



## On Generalized Lorentz Sequence Space Defined by Modulus Functions

Oğuz Oğur<sup>a</sup>, Cenap Duyar<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Ondokuz Mayıs University, Art and Science Faculty, Department of Mathematics, Kurupelit campus, Samsun, TURKEY

**Abstract.** The object of this paper is to introduce generalized Lorentz sequence spaces  $L(f, v, p)$  defined by modulus function  $f$ . Also we study some topologic properties of this space and obtain some inclusion relations.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this work,  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $\mathbb{C}$  denote the set of positive integers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. The concept of modulus function was introduced by Nakano [11]. We recall that a function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is said to be a modulus function if it satisfies the following properties

- 1)  $f(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x = 0$ ;
- 2)  $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$  for all  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ ;
- 3)  $f$  is increasing;
- 4)  $f$  is continuous from right at 0.

It follows that  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ . The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take  $f(x) = x/(x + 1)$ , then  $f(x)$  is bounded. But, for  $0 < p < 1$ ,  $f(x) = x^p$  is not bounded.

By the condition 2), we have  $f(nx) \leq nf(x)$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and so  $f(x) = f\left(nx \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq nf\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$ , and hence

$$\frac{1}{n}f(x) \leq f\left(\frac{x}{n}\right)$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The FK-spaces  $L(f)$ , introduced by Ruckle in [14], is in the form

$$L(f) = \left\{ x \in w : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(|x_k|) < \infty \right\},$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 40A05; Secondary 40H05, 46A45, 46E30

*Keywords.* Lorentz sequence space, modulus function, paranorm

Received: 01 April 2014; Accepted: 23 June 2014

Communicated by Eberhard Malkowsky

*Email addresses:* [oguz.ogur@omu.edu.tr](mailto:oguz.ogur@omu.edu.tr) (Oğuz Oğur), [cenapd@omu.edu.tr](mailto:cenapd@omu.edu.tr) (Cenap Duyar)

where  $f$  is a modulus function and  $w$  is the space of all complex sequences. This space is closely related to the space  $\ell_1$  which is an  $L(f)$ –space with  $f(x) = x$  for all real  $x \geq 0$ . Later on, this space was investigated by many authors in [1], [4], [8], [9], [15].

The notion of *paranorm* is closely related to linear metric spaces. Let  $X$  be a linear space. A function  $p : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called *paranorm*, if

- i)  $p(0) = 0$ ,
- ii)  $p(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
- iii)  $p(-x) = p(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ,
- iv)  $p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

v)  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\lambda$  be an element in  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$  and  $x$  be an element in  $X$ . If  $|\lambda_n - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $p(x_n - x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , then  $p(\lambda_n x_n - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (continuity of multiplication by scalars).

A paranorm  $p$  for which  $p(x) = 0$  implies  $x = 0$  is called *total* [7].

The Lorentz space was introduced by G. G. Lorentz in [5], [6]. This space play an important role in the theory of Banach space. Many authors studied these spaces and explored their many properties.

Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space. The Lorentz sequence space  $l(p, q, E)$  (or  $l_{p,q}(E)$ ) for  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  is the collection of all sequences  $\{a_i\} \in c_0(E)$  such that

$$\|\{a_i\}\|_{p,q} = \begin{cases} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} i^{q/p-1} \|a_{\phi(i)}\|^q \right)^{1/q} & \text{for } 1 \leq p \leq \infty, 1 \leq q < \infty \\ \sup_i i^{1/p} \|a_{\phi(i)}\| & \text{for } 1 \leq p < \infty, q = \infty \end{cases}$$

is finite, where  $\{\|a_{\phi(i)}\|\}$  is non-increasing rearrangement of  $\{\|a_i\|\}$  (We can interpret that the decreasing rearrangement  $\{\|a_{\phi(i)}\|\}$  is obtained by rearranging  $\{\|a_i\|\}$  in decreasing order). This space was introduced by Miyazaki in [10] and examined comprehensively by Kato in [3].

A weight sequence  $v = \{v(i)\}$  is a positive decreasing sequence such that  $v(1) = 1$ ,  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} v(i) = 0$  and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} V(i) = \infty$ , where  $V(i) = \sum_{n=1}^i v(n)$  for every  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . Popa [13] defined the generalized Lorentz sequence space  $d(v, p)$  for  $0 < p < \infty$  as follows

$$d(v, p) = \left\{ x = \{x_i\} \in w : \|x\|_{v,p} = \sup_{\pi} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_{\pi(i)}|^p v(i) \right)^{1/p} < \infty \right\},$$

where  $\pi$  ranges over all permutations of the positive integers and  $v = \{v(i)\}$  is a weight sequence. It is know that  $d(v, p) \subset c_0$  and hence for each  $x \in d(v, p)$  there exists a non-increasing rearrangement  $\{x^*\} = \{x_i^*\}$  of  $x$  and

$$\|x\|_{v,p} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n^*|^p v(n) \right)^{1/p}$$

(see [12], [13]).

Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space,  $f$  be a modulus function and  $v = \{v(n)\}$  be a weight sequence. We introduce the generalized Lorentz sequence space  $L(f, v, p)$  for  $0 < p < \infty$  using a modulus function  $f$ . The space  $L(f, v, p)$  is the collection of all  $X$ -valued 0-sequences  $\{x_n\}$  ( $\{x_n\} \in c_0(X)$ ) such that

$$g(x) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{1/p}$$

is finite, where  $\{\|x_{\phi(n)}\|\}$  is non-increasing rearrangement of  $\{\|x_n\|\}$ . If we take  $f(x) = x$ , then  $L(f, v, p) = d(v, p)$  ([13]).

We shall need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1.** (Hardy, Littlewood and Pólya [2]). Let  $\{c_i^*\}$  and  $\{^*c_i\}$  be the non-increasing and non-decreasing rearrangements of a finite sequence  $\{c_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  of positive numbers, respectively. Then for two sequences  $\{a_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $\{b_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  of positive numbers we have

$$\sum_i a_i^* \cdot ^* b_i \leq \sum_i a_i \cdot b_i \leq \sum_i a_i^* \cdot b_i^*.$$

**Lemma 1.2.** (Kato [3]) Let  $\{x_i^{(\mu)}\}$  be an  $X$ -valued double sequence such that  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(\mu)} = 0$  for each  $\mu \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $\{x_i\}$  be an  $X$ -valued sequence such that  $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} x_i^{(\mu)} = x_i$  (uniformly in  $i$ ). Then  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} x_i = 0$  and for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\|x_{\phi(i)}\| \leq \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{\phi_\mu(i)}^{(\mu)}\|,$$

where  $\{\|x_{\phi(i)}\|\}$  and  $\{\|x_{\phi_\mu(i)}^{(\mu)}\|\}_i$  are the non-increasing rearrangements of  $\{\|x_i\|\}$  and  $\{\|x_i^{(\mu)}\|\}_i$ , respectively.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $f$  be any modulus function and  $0 < \delta < 1$ . Then

$$f(x) \leq \frac{2f(1)}{\delta} x$$

for all  $x \geq \delta$  [9].

**Lemma 1.4.** For any modulus  $f$  there exists  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t}$  [9].

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $f$  be any modulus with  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} = \alpha > 0$ . Then there is a constant  $\beta > 0$  such that

$$f(t) \geq \beta t$$

for all  $t \geq 0$  [9].

## 2. Main Results

**Theorem 2.1.** The space  $L(f, v, p)$  for  $0 < p < \infty$  is a linear space over the field  $K = \mathbb{R}$  or  $\mathbb{C}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in L(f, v, p)$  and let  $\{\|x_{\phi(n)}\|\}$ ,  $\{\|y_{\eta(n)}\|\}$  and  $\{\|x_{\psi(n)} + y_{\psi(n)}\|\}$  be the non-increasing rearrangements of the sequences  $\{\|x_n\|\}$ ,  $\{\|y_n\|\}$  and  $\{\|x_n + y_n\|\}$ , respectively. Since  $v$  is non-increasing and  $f$  is increasing, by the Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\psi(n)} + y_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\psi(n)}\| + \|y_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\psi(n)}\|) + f(\|y_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &\leq D \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} ([f(\|x_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) + [f(\|y_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n)) \\ &\leq D \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|y_{\eta(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right\} \\ &< \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where  $D = \max\{1, 2^{p-1}\}$ . Let  $\alpha \in K$ , then there exists  $M_\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\alpha| \leq M_\alpha$ . Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|\alpha x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(M_\alpha \|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &\leq M_\alpha^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $x + y \in L(f, v, p)$ ,  $\alpha x \in L(f, v, p)$  and so  $L(f, v, p)$  is a linear space.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *The space  $L(f, v, p)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is paranormed space with the paranorm*

$$g(x) = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where  $\{\|x_{\phi(n)}\|\}$  denotes the non-increasing rearrangements of  $\{\|x_n\|\}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear that  $g(x) = g(-x)$  and  $g(0) = 0$ . Let  $x, y \in L(f, v, p)$ . Since  $f$  is increasing and weight sequence  $v$  is decreasing, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(x + y) &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\psi(n)} + y_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|y_{\psi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|y_{\eta(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= g(x) + g(y) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\{\|x_{\phi(n)}\|\}$ ,  $\{\|y_{\eta(n)}\|\}$  and  $\{\|x_{\psi(n)} + y_{\psi(n)}\|\}$  denote the non-increasing rearrangements of  $\{\|x_n\|\}$ ,  $\{\|y_n\|\}$  and  $\{\|x_n + y_n\|\}$ , respectively.

Now we show the continuity of scalar multiplication. Let  $\lambda$  be an element in  $K$ ,  $\{\lambda^{(m)}\}$  be a sequence in  $K$  such that  $|\lambda^{(m)} - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $x$  be an element in  $L(f, v, p)$  and  $\{x^{(m)}\}$  be a sequence in  $L(f, v, p)$  such that  $g(x^{(m)} - x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Using triangle inequality we have

$$g(\lambda^{(m)}x^{(m)} - \lambda x) \leq g(\lambda^{(m)}x^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}x) + g(\lambda^{(m)}x - \lambda x). \tag{1}$$

By monotony of modulus function

$$\begin{aligned} g(\lambda^{(m)}x^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}x) &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|\lambda^{(m)}x_{\psi_m(n)}^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}x_{\psi_m(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(|\lambda^{(m)}| \|x_{\psi_m(n)}^{(m)} - x_{\psi_m(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq A \cdot \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\psi_m(n)}^{(m)} - x_{\psi_m(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= A \cdot g(x^{(m)} - x) \end{aligned}$$

where  $A = (\|\sup_m |\lambda^{(m)}|\| + 1)$  and  $\left\{ \|\lambda^{(m)}x_{\psi_m(n)}^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}x_{\psi_m(n)}\| \right\}_n$  denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of  $\left\{ \|\lambda^{(m)}x_n^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}x_n\| \right\}_n$ . Thus we get

$$g(\lambda^{(m)}x^{(m)} - \lambda^{(m)}x) \rightarrow 0 \tag{2}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ .

Since  $|\lambda^{(m)} - \lambda| \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , there exists  $T \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $|\lambda^{(m)} - \lambda| \leq T$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us take any  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $x \in L(f, v, p)$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} [f(|\lambda^{(m)} - \lambda| \|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) &\leq \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} [f(T \|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &\leq T^p \sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \end{aligned}$$

and hence we get

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} [f(\|\lambda^{(m)}x_{\phi(n)} - \lambda x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{3}$$

for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also by the continuity of  $f$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{n_0-1} [f(\|\lambda^{(m)}x_{\phi(n)} - \lambda x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \tag{4}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $\left\{ \|\lambda^{(m)}x_{\phi(n)} - \lambda x_{\phi(n)}\| \right\}_n$  is non-increasing rearrangement of  $\left\{ \|\lambda^{(m)}x_n - \lambda x_n\| \right\}_n$ . Consequently, by (3) and (4) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|\lambda^{(m)}x_{\phi(n)} - \lambda x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}$$

as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . By (1), (2) and (5), we get  $g(\lambda^{(m)}x^{(m)} - \lambda x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *The space  $L(f, v, p)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$  is complete with respect to its paranorm.*

*Proof.* Let  $\{x^{(s)}\}$  be an arbitrary Cauchy sequence in  $L(f, v, p)$  with  $x^{(s)} = \{x_n^{(s)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  for all  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ . For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  and a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$g(x^{(s)} - x^{(t)}) = \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\pi_{s,t}(m)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(m)}^{(t)}\|)]^p v(m) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < f(\varepsilon) (v(n))^{\frac{1}{p}} \tag{6}$$

whenever  $s, t \geq n_0$ . Here,  $\left\{ \|x_{\pi_{s,t}(m)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(m)}^{(t)}\| \right\}_m$  denotes non-increasing rearrangement of  $\left\{ \|x_m^{(s)} - x_m^{(t)}\| \right\}_m$  and we indicate that  $\pi_{s,t}(m)$  is a permutation for  $\mathbb{N}$ . Thus we have

$$\left[ f(\|x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(t)}\|) (v(n))^{\frac{1}{p}} \right]^p < (f(\varepsilon) (v(n))^{\frac{1}{p}})^p$$

whenever  $s, t \geq n_0$ . Therefore we get

$$\|x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(t)}\| < \varepsilon$$

whenever  $s, t \geq n_0$ . Then  $\{x_n^{(s)}\}$ , for a fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ .

Then, there exists  $x_n \in X$  such that  $x_n^{(s)} \rightarrow x_n$  as  $s \rightarrow \infty$ . Let  $x = \{x_n\}$ . Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n^{(s)} = 0$  for each  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , by Lemma 2 we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = 0$ . Therefore we can choose the non-increasing rearrangement  $\left\{ \left\| x_{\pi_t(n)} - x_{\pi_t(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \right\}_n$  of  $\left\{ \left\| x_n - x_n^{(t)} \right\| \right\}_n$ . Also, for an arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \left\| x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \right) \right]^p v(n) < \varepsilon^p \tag{7}$$

for  $s, t > N$ . Let  $t$  be an arbitrary positive integer with  $t > N$  and fixed. If we put

$$y_n^{(s)} = x_n^{(s)} - x_n^{(t)} \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = x_n - x_n^{(t)},$$

then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n^{(s)} = 0 \text{ for each } s \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} y_n^{(s)} = y_n \text{ (uniformly in } n).$$

Thus by Lemma 2 we get

$$\|y_{\phi(n)}\| \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \|y_{\phi_s(n)}^{(s)}\|$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , that is,

$$\left\| x_{\pi_t(n)} - x_{\pi_t(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \leq \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \tag{8}$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence, by (7), (8) and continuity of  $f$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} g(x - x^{(t)}) &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \left\| x_{\pi_t(n)} - x_{\pi_t(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \right) \right]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left\| x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \right) \right]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &= \liminf_{s \rightarrow \infty} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \left\| x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(s)} - x_{\pi_{s,t}(n)}^{(t)} \right\| \right) \right]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Also, since  $L(f, v, p)$  is a linear space we have  $\{x_n\} = \{x_n - x_n^{(N)}\} + \{x_n^{(N)}\} \in L(f, v, p)$ . Hence the space  $L(f, v, p)$  is complete with respect to its paranorm.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f$  and  $h$  be two modulus functions. Then*

- (i)  $\limsup \frac{f(t)}{h(t)} < \infty$  implies  $L(h, v, p) \subset L(f, v, p)$ ,
- (ii)  $L(f, v, p) \cap L(h, v, p) \subseteq L(f + h, v, p)$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

*Proof.* (i) By the hypothesis there exists  $K > 0$  such that  $f(t) \leq K \cdot h(t)$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Let  $x \in L(h, v, p)$ . Then we have

$$\left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f \left( \|x_{\phi(n)}\| \right) \right]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ K \cdot h \left( \|x_{\phi(n)}\| \right) \right]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

Hence we get  $x \in L(f, v, p)$ .

(ii) Let  $x \in L(h, v, p) \cap L(f, v, p)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [(f+h)(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &= \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|) + h(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\ &\quad + \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [h(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get  $x \in L(f+h, v, p)$  and this completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $f$  be modulus function. Then*

- (a) If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} > 0$  then  $L(f, v, p) \subset d(v, p)$ ,
- (b)  $d(v, 1) \subset L(f, v, 1)$ .

*Proof.* (a) Let  $x \in L(f, v, p)$ . By Lemma 5, there is  $\beta > 0$  such that  $f(t) \geq \beta t$  for all  $t \geq 0$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [\|x_{\phi(n)}\|]^p v(n) &\leq \max \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{\beta^p} \right\} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|)]^p v(n) \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

(b) Let  $x \in d(v, 1)$ . Then there exists  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \|x_{\phi(n)}\| v(n) < \varepsilon$$

for all  $n \geq n_0$ . Since  $f$  is continuous on  $[0, \infty)$ , we have for all  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $0 < \delta < 1$  such that  $f(t) < \varepsilon$  for all  $t \in [0, \delta]$ . Also, by Lemma 3 we have

$$f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|) < \frac{2f(1)}{\delta} \|x_{\phi(n)}\|$$

for  $\|x_{\phi(n)}\| > \delta$ , where  $\{\|x_{\phi(n)}\|\}$  is the non-increasing rearrangement of  $\{\|x_n\|\}$ . Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|) v(n) &= \sum_{\|x_{\phi(n)}\| \leq \delta} f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|) v(n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\|x_{\phi(n)}\| > \delta} f(\|x_{\phi(n)}\|) v(n) \\ &< \varepsilon + \frac{2f(1)}{\delta} \sum_{\|x_{\phi(n)}\| > \delta} \|x_{\phi(n)}\| v(n) \\ &< \infty \end{aligned}$$

and so we get  $x \in L(f, v, 1)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.6.** *If  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t)}{t} > 0$  then  $L(f, v, 1) \subset d(v, 1)$ .*

**References**

- [1] I. Bala, *On Cesàro Sequence Space Defined By a Modulus Function*, Demonstratio Math., Vol. XLVI No:1, 2013.
- [2] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, Pólya G., *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1967.
- [3] M. Kato, *On Lorentz Spaces  $l_{p,q}(E)$* , Hiroshima Math. J., 6 (1976), 73-93.
- [4] V. A. Khan, N. Khan, *On Some I-Convergent Double Sequence Spaces Defined By a Modulus Function*, Engineering, 2013, 5, 35-40.
- [5] G. G. Lorentz, *Some New Functional Spaces*, Ann. Math., 51 (1950), 37-55.
- [6] G. G. Lorentz, *On the Theory of Spaces  $\Lambda$* , Pasific J. Math., 1 (1951), 411-429.
- [7] I. J. Maddox, *Elements of Functional Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1970.
- [8] I. J. Maddox, *Sequence Spaces Defined By a Modulus*, Math. Proc. Camb. Philos. Soc. 100 (1986), 161-166.
- [9] E. Malkowsky, E. Savaş, *Some  $\lambda$ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Arch. Math., Vol. 36 (2000), No. 3, 219-228.
- [10] K. Miyazaki,  *$(p, q)$ -Nuclear and  $(p, q)$ -Integral Operators*, Hiroshima Math. J., 4(1974), 99-132.
- [11] H. Nakano, *Concave Modularity*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 5 (1953), 29-49.
- [12] M. Nawrocki, A. Ortyński, *The Mackey Topology and Complemented Subspaces of Lorentz Sequence Spaces  $d(w, p)$  for  $0 < p < 1$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 287 (1985).
- [13] N. Popa, *Basic Sequences and Subspaces in Lorentz Sequence Spaces Without Local Convexity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 263 (1981), 431-456.
- [14] W. H. Ruckle, *FK-Spaces in which the Sequence of Coordinate Vectors is Bounded*, Canad. J. Math., 25 (1973), 973-978.
- [15] E. Savaş, *On Some Generalized Sequence Spaces Defined By a Modulus*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 30 (5) (1999), 459-464.