

ON A THEOREM OF GONCHAR AND RAHMANOV

DOJČIN PETKOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. *In this note we give the complete proof of the theorem of Gonchar and Rahmanov [2, Теорема].*

Let

$$(1) \quad f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

be a holomorphic function in $z = 0$ or formal power series on z . Let P_n be the set of polynomial in z with $\deg \leq n$, and $R_{n,m} = \{ \frac{p}{q} : p \in P_n, q \in P_m, q \neq 0 \}$

For integers n and m there are $p_{n,m} \in P_n$ and $q_{n,m} \in P_m, q \neq 0$, such that

$$(2) \quad (q_{n,m}f - p_{n,m})(z) = O(z^{n+m+1}), \quad z \rightarrow 0.$$

Set

$$(3) \quad \pi_{n,m}(z) = \frac{p_{n,m}(z)}{q_{n,m}(z)}.$$

$\pi_{n,m}$ are called the Pade's approximations of type $[n/m]$ for (1) and $\{\pi_{n,m}\}_{n,m} = 0$ is Pade's table for (1). Let $\pi_n(z) = \pi_{n,n}(z)$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ be the diagonal Pade's approximates [1].

Let $H(U)$ be the set of holomorphic functions on the unit ball $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. If $f \in H(U)$ then π_n does not converges to f on U [6] (obviously because of poles).

If

$$(4) \quad \pi_n \in H(U),$$

then by [2]

$$(5) \quad \pi_n(z) \rightarrow f(z), \quad \text{uniformly on } U.$$

Now, suppose that (4) is not true for all $n \geq n_0$, but only for some subsequence $\Lambda = \{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$. Of course (5) is not true. Let ρ be the radius of the maximal ball $U_\rho = \{z : |z| < \rho\}$ such that from (4) for $n \in \Lambda$, follows (5) when $n \rightarrow \infty$, $n \in \Lambda$. It is known that $\rho \leq 4/5$ [6, Teopema].

The best known lower bound for ρ has been established by

THEOREM OF GONCHAR AND RAHMANOV ([2, Teopema]). Let $g(z, t)$ be the Green's function on $\{e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{3} \leq \theta \leq \pi\}$, and $r \in (0, 1)$ be the solution of the equation

$$g(r, 1) = 2g(r, 0).$$

Then $\rho \geq r = 0,629$.

In [2], there is only the sketch of proof of [2, Teopema]. In this note we give the complete proof. We start with

LEMMA 1. Let λ_1 , be the Borel measure, $s(\lambda_1)$ support of λ_1 and $\omega_1 = \ln \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}$ be the Rabben's constant [5]. Then $s(\lambda_1) = \Gamma_{\frac{\pi}{3}}$, where $\Gamma_{\frac{\pi}{3}} = \{z = e^{i\theta} : \frac{\pi}{3} \leq |\theta| \leq \pi\}$.

PROOF. For $z = e^{i\varphi}$, we have that $\ln \frac{1}{|1-z|} = \ln \frac{1}{2 \sin \frac{\varphi}{2}}$ is convex function of φ , $\varphi \in (0, 2\pi)$; Let ν be Borel measure and V^ν logarithmic potential of ν [4,5], then

$$V^\nu(z) = \int \ln \frac{1}{\sin \frac{\varphi-\theta}{2}} d\mu(e^{i\theta})$$

is convex function of φ , $\varphi \in \partial U \setminus s(\nu)$.

Set $\Gamma_\theta = \{z = e^{i\varphi} : \theta \leq |\varphi| \leq \pi\}$, $(\theta < \pi)$. We shall prove that $s(\lambda_1) = \Gamma_{\theta_0}$, $0 < \theta_0 < \pi$. If it is not true, then there are $\theta', \theta'' \in \Gamma_{\theta_0}$, $(\theta', \theta'') \cap s(\lambda_1) = \emptyset$, $\theta' \in s(\lambda_1)$, $\theta'' \in s(\lambda_1)$. From [5, Teopema 4.4] we have

$$V^{\lambda_1}(e^{i\theta'}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta'}|} = \omega_1 = V^{\lambda_1}(e^{i\theta''}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta''}|}.$$

For $\theta \in (\theta', \theta'')$ we have

$$V^{\lambda_1}(e^{i\theta}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|} \geq \omega_1.$$

Since, $V^{\lambda_1}(z)$ and $\ln \frac{1}{|1-z|}$ are lower convex functions on (θ', θ'') , it follows that

$$V^{\lambda_1}(e^{i\theta}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|} = \omega_1, \quad \theta \in (\theta', \theta'').$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} \left(V^{\lambda_1}(e^{i\theta}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|} \right) = 0, \quad \theta \in (\theta', \theta''),$$

and so

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \left(V^{\lambda_1}(e^{i\theta}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - e^{i\theta}|} \right) = 0, \quad \theta \in (\theta', \theta'').$$

This is a contradiction.

Hence $s(\lambda_1) = \Gamma_{\theta_1, \theta_2} = \{e^{i\theta} : \theta_1 < \theta \leq 2\pi - \theta_2\}$. We shall prove that $\theta_1 = \theta_2$. If $\theta_1 \neq \theta_2$, set $\lambda_1^*(e) = \lambda_1(\bar{e})$, e is a Borel subset of ∂U , $\bar{e} = \{\bar{z} : z \in e\}$. Now

$$V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - z|} = \begin{cases} = \omega_1 & z \in \Gamma_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \\ \geq \omega_1 & |z| = 1 \end{cases},$$

but

$$V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - z|} = V^{\lambda_1^*}(\bar{z}) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - \bar{z}|} = \begin{cases} = \omega_1 & z \in \Gamma_{\bar{\theta}_1, \bar{\theta}_2} \\ \geq \omega_1 & |z| = 1 \end{cases}.$$

Since, $\lambda_1^* = \lambda_1$ ([5, Утверждение 4.3]) we have $\theta_1 = \theta_2$, and so $s(\lambda_1) = \Gamma_{\theta_0}$. Let us consider the following function

$$(6) \quad \varphi(z) = V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - z|} + 2g_{\theta_0}(z, \infty) - g_{\theta_0}(z, 1).$$

φ is harmonic on $\bar{C} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta_0}$, and is constant on Γ_{θ_0} ([5, Теорема 4.4]) $\varphi(z) = \omega_1$. By maximum principle we have $\varphi(z) = \omega_1$, $z \in \bar{C}$.

When $z \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$\omega_1 = 2\gamma_{\theta_0} - 2g_{\theta_0}(\infty, 1) = 2\gamma_{\theta_0} - g_{\theta_0}(1, \infty)$$

where γ_{θ_0} is Rabben's constant of Γ_{θ} .

Function

$$\omega(z) = V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - z|} - g_{\theta}(z, 1) + 2g_{\theta}(z, \infty),$$

is superharmonic on $\bar{C} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta}$.

Again, by maximum principle we have

$$\omega(\infty) \geq \min_{z \in \Gamma_{\theta}} \omega(z).$$

Further

$$\min_{z \in \Gamma_{\theta}} \omega(z) = \min_{z \in \Gamma_{\theta}} \left(V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - z|} \right) \geq \min_{|z|=1} \left(V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1 - z|} \right) = \omega_1 = 2\gamma_{\theta_0} - g_{\theta_0}(1, \infty).$$

Since $\omega(\infty) = 2\gamma_{\theta} - g_{\theta}(\infty, 1) = 2\gamma_{\theta} - g_{\theta}(1, \infty)$, we have

$$2\gamma_{\theta} - g_{\theta}(1, \infty) \geq 2\gamma_{\theta_0} - g_{\theta_0}(1, \infty),$$

and

$$\frac{d}{d\theta} [2\gamma_\theta - g_\theta(1, \infty)] = 0.$$

Let $F(z, \theta_0)$ be a conformal mapping from $\bar{C} \setminus \Gamma_{\theta_0}$ on $\{z : |z| > 1\}$, such that $F(\infty, \theta_0) = \infty$. It is easy to see that

$$F(z, \theta_0) = \frac{z + 1 + \sqrt{z^2 - 2z \cos \theta_0 + 1}}{2 \cos \frac{\theta_0}{2}},$$

and so

$$(7) \quad g_{\theta_0}(z, \infty) = \ln \left| \frac{z + 1 + \sqrt{z^2 - 2z \cos \theta_0 + 1}}{2 \cos \frac{\theta_0}{2}} \right|$$

and

$$(8) \quad g_{\theta_0}(z, 1) = \ln \left| \frac{1 - F(1, \theta_0)F(z, \theta_0)}{F(z, \theta_0) - F(1, \theta_0)} \right|.$$

From (7) and (8) we have

$$\gamma_\theta = \ln \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2}},$$

and

$$(9) \quad 2\gamma_\theta - g_\theta(1, \infty) = \ln \frac{1}{\cos \frac{\theta}{2} (1 + \sin \frac{\theta}{2})}.$$

From (9), we see that θ_0 is solution of the equation

$$2 \sin^2 \frac{\theta}{2} + \sin \frac{\theta}{2} - 1 = 0.$$

Hence

$$\theta_0 = \frac{\pi}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_1 = \ln \frac{4}{3\sqrt{3}}.$$

From (6), (7) and (8), we have

$$(10) \quad V^{\lambda_1}(z) + \ln \frac{1}{|1-z|} - \omega_1 = \ln \left| \frac{(z+1+\sqrt{z^2-z+1})(z-2+\sqrt{z^2-z+1})^2}{3\sqrt{3}(z+\sqrt{z^2-z+1})^2} \right|$$

Proof of Gonchar-Rahmanov Theorem:

Let $\pi_n = \pi_n(f) = \frac{p_n}{q_n}$, $\deg p_n = n$, $\deg q_n = n$. From (2) we have that $\frac{(q_n f - p_n)(z)}{z^{2n+1}} \in H(U)$. By the Cauchy integral formula it follows that

$$Q(z) \frac{(q_n f - p_n)(z)}{z^{2n+1}} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U} \frac{(q_n f - p_n)(t)}{t^{2n+1}} \frac{1}{t-z} dt, \quad z \in U, \quad \text{where } Q(z) \in P_n.$$

So we have

$$(11) \quad (f - \pi_n)(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial U} \frac{z^{2n+1}}{t^{2n+1}} \frac{(Qq_n)(t)}{(Qq_n)(z)} \frac{f(t) dt}{t - z}.$$

Now, for $\|f\|_{\partial U} = M$, $\rho(z, \partial U) = \min_{t \in \partial U} |t - z|$, we see that

$$(12) \quad |(f - \pi_n)(z)| \leq \frac{M}{\rho(z, \partial U)} |z|^{2n+1} \frac{\|q_n Q\|_{\partial U}}{|(q_n Q)(z)|}.$$

Let ν_n and μ_n are measures associated, respectively, with polynomials Q and q_n ; (i.e., if $P_n(z) = \prod_{k=1}^n (z - z_k)$, then measure associated with polynomial P_n , μ_n , is given by $\mu_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \delta(z_k)$, where $\delta(z_k)$ is the Dirac measure concentrated at z_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$). From (12) we have

$$(13) \quad \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)| \leq 2 \ln |z| + (V^{\nu_n} + V^{\mu_n})(z) - \min_{t \in \partial U} (V^{\nu_n} + V^{\mu_n})(t) + o(1),$$

Let Q be such that $s(\nu_n) \subset \partial U$ and $s(\mu_n) \subset C \setminus U$, $n \in \Lambda \subset N$.

Let μ'_n be measure associated with μ_n on ∂U_1 as in ([4, 5]), i. e. $(V^{\mu'_n} - V^{\mu_n})(z) = \text{const}$, $z \in U_1$; from (13) it follows

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)| \leq \\ & 2 \ln |z| + (V^{\nu_n} + V^{\mu'_n})(z) - \min_{t \in \partial U} (V^{\nu_n} + V^{\mu'_n})(t) + o(1), \\ & n \rightarrow \infty, n \in \Lambda, z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Let Λ_1 be a subsequence of Λ , such that

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)| = \lim_{n \in \Lambda_1(z)} \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)|.$$

Further, let Λ_2 be a subsequence of Λ_1 , such that $\mu'_n \rightarrow \mu$ and $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$, where μ and ν are unit measures on ∂U . From [4, 5], we have

$$(15) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \in \Lambda_2(z)} \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)| \leq \\ & 2 \ln |z| + (V^{\nu} + V^{\mu})(z) - \min_{t \in \partial U} (V^{\nu} + V^{\mu})(t), \quad z \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{e}) = \mu(e)$, where $\tilde{e} = \frac{1}{e}$, and e is a Borel subset of ∂U .

For $z \in U$

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} & 2 \ln |z| + (V^{\nu} + V^{\mu})(z) - \min_{t \in \partial U} (V^{\nu} + V^{\mu})(t) = \\ & (V^{\tilde{\nu}} + V^{\tilde{\mu}})\left(\frac{1}{z}\right) - \min_{t \in \partial U} (V^{\tilde{\nu}} + V^{\tilde{\mu}})\left(\frac{1}{t}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Set $\tilde{\nu} = \int \lambda_t d\tilde{\mu}(t)$, where λ_t is measure on ∂U as in [5].

For $z \in U$, we have

$$(17) \quad (V^{\tilde{\nu}} + V^{\tilde{\mu}}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) = \int (V^{\lambda_t} + V^{\delta_t}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) d\tilde{\mu}(t),$$

and

$$(18) \quad \min_{|z|=1} (V^{\tilde{\nu}} + V^{\tilde{\mu}}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) = \min_{|z|=1} \int (V^{\lambda_t} + V^{\delta_t}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) d\tilde{\mu}(t) \geq \int \min_{|z|=1} (V^{\lambda_t} + V^{\delta_t}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) d\tilde{\mu}(t).$$

From (17) and (18) we have

$$(19) \quad \begin{aligned} & (V^{\tilde{\nu}} + V^{\tilde{\mu}}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) - \min_{|t|=1} (V^{\tilde{\nu}} + V^{\tilde{\mu}}) \left(\frac{1}{t} \right) \leq \\ & \int \left[(V^{\lambda_t} + V^{\delta_t}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) - \min_{|u|=1} (V^{\lambda_t} + V^{\delta_t}) \left(\frac{1}{u} \right) \right] d\tilde{\mu}(t) \leq \\ & (V^{\lambda_\xi} + V^{\delta_\xi}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) - \min_{|t|=1} (V^{\lambda_\xi} + V^{\delta_\xi}) \left(\frac{1}{t} \right), \quad |\xi| = 1, \quad \arg \xi = \arg \frac{1}{z}. \end{aligned}$$

From (16) and (19), we have

$$(20) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)| \leq (V^{\lambda_\xi} + V^{\delta_\xi}) \left(\frac{1}{z} \right) - \min_{|t|=1} (V^{\lambda_\xi} + V^{\delta_\xi}) \left(\frac{1}{t} \right).$$

From (10) and (20) we have

$$(21) \quad \overline{\lim}_{n \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{n} \ln |(f - \pi_n)(z)| \leq \ln \left| \frac{\left(\frac{\xi}{z} + 1 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\xi}{z} \right)^2 - \frac{\xi}{z} + 1} \right) \left(\frac{\xi}{z} - 2 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\xi}{z} \right)^2 - \frac{\xi}{z} + 1} \right)^2}{3\sqrt{3} \left(\frac{\xi}{z} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\xi}{z} \right)^2 - \frac{\xi}{z} + 1} \right)^2} \right|.$$

It is easy to see that the right hand side in (21) is < 0 , for $|z| < r$, where r is defined by the equation

$$2g_{\frac{\pi}{3}}(r, 0) - g_{\frac{\pi}{3}}(r, 1) = 0.$$

The proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] Бейкер Д., Грейвс-Моррис П., *Аппроксимации Паде*, "Мир" Москва 1986.
- [2] Гончар А. А., *О равномерной сходимости диагональных аппроксимаций Паде*, Матем. сб., (1982), т. 118(160).
- [3] Голузин Г. М., *Геометрическая теория функций комплексного переменного*, М. Наука, 1966.
- [4] Ландкоф Н. Ц., *Основы современной теории потенциала*, М. Наука, 1966.
- [5] Никишин Е. М., Сорокин В. Н., *Рациональные аппроксимации и ортогональность*, М. Наука, 1988.
- [6] Рахманов Е. А., *О сходимости аппроксимаций Паде в классах голоморфных функций*, Матем. сб., (1980), т. 112 (154).

Prirodnomatematički fakultet, Matematika
38 000 Priština, Yugoslavia