

## SOME PROPERTIES OF LOCALLY FINITE HYPERSPACE TOPOLOGY

MOMIR STANOJEVIĆ

(Received 10.05.1992.; Revised 01.07.1992.)

**ABSTRACT.** For a space  $X$ , let  $\exp_f X$ ,  $\exp_{lf} X$ ,  $\exp_{clf} X$  be the collection of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  with the finite, locally finite, countable locally finite topology, respectively. Some separation properties of the space  $\exp_{lf} X$  are investigated. If  $\exp_f X$  is a real-compact space, then  $\exp_{clf} X$  is a real-compact space. If  $X$  is a Lindelöf space, then  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a real-compact space. A simple example show that there exists a non Lindelöf space  $X$  such that  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a real-compact space.

### 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper all spaces are assumed to be at least Hausdorff. The closure of a set  $A \subset (X, \tau)$  is denoted by  $[A]_X$ ,  $[A]_\tau$  or  $[A]$ .

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a space. Then  $\exp X$  denotes the collection of all nonempty closed subsets of  $X$  and  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$  the collection of all nonempty compact subsets of  $X$ . If  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_s, s \in S\}$  is a family of subsets of  $X$  we write

$$\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle = \langle U_s, s \in S \rangle = \{F \in \exp(X) : F \subset \bigcup U_s \text{ and } F \cap U_s \neq \emptyset, s \in S\}$$

The *finite, locally finite, countable locally finite topology*  $\tau_{fin}, \tau_{lfin}, \tau_{clfin}$  on  $\exp X$  is constructed taking as a base the sets of the form  $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ , where  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finite, locally finite, countable locally finite family of open subsets of  $X$  ([2], [4], [8], [9]). We denote the hyperspace  $(\exp X, \tau_{fin}), (\exp X, \tau_{lfin}), (\exp X, \tau_{clfin})$  by  $\exp_f X$ ,  $\exp_{lf} X$ ,  $\exp_{clf} X$ , respectively. If  $\tau_{fin} = \tau_{lfin}$  we denote  $\exp_f X$  and  $\exp_{lf} X$  by  $\exp X$ . Since  $(\mathcal{Z}(X), \tau_{fin}) = (\mathcal{Z}(X), \tau_{lfin})$  we adopt the simplified notation  $\mathcal{Z}(X)$ .

Since  $\tau_{fin} \subseteq \tau_{lfin}$ , then the identity mapping  $Id : \exp_{lf} X \longrightarrow \exp_f X$  is a continuous mapping.

Note that (see [10])

- (a)  $\tau_{fin} = \tau_{lfin}$  iff each locally finite family of open subsets of  $X$  is finite.
- (b)  $\tau_{fin} = \tau_{lfin}$  iff  $[\mathcal{Z}(X)]_{lfin} = \exp X$ .

**LEMMA 1.1** ([10]). Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_s : s \in S\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_t : t \in T\}$  be two locally finite collections of non empty open subset of  $X$ . Then  $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle \subseteq \langle \mathcal{V} \rangle$  iff  $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$  and each member of  $\mathcal{V}$  contains a member of  $\mathcal{U}$ .

LEMMA 1.2. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_s : s \in S\}$  and  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_s : s \in S\}$  be two locally finite collections of non empty open subsets of  $X$ , then:

- (a)  $[\langle U_s : s \in S \rangle]_{\tau_{lf}} = \langle [U_s]_X : s \in S \rangle$ .  
 (b) If  $[V_s]_X \subseteq U_s \forall s \in S$ , then  $[\langle \mathcal{V} \rangle]_{lf} \subseteq \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ .

## 2. Separation properties

Our next theorems deals with separation properties of  $\exp_{lf} X$  and  $\exp_f X$ .

THEOREM 2.1. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is regular.  
 (b)  $\exp_f X$  is Hausdorff.  
 (c)  $\exp_{lf} X$  is Hausdorff.

PROOF. For (a)  $\iff$  (b) see [4], [9] and for (a)  $\iff$  (c) see [10].

THEOREM 2.2. The following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $X$  is normal.  
 (b)  $\exp_f X$  is regular.  
 (c)  $\exp_f X$  is completely regular.  
 (d)  $\exp_{lf} X$  is regular.  
 (e)  $\exp_{lf} X$  is completely regular.

PROOF. The proof of (a)  $\iff$  (b)  $\iff$  (c) is given, for example in [4], [9].

The proof of the equivalence (a)  $\iff$  (d)  $\iff$  (e) is a modification of the proof of Theorem 3.8. in [4].

(a)  $\implies$  (e). Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a normal space,  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_s : s \in S\}$  a locally finite family and  $F_0 \in \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ . The family  $\{U_s, X \setminus F_0, s \in S\}$  is a open covering of  $X$ . Then there exists a closed covering  $\{F_s, F_p : s \in S, p \neq s\}$  of  $X$ ,  $F_s \subset U_s$ ,  $F_p \subset X \setminus F_0$ . Suppose that  $F_s \cap F_0 \neq \emptyset, \forall s \in S$  ( if not, set  $F'_s = F_s \cup \{x_s\}, x_s \in U_s \cap F_0$  ). By Urysohn lemma ([3], p. 75. ), for  $s \in S$  there exists  $f_s : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $f_s(F_s) = 0$  and  $f_s(X \setminus U_s) = 1$ . For  $r \in (0, 1)$ , let  $V_s^r = \{x \in X : f_s(x) < r\}$ . The family  $\{V_s^r : s \in S\}$  is a locally finite family of open subsets of  $X$ , for each  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{W}_r = \langle V_s^r : s \in S \rangle$ . If  $q, r \in (0, 1), q < r$ , then  $[V_s^q]_X \subset V_s^r$  and, by Lemma 1.2. it follows that  $[\mathcal{W}_q]_{lf} \subset \mathcal{W}_r$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \exp X \setminus \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle$ . Then the set  $\mathcal{F}_1$  is a closed subset of  $\exp_{lf} X$  and  $F_0 \notin \mathcal{F}_1$  i.e.  $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \{F_0\} = \emptyset$ . For  $r, r' \in D, r < r'$  where the set  $D$  is dense in  $[0, 1]$ , we have

$$\{F_0\} \subset \mathcal{W}_r \subset [\mathcal{W}_r]_{lf} \subset \mathcal{W}_{r'} \subset \exp X \setminus \mathcal{F}_1 = \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle.$$

By Theorem 2.17. in [4], there exists a mapping  $\varphi : \exp_{lf} X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , such that  $\varphi(F_0) = 0$  and  $\varphi(\exp X \setminus \langle \mathcal{U} \rangle) = 1$ . Thus the hyperspace  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a completely regular space.

(e)  $\implies$  (d). This is obvious.

(d)  $\implies$  (a). Let  $F_0, F_1 \in \exp X$  and  $F_0 \cap F_1 = \emptyset$ . The set  $\mathcal{W} = \langle X \setminus F_1 \rangle$  is an open set in  $\exp_{lf} X$  and  $F_0 \in \mathcal{W}$ . Since  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a regular space, then there exists a locally finite family  $\{U_s : s \in S\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  such that  $F_0 \in \langle U_s : s \in S \rangle$  and  $[\langle U_s, s \in S \rangle]_{lf} \subset \mathcal{W}$ . Therefore  $F_0 \in U = \cup \{U_s, s \in S\}$ ,  $U$  is an open set in  $X$

and  $F_0 \subset U \subset X \setminus F_1$ . If  $[U]_X \cap F_1 = \emptyset$  i.e.  $[U]_X \subset X \setminus F_1$ , then  $X$  is a normal space.

Suppose that  $[U]_X \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\{U_s : s \in S\}$  is a locally finite family, then  $\bigcup\{U_s : s \in S\}_X = \bigcup\{[U_s]_X : s \in S\}$  and we have:

$$\bigcup\{([U_s] \cap F_1), s \in S\} = (\bigcup\{U_s : s \in S\}) \cap F_1 = [\bigcup\{U_s : s \in S\}]_X \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset.$$

There exists an index  $t \in S$  such that  $[U_t]_X \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$ . For every  $s \in S$ , let  $x_s \in [U_s]_X$ ,  $s \neq t$ , and  $x_t \in [U_t]_X \cap F_1$ . The set  $F = \{x_s : s \in S\}$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Every neighbourhood of  $F \in \exp_{lf} X$  contains some point of  $\langle U_s : s \in S \rangle$  and so  $F \in [\langle U_s : s \in S \rangle]_{lf} \subset \mathcal{W} = \langle X \setminus F_1 \rangle$ . This contradicts the fact that  $F \cap F_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Thus (d)  $\implies$  (a).

In [5] J. Keesling ( see also N. Veličko [12] ) has proved the following statement.

**THEOREM 2.3.** *The hyperspace  $\exp_f X$  is a normal space if and only if  $X$  is a compact space.*

There exists a noncompact space  $X$  such that  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a normal space. For example, the space  $X = D(\aleph_0)$  (  $D(\aleph_0)$  the discrete space of power  $\aleph_0$  ), is a noncompact space, and  $\exp_{lf} D(\aleph_0)$  is a normal space (the discrete space of power  $2^{\aleph_0}$ ).

The following statement is valid.

**THEOREM 2.4.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\exp_{lf} X$  is Lindelöf.
- (b)  $X$  is compact ( $\exp_{lf} X$  is compact).

**PROOF.** The identity mapping  $Id : \exp_{lf} X \longrightarrow \exp_f X$  is a continuous mapping. If  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a Lindelöf space,  $\exp_f X$  is also Lindelöf and hence a normal space. By Theorem 2.3.  $X$  is a compact space.

A space  $X$  is said to be *weakly Lindelöf* if every open covering  $\{U_s : s \in S\}$  of  $X$ , has a countable subcovering  $\{U_{s_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  such that  $\bigcup\{U_{s_i} : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a dense set in  $X$  (see [3]).

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $X$  be a weakly Lindelöf space. Then every locally finite family of open sets in  $X$  is countable ( see [3] p. 456 ).*

Lemma 2.5. implies

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Let  $X$  be a feebly Lindelöf space. Then*

- (a)  $\tau_{lf} = \tau_{clf}$ ,
- (b) every  $\langle \mathcal{U} \rangle \in \tau_{lf}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $\exp_f X$ .

### 3. Real-compactness

Let  $X$  be a completely regular space,  $cX$  a compactification of  $X$  and  $c : X \longrightarrow cX$  be a homeomorphic embedding of  $X$  into  $cX$  such that  $[c(X)]_{cX} = cX$ . In this section we shall identify the space  $X$  with the subspace  $c(X) \subset cX$  of a compactification  $cX$  of  $X$ . The Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$  is denoted by  $\beta X$ .

In this section we will assume that  $X$  is normal so that  $\exp_f X$ ,  $\exp_{lf} X$  and  $\exp_{clf} X$  are completely regular spaces. Hence, these three spaces have  $T_2$  compactifications. The following statement was proved in [6].



LEMMA 3.1. Let  $X$  be a normal space. Then  $\exp \beta X$  is a compactification of the space  $\exp_f X$ .

The mapping  $j : \exp_f X \rightarrow \exp \beta X$  defined by  $j(F) = [F]_{\beta X} \forall F \in \exp_f X$  is a homeomorphic embedding.

A characterization of real-compactness is the following (see [1] p. 243.)

LEMMA 3.2. A completely regular space  $Y$  is real-compact if and only if there exists a compactification  $bY$  of  $Y$ , such that for every point  $y_0 \in bY \setminus Y$  there exists a  $G_\delta$  set  $G$  in  $bY$  such that  $x_0 \in G \subset bY \setminus Y$ .

For  $\exp_f X$ , Popov showed in [11] that if  $X$  is a Lindelöf space then the compactification  $\exp \beta X$  of  $\exp_f X$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.2. Using this, Popov showed the following theorem:

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $X$  be a Lindelöf space. Then the space  $\exp_f X$  is real-compact.

The following result is valid:

LEMMA 3.4. If  $\exp_f X$  is a real-compact space, then  $\exp_{clf} X$  is also a real-compact space.

PROOF. Let  $\exp_f X$  be a real-compact space and let  $b \exp_f X$  denote a compactification which satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.2. The mapping  $j : \exp_{clf} X \rightarrow \exp_f X \subset b \exp_f X$  given by:  $\forall F \in \exp_{clf} X, j(F) = b(Id(F)) = b(F) \in b(\exp_f X) \subset b \exp_f X$  is a continuous one to one mapping. Then there exists a compactification  $c \exp_{clf} X$  and an extension,  $\tilde{j} : c \exp_{clf} X \rightarrow b \exp_f X$  of  $j$  (see [1] p. 334).

We are going to show that  $c \exp_{clf} X$  also satisfies the condition of Lemma 3.2.

Let  $T \in c \exp_{clf} X \setminus \exp_{clf} X$  and  $\tilde{j}(T) = \tilde{T} \in b \exp_f X$ .

(i)  $\tilde{j}(T) = \tilde{T} \in b \exp_f X \setminus \exp_f X$ . Then there exists a  $G_\delta$ -set  $\mathcal{G} \subset b \exp_f X$  such that  $\tilde{T} \in \mathcal{G} \subset b \exp_f X \setminus \exp_f X$ . Then we have that  $\tilde{j}^{-1}(\mathcal{G})$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set, and

$$T \in \tilde{j}^{-1}(\mathcal{G}) \subset c \exp_{clf} X \setminus \exp_{clf} X.$$

(ii)  $\tilde{j}(T) = F_f \in \exp_f X$ . Let  $F_f = j(F_c)$ ,  $F_c \in \exp_{clf} X$  ( $F_f = F_c$  as elements of  $\exp X$ ).

Let  $\mathcal{U}(T)$  and  $\mathcal{U}(F_c)$  be disjoint neighbourhoods of the points  $T$  and  $F_c$  in  $c \exp_{clf} X$  and

$$\mathcal{U}(F_c) \cap \exp_{clf} X = \begin{cases} \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k \rangle, & \text{if } F_c \text{ is countably compact,} \\ \langle O_n : n \in N \rangle, & \text{if } F_c \text{ is not countably compact.} \end{cases}$$

Let now  $\mathcal{V}(F_f)$  be a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $b \exp_f X$  such that  $j(F_c) = F_f \in \mathcal{V}(F_f)$  and

$$\mathcal{V}(F_f) \cap \exp_f X = \begin{cases} \langle U_1, U_2, \dots, U_k \rangle, & \text{if } F_f \text{ is countably compact,} \\ \langle O_n : n \in N \rangle, & \text{if } F_f \text{ is not countably compact,} \end{cases}$$

$$(\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle = j(\langle U_1, \dots, U_k \rangle), \langle O_n : n \in N \rangle = j(\langle O_n : n \in N \rangle)).$$

Suppose that for every  $G_\delta$ -set  $\mathcal{G}$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{U}(F_f)$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \cap \exp_{clf} X \neq \emptyset$  holds. Then  $\tilde{j}(\mathcal{G}) \not\subset \mathcal{V}(F_f)$ , which contradicts the fact that  $\tilde{j}$  is a continuous mapping.

Hence, there exists a  $G_\delta$ -set  $G_0$  such that  $T \in G_0 \subset c \exp_{clf} X \setminus \exp_{clf} X$ , or  $\tilde{j}(T) \in b \exp_f X \setminus \exp_f X$ .

The following statement (which can be also proved directly) is a consequence of Theorem 3.3. and Lemma 3.4.

**THEOREM 3.5.** *If  $X$  is a Lindelöf space, then the space  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a real - compact space.*

There exists a space  $X$  which is not Lindelöf and  $\exp_{lf} X$  is a real - compact space. Let  $m > \aleph_0$  be a non-measurable cardinal number (for example  $m = c$ ). Then the space  $D(m)$  is not Lindelöf, and the space  $\exp_{lf} D(m) = D(2^m)$  is real - compact.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. V. ARKHANGEL'SKII, V. I. PONOMAREV, *Osnovy obshchei topologii v zadachah i uprazhneniyah*, NAUKA, Moskva, 1974.
- [2] G. A. BEER, C. J. HIMMELBERG, K. PRIKRY AND F. VAN VLECK, *The locally finite topology on  $2^X$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 101(1987), 163-172.
- [3] R. ENGELKING, *Obshchaya Topologiya*, MIR, Moskva, 1986.
- [4] V. V. FEDORCHUK, V. V. FILIPOV, *Obshchaya Topologiya; osnovnye konstrukcii*, M. MGU, Moskva, 1988.
- [5] J. KEESLING, *On the equivalence of normality and compactness in hyperspaces*, Pacific J. of Math. 33(1970), 657-667.
- [6] J. KEESLING, *Normality and properties related to compactness in hyperspaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 24(1970), 760-766.
- [7] G. DI MAIO AND S. A. NAIMPALLY, *The locally finite hypertopology and generalized uniformities*, Zbornik Rad. Fil. Fak. (Niš) Ser. Mat. 5(1991), 109-112.
- [8] M. MARJANOVIĆ, *Topologies on collections of closed subsets*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 20(1966), 125-130.
- [9] E. MICHAEL, *Topologies on spaces of subsets*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 71(1951), 152-182.
- [10] S. A. NAIMPALLY AND P. L. SHARMA, *Fine uniformity and the locally finite hyperspace topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 103(1988), 641-646.
- [11] V. V. POPOV, *O nekotorykh svojstvakh eksponenty v topologii Vietoris*, Top. Struktury i ih Otobrazh. LGU (Riga) (1987), 96-101.
- [12] N. V. VELICHKO, *O prostranstve zamknutyh mnozhestv*, Sib. Mat. Zh. 16 (1975), 627-629.

Katedra za matematiku  
Mašinski fakultet Niš  
Yugoslavia