harbin Institute of Technology, Harbin 150001, PR China

<sup>b</sup>College of Science and Engineering, City university of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong

<sup>c</sup>College of Science, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China

<sup>d</sup>College of Automation, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China

**Abstract.** For two square matrices  $P$  and  $Q$  over skew fields, the explicit formulas for the Drazin inverse of  $P + Q$  are given in the cases of (i)  $PQ^2 = 0$ ,  $P^2QP = 0$ ,  $(QP)^2 = 0$ ; (ii)  $P^2QP = 0$ ,  $P^3Q = 0$ ,  $Q^2 = 0$ , which extend the results in [M.F. Martínez-Serrano et al., On the Drazin inverse of block matrices and generalized Schur complement, Appl. Math. Comput.] and [C. Deng et al., New additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse, J. Math. Anal. Appl.]. By using these formulas, the representations for the Drazin inverse of  $2 \times 2$  block matrices over skew fields are obtained, which also extend some existing results.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$  and  $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$  be the sets of all the  $m \times n$  matrices over the skew field  $\mathbb{K}$  and the complex field  $\mathbb{C}$ , respectively. For  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ , the *index* of  $A$  is the smallest nonnegative integer  $k$  such that  $\text{rank}(A^k) = \text{rank}(A^{k+1})$ , denoted by  $\text{Ind}(A)$ . The matrix  $X \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  is called the *Drazin inverse* of  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  if the following equations hold

$$A^{k+1}X = A^k, XAX = X, AX = XA,$$

$X$  is denoted by  $A^D$ . It is well-known that  $A^D$  exists and is unique [1]. When  $\text{Ind}(A) = 1$ ,  $A^D$  is called the *group inverse* of  $A$ , denoted by  $A^\#$ . If  $A$  is invertible, then  $A^D = A^{-1}$ . Throughout this paper, denote the identity matrix by  $I$  and  $A^\pi = I - AA^D$ . The Drazin inverse has many applications in singular differential equations and singular difference equations [2, 3], Markov chains [4, 5] and iterative methods [6].

For  $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , Drazin gave the explicit formula of  $(P + Q)^D$  in the case of  $PQ = QP = 0$  [7], which spurred the interest in additive formula of the Drazin inverse. And after that, there emerged many results on it. In 2001, the formula of  $(P + Q)^D$  was obtained when  $PQ = 0$  in [8]. In 2009, the representation of  $(P + Q)^D$  was established in the case of

$$P^2Q = 0, Q^2 = 0 \text{ (see[9])}. \tag{1}$$

---

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A09

Keywords. Skew field, Drazin inverse, Block matrix

Received: 21 September 2014; Accepted: 02 May 2016

Communicated by Dijana Mosić

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11371109), the Natural Science Foundation of the Heilongjiang Province (No.QC2014C001) and the Research Grants Council (RGC) of Hong Kong (Project C1007-15G).

Email address: zbd@hit.edu.cn (Baodong Zheng)

In 2010, Deng and Wei derived a result under the condition  $PQ = QP$  (see [10]), and the results were extended to the case of  $P^3Q = QP, Q^3P = PQ$  (see [11]). In 2011, the additive formula was given under the new conditions

$$PQ^2 = 0, PQP = 0 \text{ (see [12])}. \tag{2}$$

In 2012 and 2013, Bu et al. gave the formulas of  $(P + Q)^D$  under the conditions (i)  $P^2Q = 0, Q^2P = 0$ ; (ii)  $P^3Q = 0, QPQ = 0, QP^2Q = 0$  (see [13]); (iii)  $S_{2i-1}PS_{2i-1}P = 0, S_{2i-1}PS_{2i-1}QS_{2(i-1)} = 0, QS_{2(i-1)}PQS_{2(i-1)}Q^2 = 0$ , where  $S_i = (P + Q)^i, i \geq 1$  (see [14]), respectively. And there are some other papers on the additive results for the Drazin inverse of matrices and operators in [15, 16].

In 1979, Campbell and Meyer proposed an open problem to find an explicit representation for the Drazin inverse of  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$  ( $A$  and  $D$  are square) (see [2]). In 1989, Miao gave an expression for the Drazin inverse of  $M$  with the conditions  $A^\pi B = 0, CA^\pi = 0$  and the generalized Schur complement  $S = D - CA^D B = 0$  (see [17]). In 2006, the above result was extended to the case of  $AA^\pi B = 0, CA^\pi B = 0, S = 0$  (see [18]). And there are some results on the representations for the Drazin (group) inverse of  $M$  (see [9], [12], [19]-[23], [28]). Here we list some cases:

$$A^2A^\pi B = 0, CA^\pi AB = 0, BCA^\pi B = 0, S = 0 \text{ (see [9])}; \tag{3}$$

$$AA^\pi BC = 0, CA^\pi BC = 0, S = 0 \text{ (see [12])}; \tag{4}$$

$$ABC = 0, S = 0 \text{ (see [9])}. \tag{5}$$

For the representations for the Drazin (group) inverse of block matrices over skew fields, there are some papers showed by Cao et al. (see [24]-[26]) and Bu et al. (see [27]). This paper is also devoted to the formulas for the Drazin inverse of block matrices over skew fields.

We organize this paper as follows. In section 2, we present some lemmas which are used in the proof of the main results. In section 3, for the matrices  $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ , we give the explicit formulas of  $(P + Q)^D$  under the following conditions, respectively:

- (i)  $PQ^2 = 0, P^2QP = 0, (QP)^2 = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $P^2QP = 0, P^3Q = 0, Q^2 = 0$ .

Clearly, the above results generalize Equ.(2) and Equ.(1), respectively. In section 4, we apply the formulas obtained in section 3 to establish the representations for the Drazin inverse of  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$  ( $A$  and  $D$  are square) under the following conditions, respectively:

- (i)  $A^2A^\pi BC = 0, BCA^\pi BC = 0, CAA^\pi BC = 0, S = 0$ ;
- (ii)  $A^2BC = 0, ABCA = 0, ABCB = 0, S = 0$ .

Obviously, the above statement (i) generalizes Equ.(3) and Equ.(4), the above statement (ii) generalizes Equ.(5).

## 2. Some Lemmas

In order to prove our main results, we first present some lemmas as follows.

**Lemma 2.1.** [25] Let  $A \in \mathbb{K}^{m \times n}$  and  $B \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times m}$ . Then

$$(AB)^D = A((BA)^2)^D B \text{ and } (AB)^D A = A(BA)^D.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [26] Let  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ , where  $A$  and  $C$  are square. Then

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} A^D & X \\ 0 & C^D \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $X = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (A^D)^{i+2} BC^i C^\pi + A^\pi \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} A^i B (C^D)^{i+2} - A^D BC^D, s = \text{Ind}(A)$  and  $l = \text{Ind}(C)$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ . If  $PQ = 0$ , then

$$(P + Q)^D = (I - QQ^D) \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} Q^i (P^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{i+1} P^i (I - PP^D),$$

where  $l = \text{Ind}(Q)$ ,  $s = \text{Ind}(P)$ .

The above result over complex fields was given in [8]. Similarly, it can be extended to skew fields. Here we omit the proof.

### 3. Some Formulas of $(P + Q)^D$ over Skew Fields

For  $P, Q \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ , the formula of  $(P + Q)^D$  with the conditions  $PQ^2 = 0$  and  $PQP = 0$  was given in [12]. Next, we establish a theorem, which extends the above result.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ . If  $PQ^2 = 0$ ,  $P^2QP = 0$  and  $(QP)^2 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= [(Q^\pi - Q^D P + P Q (P^D)^2) (P^D)^2 + (Q^D)^3 (P + Q) P^\pi] (P + Q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m_2-1} Q^{2i+1} Q^\pi (P + Q) (P^D)^{2i+4} (P + Q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} (Q^D)^{2i+5} (P + Q) P^{2i+2} P^\pi (P + Q), \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1 = \text{Ind}(P^2)$  and  $m_2 = \text{Ind}(Q^2)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= (P + Q)((P + Q)^2)^D \\ &= (P + Q)(P^2 + PQ + QP + Q^2)^D \\ &= (P + Q)(M + N)^D, \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where  $M = P^2 + PQ$  and  $N = Q^2 + QP$ . Since  $PQ^2 = 0$  and  $P^2QP = 0$ , we have  $MN = 0$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$(M + N)^D = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (N^D)^{i+1} M^i (I - MM^D) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (I - NN^D) N^i (M^D)^{i+1}, \tag{7}$$

where  $l = \text{Ind}(M)$ ,  $s = \text{Ind}(N)$ . Lemma 2.3 shows that

$$N^D = (I - Q^2(Q^2)^D) \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} Q^{2i} ((QP)^D)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{2(i+1)} (QP)^i (I - QP(QP)^D),$$

where  $l = \text{Ind}(Q^2)$ ,  $s = \text{Ind}(QP)$ . Note that  $(QP)^2 = 0$ , then  $(QP)^D = 0$ . Hence,

$$N^D = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (Q^D)^{2(i+1)} (QP)^i = (Q^2)^D + (Q^D)^3 P.$$

It follows from  $P(Q^2)^D = PQ^2(Q^4)^D = 0$  that  $(N^D)^2 = (Q^D)^4 + (Q^D)^5 P$ . Similarly,

$$(N^D)^i = (Q^D)^{2i} + (Q^D)^{2i+1} P, \tag{8}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ . From  $P^2QP = 0$ ,  $(QP)^2 = 0$  and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$\begin{aligned} M^D &= [P(P + Q)]^D = P[(P^2 + QP)^2]^D(P + Q) \\ &= P(P^4 + QP^3)^D(P + Q). \end{aligned}$$

By applying Lemma 2.3 to  $P^4 + QP^3$ , we have

$$(P^4 + QP^3)^D = (P^D)^4 + Q(P^D)^5,$$

hence,

$$M^D = (P^D)^2 + (P^D)^3Q + PQ(P^D)^4 + PQ(P^D)^5Q.$$

It follows from  $P^DPQP^D = (P^2)^DP^2QP(P^2)^D = 0$  that  $(M^D)^2 = (P^D)^4 + (P^D)^5Q + PQ(P^D)^6 + PQ(P^D)^7Q$ . Similarly,

$$(M^D)^i = (P^D)^{2i} + (P^D)^{2i+1}Q + PQ(P^D)^{2i+2} + PQ(P^D)^{2i+3}Q, \tag{9}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ . By substituting Equ.(8) and Equ.(9) into Equ.(7), and substituting Equ.(7) into Equ.(6), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= \left[ (Q^\pi - Q^D P + PQ(P^D)^2)(P^D)^2 + (Q^D)^3(P + Q)P^\pi \right] (P + Q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} Q^{2i+1} Q^\pi (P + Q) (P^D)^{2i+4} (P + Q) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (Q^D)^{2i+5} (P + Q) P^{2i+2} P^\pi (P + Q). \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Let  $m_1 = \text{Ind}(P^2)$  and  $m_2 = \text{Ind}(Q^2)$ . For  $i \geq m_1, j \geq m_2$ , we have

$$P^{2i+2}P^\pi = 0 \text{ and } Q^{2j+1}Q^\pi = 0.$$

In Equ.(10), replace  $l$  and  $s$  with  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , respectively. Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

Similarly, we give the following theorem, which generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [12].

**Theorem 3.2.** Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ . If  $P^2Q = 0$ ,  $QPQ^2 = 0$  and  $(QP)^2 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= (P + Q) \left[ Q^\pi (P + Q)(P^D)^3 + (Q^D)^2(P^\pi - QP^D + (Q^D)^2PQ) \right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m_1-1} (P + Q) Q^{2i+2} Q^\pi (P + Q) (P^D)^{2i+5} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{m_2-1} (P + Q) (Q^D)^{2i+4} (P + Q) P^{2i+1} P^\pi, \end{aligned}$$

where  $m_1 = \text{Ind}(Q^2), m_2 = \text{Ind}(P^2)$ .

Next, we give an example which doesn't satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.1 in [12] to demonstrate Theorem 3.1.

**Example 3.1** Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk\}$  be the real quaternion skew fields, where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are real numbers. Consider the following two matrices  $P, Q \in \mathbb{H}^{7 \times 7}$ ,

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ j & 0 & 0 & j & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & k \end{pmatrix},$$

Computation gives that

$$\text{Ind}(P^2) = 2, \text{Ind}(Q^2) = 1,$$

$$P^D = \begin{pmatrix} -i/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -j/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -j/2 & 0 & 0 & -j/2 & -i/2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, P^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & k/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q^D = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k \end{pmatrix}, Q^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $PQ^2 = 0, P^2QP = 0, (QP)^2 = 0$ , and applying Theorem 3.1, we get

$$(P + Q)^D = \begin{pmatrix} -i/2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -j/2 & -j/4 & 0 \\ -1/4 & 0 & 0 & -1/4 - j/4 & -i/2 - k/4 & -i/4 - k/8 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -j/4 & -i/2 & -i/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -j/2 & 0 & 0 & -j/2 & -i/2 & -i/4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -k \end{pmatrix}.$$

When  $P^2Q = 0$  and  $Q^2 = 0$ , the formula of  $(P + Q)^D$  was given in [9]. The following theorem generalizes the above result.

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ . If  $P^2QP = 0, P^3Q = 0$  and  $Q^2 = 0$ , then

$$(P + Q)^D = \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \left( P(QP)^i(QP)^\pi + (QP)^i(QP)^\pi P \right) (P^D)^{2i+2} \\ + \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \left( P((QP)^D)^{i+1} + ((QP)^D)^{i+1} P \right) P^{2i} P^\pi \\ + (QP)^D Q + P((QP)^D)^2 P Q - P^D,$$

where  $n_1 = \text{Ind}(P^2), n_2 = \text{Ind}(QP)$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= (P + Q)((P + Q)^2)^D \\ &= (P + Q)(P^2 + PQ + QP + Q^2)^D \\ &= (P + Q)(M + N)^D, \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where  $M = P^2 + Q^2$ ,  $N = PQ + QP$ . Since  $P^2QP = 0$ ,  $P^3Q = 0$  and  $Q^2 = 0$ , we have

$$(M + N)^D = \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (N^D)^{i+1} M^i (I - MM^D) + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (I - NN^D) N^i (M^D)^{i+1}, \tag{12}$$

where  $l = \text{Ind}(M)$ ,  $s = \text{Ind}(N)$ . Clearly,

$$(M^D)^i = (P^D)^{2i}, \tag{13}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ . Note that the matrix  $N$  satisfies the condition of Lemma 2.3, then

$$\begin{aligned} (N)^D &= (I - (QP)^D QP) \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} (QP)^i ((PQ)^D)^{i+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} ((QP)^D)^{i+1} (PQ)^i (I - PQ(PQ)^D), \end{aligned}$$

where  $l = \text{Ind}(QP)$  and  $s = \text{Ind}(PQ)$ . It follows from  $P^2QP = 0$  that  $P(PQ)^D = P^2QPQ((PQ)^3)^D = 0$  and  $(QP)^D(PQ)^2 = ((QP)^2)^D QP^2QPQ = 0$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} N^D &= (I - (QP)^D QP) (PQ)^D + \sum_{i=0}^1 ((QP)^D)^{i+1} (PQ)^i (I - PQ(PQ)^D) \\ &= (PQ)^D + (QP)^D + ((QP)^D)^2 PQ. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from  $Q^2 = 0$  and  $P^2QP = 0$  that  $(N^D)^2 = ((PQ)^D)^2 + ((QP)^D)^2 + ((QP)^D)^3 PQ$ . Similarly,

$$(N^D)^i = ((PQ)^D)^i + ((QP)^D)^i + ((QP)^D)^{i+1} PQ, \tag{14}$$

for  $i \geq 1$ . By substituting Equ.(13) and Equ.(14) into Equ.(12), and substituting Equ.(12) into Equ.(11), it yields that

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= \sum_{i=0}^{l-1} \left( P ((QP)^D)^{i+1} + ((QP)^D)^{i+1} P \right) P^{2i} P^\pi \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \left( P (QP)^i (QP)^\pi + (QP)^i (QP)^\pi P \right) (P^D)^{2i+2} \\ &\quad + (QP)^D Q + P((QP)^D)^2 PQ - P^D. \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Let  $n_1 = \text{Ind}(P^2)$  and  $n_2 = \text{Ind}(QP)$ . For  $i \geq n_1$ ,  $j \geq n_2$ , we have

$$P^{2i} P^\pi = 0, \quad (QP)^j (QP)^\pi = 0.$$

In Equ.(15), replace  $l$  and  $s$  with  $n_1$  and  $n_2$ , respectively. Thus, the proof is complete.  $\square$

Similarly, we give the following theorem, which generalizes Corollary 2.3 in [9].

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}$ . If  $PQP^2 = 0, QP^3 = 0$  and  $Q^2 = 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (P + Q)^D &= \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} P^{2i} P^\pi [P((PQ)^D)^{i+1} + ((PQ)^D)^{i+1} P] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} (P^D)^{2i+2} [P(PQ)^i (PQ)^\pi + (PQ)^i (PQ)^\pi P] \\ &\quad + Q(PQ)^D + QP((PQ)^D)^2 P - P^D, \end{aligned}$$

where  $n_1 = \text{Ind}(P^2), n_2 = \text{Ind}(PQ)$ .

The following is an example which doesn't satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2.2 in [9] to demonstrate Theorem 3.3.

**Example 3.2** Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk\}$  be the real quaternion skew fields, where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are real numbers. Consider the following two matrices  $P, Q \in \mathbb{H}^{5 \times 5}$

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & k & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, Q = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & j & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

Computation gives that

$$\text{Ind}(P^2) = 2, \text{Ind}(QP) = 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} P^D &= \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, P^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ (QP)^D &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, (QP)^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $P^2QP = 0, P^3Q = 0$  and  $Q^2 = 0$ , applying Theorem 3.3, we get

$$(P + Q)^D = \begin{pmatrix} -i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -k & -k & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -j & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

#### 4. The Formulas of the Drazin Inverse for some $2 \times 2$ Block Matrices over Skew Fields

In this section, we consider a class of block matrices with generalized Schur complement being zero, that is

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{K}^{n \times n}, A \in \mathbb{K}^{r \times r} \text{ and } D = CA^D B. \tag{16}$$

We give the expression of  $M^D$ , which generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [9] and Theorem 3.3 in [12].

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $M$  be the form as in (16). If  $A^2A^\pi BC = 0$ ,  $BCA^\pi BC = 0$  and  $CAA^\pi BC = 0$ , then

$$M^D = M^2(P_1^D)^4 \left[ I + \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (P_1^D)^{i+1} \begin{pmatrix} A^{i+1}A^\pi & 0 \\ CA^iA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] M,$$

where  $s = \text{Ind}(A)$  and  $(P_1^D)^t = \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & CA^D \end{pmatrix} [(AW)^D]^{t+1} A \begin{pmatrix} I & A^DB \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $W = AA^D + A^DBCA^D$ ,  $t \geq 1$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & AA^DB \\ C & CA^DB \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A^\pi B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} := P + Q$ . Obviously,  $Q^2 = 0$ . The conditions  $A^2A^\pi BC = 0$ ,  $BCA^\pi BC = 0$  and  $CAA^\pi BC = 0$  imply that  $P^2QP = 0$  and  $(QP)^2 = 0$ . Applying Theorem 3.1, it yields that

$$M^D = (P^2 + QP + PQ)(P^D)^4(P + Q). \tag{17}$$

We consider  $P = \begin{pmatrix} A^2A^D & AA^DB \\ CAA^D & CA^DB \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} AA^\pi & 0 \\ CA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} := P_1 + P_2$ . Obviously,  $P_2P_1 = 0$  and  $P_2^{s+1} = 0$ , where  $s = \text{Ind}(A)$ . It follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$P^D = \sum_{i=0}^s (P_1^D)^{i+1} P_2^i. \tag{18}$$

Decompose  $P_1^D$  into the following form

$$P_1^D = \begin{pmatrix} A^2A^D & AA^DB \\ CAA^D & CA^DB \end{pmatrix}^D = \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ CA^D & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} AW & AA^DB \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ -CA^D & I \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $W = AA^D + A^DBCA^D$ . It follows from Lemma 2.2 that

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} AW & AA^DB \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}^D &= \begin{pmatrix} (AW)^D & ((AW)^D)^2 AA^DB \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ 0 & \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} (AW)^D & ((AW)^D)^2 AA^DB \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $(AW)^D A^2 A^D = (AW)^D A$ , we have

$$P_1^D = \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & CA^D \end{pmatrix} [(AW)^D]^2 A \begin{pmatrix} I & A^DB \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation shows that

$$(P_1^D)^t = \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & CA^D \end{pmatrix} [(AW)^D]^{t+1} A \begin{pmatrix} I & A^DB \end{pmatrix}, \tag{19}$$

for  $t \geq 1$ . By substituting Equ.(19) into Equ.(18), we obtain the expression of  $P^D$ , substituting  $P^D$  into Equ.(17), the expression of  $M^D$  is obtained.  $\square$

By using Theorem 3.2, we have the following theorem, which generalizes Corollary 3.2 in [9] and Theorem 3.4 in [12].

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $M$  be the form as in (16). If  $BCA^2A^\pi = 0$ ,  $BAA^\pi B = 0$  and  $BCA^\pi BC = 0$ , then

$$M^D = M \left( \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \begin{pmatrix} A^{i+1}A^\pi & 0 \\ CA^iA^\pi & 0 \end{pmatrix} (P_1^D)^{i+1} + I \right) (P_1^D)^4 M^2,$$

where  $s = \text{Ind}(A)$  and  $(P_1^D)^t = \begin{pmatrix} I & \\ & CA^D \end{pmatrix} [(AW)^D]^{t+1} A \begin{pmatrix} I & A^DB \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $W = AA^D + A^DBCA^D$ ,  $t \geq 1$ .



Next, we give an example which does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.3 in [12] to demonstrate Theorem 4.1.

**Example 4.1** Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk\}$  be the real quaternion skew fields, where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are real numbers. Consider a  $2 \times 2$  block matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  over  $\mathbb{H}$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation shows that

$$\text{Ind}(A) = 3, A^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (P_1)^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1/4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $A^2 A^\pi B C = 0, B C A^\pi B C = 0, C A A^\pi B C = 0, D = C A^D B$ , by Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 1/8 & 1/4 & 1/16 & 0 \\ 3/16 & 0 & 0 & 3/32 & 3/16 & 3/64 & 0 \\ 1/8 & 0 & 0 & 1/16 & 1/8 & 1/32 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 1/8 & 1/4 & 1/16 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1/16 & 0 & 0 & 1/32 & 1/16 & 1/64 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Next, we give a theorem, which generalizes Theorem 3.6 in [9].

**Theorem 4.3.** Let  $M$  be the form as in (16). If  $A^2 B C = 0, A B C A = 0$  and  $A B C B = 0$ , then

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} B\Psi A + A^D & B\Psi B + (I - B(CB)^D C)(A^D)^2 B \\ +B((CB)^D)^3[(CB)^2 C A^D - C A B C] & \Psi A B - (CB)^D C A^D B \\ \Psi A^2 + (CB)^D C A^\pi & \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Psi = \sum_{i=0}^{w_1-1} ((CB)^D)^{i+2} C A^{2i} A^\pi + \sum_{i=0}^{w_2-1} (CB)^\pi (CB)^i C (A^D)^{2i+4}$ ,  $w_1 = \text{Ind}(A^2)$  and  $w_2 = \text{Ind}(CB)$ .

*Proof.* Note that  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ 0 & CA^D B \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} := P + Q$ . Obviously,  $Q^2 = 0$ . The conditions  $A^2BC = 0$ ,  $ABCA = 0$  and  $ABCB = 0$  imply that  $P^2QP = 0$ ,  $P^3Q = 0$ . It follows from Theorem 3.3 that

$$M^D = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} \left( P((QP)^D)^{i+1} + ((QP)^D)^{i+1} P \right) P^{2i} P^\pi + \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} \left( P(QP)^i (QP)^\pi + (QP)^i (QP)^\pi P \right) (P^D)^{2i+2} + (QP)^D Q + P((QP)^D)^2 PQ - P^D, \tag{20}$$

where  $n_1 = \text{Ind}(P^2)$ ,  $n_2 = \text{Ind}(QP)$ . Lemma 2.2 gives that

$$(P^D)^{2i+2} = \begin{pmatrix} (A^D)^{2i+2} & (A^D)^{2i+3} B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

$$((QP)^D)^{i+1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ ((CB)^D)^{i+2} CA & ((CB)^D)^{i+1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{22}$$

for  $i \geq 0$ . By substituting Equ.(21) and Equ.(22) into Equ.(20), it yields that

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} B\Psi A + \Gamma & B\Psi B + (I - B(CB)^D C)(A^D)^2 B \\ \Psi A^2 + (CB)^D CA^\pi & \Psi AB - (CB)^D CA^D B \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\Psi = \sum_{i=0}^{n_1-1} ((CB)^D)^{i+2} CA^{2i} A^\pi + \sum_{i=0}^{n_2-1} (CB)^\pi (CB)^i C(A^D)^{2i+4}, \tag{23}$$

$$\Gamma = A^D + B((CB)^D)^3 [(CB)^2 CA^D - CABC].$$

Let  $w_1 = \text{Ind}(A^2)$  and  $w_2 = \text{Ind}(CB)$ . For  $i \geq w_1, j \geq w_2$ , we have

$$A^{2i} A^\pi = 0, (CB)^j (CB)^\pi = 0.$$

In Equ.(23), replace  $n_1$  and  $n_2$  with  $w_1$  and  $w_2$ , respectively. Thus, we complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

Applying the Theorem 3.4, we get the following theorem, it generalizes Corollary 3.7 in [9].

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $M$  be the form as in (16). If  $BCA^2 = 0$ ,  $ABCA = 0$  and  $CBCA = 0$ , then*

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} A\Psi C + A^D(I - B(CB)^D C) + BCAB((CB)^D)^3 C & A^2\Psi + A^\pi B(CB)^D \\ C\Psi C + C(A^D)^2(I - B(CB)^D C) & CA\Psi - CA^D B(CB)^D \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Psi = \sum_{i=0}^{w_1-1} (A^D)^{2i+4} B(CB)^\pi (CB)^i + \sum_{i=0}^{w_2-1} A^{2i} A^\pi B((CB)^D)^{i+2}$ ,  $w_1 = \text{Ind}(CB)$  and  $w_2 = \text{Ind}(A^2)$ .

The following is an example which does not satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.6 in [9] to demonstrate Theorem 4.3.

**Example 4.2** Let  $\mathbb{H} = \{a + bi + cj + dk\}$  be the real quaternion skew fields, where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are real numbers. Consider a  $2 \times 2$  block matrix  $M = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$  over  $\mathbb{H}$ , where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Computation gives that

$$\text{Ind}(A^2) = 1, \text{Ind}(CB) = 2,$$

$$A^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A^\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, (CB)^D = 0, (CB)^\pi = I.$$

Since  $A^2BC = 0$ ,  $ABCA = 0$ ,  $ABCB = 0$ ,  $D = CA^DB$ , by Theorem 4.3, we obtain

$$M^D = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Acknowledgement** Authors would like to show the gratitude to the reviewer for the valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] W. Zhuang, Involuntary functions and generalized inverses of matrices on skew fields, *Northeast Math.* 1 (1987) 57-65 (in Chinese).
- [2] S.L. Campbell, C.D. Meyer, *Generalized Inverse of Linear Transformations*, Pitman, London, 1979, Dover, New York, 1991.
- [3] S.L. Campbell, The Drazin inverse and systems of second order linear differential equations, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 14 (1983) 195-198.
- [4] C.D. Meyer, J.M. Shoaf, Updating finite Markov chains by using techniques of group inversion, *Statist. Comput. Simulation* 11 (1980) 163-181.
- [5] J.J. Hunter, Generalized inverses of Markovian kernels in terms of properties of the Markov chain, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 447 (2014) 38-55.
- [6] J.J. Climent, M. Neumann, A. Sidi, A semi-iterative method for real spectrum singular linear systems with an arbitrary index, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 87 (1997) 21-38.
- [7] M.P. Drzain, Pseudo-inverses in associative rings and semigroups, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 65 (1958) 506-514.
- [8] R.E. Hartwig, G. Wang, Y. Wei, Some additive results on Drazin inverse, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 322 (2001) 207-217.
- [9] M.F. Martínez-Serrano, N. Castro-González, On the Drazin inverse of block matrices and generalized Schur complement, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 215 (2009) 2733-2740.
- [10] C. Deng, Y. Wei, New additive results for the generalized Drazin inverse, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 370 (2010) 313-321.
- [11] X. Liu, L. Xu, Y. Yu, The representations of the Drazin inverse of differences of two matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 216 (2010) 3652-3661.
- [12] H. Yang, X. Liu, The Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices and its applications, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* 235 (2011) 1412-1417.
- [13] C. Bu, C. Feng, S. Bai, Representations for the Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices and some block matrices, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 218 (2012) 10226-10237.
- [14] C. Bu, C. Zhang, A note on the formulas for the Drazin inverse of the sum of two matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 439 (2013) 565-576.
- [15] J. Huang, Y. Shi, A. Chen, The representation of the Drazin inverse of anti-triangular operator matrices based on resolvent expansions, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 242 (2014) 196-201.
- [16] C. Bu, Y. Wei, *Sign Pattern of the Generalized Inverse*, Science Press, Beijing, 2014 (in Chinese).
- [17] J. Miao, Results of the Drazin inverse of block matrices, *J. Shanghai Normal Univ.* 18 (1989) 25-31 (in Chinese).
- [18] R.E. Hartwig, X. Li, Y. Wei, Representations for the Drazin inverse of a  $2 \times 2$  block matrix. *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* 27 (2006) 757-771.

- [19] C. Deng, A comment on some recent results concerning the Drazin inverse of an anti-triangular block matrix, *Filomat* 26(2) (2012) 135-145.
- [20] C. Bu, L. Sun, J. Zhou, Y. Wei, A note on the block representations of the group inverse of Laplacian Matrices, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 23 (2012) 866-876.
- [21] C. Bu, L. Sun, J. Zhou, Y. Wei, Some results on the Drazin inverse of anti-triangular matrices, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 61 (2013) 1568-1576.
- [22] X. Liu, M. Zhang, J. Benítez, Further results on the reverse order law for the group inverse in rings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 229 (2014) 316-326.
- [23] Q. Xu, C. Song, L. He, Representations for the group inverse of anti-triangular block operator matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 443 (2014) 191-203.
- [24] C. Cao, J. Li, A note on the group inverse of some  $2 \times 2$  block matrices over skew fields, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 217 (2011) 10271-10277.
- [25] Y. Liu, C. Cao, Drazin inverses for some partitioned matrices over skew fields, *J. Natural Sci. Heilongjiang Univ.* 21 (2004) 112-114 (in Chinese).
- [26] D. Gu, C. Cao, The index and the Drazin inverse of partitioned matrices over skew fields, *J. Natural Sci. Heilongjiang Univ.* 28 (2011) 196-199 (in Chinese).
- [27] C. Bu, K. Zhang, J. Zhao, Some results on the group inverse of the block matrix with a sub-block of linear combination or product combination of matrices over skew fields, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 58 (2010) 957-966.
- [28] L. Sun, W. Wang, J. Zhou, C. Bu, Some results on resistance distances and resistance matrices, *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 63(3) (2015) 523-533.